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Additional AGRI and ALC Resources

The following publications offer further information on edge planning; they are on the Ministry of Agriculture’s Strengthening Farming website:  http://www2.gov.bc.ca/gov/topic.page?id=0F162AFAFAEC454C9CC89D0D6E39599A. If the reader is viewing this guide electronically, the following blue titles have hyperlinks to the publications.

- **AgFocus: A Guide to Agricultural Land Use Inventory**  (2004)
  
  This 30-page guide outlines practical details on how to undertake a land use inventory in agricultural areas. It includes a ready-to-use coding system for agricultural activities and land covers. As of January 2012, it is being revised to reflect current agricultural land use inventory procedures.

- **Agricultural Drainage Criteria**
  
  This 7-page factsheet contains criteria to provide good drainage for lowland crops to survive and thrive.

- **The Countryside and You - Understanding Farming**  (1998)
  
  This 24-page booklet explains to the non-farmer living in or near an agricultural area what to expect from agricultural operations as farmers and ranchers go about their day-to-day activities.

- **Planning for Agriculture**  (1998)
  
  This 66-page document summarizes the key issues in the Planning for Agriculture - Resource Materials (400 pages). The reports were prepared by the Agricultural Land Commission to encourage greater focus on agricultural issues and opportunities during planning processes.

  Chapter 8  - Planning Along Agriculture’s Edges
Appendix 20  - A Check List of Common Urban / Agricultural Conflicts

- **Planning Subdivisions Near Agriculture** (1997)

  This 12-page brochure summarizes the report *Subdivision Near Agriculture... A Guide for Approving Officers*. The brochure is designed for the general public, particularly those individuals who may be planning to subdivide next to the Agricultural Land Reserve.

- **Subdivision Near Agriculture...A Guide for Approving Officers** (1996)

  This 21-page guide, was developed to assist subdivision approving officers when considering proposals for subdivision near farmland. It includes examples of ways to improve subdivision design, provide buffering, and manage road patterns to improve land use compatibility along agriculture’s edge. Sample draft covenants associated with the provision of buffering are also included.


  This 93-page report documents the results of an investigation that was undertaken in 2003 to determine the effectiveness of vegetative buffers in mitigating conflict. By conducting physical assessments and interviews with farmers and residents who lived next door to buffers, a number of conclusions and recommendations aid in the establishment of buffer guidelines to promote urban-rural compatibility.


  The Guide includes over 60 separate factsheets grouped under the headings ‘Commodity Specific’, ‘Farm Activity’, and ‘Farm Nuisance’. The documents describe many of British Columbia’s diverse farm practices in general terms and refers to existing government legislation, industry guidelines and other sources of information related to farm practices.

- **Siting and Management of Dairy Barns and Operations** (2010)

  This 11-page factsheet recommends proper dairy facility siting and management to help establish good neighbour relations.

- **Siting and Management of Poultry Barns** (2008)

  This 8-page factsheet recommends proper poultry facility siting and management to help establish good neighbour relations.
Part 1 – Planning British Columbia’s Agricultural Urban Edge

1.1 The Agriculture-Urban Edge

The hallmark of agriculture in British Columbia is its outstanding diversity – from the niche market vegetable farms in the Lower Mainland to the expansive grain farms in the Peace River to the internationally recognized vineyards and wineries in the Okanagan. With nearly 20,000 farms and ranches in B.C., almost every part of the province makes a contribution to our agri-food sector. In 2006, farm gate receipts were approximately $2.7 billion. Many of the over 200 different commodities produced in B.C. are exported around the world. Export sales of agricultural products across Canada and to over 100 countries are valued at $2.4 billion.

Agriculture in British Columbia takes place on some of the highest quality land in Canada. However, the province’s physiography makes most of B.C. unsuitable for farming – only 5% of the province is within the Agricultural Land Reserve (ALR). This combination of scarcity and high quality, coupled with a growing population and an expected increase in the limitations to long distance transport make B.C. farmland an extremely valuable resource, from social, environmental, health, and economic perspectives.

Most cities and towns of B.C. grew up where agriculture occurred. As the settlements expand, they are pressing up against the valuable ALR. The interface between agricultural and urban land uses is an area that is often vulnerable to conflict. Traditionally, it has not been the subject of focussed planning efforts, largely due to the historic fluidity of the agriculture-urban edge. In the past, as urban areas expanded, the “edge” moved further into former farming areas.

