

Glucose Lowering Medications and Diabetes Related Outcomes

Synopsis of Findings from Clinical Trial Data: Systematic Reviews, Meta Analyses, Single Randomized Controlled Trials (RCTs)

Current as of October 5, 2015

	Microvascular Outcomes (e.g., nephropathy, retinopathy)	Macrovascular Outcomes (e.g., cardiovascular morbidity, cardiovascular mortality)	Mortality
metformin 1-10	effect uncertain ⁱ	effect uncertain ⁱ	effect uncertain ⁱ
glyburide, gliclazide, glimepiride 1,2,4,5,9-13	effect uncertain ⁱⁱ	effect uncertain ⁱⁱ	effect uncertain ⁱⁱ
repaglinide 1,2,5,12,17,18	effect uncertain	effect uncertain	effect uncertain
acarbose 2,12,18,19	effect uncertain	effect uncertain	effect uncertain
pioglitazone, rosiglitazone 1,2,5,12,18,20-30	effect uncertain	no statistically significant increase or decrease in primary cardiovascular composite outcome (pioglitazone, rosiglitazone; single RCTs); ^{iii,iv} statistically significant increase heart failure (pioglitazone, rosiglitazone; systematic review); ^v statistically significant increase myocardial infarction (rosiglitazone; meta analysis) ^{vi}	no statistically significant increase or decrease (pioglitazone, rosiglitazone; secondary outcome, single cardiovascular RCTs) ^{iii,iv}
saxagliptin, linagliptin, sitagliptin, alogliptin 1,12,18,31-40	effect uncertain	no statistically significant increase or decrease in primary cardiovascular composite outcome (saxagliptin, sitagliptin, alogliptin; single RCTs); ^{vii,viii,ix} statistically significant increase heart failure (saxagliptin; secondary outcome, single RCT) ^{vii}	no statistically significant increase or decrease (saxagliptin, sitagliptin, alogliptin; secondary outcome, single cardiovascular RCTs) ^{vii,viii,ix}
canagliflozin, dapagliflozin, empagliflozin 41-45	effect uncertain	statistically significant decrease in primary cardiovascular composite outcome (empagliflozin; single RCT) ^x	statistically significant decrease (empagliflozin; secondary outcome, single cardiovascular RCT) ^x
liraglutide, exenatide 1,12,18,46-49	effect uncertain	effect uncertain	effect uncertain

See notes on reverse side (e.g., comparators, outcomes, patient populations)

Additional type 2 diabetes cardiovascular outcome RCTs in progress: pioglitazone vs. sulfonylureas;⁵⁰ linagliptin vs. placebo;⁵¹ linagliptin vs. glimepiride;⁵² canagliflozin vs. placebo;⁵³ dapagliflozin vs. placebo;⁵⁴ liraglutide vs. placebo;⁵⁵ exenatide vs. placebo⁵⁶

Notes:

ⁱ **metformin:** UKPDS 34, a small RCT subgroup referenced to describe a macrovascular and mortality benefit for metformin;⁸ 342 type 2 diabetes participants (> 120% ideal body weight) received open label metformin as their initial therapy;⁸ the specific effect of metformin on microvascular, macrovascular outcomes, mortality cannot be firmly confirmed or rejected when systematically reviewed¹⁻⁷

ⁱⁱ **glyburide, gliclazide, glimepiride:** UKPDS 33, an RCT referenced to describe a microvascular benefit for sulfonylureas;¹³ 1573 type 2 diabetes participants received open label sulfonylurea (chlorpropamide, glyburide, or glipizide) as their initial therapy;¹³ the specific effect of glyburide, gliclazide, or glimepiride on microvascular, macrovascular outcomes, mortality cannot be firmly confirmed or rejected when systematically reviewed;^{1,2,4,5,11,12} RCT evidence of an increased risk of cardiovascular mortality with tolbutamide vs. placebo;¹¹ Canadian prescribing information for glyburide, gliclazide, and glimepiride advise close monitoring for cardiovascular complications¹⁴⁻¹⁶

ⁱⁱⁱ **pioglitazone** as reported in a single RCT (PROactive):²² 5238 type 2 diabetes participants *with cardiovascular disease*; median A1C 7.8%; randomized to *pioglitazone vs. placebo in addition to usual care* (including other glucose lowering medications); followed mean 2.9 yrs; *primary outcome*: total mortality, nonfatal myocardial infarction, stroke, acute coronary syndrome, endovascular or surgical intervention coronary or leg arteries, amputation above ankle 19.7% vs. 21.7% (HR 0.90, 95%CI 0.80 to 1.02); total mortality 6.8% vs. 7.1% (HR 0.96, 95%CI 0.78 to 1.18)

