

Drug Coverage Decision for B.C. PharmaCare

About PharmaCare B.C. PharmaCare is a government-funded drug plan. It helps British Columbians with the cost of eligible prescription drugs and specific medical supplies.

Details of Drug Reviewed

Drug	rivaroxaban
Brand Name	Xarelto®
Dosage Form	2.5 mg tablet
Manufacturer	Bayer Inc.
Submission Type	New Submission
Use Reviewed	For the treatment of patients with concomitant coronary artery disease (CAD) and peripheral artery disease (PAD)
Common Drug Review (CDR)	Yes, CDR recommended: to Reimburse with clinical criteria and/or conditions. Visit the CDR website for more details: www.cadth.ca/sites/default/files/cdr/complete/SR0569%20Xarelto%20-%20Confidential%20Final%20CDEC%20Recommendation%20%28redacted%29_for%20posting.pdf
Drug Benefit Council (DBC)	The DBC met on December 3, 2018. DBC considered various inputs including: the final reviews completed by the CDR, which included clinical and pharmacoeconomic evidence review material and the recommendations from the Canadian Drug Expert Committee (CDEC); Patient Input Questionnaire responses from two patients; patient input provided to the CDR; a Clinical Practice Review from one specialist; an Other Drug Agencies Review Recommendations from the Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health (CADTH); and a Budget Impact Assessment (BIA).
Drug Coverage Decision	Limited Coverage Benefit. Access the rivaroxaban criteria from www.gov.bc.ca/pharmacarespecialauthority
Date	April 1, 2020
Reasons	<p>Drug coverage decision is consistent with the CDEC and DBC recommendations.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The drug demonstrated some advantage over acetylsalicylic acid (ASA) alone with respect to efficacy (reduction in the risk of adverse cardiovascular events). • Bleeding events were more common in patients using the drug in combination with ASA as compared to ASA alone. The benefits and risks of treatment with rivaroxaban in combination with ASA should be considered on an individual patient basis. • The Ministry participated in the pan-Canadian Pharmaceutical Alliance negotiations with Bayer Inc. and was able to address issues relating to the cost-effectiveness and value for money of this drug.
Other Information	None

The Drug Review Process in B.C.

A manufacturer submits a request to the Ministry of Health (Ministry).

An independent group called the [Drug Benefit Council \(DBC\)](#) gives advice to the Ministry. The DBC looks at:

- whether the drug is safe and effective
- advice from a national group called the [Common Drug Review \(CDR\)](#)
- what the drug costs and whether it is a good value for the people of B.C.
- ethical considerations involved with covering or not covering the drug
- input from physicians, patients, caregivers, patient groups and drug submission sponsors

The Ministry makes PharmaCare coverage decisions by taking into account:

- the existing PharmaCare policies, programs and resources
- the evidence-informed advice of the DBC
- the drugs already covered by PharmaCare that are used to treat similar medical conditions
- the overall cost of covering the drug

Visit [The Drug Review Process in B.C. - Overview](#) and [Ministry of Health - PharmaCare](#) for more information.

This document is intended for information only.

It does not take the place of advice from a physician or other qualified health care provider.

Drug Benefit Council (DBC) Recommendation and Reasons for Recommendation FINAL

Description:

Drug review of **rivaroxaban (Xarelto®)** for the following Health Canada approved indications:

In combination with acetylsalicylic acid (ASA) 75 mg to 100 mg for the prevention of stroke, myocardial infarction (MI) and cardiovascular (CV) death in patients with concomitant coronary artery disease (CAD) and peripheral artery disease (PAD).

In their review, the DBC considered the following: the final reviews completed by the Common Drug Review (CDR) on November 20, 2018, which included clinical and pharmacoeconomic evidence review material and the recommendations from the Canadian Drug Expert Committee (CDEC). The DBC also considered Patient Input Questionnaire responses from two patients, patient input provided to the CDR, a Clinical Practice Review from one specialist, an Other Drug Agencies Review Recommendations from the Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health, and a Budget Impact Assessment.

Dosage Forms:

Xarelto® is available as rivaroxaban 2.5 mg oral tablet.