However, in British Columbia, compared with many other jurisdictions, the Agricultural Land Reserve (ALR) provides an opportunity to reverse the long-standing assumption that it is natural and inevitable to compromise food lands for the sake of urbanization.

1.2 Edge Planning Areas or Special Management Areas

The ALR boundary provides a geographic location where local government policy makers can confidently apply land management techniques and guidelines that will ensure greater long term compatibility between agricultural and urban land uses. Such planning will also ensure greater long term security for farming along the agriculture-urban edge.

**Edge Planning Areas (EPAs) are:**

*agricultural and urban lands near the ALR boundary where the design and management tools in this guide are studied to create compatibility between land uses.*

Determining where to undertake edge planning and ultimately establish edge planning areas depends on a number of factors. The ALR boundary should be the initial focus but there may be areas outside of the ALR that are also worthy of attention. Locating the most eligible areas will involve undertaking an overview inventory to identify broadly where the critical and non-critical edges are. Such an overview will ensure that effort is not wasted on areas where there is little possibility of future conflict.

Edge areas that require particular attention are generally undergoing urban growth, with development pres-
1.3 Rationale for Edge Planning

Measures to promote compatibility along B.C. agriculture-urban interfaces have been limited. As a result, a variety of complaints can arise from both farmers and their neighbours.
Farmers often experience trespass, property and equipment vandalism, crop damage and theft, livestock harassment, and litter. Flooding of farm land by rainwater runoff from upland urban development is another impact many farmers have experienced. All of these problems result in significant financial losses for farmers.

On the urban side of the ‘fence’, complaints can be related to odour, pesticide spraying, dust, aesthetics, and noise from different farm activities. Urban neighbours might complain about unfamiliar (to them) but normal and accepted farm practices, even if they are carried out in compliance with established regulations and standards.

Many local government jurisdictions have attempted to minimize the potential for conflict and complaints by using zoning bylaws to restrict the types of agriculture that take place next to urban edges, even within the ALR. Restrictions often require agricultural buildings to be set back such large distances from property lines that it makes it impossible to establish the operation. Alternatively, minimum lot size requirements or animal density controls may have been set, restricting the level of intensity. Another method used in the past was to completely prohibit certain types of agricultural commodities within specific areas. These methods unnecessarily restrict agricultural development opportunities.

Since 1996, the Local Government Act has limited the ability of local governments to restrict agriculture. Also, it allows intensive agriculture as a permitted use in the ALR. But, the Act also has tools for better planning for agriculture. It provides for development permit areas for the protection of farming. It also allows local governments to use farm bylaws to regulate farm operating methods, with the approval of the Minister of Agriculture. Farm bylaw powers complement zoning powers by allowing local governments to regulate certain aspects of farm operations that would not be possible with zoning alone. The Land Title Act allows approving officers to refuse subdivisions that would unreasonably interfere with farming operations on adjoining or reasonably adjacent properties, or that would increase access to land in the ALR, or that would have inadequate buffering or separation of the development from the farm.

These legislative tools provide an opportunity for local governments, the agriculture industry, and the Province jointly to develop urban and farm-side techniques to enable a wide range of farm operations to co-exist with neighbouring urban land uses.

1.4 Summary

Changing people’s point of view on what the ‘countryside’ represents may be a nearly impossible task. However, employing measures that ‘soften’ the hard ALR edge, such as buffering, sensitive subdivision design, and management of certain farm practices to minimise nuisance, combined with an effective awareness strategy, will go a long way to lessening clashing perspectives and promoting compatibility.
Part 2 – Where the Edge Planning Process Fits

2.1 Purpose of Edge Planning

Edge planning is a process that will develop a package of policies and recommended criteria that can be adopted by a local government and implemented through regional growth strategies, official community plans, sub-area plans, bylaws, signage, and other statutory means. The edge planning process will also guide more detailed land use decisions associated with OCP designations along the non-farm side of the edge, rezoning, development permits, subdivision layouts, densities, road patterns, and the provision of other services. Urban-side land use planning can be conducted according to compatibility standards using a suite of tools. The agriculture-urban edge can be managed effectively through clear policies and the application of the tools in this Guide.

