

104060 - Stacey, Ainslie Florence	146083 - Costa, Mark Xavier
105325 - Grexton, Gerry William	146112 - Tillman, Japheth Ronnie
105397 - Hooper, Catherine	146113 - Coleman, Allan
106717 - Saunders, Buffy Simone	146121 - Baybay, Thaddeus Nikko Alber
108002 - Mclean, Lindsay Suzanne	146122 - Coplick, Colleen Moriah
108433 - King, Stephanie	146127 - Mlynarski, Kevin
108475 - Johnson, Rachael Nichole	146149 - Neufeld, Emma-Lee Gladys
135199 - Shaw, Emma Dorothy	146162 - Haeghaert, Peter Lowe
136652 - Miller, Kyle James	146173 - Neddo, Katelynn Arron
137061 - Brewer, Christopher Leigh	146249 - Janssen, Stephanie Michelle
137217 - Heathcliff, Alicia Florence M	146253 - Dhundal, Gary
138306 - Dupuis, Micheal Keith	146257 - Campbell, Benjamin Alexander
138678 - Formosa, Trevor Joseph	146324 - Lodders, Jordan
139258 - Dixon, Raymond Charles	146330 - Anhorn, Daniel Everett Pahl
139314 - Ouellette, Patricia Karen	146430 - Paranich, Emily Elisabeth
139723 - Umbach, Sandra Dee	146469 - Kirk, Peggy
140037 - Jahn, Matthias	146479 - Helboe, Jenifer
140047 - Key, Jessica Reid	146486 - Schellenberg, Amy Lynn
144872 - Cruttenden, Russell John	146639 - Wingert, Tiffani
144891 - Roloson, Dylan Robert	146656 - Agustin, Jay Jason
146030 - O'brien, Patrick John	

(Collectively, the “Respondents”)

Date and Place of Hearing: By written submissions.

On November 1, 2022, the Emergency Medical Assistants Licensing Board (the “Board”) conducted written hearings, pursuant to section 7(1) of the *Emergency Health Services Act*, RSBC 1996, c. 182 (the “Act”), as to whether or not the Respondents breached a term or condition of their emergency medical assistants (“EMA”) licences by failing to satisfy the continuing competence requirements, as set out in sections 17, 20, 21, and 22 of the Emergency Medical Assistants Regulation, BC Reg 210/2010 (the “Regulation”). The hearings were conducted in accordance with the Board’s Rules for Complaints, Investigations and Discipline Hearings. For each of the above listed Respondents, the Board concluded they failed to comply with their statutory obligations imposed by sections 17, 20, 21, and 22 of the Regulation, which constituted a breach of a term or condition of their licence: Regulation, s. 11.

With respect to the appropriate penalty, the Branch recommended that the Respondents’ licences be revoked, given that they failed to comply with the regulatory requirements, did not respond to the Branch’s correspondence regarding the shortfall, did not participate in the hearing process, and their licences were suspended in May 21, 2020 following a previous failure to comply with the continuing competency regulatory requirements. The Board agreed with the Branch’s recommendation and revoked the Respondents’ licences, pursuant to section 7(3)(c) of the Act. As a result, the respondents are no longer permitted to practice as an EMA in British Columbia.