Recommendations:

1. The Drug Benefit Council (DBC) recommends that **rivaroxaban (Xarelto®)** should be listed in combination with acetylsalicylic acid (ASA) 75 mg to 100 mg for the prevention of stroke, myocardial infarction (MI) and cardiovascular (CV) death in patients with concomitant coronary artery disease (CAD) and peripheral artery disease (PAD).
2. Rivaroxaban should not be reimbursed for patients who have CAD or PAD alone \

Of Note:

1. Concomitant CAD and PAD are as defined in the Implementation Considerations section of the CDR Recommendation.

Reasons for the Recommendation:**1. Summary**

3. One double-blind randomized controlled trial (RCT) found the combination of rivaroxaban 2.5 mg twice daily and ASA 100 mg once daily statistically significantly reduced the risk of major adverse cardiovascular events compared with ASA alone over 23 months.
4. In patients with concomitant CAD and PAD the combination of rivaroxaban 2.5 mg twice daily and ASA had a numerically greater benefit over ASA alone for reducing the risk of major adverse cardiovascular events.
5. The trial included patients with CAD and/or PAD, although the Health Canada approved indication is for patients with CAD with or without PAD; PAD alone is not an approved indication at this time. The manufacturer requested concomitant CAD and PAD, a subgroup of the overall population in the approved indication.
6. At the manufacturer submitted price, the combination of rivaroxaban 2.5 mg twice daily and low dose ASA, compared with ASA alone, is most cost-effective in patients with concomitant CAD and PAD.

2. Clinical Efficacy

- The DBC considered the CDR's Clinical Review, which included one double-blind RCT (COMPASS) comparing the combination of rivaroxaban 2.5 mg twice daily and ASA 100 mg once daily with ASA 100 mg once daily in patients with stable CAD and/or PAD who predominantly had a history of myocardial infarction, cardiac revascularization, normal renal function, and who had no history of stroke.
- The primary outcome in COMPASS was major adverse cardiovascular events (a composite of stroke, myocardial infarction, and cardiovascular death). The primary analysis population was in the full COMPASS population (i.e. patients with CAD and/or PAD), and subgroup analyses were conducted in patients with CAD only, PAD only, and concomitant CAD and PAD.
- The combination of rivaroxaban 2.5 mg twice daily and ASA 100 mg once daily statistically significantly reduced the risk of the primary outcome, major adverse cardiovascular events, as compared with ASA alone over 23 months.
- The pre-specified subgroup analysis of patients with concomitant CAD and PAD found that the combination of rivaroxaban 2.5 mg twice daily and ASA had a numerically greater benefit over ASA alone for the same composite end point.
- The effect of rivaroxaban/ASA on health-related quality of life measures and patient function is uncertain because of limited or no data for these outcomes.
- The COMPASS trial was stopped prematurely because it met the pre-specified criteria for early discontinuation based on efficacy. Patients in COMPASS received a median duration of treatment of approximately two years. As a result, the long-term efficacy and safety of rivaroxaban/ASA is not well-established, and the optimal duration of therapy is unknown.

7. For detailed information on the systematic review of rivaroxaban (Xarelto®) please see the CDEC Final Recommendation at: <https://www.cadth.ca/rivaroxaban-52>.

3. Safety

- The proportion of participants experiencing adverse events (AEs) in COMPASS was higher in the rivaroxaban/ASA group compared with ASA alone in the overall CAD and/or PAD population. The proportion of patients experiencing serious AEs and withdrawals due to AEs was also higher for rivaroxaban/ASA compared with ASA.
- Major bleeding was more common for rivaroxaban/ASA-treated patients compared with patients treated with ASA alone.
- DBC discussed that the rates of fatal bleeding, fatal and non-fatal intracranial bleeding, and non-fatal critical organ bleeding in the trial were relatively low and similarly distributed between treatment groups.
- The increased risk of major bleeding in the rivaroxaban/ASA group was contributed to largely by an increased occurrence of gastrointestinal bleeding.
- The CDR recommended that the benefit to risk of treatment with rivaroxaban/ASA, as compared with ASA alone, in patients with concomitant CAD and PAD, should be considered on an individual patient basis.
- For detailed information on the safety and tolerability of rivaroxaban (Xarelto®) please see the CDEC Final Recommendations at the links above.

