

106032 - Painchaud, Vincent G J
134402 - Mahaffey, James Tyler
135858 - Truscott, Courtney Elizabeth
136902 - Legare, Erin Suzanne
137783 - Carrier, Terrance
138030 - Barby, Taihler Louise
142226 - Thompson, Toni-Lee
143146 - Clifford, Tracy Lynn
143798 - Hawes, Peter Andrew
143964 - Smithard, Kathleen Teren Jolee
147026 - Mueller, Karin
147404 - Gallagher, Charmaine
148981 - Leslie, Steven Edward

149059 - Maciver, David Michael
149946 - Marshall, Jillian
150443 - Trimble, Corinna Angelina
108878 - Eglin, Robert Lee
130729 - Hall, Robert Jason
144339 - Sanjivi, Selwyn Ganesh
145137 - Powell, Liam George
146146 - Cook, Stephen Andrew
147118 - Curtis, Dawn
148354 - Cooper, Lynette
135262 - Bradley, David Adam
105102 - Byrne, James

(Collectively, the “Respondents”)

Date and Place of Hearing: By written submissions.

On November 1, 2022, the Emergency Medical Assistants Licensing Board (the “Board”) conducted written hearings, pursuant to section 7(1) of the *Emergency Health Services Act*, RSBC 1996, c. 182 (the “Act”), as to whether or not the Respondents breached a term or condition of their emergency medical assistants (“EMA”) licences by failing to satisfy the continuing competence requirements, as set out in sections 17, 20, 21, and 22 of the Emergency Medical Assistants Regulation, BC Reg 210/2010 (the “Regulation”). The hearings were conducted in accordance with the Board’s Rules for Complaints, Investigations and Discipline Hearings. For each of the above listed Respondents, the Board concluded they failed to comply with their statutory obligations imposed by sections 17, 20, 21, and 22 of the Regulation, which constituted a breach of a term or condition of their licence: Regulation, s. 11. The Board then sought submissions as to the appropriate penalty to impose in these circumstances. The Board ultimately imposed the following conditions on each of the Respondents’ licences, pursuant to section 7(3)(a) of the Act:

- Successfully complete the online jurisprudence examination within three attempts.
- Successfully complete the EMALB online written examination for your licence category within three attempts.
- Successfully complete the practical examination for your licence category within three attempts.

The Respondents were required to satisfy each of these conditions within 120 days of being notified of the Board’s decision.

106432 – Keidar, Yaron David	150029 - Bennett, Jeremy M
106771 - Madsen, Shirley Ellen	105041 - Schurr, Roger Peter Wilfred
106772 - Madsen, Hans Herman	134821 - Tran, Tinh Trung
107308 - Jowle, Emily Sarah Cybele	135573 - Dautel, Denee Barbara
136067 - Colledge, Dale Gordon	146974 - Murrell, Tate
138114 - Craig, Michelle Dawn	134972 - Mcintosh, Dylan James
140288 - Chapman, Christie Charlotte	142228 - Weber, Benjamin Rolfe
140935 - Crottey, David	130632 - Wigger, Charles Bernard
141421 - Gano, Wesley Myles	108036 - De Mooy, Marika Eleanor
144480 - Sawchuk Tyson Douglas	147505 - Zinin, Timofey
149926 - Mckenzie Kellie, Reanne	104143 - Giesbrecht, Annette Lynn
133393 - Dodds, Martin Taras	105425 - Collins, Alastair Neil
137221 - MacNeil, Gregg Alexander	105290 –Herman, Dorian
147117 - Ryder, Jaden Ruth Anne	

(Collectively, the “Respondents”)

Date and Place of Hearing: By written submissions.

On November 1, 2022, the Emergency Medical Assistants Licensing Board (the “Board”) conducted a written hearing, pursuant to section 7(1) of the *Emergency Health Services Act*, RSBC 1996, c. 182 (the “Act”), as to whether or not the Respondents breached a term or condition of their emergency medical assistants (“EMA”) licences by failing to satisfy the continuing competence requirements, as set out in sections 17, 20, 21, and 22 of the Emergency Medical Assistants Regulation, BC Reg 210/2010 (the “Regulation”). The hearings were conducted in accordance with the Board’s Rules for Complaints, Investigations and Discipline Hearings. For each of the above listed Respondents, the Board concluded they failed to comply with their statutory obligations imposed by sections 17, 20, 21, and 22 of the Regulation, which constituted a breach of a term or condition of their licence: Regulation, s. 11. The Board then sought submissions as to the appropriate penalty to impose in these circumstances. The Board ultimately imposed the following conditions on each of the Respondents’ licences, pursuant to section 7(3)(a) of the Act:

- Successfully complete the online jurisprudence examination within three attempts.
- Successfully complete the EMALB online written examination for your licence category within three attempts.

