

Ministry of Agriculture

An overview of Plant Health Laboratory and B.C. Forest Tree Seed Pathology Project

Vippen Joshi, Plant Diagnostic Pathologist Abbotsford Agriculture Centre Oct. 2018



Ministry of Agriculture

Vision: BC's agricultural and natural plant resources are protected from plant health threats.











Mission & Goals: Contribute to the British Columbian's environmental, social and economic goals and values by:

- Timely and accurate plant health diagnostics for BC's established and emerging plant health problems using latest tools
- Plant Pest Surveillance for potential and invasive pests throughout BC
- Support for industry led programs that address BC's priority plant health threats

Abbotsford Agriculture Centre





Ministry of Agriculture



History

- The Plant Diagnostic Lab was established in Cloverdale in 1967.
- The lab declined accepting home gardener samples in 1982.
- The lab started charging a fee-for-service in January 1999.

Plant Lab Sample Submission Form and Fee Schedule

Tant Health Laboratory (bbotstand Agriculture Centre (bbotstand, British Countins, V) Telephone (504) 556-3003, TI	3G 2N 3 01 Free 1-600-661-9903		Date re Sent vi SPECIME	sceived: la: M N ND.	wi ()	Courier O	Walk in
DROHER NUME	PHONE NO.	1	BUBWITTED BY		-	PHONE NO.	
ARIE NAME	FAX ND.	- ž	COMPANY NAME			PAXNO	
IDDRE 55			A DORE SS			×	
	POSTALCODE					POSTALECOR	
and a			EMAIL.				
SAUPLE COLLECTION SITE	SRIADSTE REPORT TO RESERT TO						
SAMPLE TYPE	Sol D Insect D D	oter 0					
PLANT	ARETY		PLANT AGE		COLLECT	DN DATE	
ERRICIDE SIGTHER CHENICAN STHE PROBLEN SPREADING WHEN DID SYN PTON S FIRST APPEAR OTHER CROP OR WEEDS SHOWING SYN PTON S	IS USED PRAMACE Good D Far D Poor D	BRESATE Overhee Dre Ober	a TYPE sd 0 II	PRODUCTI	Edge of Fow row Highway Low/wet Sumry a Shady a Vanetal Other DN SYSTEM TIONAL D C D	Send D area D area D rea D D D PRES FUT	D Invasive Alan Species Statements Sharmons D Statement Statement Second AFFECTED DUIS CROP
SAMPLE DIAG	IC PROCEDURE rel	ROUND TIM desidentification of well as cultural and	E AND FEE	genic tung, utions that	"Both plant samp different locations separate report in humber. If the problem is v hosts or a stelles)	As with different to a considered a to if he prepared for ridespread (corre- plants from the	mptoms collected home eparters dimitsion. A each sydmission on problem on meny
bachela, react, nematodis, ph are apparent and may be respon- Urgant – Up to 7 days" = Routine –7 to 10 days" = Casual – 10 to 20 days" = Standard Diagnostic Fee In	531.50 521.00 515.75 cludes the GST (5%)	Suggested is not ge	time trane wranteed]	"Golf course carry	det on the subm ples trons driveen	e groups can be proved riber: A clagnostic eston rol on indi d'uel areas geens require
 Backet, nematics, physics, physics periods, physics period and may be respondent and may be respondent on the physics of the physics. 	S21.00 S21.00 S15.75 chades the GST (5%)	Suggestro is not go	d time frame arranteed source.tests requ]	includent allow a pro- regort will be pro- plants. "Golf course carry reported submission Any questions, co 24ge.	dec on the subm plet from different for numbers el us at the numbe	e groups can be pooled inter: A diagnadic belon toi on indi diad break generic require a primed on top of the

Plant Lab is working towards accreditation ISO-17025







S	OP # P										e 33 of 3
ROOM TEMPERATURE RECORD CHART Location: Entonclegy Lab Rm4 Year: 2016 Temperature Range <u>20°C+5°C (15°C-</u> 25°C) Thermometer Serial # <u>122675165</u>											
	Octaber			November							
DA	TIM		TEMP.	MIN	MAX	INITIAL	TIME	TEMP.	MIN	MAX	INITIA
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	8:00 8:00 8:00 8:00 8:00 8:00 8:00 8:00	07053	22:9 23:1 22:8 22:4 22:2 21:4 21:3 22:4 22:4 22:4	21.5.17.1.4 21.17 21.14 21.14 21.14 21.13 21.13 21.13	23.6 23.8 23.9 23.7 24.7 24.7 24.3 23.7 24.0	Children Children					

