

HERITAGE CONSERVATION ACT

### APPLICATION FOR HERITAGE INVESTIGATION PERMIT

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| The undersigned hereby applies for a permit, under **Section 12.2** of the *Heritage Conservation Act*, to carry out a Heritage **Investigation** in accordance with the information requested on the reverse of this form.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Name:  | Company:  |
| Address:  |
| Phone:  | Fax:  | Email:  |

 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Permit deliverables due:  |  |
| Permit expiry date:  |  |

Financial responsibility for the assessment is assumed by (if applicable):

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Name:  | Company:  |
| Address:  |
| Phone:  | Fax:  | Email:  |

PERMIT APPLICANT'S CERTIFICATION

I certify that I am familiar with the provisions of the *Heritage Conservation Act* of British Columbia, and that I will abide by the terms and conditions listed herein, or any other conditions the Minister may impose, as empowered by said *Act*.

Permit Applicant’s Certification

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Date: | Permit Applicant Name: | Signature: |

GENERAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF PERMIT

1. Permits shall be valid for the term stipulated on the front of the permit unless otherwise suspended or cancelled. Extensions to the term of the permit, or other amendments, will be considered upon submission of an application to the Archaeology Branch at least 45 days prior to the expiry date of the permit.
2. The permit-holder shall provide the Archaeology Branch with one (1) bound copy and one (1) electronic copy in PDF format of a written report, in accordance with the standards required by the Minister, outlining the work carried out under the terms of the permit.
3. The title page of all reports must indicate the name(s) of the copyright owner(s) and, where agreed to, a Grant of License statement completed and signed by the copyright owner(s).
4. A person appointed by the branch may at any time inspect any aspect of a project conducted under the terms of this permit. To further their inspection, the appointee may request field data, or conduct excavations within the study area. Notwithstanding the expiration or earlier termination of the term of the permit this provision will remain and continue in full force and effect.
5. Upon completion of any inspection or investigation involving excavations, the permit holder shall make reasonable efforts to ensure all sites are restored as nearly as possible to their former condition.
6. The permit holder shall arrange for a secure repository to curate any materials recovered under authority of the permit.
7. Heritage objects and associated materials recovered under authority of the permit may not be sold or exchanged for financial gain. Any other transfer of heritage objects, materials and records, or changes to the conditions identified under the "Disposition of materials collected..." section of the permit, may only be carried out with prior consent of the Minister.
8. The permit holder shall conform to all requirements that may be imposed by the institution or organization named in the "Disposition of materials collected..." section of the permit.
9. Notwithstanding expiration or earlier termination of the term of the permit, provisions with respect to the "Disposition of materials collected ..." section shall remain and continue in full force and effect.
10. The permit holder shall utilize any site recording forms, formats or systems required by the Minister.
11. A permit issued under section 12(2) does not authorize entry onto land or into a building without the permission of the owner or occupier.
12. The permit holder shall submit spatial information (as a shapefile in accordance with the standards required by the Minister) of all study areas that were the subject of in-field inspections.
13. Any other conditions that may be specified in the permit.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

(Provide full information under appropriate headings)

1. FIELD PERSONNEL

The Archaeology Branch (the ‘Branch’) may approve the addition of Field Directors without an amendment to the permit. Documentation of personnel roles will comply with [Bulletin 17](https://www2.gov.bc.ca/gov/content?id=876D8C2E66CB46CF86C1883771453EFE) (*e.g.*, roles and dates assumed).

Table 1. Field Directors

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  Culture Area(s) | Qualified Field Directors |
| **[ ]**  Northwest Coast |  |
| **[ ]**  Interior Plateau |  |
| **[ ]**  Sub-Arctic / Boreal Forest |  |

1. PROPOSED PROJECT

This project is for:

[ ]  Research [ ]  Resource Management

Maps that comply with Provincial [standards](https://www2.gov.bc.ca/gov/content?id=ECD2694C00694E2397DC0996B0E5B549) are attached to this application. Attached maps include appropriate information (*e.g.,* location of previous field studies, previously recorded archaeological sites, and/or modeled archaeological potential) as available.

