

# South Island Fibre Recovery Pilot Workshop – June 22, 2018

## Session #1 - Where to locate a Fibre Recovery Zone (FRZ)

### Group #1

1. Support for centering/ anchoring around the large users-Port Alberni (Catalyst), Nanaimo (Harmac Pacific), and Crofton (Catalyst).
2. Support for more than one FRZ.
3. Boundary should be flexible to accommodate different products and value (cedar and hemlock).
4. Include communities who are undertaking wildfire rehabilitation projects.
5. Geography isn't as important as business to business relationships.
6. Stay close to big centers.
7. First Nations considerations and involvement needed.
8. Need to overlay where demand for residual fibre and small scale fibre has been the greatest.
9. Include commercial thinning projects where funded by government.
10. Infrastructure, current and future.
11. Existing zoning.
12. Abatement conflicts with BC Wildfire.
13. Incorporate height of land.

### Group #2

1. Focus on areas within Provincial Forest that are shaded green with values \$78-82/ ODT.
2. Support for more than one FRZ.
3. Size of opportunity: This was in reference to how much fiber is available similar to #8 above. "Where are licensees most active and how much is left as waste"?
4. Ensure all high sensitive smoke zones will be included –Open Burning Smoke Control Regulation (OBSCR).
5. Incorporate local factors such as slope, elevation –to be consistent with the secondary fibre sorting slope/ road slope parameters within FPIInnovations Handling Guidelines
6. FRZ needs to be flexible to incorporate economic changes (increases/ decreases in chip market values).
7. Recommend FRZ include green shaded areas that are within \$89 to 94/ ODT range.
8. There are large areas of private land that have economically recoverable residual fibre. Can they be involved in the process on a voluntary basis? Roger Stewart from Timberwest agrees. Island Timberlands? We should reach out to them.

### Group #3

1. We need to do a waste analysis by geographic area and ensure FRZ includes areas where high waste volumes are included.
2. FRZ boundary should consider chipping centers too (nodes).
3. Consider current project funding such as Rural Development, Low Carbon Economy Funding, etc., to increase the size of economic zones.
4. Fund a study to indicate where best opportunities exist (same as #8 in group 1 and #3 in group 2).
5. FRZ boundary should be influenced by appraisal and waste policy.