Lab tours

Educational materials

Students visiting lab





Ministry of Agriculture



Diagnostics Provided in the field of

- Mycology
- Bacteriology
- Virology
- Entomology

- Nematology
- pH / EC on soil
- General evaluation of abiotic issues





Services Not Provided:

- Nutrient analysis on soil and plant tissue
- Micro-organisms assessment on soil (except nematodes)
- Pesticide residue analysis
- Plant variety analysis
- Mushroom identification

Above mentioned testing is provided by private sector labs



Ministry of Agriculture

Methods for Disease Diagnosis



Microscopic observation



Moist bag incubation



Culturing



Omni-Log

ELISA (micro-well & immuno strip)



Molecular (DNA based)



Ministry of Agriculture



Commodities served by the lab

Berry and nut crops Ornamentals (Greenhouse and field, urban forest) Greenhouse vegetables Tree fruit and Grape Field vegetables Specialty crops Field crops Mushrooms Turf grass Christmas trees Forest Nursery and Forest Tree Seed Pathology Who submits samples and sample submission trends

Submitter category





What do we do for Forest Seed Pathology

- BC Ministry of Agriculture, PHL have a MOU with Provincial Tree Seed Centre (FLNRO) to perform Fungal Pathology assessment on selected tree seeds.
- We follow seed pathology protocols set by the Tree Seed Centre and provide results.
- The project was started in our lab in fall 2017 and we plated samples from 60 seed lots (a total of 30,250 seeds).

- Dry seed samples were tested for *Caloscypha fulgens, Sirococcus conigenus* and *Fusarium* spp.
- Evaluations were made for the above mentioned fungi and companion fungi listed in the MOU.
- Each seed was evaluated for the presence or absence of the fungi listed.

Brief overview of protocol

- Culture on general or semi-selective fungal medium (surface sterilized –CAL, SIR or not sterilized seeds- FUS)
- Incubate under optimal conditions.
- Evaluate plates for fungal growth for up to 3 weeks
- Identify each colony for fungal organism (morphology and microscopic examination)
- Report results
- Some molecular work to identify the organism (PHL addition)



Ministry of Agriculture



Data collection

- # of seeds with Caloscypha, Sirococcus or Fusarium spp.
- Number and genera of companion fungi
- Questions ?
 - Is data collected for companion fungi used for any management purposes?
 - If not, do we want to continue to collect this information?

Fusarium spp.

- With current methods (culturing and microscopic examination), we are mostly limited to identify Fusarium to genus level
- Fusariums can be saprophytic or pathogenic
- Is total count of seeds with Fusarium spp. good enough
 - What does it really tell us ?

Data from PHL 2017-2018

# of samples submitted for Fusarium assay	42
# of samples where Fusarium spp. were detected	28
# of samples where species was identified (molecular tools)	1
# of samples where multiple possible species were identified	3
# of samples where only genus level identification was obtained	24 *what important info. does this provide ?

Gaps in Fusarium information

- What are all the Fusarium spp. that have been observed in seed assays in the past?
- Have they been tested for pathogenicity?
- Is there a short list of more aggressive Fusarium genera (pathogenic) and that are present in forest tree seeds in BC?
- Do we want to target only the pathogenic species ?

Gaps in Fusarium spp. identification

- What other tools are available to go to the next level of identification?
- How is this information used with regards to management of seed pathogens to minimize losses in nursery and/or reforestation programs

Limitations with current methods

- Currently assessment of companion fungi adds a lot of work that is probably not needed. if this information is not used, can we drop that
- Culture techniques followed by morphological identification has its limitations for specificity of identification. There is overlap between species. Resources are limited to go to the next level of specificity by morphology alone

Developing an Identification Strategy

- The first question is "How much information do you need"?
- This depends upon the specific requirements of the seed lot (target organism- Cal, Sir, Fus).
- Remember the level of identification must match the end use of the diagnosis.

There is a lot of work that needs to be done



Suggestions going forward

- Do a pilot study and identify all the Fusarium species that are present on these seeds
- Select the isolates that are likely to cause disease and test that with a pathogenicity trial/assay
- Focus only on those trouble causing isolates
- Have species specific tests (molecular tools) where important species can be identified more accurately and preferably directly from seed.

Suggestions going forward

- Have Co-op students, a Master's or a Ph.D. student working on aspects mentioned in the previous slide
- Approach a researcher to find out if molecular tools tailored to BC forest seed health assessment needs can be worked on
- Apply advanced tools to test for and gather species specific information.
- Eliminate culturing step if feasible?
- Have management tools in place to minimize crop losses in the nursery and re-forestation programs.

 Eventually where can we go with this approach (lot of savings in time and money in the long run) ?



Ministry of Agriculture



Sub-cultured colony

Microscopic examination and/or DNA analysis

Thank you