4. Economic Considerations

- The CDR reanalysis of the manufacturer-submitted cost-utility analysis suggested that the combination of rivaroxaban 2.5 mg twice daily and low dose ASA, compared with ASA alone, is most cost-effective in patients with concomitant CAD and PAD, with an incremental cost-effectiveness ratio (ICER) of \$17,764 per quality-adjusted life-year (QALY) gained.
- The manufacturer did not provide information on rivaroxaban/ASA in the subgroup of patients with CAD alone, and as such no comment regarding the cost-effectiveness of rivaroxaban/ASA in this population can be made.
- Because of the high number of potential patients with concomitant CAD and PAD, the budget impact for listing rivaroxaban is expected to be significant.

5. Of Note

- The DBC received patient input from two patients, who did not specifically indicate whether they had concomitant CAD and PAD. Both patients had been prescribed rivaroxaban for prevention of strokes and myocardial infarctions and reported that the medication relieved symptoms, was well-tolerated, and was easier to take as it did not require regular blood tests.

CADTH COMMON DRUG REVIEW

CADTH Canadian Drug Expert Committee Recommendation

(Confidential, Final)

RIVAROXABAN (XARELTO — BAYER INC.)

Indication: Coronary artery disease with or without peripheral artery disease

RECOMMENDATION

The CADTH Canadian Drug Expert Committee recommends that rivaroxaban should be reimbursed in combination with acetylsalicylic acid (75 mg to 100 mg) for the prevention of stroke, myocardial infarction, and cardiovascular death, and for the prevention of acute limb ischemia and mortality in patients with concomitant coronary artery disease and peripheral artery disease if the following condition is met:

Condition

- For patients with concomitant coronary artery disease and peripheral artery disease, as defined in the Implementation Considerations.

Service Line: CADTH Drug Reimbursement Recommendation
Version: Final
Publication Date: November 2018
Report Length: 7 Pages

Disclaimer: The information in this document is intended to help Canadian health care decision-makers, health care professionals, health systems leaders, and policy-makers make well-informed decisions and thereby improve the quality of health care services. While patients and others may access this document, the document is made available for informational purposes only and no representations or warranties are made with respect to its fitness for any particular purpose. The information in this document should not be used as a substitute for professional medical advice or as a substitute for the application of clinical judgment in respect of the care of a particular patient or other professional judgment in any decision-making process. The Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health (CADTH) does not endorse any information, drugs, therapies, treatments, products, processes, or services.

While care has been taken to ensure that the information prepared by CADTH in this document is accurate, complete, and up-to-date as at the applicable date the material was first published by CADTH, CADTH does not make any guarantees to that effect. CADTH does not guarantee and is not responsible for the quality, currency, propriety, accuracy, or reasonableness of any statements, information, or conclusions contained in any third-party materials used in preparing this document. The views and opinions of third parties published in this document do not necessarily state or reflect those of CADTH.

CADTH is not responsible for any errors, omissions, injury, loss, or damage arising from or relating to the use (or misuse) of any information, statements, or conclusions contained in or implied by the contents of this document or any of the source materials.

This document may contain links to third-party websites. CADTH does not have control over the content of such sites. Use of third-party sites is governed by the third-party website owners' own terms and conditions set out for such sites. CADTH does not make any guarantee with respect to any information contained on such third-party sites and CADTH is not responsible for any injury, loss, or damage suffered as a result of using such third-party sites. CADTH has no responsibility for the collection, use, and disclosure of personal information by third-party sites.

Subject to the aforementioned limitations, the views expressed herein are those of CADTH and do not necessarily represent the views of Canada's federal, provincial, or territorial governments or any third party supplier of information.

This document is prepared and intended for use in the context of the Canadian health care system. The use of this document outside of Canada is done so at the user's own risk.

This disclaimer and any questions or matters of any nature arising from or relating to the content or use (or misuse) of this document will be governed by and interpreted in accordance with the laws of the Province of Ontario and the laws of Canada applicable therein, and all proceedings shall be subject to the exclusive jurisdiction of the courts of the Province of Ontario, Canada.