2.2 Role of Local Government in Edge Planning

Local governments are the most appropriate bodies to design and manage the edge planning process. Included here are a number of tools that local governments can use to manage or prevent potential edge conflicts before issues around compatibility arise. The following planning mechanisms are available for local government edge planning:

- Regional Growth Strategies
  - Regional Context Statements
  - Regional Collaboration and Consensus
- Official Community Plans
  - Integrated Community Sustainability Plans (ICSP)
  - High level policy
  - Land use policy
  - Development Permit Area Guidelines
  - Design Guidelines
- Neighbourhood Plans
- Agricultural area plans
- Zoning Bylaws

Local governments not only have the planning tools, but it is important they become very familiar with their community’s agricultural edges to ensure that sound land management policies and decision-making emerge. A commitment to the policies should result from the edge planning process.

Resources that can be drawn upon to participate in the edge planning exercise include:

- agricultural advisory committees (AAC) - a steering committee that includes farmers can be appointed to provide the agricultural perspective to strategic and long-range planning;
- individual farmers whose land is along the edge;
- Provincial planning resources such as the Smart Planning facilitators who can provide resources on emerging and cutting edge legislative tools;
- AGRI and ALC staff can provide technical assistance as requested.

Several principles provide context for planning along agriculture’s interface:

1. The ALR boundary is fixed and should form the focal point of edge planning.
2. Both sides of the interface must be considered simultaneously.
3. Edge planning should be considered in wider context of Regional Growth Strategies, Official Community Plans, and Neighbourhood Plans.
4. An edge plan must anticipate land use change.
5. Edge planning techniques must be tailored to meet local situations.
2.3 Legislative Mechanisms to Promote Edge Compatibility

Although zoning bylaws and official community plans can promote compatibility to some degree, their broad-based nature does not give local governments a lot of flexibility to deal with potentially incompatible land uses. The Land Title Act and Local Government Act provide local governments with mechanisms to promote compatibility between urban development and farm operations. These mechanisms include revised decision making abilities for approving officers, development permit areas to protect farming, and farm bylaws to manage certain farm practices and operations.

The Farm Practices Protection (Right to Farm) Act (FPPA) protects farmers from liability in lawsuits alleging nuisance and court injunctions provided they use “normal farm practices” and do not contravene other legislation listed under the FPPAs such as the Environmental Management Act, the Public Health Act, and the Integrated Pest Management Act, and any land use regulation (as defined under the FPPA). However, AGRI and the ALC recognize that certain areas within the ALR may require special management so that different interests are taken into account.

2.4 Climate Change Mitigation

By the end of May 2010, municipalities and regional districts in B.C. were to have amended or adopted OCPs to include measures for climate change mitigation. Specifically, Official Community Plans must include:

- hard, measurable targets for greenhouse gas (GHG) emission reductions;
- policies that support the reduction of municipal GHGs sources; and
- actions that will lead to GHG emission reductions.

Provincial Bill 27, 2008 provided tools for direct and indirect GHG reductions. Specifically, there are three Development Permit Areas (DPAs) related to GHGs that local governments can employ as part of their reduction strategies. The purposes of these three DPAs are:

- GHG reduction
- Energy efficiency
- Water efficiency

In strategizing around GHG reduction targets, a local government may choose to include a minimum forest cover objective over and above an existing baseline. This forest would also link to the Provincial afforestation policy. The GHG reduction benefits from such a policy include carbon capture from planting or growing trees, and energy efficiency with placement of vegetation around buildings. There could also be conservation of water by reducing lawn areas. This approach would be an opportunity to support the planting and maintenance of trees in the buffer areas in the agriculture-urban edge.
2.5 Edge Strategy – Shared Responsibility

The success of edge planning relies on shared responsibility. This philosophy requires that both agricultural and urban land users and decision makers seek opportunities and adopt approaches to ensure compatibility. More specifically, successful agricultural - urban edge planning relies on:

- recognition that it is reasonable for landowners along both sides of the agriculture-urban boundary to share the benefits and impacts from edge planning implementation;
- public education that increases agricultural awareness and promotes neighbourhood-friendly land use; and
- ability of landowners to realize optimum land use which increases long term certainty and security for agricultural and urban land uses.

An edge planning strategy for each community should include:

- defining similarly-sized edge planning areas on both sides of the agriculture-urban boundary for the application of edge planning techniques;
- developing communication tools to enhance public awareness of edge planning objectives; and
- adopting bylaws that encourage more intensive land use with a strengthened land management regime along the edge planning area.