* 1. Location

Select land ownership type(s) and describe below.

Table 2. Location of Permit Area

| Land Ownership | Description |
| --- | --- |
| [ ]  Private Property | Civic address including municipality and postal code; complete legal description including land title district; and Property Identification Number(s) [PID(s)]: |
| [ ]  Crown Land | Tenure Type and Description; if surveyed land, include land title district and/or Parcel Identifier Number(s) [PIN] or Geographical information:  |
| [ ]  Multiple Locations  | As appropriate: |
| [ ]  Other |  |

[ ]  **Additional comments regarding permit area:**

* 1. Proposed Study Area

Shapefiles provided with application in APTS.

[ ]  Single location:

[ ]  Multiple locations where all components are identified in this application

[ ]  Multiple locations not yet identified (subject to [Bulletin 25](https://www2.gov.bc.ca/gov/content?id=876D8C2E66CB46CF86C1883771453EFE)[[1]](#footnote-1))

Sector: Choose an item.

**Additional comments**:

1. RELATED STUDIES

The following studies are known to exist within or in close proximity to the proposed permit area:

[ ]  An Archaeological Overview Assessment (AOA)[[2]](#footnote-2):

[ ]  An archaeological predictive model. **Details:**

[ ]  A desk-based assessment. **Details:**

[ ]  Field studies. **Details:**

**Additional** **comments:**

Table 3. Previous Field Studies[[3]](#footnote-3)

| Type of Assessment | Direct Overlap with Study Area? (Y/N) | Distance and Direction from Proposed Study Area[[4]](#footnote-4) | Year of Assessment | HCA Permit # if applicable |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

**Additional comments:**

Table 4. Previously Recorded Sites Relevant to the Proposed Study[[5]](#footnote-5)

| Proposed Development Component | Borden Number | Direct Overlap with Study Area? (Y/N) | Distance and Direction from Proposed Study Area2 | Site Type | Permit # of Previous Visits [[6]](#footnote-6) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

**Additional comments:**

1. GOALS AND OBJECTIVES
	1. Goals and Objectives

**Specify proposed research goals**:

* 1. Significance of Proposed Project

**Describe significance of study**:

***4.3 Expected Outcomes:***

**Describe anticipated results:**

1. METHODS

**What areas will be assessed?**

* 1. Archaeological Potential

Is archaeological potential a consideration?

**[ ]  No** (provide rationale):

**[ ]  Yes**

**[ ]  Standard methods will be followed:**

Areas with potential for archaeological resources will be considered when some of these criteria are encountered. Reports will provide rationale behind in-field decisions:

* Geological, terrain, or microtopographical features
* Proximity to potable water
* Slope
* Aspect
* Elevation
* Forest cover
* Soil drainage
* Proximity to sheltered areas (from wind or rain);
* Proximity to areas of potential cultural significance
* Timber with potential for CMT sites
* Shore lines
* Proximity to cultural resources (*e.g.,* trails; berry patches; fishing sites; travel corridors)
* Any of the above conditions that existed in the past that are not present today (*e.g.,* paleoshores; landforms obscured by agricultural practices)
* Previously recorded archaeological or heritage sites
* Areas identified by interested parties
* Significant disturbance:

OR

[ ]  Other (provide detail):

* 1. Field Recording

[ ]  Standard methods will be followed:

Notes will be taken in the field; a camera will be used to document in-field observations. Survey coverage and points of interest will be recorded (*e.g.,* with a GPS or total station). When sites are identified, they will be mapped per Branch [standards](https://www2.gov.bc.ca/gov/content?id=ECD2694C00694E2397DC0996B0E5B549). All observations will be taken as notes in the field.

Notes will document in-field decisions regarding survey coverage, subsurface testing methods and observations, and describe sites.

**OR**

[ ]  Other methods will be followed (describe):

Additional comments:

* 1. Survey Coverage

Does this assessment include survey coverage?