The copyright and other intellectual property rights in this document are owned by CADTH and its licensors. These rights are protected by the Canadian *Copyright Act* and other national and international laws and agreements. Users are permitted to make copies of this document for non-commercial purposes only, provided it is not modified when reproduced and appropriate credit is given to CADTH and its licensors.

Redactions: Confidential information in this document has been redacted at the request of the manufacturer in accordance with the *CADTH Common Drug Review Confidentiality Guidelines*.

About CADTH: CADTH is an independent, not-for-profit organization responsible for providing Canada's health care decision-makers with objective evidence to help make informed decisions about the optimal use of drugs, medical devices, diagnostics, and procedures in our health care system.

Funding: CADTH receives funding from Canada's federal, provincial, and territorial governments, with the exception of Quebec.

RIVAROXABAN (XARELTO — BAYER INC.)

Indication: Coronary artery disease with or without peripheral artery disease.

Recommendation

The CADTH Canadian Drug Expert Committee (CDEC) recommends that rivaroxaban be reimbursed in combination with acetylsalicylic acid (ASA; 75 mg to 100 mg) for the prevention of stroke, myocardial infarction, and cardiovascular death, and for the prevention of acute limb ischemia and mortality in patients with concomitant coronary artery disease (CAD) and peripheral artery disease (PAD) if the following condition is met:

Condition

- For patients with concomitant CAD and PAD as defined in the Implementation Considerations.

Reasons for the Recommendation

1. One double-blind, randomized controlled trial (RCT) (COMPASS; N = 27,395) in patients with stable CAD and/or PAD who predominantly had a history of CAD with normal renal function and no history of stroke, and who were not at high risk of bleeding, demonstrated that the combination of rivaroxaban 2.5 mg twice daily and ASA 100 mg once daily statistically significantly reduced the risk of the composite outcome of stroke, myocardial infarction, and cardiovascular death as compared with ASA alone (hazard ratio [HR]: 0.76; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.66 to 0.86; $P < 0.001$) over 23 months. Furthermore, the pre-specified subgroup analysis of patients with concomitant CAD and PAD found that the combination of rivaroxaban 2.5 mg twice daily and ASA had a numerically greater benefit over ASA alone for the same composite end point (HR: 0.67; 95% CI, 0.52 to 0.87).
2. The manufacturer-provided price for rivaroxaban 2.5 mg is \$1.44 per tablet. The submitted cost-utility analysis suggested that the combination of rivaroxaban 2.5 mg twice daily and low dose ASA, compared with ASA alone, is most cost-effective in patients with concomitant CAD and PAD, with an incremental cost-effectiveness ratio (ICER) of \$17,764 per quality-adjusted life-year (QALY) gained. Although rivaroxaban has a Health Canada indication for use in combination with 75 mg to 100 mg ASA for the prevention of stroke, myocardial infarction, and cardiovascular death, and for the prevention of acute limb ischemia and mortality in patients with CAD with or without PAD, a cost-effectiveness analysis specific to patients with CAD alone was not provided; hence, it is unknown if rivaroxaban in combination with ASA would be considered cost-effective for patients with CAD alone.

Implementation Considerations

- Patients with CAD are defined as having one or more of the following:
 - myocardial infarction within the last 20 years
 - multi-vessel coronary disease (i.e., stenosis of $\geq 50\%$ in two or more coronary arteries, or in one coronary territory if at least one other territory has been revascularized) with symptoms or history of stable or unstable angina
 - multi-vessel percutaneous coronary intervention
 - multi-vessel coronary artery bypass graft surgery
 - **and** meet at least one of the following criteria:
 - aged 65 years or older, or
 - aged younger than 65 years with documented atherosclerosis or revascularization involving at least two vascular beds (coronary and other vascular) or at least two additional risk factors (current smoker, diabetes mellitus, estimated glomerular filtration rate < 60 mL/min, heart failure, non-lacunar ischemic stroke 1 month or more ago).
- Patients with PAD are defined as having one or more of the following:
 - previous aorto-femoral bypass surgery, limb bypass surgery, or percutaneous transluminal angioplasty revascularization of the iliac or infrainguinal arteries
 - previous limb or foot amputation for arterial vascular disease