The Respondents were required to satisfy each of these conditions within 120 days of being notified of the Board’s decision.

108243 – Friesen, Keri Jean
108283 – Brooks, Anthony Edward
142311 – Bahr, Kenneth Patrick
144933 – Dio, Jesus Grajo

146842 – Randell, Alexander Harry
149633 – Colborne, Joseph David
150039 – Henderson, Shelby Tia Kathleen
140286 – Anderson, Brandon Jay

(Collectively, the “Respondents”)

Date and Place of Hearing: By written submissions.

On November 1, 2022, the Emergency Medical Assistants Licensing Board (the “Board”) conducted a written hearing, pursuant to section 7(1) of the *Emergency Health Services Act*, RSBC 1996, c. 182 (the “Act”), as to whether or not the Respondents breached a term or condition of their emergency medical assistants (“EMA”) licences by failing to satisfy the continuing competence requirements, as set out in sections 17, 20, 21, and 22 of the Emergency Medical Assistants Regulation, BC Reg 210/2010 (the “Regulation”). The hearings were conducted in accordance with the Board’s Rules for Complaints, Investigations and Discipline Hearings. For each of the above listed Respondents, the Board concluded they failed to comply with their statutory obligations imposed by sections 17, 20, 21, and 22 of the Regulation, which constituted a breach of a term or condition of their licence: Regulation, s. 11. The Board then sought submissions as to the appropriate penalty to impose in these circumstances. The Board ultimately imposed the following conditions on each of the Respondents’ licences, pursuant to section 7(3)(a) of the Act:

- Successfully complete the online jurisprudence examination within three attempts.
- Successfully complete the practical examination for your licence category within three attempts.

The Respondents were required to satisfy each of these conditions within 120 days of being notified of the Board’s decision.

141186 – Macdonald, John Garth
105502 – Norgaard, Ronald Leif Haakon
105668 – Seyffert, Christopher
107200 – Brown, Amy Siobhan
133233 – Henderson, Derek Lars
135583 – Brosh, Alex
136058 – Holoboff, Alaina
137002 – Calliou, Gwen Miriam
140797 – Karaca, Tahir
144220 – Pelton, Austyn Lea
144839 – Rondpre, Stephani Alannah

145431 – Dalke, Raymond Cory
147107 – Forbes, Kimberly Dawn-Marie
149824 – Barton, Lisa Ann
150231 – Balzer, Quinten
136805 – Sim Justin, Alexander
141503 – Mclaughlin, Matthew Gwynne
148215 – Maddalozzo, Dante
149009 – Kokoski, Brandon Michael
135990 – Coombs, Christina Allison
148745 – Jalalon, Rainier Maslog
136805 – Sim, Justin Alexander

(Collectively, the “Respondents”)

Date and Place of Hearing: By written submissions.

On November 1, 2022, the Emergency Medical Assistants Licensing Board (the “Board”) conducted a written hearing, pursuant to section 7(1) of the *Emergency Health Services Act*, RSBC 1996, c. 182 (the “Act”), as to whether or not the Respondents breached a term or condition of their emergency medical assistants (“EMA”) licences by failing to satisfy the continuing competence requirements, as set out in sections 17, 20, 21, and 22 of the Emergency Medical Assistants Regulation, BC Reg 210/2010 (the “Regulation”). The hearings were conducted in accordance with the Board’s Rules for Complaints, Investigations and Discipline Hearings. For each of the above listed Respondents, the Board concluded they failed to comply with their statutory obligations imposed by sections 17, 20, 21, and 22 of the Regulation, which constituted a breach of a term or condition of their licence: Regulation, s. 11. The Board then sought submissions as to the appropriate penalty to impose in these circumstances. The Board ultimately imposed the following conditions on each of the Respondents’ licences, pursuant to section 7(3)(a) of the Act:

- Successfully complete the online jurisprudence examination within three attempts.

The Respondents were required to satisfy each of these conditions within 120 days of being notified of the Board’s decision.