**[ ]  No** (provide rationale) and proceed to Section 5.4:

[ ]  **Yes** (detail methods):

* 1. Subsurface Testing

Will subsurface shovel testing identify sites or define site boundaries?

[ ]  No (provide rationale) and proceed to Section 5.5:

[ ]  Yes (detail methods):

* 1. Hand Augers and Soil Probes

Will hand augers or soil probes be used?

[ ]  **No** (provide rationale) and proceed to Section 5.6:

[ ]  **Yes** (detail methods):

* 1. Wet Sites

Are wet sites anticipated?

[ ]  **No** (provide rationale)and proceed to Section 5.7**:**

[ ]  **Yes** (detail methods):

* 1. Machine-Assisted Investigation

Would you like to include provision for mechanical excavation (*i.e.,* backhoe)?

**[ ]  No** (proceed to next section)

**[ ]  Yes** (detail methods):

* 1. Winter Assessments

Will winter assessment[[7]](#footnote-7) be employed?

**[ ]  No** (proceed to next section)

**[ ]  Yes** (detail methods):

* 1. Site Recording

Sites will be mapped using measuring tape, compass, GPS, or similar. Sites will be photographed. When identified, diagnostic artifacts will be photographed in the field.

* + 1. Boundary Definition

[ ]  Standard methods will be followed regarding the definition of site boundaries:

Site boundaries may be defined by subsurface testing, the extent of the landform, or administrative boundaries (*e.g.,* development boundary), per the [*Defining Archaeological Site Boundaries*](https://www2.gov.bc.ca/gov/content?id=ECD2694C00694E2397DC0996B0E5B549) document.

When site boundaries are defined by subsurface testing, tests may be spaced 1-5 m apart on a grid, as appropriate. Additional testing may occur at the Field Director’s discretion. Testing will continue until three negative tests are reached in each direction (*e.g.,* cardinal or ordinal), unless the site boundary is defined by the landform and/or administrative boundary.

For larger sites, “back testing” may be applied. The specific methods will be described in the report.

Where the site is comprised of one positive test, a minimum of four additional subsurface tests will be placed 1 m around the test.

**OR**

[ ]  Other methods will be followed (describe):

Additional comments:

* + 1. ***Collection of Archaeological Material***

All recovered archaeological materials including human remains, faunal remains, other fragile artifacts (*e.g.,* wet site materials), will be handled with sufficient care during excavation, recording, transport, cleaning, analysis and storage to ensure no additional damage or negative impacts occur to the collections during these processes per [Bulletin 26](https://www2.gov.bc.ca/gov/content?id=876D8C2E66CB46CF86C1883771453EFE).

[ ]  **Standard methods will be followed:**

All archaeological materials from an archaeological context (*e.g.,* artifacts, fauna) will be collected. Samples (*e.g.,* radiocarbon, ethnobotanical) may be collected, as appropriate.

**Exceptions**:

* Large lithic scatters (>100 artifacts) may be sampled in consultation with the Branch; diagnostic artifacts will be collected, as well as artifacts at risk from proposed development or unauthorized collection.
* In midden contexts, all vertebrates and a representative sample of invertebrates will be collected;
* Fire Altered Rock (FAR) will be counted and weighed to the nearest 100 g in the field, but otherwise discarded unless the research design calls for more specialized analysis

**OR**

[ ]  **Other methods will be followed** (describe)**:**

**Additional comments:**

* + 1. ***Flagging***

Will boundaries be flagged?

**[ ]  No** (provide rationale)

**[ ]  Yes** (describe methods below)

**Additional comments:**

* 1. CMT Survey

Are CMTs anticipated?