- history of intermittent claudication and one or more of the following: 1) an anklebrachial index less than 0.90, or 2) significant peripheral artery stenosis ($\geq 50\%$) documented by angiography or by duplex ultrasound
 - previous carotid revascularization or asymptomatic carotid artery stenosis greater than or equal to 50%, as diagnosed by duplex ultrasound or angiography.
- Rivaroxaban should not be reimbursed for patients who have CAD or PAD alone or in patients with any one of the following characteristics:
 - at high risk of bleeding
 - a history of stroke within one month of treatment initiation or any history of hemorrhagic or lacunar stroke
 - severe heart failure with a known ejection fraction less than 30% or New York Heart Association class III or IV symptoms
 - an estimated glomerular filtration rate less than 15 mL/min
 - require dual antiplatelet therapy, other non-ASA antiplatelet therapy, or oral anticoagulant therapy.
 - It is estimated that a substantial number (~295,000) of Canadians have concomitant CAD and PAD. Therefore, even though rivaroxaban is cost-effective in patients with concomitant CAD and PAD at the submitted price, the budget impact for CADTH-participating drug plans is likely to be considerable. Consequently, a lower price would further increase the cost-effectiveness of rivaroxaban in patients with concomitant CAD and PAD and increase affordability of this treatment.

Discussion Points

- Although the COMPASS trial included patients with CAD and/or PAD, the Health Canada—approved indication for rivaroxaban 2.5 mg twice daily is for use in patients with CAD with or without PAD; PAD alone is currently not an approved indication.
- Generalizability of the COMPASS trial results to patients with CAD and PAD who do not meet that trial's eligibility criteria is uncertain. For example, there is insufficient evidence to determine how the COMPASS trial results apply to patients without a history of myocardial infarction or cardiac revascularization, those with abnormal renal function, and those with a history of stroke.
- Major and minor bleeding events were more common with rivaroxaban/ASA compared with ASA alone in COMPASS. CDEC discussed that the rates of fatal bleeding, fatal and non-fatal intracranial bleeding, and non-fatal critical organ bleeding in the trial were relatively low and similarly distributed between treatment groups. The benefit to risk of treatment with rivaroxaban/ASA, as compared with ASA alone, in patients with concomitant CAD and PAD should be considered on an individual patient basis.
- The COMPASS trial was stopped prematurely because it met the pre-specified criteria for early discontinuation based on efficacy. Patients in COMPASS received a median duration of treatment of approximately two years. Given the chronic nature of stable CAD and PAD, the long-term efficacy and safety of rivaroxaban/ASA is not well-established. As well, the optimal duration of therapy with rivaroxaban/ASA is unknown.
- It is uncertain whether rivaroxaban/ASA has any added benefit on health-related quality of life (HRQoL) or daily function for patients with concomitant CAD and PAD as compared with ASA alone because of limited or no evidence for these outcomes in the COMPASS trial.
- There is uncertainty with respect to the comparative efficacy and safety of rivaroxaban/ASA versus dual antiplatelet therapies used for the treatment of concomitant CAD and PAD, based on a manufacturer-provided network meta-analysis (NMA).

Background

Rivaroxaban is a direct acting oral anticoagulant that inhibits factor Xa, which plays a key role in the cascade of blood coagulation. Rivaroxaban is indicated for the prevention or treatment of thrombus formation in various indications. The current indication considered was for the use of rivaroxaban in combination with 75 mg to 100 mg ASA for the prevention of stroke, myocardial infarction, and cardiovascular death, and for the prevention of acute limb ischemia and mortality in patients with CAD with or without PAD.

Summary of Evidence Considered by CDEC

The committee considered the following information prepared by the CADTH Common Drug Review (CDR): a systematic review of RCTs of rivaroxaban in combination with ASA, a manufacturer-provided indirect comparison (NMA), and a critique of the manufacturer's pharmaco-economic evaluation. The committee also considered input from a clinical expert with experience in treating patients with CAD and PAD, and patient group-submitted information about outcomes and issues important to patients.