^{iv} **rosiglitazone** as reported in a single RCT (RECORD):²³ 4447 type 2 diabetes participants on metformin or a sulfonylurea; mean A1C 7.9%; randomized to the *open label addition of rosiglitazone to metformin or sulfonylurea vs. the combination of metformin plus sulfonylurea*; followed mean 5.5 yrs; *primary outcome*: cardiovascular death or cardiovascular hospitalization 14.5% vs. 14.5% (HR 0.99, 95%CI 0.85 to 1.16); total mortality 6.1% vs. 7.0% (HR 0.86, 95%CI 0.68 to 1.08)

^v **rosiglitazone & pioglitazone, heart failure:** systematic review, thiazolidinediones vs. placebo 5.3% vs. 3.7% (OR 1.59, 95%CI 1.34 to 1.89) over a mean of 1.9 years;²⁴ risk noted in Canadian prescribing information^{25,26}

^{vi} **rosiglitazone, myocardial infarction:** European Medicines Agency recommended removal from market in 2010;²⁷ Health Canada added prescribing restrictions in 2010;²⁸ U.S. FDA removed some previous prescribing restrictions in 2013 citing scientific uncertainty;²⁹ Canadian prescribing information meta analysis: myocardial infarction (OR 1.80, 95%CI 1.03 to 3.25), total mortality (OR 1.38, 95%CI 0.72 to 2.72) over a mean of 6 months²⁶

^{vii} **saxagliptin** as reported in a single RCT (SAVOR-TIMI 53), a postmarketing safety requirement:^{37,38} 16,492 type 2 diabetes participants *with cardiovascular disease or multiple risk factors for cardiovascular disease*; mean A1C 8.0%; randomized to *saxagliptin vs. placebo in addition to usual care* (including other glucose lowering medications); followed median 2.1 yrs; *primary outcome*: cardiovascular death, nonfatal myocardial infarction, or nonfatal ischemic stroke 7.3% vs. 7.2% (HR 1.00, 95%CI 0.89 to 1.12); hospitalization for heart failure 3.5% vs. 2.8% (HR 1.27, 95%CI 1.07 to 1.51); total mortality 4.9% vs. 4.2% (HR 1.11, 95%CI 0.96 to 1.27); met U.S. FDA guidance for noninferiority with upper bound of hazard ratio < 1.3 for primary outcome³⁸

^{viii} **sitagliptin** as reported in a single RCT (TECOS), a postmarketing safety requirement:³⁹ 14,671 type 2 diabetes participants *with cardiovascular disease*; mean A1C 7.2%; randomized to *sitagliptin vs. placebo in addition to usual care* (including other glucose lowering medications); followed median 3 yrs; *primary outcome*: cardiovascular death, nonfatal myocardial infarction, nonfatal stroke, or hospitalization for unstable angina 11.4% vs. 11.6% (HR 0.98, 95%CI 0.89 to 1.08); hospitalization for heart failure 3.1% vs. 3.1% (HR 1.00, 95%CI 0.83 to 1.20); total mortality 7.5% vs. 7.3% (HR 1.01, 95%CI 0.90 to 1.14); results not reviewed by U.S. FDA

^{ix} **alogliptin** as reported in a single RCT (EXAMINE), a postmarketing safety requirement:^{38,40} 5380 type 2 diabetes participants *with acute coronary syndrome*; mean A1C 8.0%; *alogliptin vs. placebo in addition to usual care* (including other glucose lowering medications); followed median 1.5 yrs; *primary outcome*: cardiovascular death, nonfatal myocardial infarction, or nonfatal stroke 11.3% vs. 11.8% (HR 0.96, upper boundary 99%CI ≤ 1.16); hospitalization for heart failure 3.9% vs. 3.3% (HR 1.19, 95%CI 0.90 to 1.58); total mortality 5.7% vs. 6.5% (HR 0.88, 95%CI 0.71 to 1.09); met U.S. FDA guidance for noninferiority with upper bound of hazard ratio < 1.3 for primary outcome³⁸

^x **empagliflozin** as reported in a single RCT (EMPA-REG), a postmarketing safety requirement:⁴⁵ 7020 type 2 diabetes participants *with cardiovascular disease*; mean A1C 8.1%; *empagliflozin vs. placebo in addition to usual care* (including other glucose lowering medications); followed median 3.1 yrs; *primary outcome*: cardiovascular death, nonfatal myocardial infarction (excluding silent myocardial infarction), or nonfatal stroke 10.5% vs. 12.1% (HR 0.86, 95%CI 0.74 to 0.99); hospitalization for heart failure 2.7% vs. 4.1% (HR 0.65, 95%CI 0.50 to 0.85); total mortality 5.7% vs. 8.3% (HR 0.68, 95%CI 0.57 to 0.82); results not reviewed by U.S. FDA