**[ ]  No** (provide rationale)

**[ ]  Yes** (see below)

Following [Bulletin 27](https://www.for.gov.bc.ca/ftp/archaeology/external/%21publish/Web/Bulletins/Bulletin_27_CMT_Guidelines_v1_22Mar17.pdf), CMT sites will be recorded in accordance with Branch standards (*e.g.,* [*Defining Archaeological Site Boundaries*](https://www2.gov.bc.ca/gov/content?id=ECD2694C00694E2397DC0996B0E5B549); [CMT Handbook](https://www2.gov.bc.ca/gov/content?id=ECD2694C00694E2397DC0996B0E5B549) 2001). Rationale and details regarding a sampling strategy (*i.e.,* following [Muir and Moon](https://www2.gov.bc.ca/gov/content?id=ECD2694C00694E2397DC0996B0E5B549) 2000) will be discussed in reports.

The type and location of CMTs presumed to post-date AD 1846 will be recorded.

Additional comments:

5.11. Evaluative Units

* + 1. Systematic Data Recovery

Will methods include systematic data recovery?

**[ ]  No**

**[ ]  Yes**

[ ]  Standard methods will be followed:

Evaluative units may be excavated in stratigraphic layers or arbitrary levels (5‑10 cm). Features will be excavated by layer. Within thicker layers, 5-10 cm arbitrary levels will be excavated as appropriate.

3D provenience for features and artifacts found *in situ* will be recorded and cultural materials collected. An illustrative representation to scale for two adjacent walls and floor plans will be prepared and labelled as appropriate. Photographs will be taken at the completion of each layer. Stratigraphy will be recorded.

Where wet screening is appropriate (*e.g.,* waterlogged sediments) all displaced sediments will be screened through an appropriate sized screen (*e.g.,* 1/4” or smaller). Sediments will be placed in the screen and washed through using a hand-held hose with a variable control nozzle. Contextual information will be maintained to ensure that levels, layers, and features are separated within evaluative units or shovel tests and cultural material recovered in the screens will be bagged by level, layer, and unit/test.

**OR**

[ ]  Other methods will be followed (describe):

**Additional comments:**

* + 1. Sampling

[ ]  Standard methods will be followed:

If intact deposits are encountered, appropriate samples (*e.g.,* radiocarbon, column, bulk samples) will be taken. Description of methods and analysis will be provided in the report.

The provenience of all samples will be recorded. Samples will be labeled appropriately.

When column samples are taken, the volume from each stratigraphic component should be 1 L unless otherwise specified in the report. The samples will be dried and screened through nested geologic screens. The contents of the screens will be sorted and weighed to the nearest 0.01 g.

Samples will be processed by a qualified individual before the report deliverables are due. Samples will be analysed in the lab using methods appropriate for geological, palaeobotanical, zooarchaeological, or micro debitage analysis, which will be described in the final report.

When samples are not processed, they will be stabilized for long-term storage, and their lack of analysis rationalised in the associated report(s).

**OR**

[ ]  Other methods will be followed (describe):

**Additional comments:**

* 1. Human Remains and Burial Features

Following the Branch’s Found Human Remains policy, **in the event partial or complete human remains or burial features (*e.g.,* cairns and mounds) are identified in the field, nearby ground disturbance will cease.** Human remains and/or burial features will be protected in place. First Nations, the Branch, and other concerned parties will be immediately informed.

Where human remains of suspected forensic interest are encountered, local law enforcement and/or the Coroners Service will be notified.

[ ]  Standard methods will be followed:

Where suspected burial features are identified, the Field Director will record the rationale describing the basis for their identification. In addition to standard archaeological site recording, human remains and burial features will be recorded to include, at minimum: horizontal and vertical extent, orientation and position, inventory of skeletal remains and grave inclusions, and integrity.

Where possible, basic osteological data will be recorded (*e.g.,* minimum number of individuals, sex, age, stature, and any evidence of trauma, disease and cultural modification).

Fragmentary or isolated human remains identified during post-field analysis will be kept in secure storage pending final disposition.

In consultation with all concerned parties, efforts will be made to rebury the remains in an appropriate area within the archaeological site boundary, in an area unlikely to be disturbed in the future. If the reburial location is within or near the original archaeological site, it will be mapped and recorded on the site form under that Borden number.