Summary of Patient Input

The Cardiac Health Foundation of Canada provided input for this submission. Patient perspectives were obtained from telephone interviews. The following is a summary of key input from the perspective of the patient group(s):

- Patients expressed that they have ongoing concerns and stress around their future risk for cardiovascular events, including heart attack and/or death. They were also concerned about disease complications and limitations in function (e.g., inability to walk due to cramps in leg).
- Patients indicated that their conditions were well-managed with current medications; however, they stated that poor management of disease may lead to heart attacks or even death, requiring patients to be diligent about taking their medications. Patients noted they would like to manage their condition and symptoms with fewer pills, ideally one pill per day.
- The patient group was not able to consult with any patients on rivaroxaban or rivaroxaban/ASA specifically, therefore no information was provided on expectations for combination rivaroxaban/ASA.

Clinical Trials

The CDR systematic review included one double-blind RCT (COMPASS) that included patients with CAD and/or PAD. COMPASS (N = 27,395; mean age, 68 years; 78% male) compared the combination of rivaroxaban 2.5 mg twice daily and ASA 100 mg once daily with ASA 100 mg once daily in patients with stable CAD and/or PAD who predominantly had a history of myocardial infarction, cardiac revascularization, normal renal function, and who had no history of stroke.

The trial was stopped early (at the first of two planned interim analysis time points) when the mean duration of treatment was approximately two years, when the pre-specified stopping criteria were met. As the trial was stopped early, the long-term efficacy and safety of this combination is not clear. Patients at high risk of bleeding were excluded from COMPASS; however, no specific criteria for evaluating bleeding risk were applied in the trial.

Outcomes

Outcomes were defined a priori in the CDR systematic review protocol. Of these, the committee discussed the following:

- cardiovascular death
- stroke
- myocardial infarction
- all-cause mortality
- limb amputations
- patient function
- HRQoL
- adverse events (AEs), including major and minor bleeding events, among others.

The primary outcome in COMPASS was major adverse cardiovascular events (composite of stroke, myocardial infarction, and cardiovascular death). The primary analysis population was in the full COMPASS population (i.e., patients with CAD and/or PAD). Subgroup analyses were conducted in patients with CAD only, PAD only, and concomitant CAD and PAD.

Efficacy

- Fewer patients in the rivaroxaban/ASA group experienced the primary outcome compared with those in the ASA group (4.1% versus 5.4%). Rivaroxaban/ASA reduced the risk of the primary outcome compared with ASA alone (HR: 0.76; 95% CI, 0.66 to 0.86).
- It is uncertain what the effect of rivaroxaban/ASA is on HRQoL and patient function is because of limited or no data for these outcomes.
- The risk of the secondary composite outcome of myocardial infarction, ischemic stroke, acute limb ischemia, and coronary heart disease death was lower in the rivaroxaban/ASA group compared with ASA alone (HR: 0.72, 95% CI, 0.63 to 0.83), and the risk was also lower for the composite of myocardial infarction, ischemic stroke, acute limb ischemia, cardiovascular death (HR: 0.74, 95% CI, 0.65 to 0.85). These composite outcomes were adjusted for multiplicity.
- For the outcome of all-cause mortality, the HR for the comparison of rivaroxaban/ASA to ASA was 0.82 (95% CI, 0.71 to 0.96). The results for all-cause mortality should be interpreted with caution because the statistical comparison between rivaroxaban/ASA versus ASA alone could not be considered statistically significant based on the hierarchical analysis plan used to adjust for multiple comparisons.
- The HRs for the primary outcome in the rivaroxaban/ASA group compared with the ASA group for the subgroups were: CAD only (HR: 0.77; 95% CI, 0.66 to 0.91), PAD only (HR: 0.89; 95% CI, 0.55 to 1.44), and concomitant CAD and PAD (HR: 0.67; 95% CI, 0.52 to 0.87). Subgroup analyses were not adjusted for multiplicity (conducted outside the planned statistical hierarchy) and should be interpreted with this in mind.

Harms (Safety)

- The proportion of participants experiencing AEs was higher in the rivaroxaban/ASA group (14.7%) compared with ASA alone (13.8%) in the overall CAD and/or PAD population of COMPASS.
- The proportion of patients experiencing serious AEs (8.6% versus 7.8%) and withdrawals due to AEs (3.4% versus 2.6%) was also higher for rivaroxaban/ASA compared with ASA.
- Major bleeding was more common for rivaroxaban/ASA-treated patients (3.1%) compared with patients treated with ASA alone (1.9%) (HR: 1.70; 95% CI, 1.40 to 2.05). Minor bleeding was also more common in the rivaroxaban/ASA group (9.0%) compared with the ASA group (5.3%).
- The increased risk of major bleeding in the rivaroxaban/ASA group was contributed to largely by an increased occurrence of gastrointestinal bleeding. Occurrences of fatal bleeding, fatal and non-fatal intracranial bleeding, and non-fatal critical organ bleeding were relatively uncommon and similarly distributed between treatment groups.

Indirect Treatment Comparisons

- A manufacturer-provided indirect comparison based on NMA compared rivaroxaban/ASA with clopidogrel 75 mg daily/ASA, ticagrelor 90 mg/ASA, and ticagrelor 60 mg/ASA, with ASA alone as the central comparator. There was a high degree of heterogeneity across studies in the NMA, including differences in populations, duration of follow-up, and outcome definitions. There is uncertainty in the robustness of the analysis. In the full population of persons with CAD and/or PAD, the results of the NMA suggested that rivaroxaban/ASA was more efficacious than ASA alone and clopidogrel/ASA regimens for reducing the risk of composite stroke, myocardial infarction, and CV death, as well as the individual outcomes (except myocardial infarction), and for reducing the risk of all-cause mortality and ischemic stroke. In the CAD-only population, rivaroxaban/ASA appeared to be superior to ASA alone and clopidogrel-containing regimens for reducing the risk of all-cause deaths, CV deaths, and ischemic stroke. There was generally either no statistically significant treatment difference or no data to compare between rivaroxaban/ASA and other regimens analyzed in the PAD-only or concomitant-CAD-and-PAD populations.
- Results from the manufacturer-provided NMA also suggested that rivaroxaban/ASA may increase the risk of bleeding events, especially major bleeding, as compared with ASA alone. Harms comparisons versus other analyzed treatment regimens were generally either not significant or there was no data. Therefore, the comparative harms between rivaroxaban/ASA and other treatments administered with ASA are uncertain.

Cost and Cost-Effectiveness

Rivaroxaban 2.5 mg is administered twice daily. It is priced at \$1.44 per tablet.

The manufacturer did not provide information to address the CAD alone subgroup, as such no comment regarding the cost-effectiveness of rivaroxaban in this population can be made. The manufacturer's request for reimbursement was in a more limited patient population than the population of the COMPASS trial, specifically patients with concomitant CAD and PAD, which comprised 17.9% of the total patient population in the COMPASS trial.

The manufacturer submitted a cost-utility analysis over a 20-year time horizon (the manufacturer suggested that this is essentially a lifetime horizon given that the average age of patients within the COMPASS trial was 68 years). The analysis was conducted from the perspective of a Canadian public health care payer. The analysis was conducted for both the COMAPSS trial population and the subgroup of patients with concomitant CAD and PAD (the requested reimbursement population). The base analysis compared rivaroxaban/ASA with ASA alone.

For a patient population with concomitant CAD and PAD, rivaroxaban would be more costly and would lead to greater QALYs, resulting in an ICER in the base-case probabilistic analysis of \$17,764 per QALY. The probability that rivaroxaban/ASA was cost-effective at a threshold of \$50,000 per QALY was 98%. For a patient population with the characteristics of the total COMPASS trial population (CAD and/or PAD), rivaroxaban would be more costly and would lead to greater QALYs, resulting in an ICER in the base-case probabilistic analysis of \$31,758.