If the proposed reburial location is not in the vicinity of the original archaeological site, consultation will occur with the Branch to discuss what records are required.

In its description of the site, the report will cite the appropriate classification scheme(s) (*e.g.,* Mathews 2006).

**OR**

[ ]  Other methods will be followed (describe):

**Additional comments:**

* 1. Rock Art

If rock art is identified, it will be fully recorded (*e.g.,* photographs, sketches).

**Additional comments:**

* 1. Significance Evaluation Scheme

Site significance will be evaluated following Section 3.5.2.2 and Appendix D of the [AIA Guidelines](https://www2.gov.bc.ca/gov/content?id=ECD2694C00694E2397DC0996B0E5B549).

Additional Comments:

* 1. Assessing Impacts

Assessing impacts to archaeological sites will follow Section 3.5.2.3 and Appendix F of the Guidelines.

1. METHODS AND TECHNIQUES FOR DATA ANALYSIS

Analysts must be qualified and named in the interim and final report.

6.1 Artifact and Faunal Analysis

[ ]  Standard methods will be followed:

Artifacts will be sent out of the Province only with permission of the Branch. The request to send artifacts out of the Province will include an artifact catalogue, the reason for export, the destination and the length of time they will be out of Province.

**All artifact assemblages will be analysed with the intent of defining site function, activity areas and cultural chronology** if possible (see Clark 2010; Mitchell 1971; and others).

Lithic analysis will use an established system (e.g*.,* Andrefsky 1998; Magne 1983). Interpretation of the assemblage will provide insight into technologies represented at the site (e.g., core reduction, pressure flaking, heat treatment, etc).

Tools will be measured and illustrated or photographed, with technological attributes noted.

Raw material sourcing analysis will be done where appropriate.

Faunal remains will be analysed to the most specific taxa possible by a trained individual with access to an appropriate comparative collection or reference materials. Faunal elements will be identified by taxa, element, and side if possible, and any relevant cultural modifications or natural taphonomic processes noted, **with the aim of answering questions on site formation processes, subsistence strategies, environment, season of occupation, *etc.***

**OR**

[ ]  Other methods will be followed (describe):

**Additional comments:**

* 1. Sample Analysis

[ ]  Standard methods will be followed:

Samples may be sent out of the Province for analysis.

Where wet sites are encountered, monolith and/or specialist samples may be taken and processed.

Collected CMT samples (*i.e.,* stem rounds) will be analysed. Per [Bulletin 27](https://www2.gov.bc.ca/gov/content?id=876D8C2E66CB46CF86C1883771453EFE), magnification will be used to improve the confidence of the results of tree‑ring counting. Comparative collections will be used as appropriate for dendrochronology analysis.

The lab results from radiocarbon analysis will be appended to the report and site form(s). The report will present calibrated and conventional/standard dates.

Unprocessed samples will be stabilized for long-term storage.

**OR**

[ ]  Other methods will be followed (describe):

**Additional comments:**

1. DISPOSITION OF CULTURAL MATERIALS

The permit holder must be able to produce written documentation from the repository(s) repositories named in this application prior to permit issuance, confirming acceptance of materials collected under this assessment.

Table 5. Branch Approved Repository

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Contact Name:    | Repository:  |
| Address:  |
| Phone:  | Fax:  | Email:  |

**[ ]** More than one repository will be used for this study.

Please provide rationale and contact information:

All artifacts recovered under permit must be labeled with a provincial catalogue number, obtained from the Royal BC Museum (RBCM). The final catalogue number used must be provided to the RBCM when cataloguing is complete.

Sediments believed to contain cultural materials will remain within the site boundary[[8]](#footnote-8) and a location specified in the site form and report. Samples may be collected for off-site analysis.

CMT samples will be analysed and retained in-office for one year after the expiration of the permit and discarded.

Once the project is complete, all cultural materials and supporting documentation must be transferred to the designated repository prior to the permit expiry date, per their standards for packing and transport. Materials to accompany the archaeological collection include: submission letter with box inventory, artifacts and digital catalogue, field notes (original and digital copies, including maps and sketches), photos and photo log (copies of prints, if any, and digital), and final permit report, with specialised analysis appended (hardcopy and digital copy).

All recovered archaeological materials including human remains, faunal remains, other fragile artifacts (*e.g.,* wet site materials), will be handled with sufficient care during excavation, recording, transport, cleaning, analysis and storage to ensure no additional damage or negative impacts occur to the collections during these processes per [Bulletin 26](https://www2.gov.bc.ca/gov/content?id=876D8C2E66CB46CF86C1883771453EFE).

**Additional Comments**:

Confirmation of acceptance by the repository must be provided to the Branch prior to the expiration of the reporting period.

1. REPORTING

Reports will comply with Branch standards (*e.g.,* [Bulletin 7](https://www2.gov.bc.ca/gov/content?id=876D8C2E66CB46CF86C1883771453EFE)). Multi-year permits will comply with [Bulletin 24](https://www2.gov.bc.ca/gov/content?id=876D8C2E66CB46CF86C1883771453EFE) (*i.e.,* annual reports, annual study area shapefiles) to summarize the study to date. Site forms will be submitted within 6 weeks of the completion of site investigations.

Permit reports shall contain detailed descriptions of every part of the study area, in terms of the criteria used to evaluate archaeological potential. This includes all field inspections, and any areas assessed solely on the basis of map and document review. Reports will provide a description of test locations in terms of size, stratigraphy, setting, and number of tests placed. The report will include an evaluation of research including a discussion of the level of confidence that can be placed in the results of the subsurface testing. All specialised analyses will be appended to the report. Upon request, First Nations will be provided with a copy of the report.

Maps will comply with Branch [standards](https://www.for.gov.bc.ca/ftp/archaeology/external/%21publish/Web/inventory_site/Mapping_and_Shapefile_Requirements_Dec_2015.pdf).

Site forms will comply with Branch requirements for site record submission.

The Branch may, at any time, request photos and notes or other data to supplement their review.

Interim reports may be submitted under this permit.

**Other details:**

1. APPLICANT

Applicant’s current resume must be on file with the Archaeology Branch prior to review of this application.

**[ ]** This is the applicant’s first permit.

**[ ]** The applicant has successfully completed the following permits (n≤3):

**[ ]** The applicant has held and successfully completed more than three permits.

1. NOTICES

NOTICE REGARDING COPYRIGHT

At the time of report production, the owner(s) of the report copyright will be asked to grant a non-exclusive license to the Province of British Columbia for the purpose of copying and distributing the report. The granting of this license will facilitate access to the archaeological data contained within the report and will therefore contribute to the protection of heritage resources throughout the Province. Copyright owners who refuse to grant a license to the Province, and anyone carrying out research on behalf of those copyright owners, will not be granted access to the Branch’s online library and will not be permitted to photocopy reports within the Branch office.

NOTICE REGARDING OTHER PERMITS

No permits or approvals, other than a *Heritage Conservation Act* permit, are required to carry out the inspections or investigations described in the permit application. However, licensees and property owners or developers may also require other approvals such as forestry cutting permits and municipal development permits.

1. REFERENCES

Andrefsky, W., Jr.

1998 *Lithics: Macroscopic approaches to analysis.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
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1998 British Columbia Archaeological Impact Assessment Guidelines. Electronic document, accessed x. https://www2.gov.bc.ca/gov/content?id=ECD2694C00694E2397DC0996B0E5B549

2001 *Culturally Modified Trees of British Columbia: A Handbook for the Identification and Recording of Culturally Modified Trees*. Electronic document, accessed x. https://www2.gov.bc.ca/gov/content?id=ECD2694C00694E2397DC0996B0E5B549

2015a Defining Archaeological Site Boundaries. Electronic document, accessed x. https://www2.gov.bc.ca/gov/content?id=ECD2694C00694E2397DC0996B0E5B549