CADTH identified the following key limitations with the manufacturer's submitted economic model:

- The analysis is provided for the COMPASS trial population as a whole and for patients with concomitant PAD and CAD as a subgroup. A preferred approach would be to conduct stratified analysis (CAD alone, PAD alone, and CAD with PAD). The failure to provide analyses for CAD alone limits the assessment for the full indication.
- The economic evaluation is reliant on data from the COMAPSS trial. This impacts generalizability in terms of the appropriateness of extrapolation to a wider patient population; i.e., beyond those with long-term CAD. In addition, given the higher rates of major and minor bleeds, the exclusion of patients with high bleeding risks, the clinical effectiveness (benefit-to-harm ratio), and cost-effectiveness of rivaroxaban in this patient population is unknown. In addition, patients with an indication for dual antiplatelet therapy were also excluded from the study. Furthermore, the COMPASS trial was stopped early at approximately two years due to benefit of rivaroxaban/ASA over ASA for the primary outcome. As such, the long-term efficacy and safety of rivaroxaban/ASA is not well-established in this chronic disease.

CDEC Members

Dr. James Silvius (Chair), Dr. Ahmed Bayoumi, Dr. Bruce Carleton, Dr. Alun Edwards, Mr. Bob Gagne, Dr. Ran Goldman, Dr. Allan Grill, Dr. Peter Jamieson, Ms. Heather Neville, Mr. Allen Lefebvre, Dr. Rakesh Patel, Dr. Emily Reynen, Dr. Yvonne Shevchuk, and Dr. Adil Virani.

October 17, 2018 Meeting

Regrets

One CDEC member did not attend.

Conflicts of Interest

None

Drug Coverage Decision for B.C. PharmaCare

About PharmaCare

B.C. PharmaCare is a government-funded drug plan. It helps British Columbians with the cost of eligible prescription drugs and specific medical supplies.

Details of Drug Reviewed

Drug	Rivaroxaban
Brand Name	Xarelto®
Dosage Form(s)	15 and 20 mg tablets
Manufacturer	Bayer Canada Inc.
Submission Review	New Indication
Use Reviewed	For the treatment of pulmonary embolism (PE)
Common Drug Review (CDR)	Yes. http://www.cadth.ca/media/cdr/complete/SR0327_complete_Xarelto%20PEm_Mar-28-14.pdf
Drug Benefit Council (DBC)	DBC met on May 5, 2014, and considered various inputs including: final review completed by the Common Drug Review (CDR) on March 26, 2014, which included clinical and pharmacoeconomic evidence review material and the recommendation from the Canadian Drug Expert Committee (CDEC). The DBC also considered Patient Input Questionnaire responses from 1 patient and 1 caregiver, Clinical Practice Reviews from 2 specialists, Manufacturer comments, as well as a Budget Impact Assessment.
Drug Coverage Decision	Limited coverage benefit. http://www.health.gov.bc.ca/pharmacare/sa/saindex.html#list
Date	September 30, 2014
Reason(s)	Drug coverage decision is generally consistent with the DBC recommendation. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rivaroxaban was similar to enoxaparin plus vitamin K antagonist (VKA), with respect to efficacy based on the incidence of recurrent DVT, non-fatal PE, or fatal PE. • Rivaroxaban was similar to enoxaparin plus VKA with respect to safety based on clinically relevant bleeding events but had less major bleeding events. • Rivaroxaban was cost saving compared with enoxaparin plus VKA when treating patients for up to six months and more costly for longer treatment duration.
Other Information	None

The Drug Review Process in B.C.

A manufacturer submits a request to the Ministry of Health (Ministry).

An independent group called the [Drug Benefit Council](#) gives advice to the Ministry. The Council looks at:

- advice from a national group called the Common Drug Review
- whether the drug is safe and effective
- whether it is a good value for the people of B.C. and the drugs cost
- the ethics of covering or not covering the drug
- input from physicians, patients, caregivers, patient groups and drug submission sponsors

The Ministry makes a decision based on many factors, including:

- advice from the Council
- drugs used to treat similar medical conditions that B.C. PharmaCare already covers
- the overall cost of covering the drug

For more information about the B.C. Drug Review Process, please visit
<http://www.health.gov.bc.ca/pharmacare/outgoing/drugrevproc2.pdf>.

To find out more about the Pharmaceutical Services Division and the PharmaCare program, visit
www.health.gov.bc.ca/pharmacare.

This document is intended for information only.

It does not take the place of advice from a physician or other qualified health care provider.