2015b Archaeology Branch Mapping and Shapefile Requirements. Electronic document, accessed x. https://www2.gov.bc.ca/gov/content?id=ECD2694C00694E2397DC0996B0E5B549

2015c Information Bulletin Number 17: Field Director Qualifications. Electronic document, accessed x. <https://www.for.gov.bc.ca/archaeology/bulletins/bulletin17_field_director_qualifications.htm>

2016a1Information Bulletin Number 5: Winter Methods. Electronic document, accessed x. <https://www.for.gov.bc.ca/archaeology/bulletins/bulletin5_winter_methods_Dec_2016.htm>

2016b Information Bulletin Number 7: Standards for Submission of Permit Reports. Electronic document, accessed x. https://www2.gov.bc.ca/gov/content?id=876D8C2E66CB46CF86C1883771453EFE

2017a Information Bulletin Number 26: Treatment of Archaeological Materials. Electronic document, accessed x. [https://www2.gov.bc.ca/gov/content?id=876D8C2E66CB46CF86C1883771453EFE](https://www.for.gov.bc.ca/ftp/archaeology/external/%21publish/Web/Bulletins/Bulletin_26_v1_22Mar17.pdf)

2017b Information Bulletin Number 27: Cultural Modified Trees Guidelines. Electronic document, accessed x. [https://www2.gov.bc.ca/gov/content?id=876D8C2E66CB46CF86C1883771453EFE](https://www.for.gov.bc.ca/ftp/archaeology/external/%21publish/Web/Bulletins/Bulletin_27_CMT_Guidelines_v1_22Mar17.pdf)
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2010 Rewriting Marpole: The Path to Cultural Complexity in the Gulf of Georgia. Unpublished Ph.D. dissertation, Department of Anthropology, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON.
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CLIENT’S CERTIFICATION (IF APPLICABLE)

I certify that I have read and concur with the content of this permit application.

Client Certification

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Date: | Client Name: | Client Affiliation: | Client Signature: |

CONSENT TO THE USE OF PERSONAL INFORMATION

Permit applicants and their clients must consent to the use of personal information such as names, addresses, and telephone numbers that is included in permit applications, site inventory forms or permit reports. **However, consent is not required from representatives of corporate clients. Property owners must also consent to the use of this information if the application applies to private property.** This consent is necessary as the Archaeology Branch collects and distributes personal information that is subject to the Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act.

I consent to the use of personal information contained in this application, as well as the personal information contained in the resulting site inventory form and permit report, for contact and verification purposes. I understand this information will be retained in the provincial archaeological site database and permit report. I also understand this information may be disclosed to researchers, consulting archaeologists and other users of the database and permit report. Database users must identify themselves and the purpose of their information request, and are precluded from further distribution of the information they obtain. The permit report will be publicly available once it has been accepted as meeting permit terms and conditions.

Permit Applicant Consent to the Use of Personal Information

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Date: | Permit Applicant Name: | Signature: |

Client Consent to the Use of Personal Information

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Date: | Client Name: | Signature: |

1. Interested parties will be provided 30 days’ notice and invitation to comment prior to assessment [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. Provincial AOA standards and [guidelines](https://www2.gov.bc.ca/gov/content?id=ECD2694C00694E2397DC0996B0E5B549) [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
3. For Blanket-type permits, it may be unnecessary to list the number of previous assessments within the proposed permit area; where multiple assessments have been conducted, only list the studies relevant to this application. [↑](#footnote-ref-3)
4. Measured as shortest distance from the site to the study area [↑](#footnote-ref-4)
5. It is only necessary to list previously-recorded sites proximate to known developments at the time of application. [↑](#footnote-ref-5)
6. List the permit for each relevant visit [↑](#footnote-ref-6)
7. [Bulletin 5](https://www.for.gov.bc.ca/archaeology/bulletins/bulletin5_winter_methods_Dec_2016.htm) discusses winter methods: [↑](#footnote-ref-7)
8. Within the permitted area (*e.g*.*,* within the subject property) [↑](#footnote-ref-8)