2.6 Edge Planning Process

Edge planning is an investigative process to enhance our understanding or awareness of the relationships between agricultural and other land uses and resources. This knowledge can then be applied to improving compatibility between the different land uses where they meet at the ‘edge’.

2.6.a Edge planning’s place within planning processes

Edge planning can be initiated as a stand-alone process or arise from a policy directive through a regional growth strategy or an Official Community Plan (OCP). Communities that have a limited amount of farm land may find the OCP to be an appropriate vehicle to provide policy direction on edge planning. In other cases, the OCP may direct that a more detailed (sub-area) Agricultural Area Plan (AAP) be undertaken and, in turn, the AAP could direct that edge planning work be undertaken. An AAP is a policy vehicle to examine in detail an area largely in agricultural use or with agricultural potential.

The edge planning process could influence plans and bylaws in a number of ways. It could provide the basis for the inclusion of Development Permit Areas (DPA) for the protection of farming within an OCP. The DPA, in turn, can provide direction in the design of subdivisions next to the agricultural land that can be dealt with under the Land Title Act section 86(1) (c) (x) & (xi). Edge planning will also influence zoning and farm by-laws by affecting setback distances, landscape requirements, and farm management requirements. In addition, the process can influence other initiatives such as park and recreation planning that may happen at the agricultural edge, water issues involving drainage, and the provision of disclosure statements on title.

2.6.b Steps to undertaking edge planning and establishing Edge Planning Areas

Official community or agricultural area planning processes provide the opportunity to give policy direction for more focused edge planning. In order to identify which actual details should be used for addressing the edge (e.g. buffer and farm management specifications) within the plans and bylaws, a land use inventory should be undertaken. Displaying this information with a geographic information system (GIS) will provide a practical means to understand clearly the land use dynamics on both sides of the edge.
Suggested steps to undertaking edge planning

1. Conduct an overview inventory to identify broadly where the critical and non-critical edges are.

2. Undertake a detailed land use inventory (via a drive-by survey) along both sides of the critical edges. Key features that should be noted include:
   - existing land uses and types of farming;
   - roads and freeways;
   - hydro and other utility rights-of-way;
   - railways;
   - watercourses and water bodies;
   - existing vegetative cover (that may be retained as a buffer); and
   - major topographic features.

3. Identify current zoning and OCP land use designations – determine whether land use is expected to change in the next 10-20 years and identify where the opportunity lies for Development Permit Areas for the protection of farming, including buffering. Buffering features that are planned well in advance will be far easier to achieve than attempting to retrofit a situation after a conflict has occurred.

4. Determine parcel ownership – private versus government-owned land, and possibly flag parcels being held for future development.

5. Incorporate land use and farming information into GIS so that maps can be generated, land use dynamics can be understood, and the potential effects of implementing the compatibility tools, particularly the EPA buffer and farm management guidelines, can be examined. Maps will also help to provide a picture of the edge planning areas and a greater appreciation may be gained by seeing the properties and land uses affected.

6. Identify existing or potential conflict areas.

7. Consult with farmers and urban-side land users to determine appropriate ‘compatibility tools’ to be used in each portion of the EPA. PARTS 3 and 4 of this Guide offer a variety of ‘compatibility tools’ that can be applied within the edge planning area.

8. Consideration can then be given to applying appropriate land management policies and effective mitigation measures through plans and bylaws.

9. Finalize the definition of the Edge Planning Area, and depending on the ‘compatibility tools’ that are used, incorporate the final map as a schedule in the OCP and/or Zoning Bylaw.
Part 3 – Urban-Side Edge Planning Tools

This Part contains the urban-side edge planning design objectives, strategies, and implementation tools that can be used to promote rural-urban compatibility. The design objectives and strategies provide a starting point and body of knowledge for local governments to work towards minimizing conflict, protecting farmland from urban encroachment, and promoting a more sustainable urban design. The performance objectives can be achieved through different urban-side design options that draw on tools provided by the Local Government Act and Land Title Act. Case study examples from the City of Surrey, the Regional District of Nanaimo, and the Capital Regional District highlight the rationale for, and lessons learned from, the implementation of various edge planning strategies and tools.

Implementation using a development permit area is given here as an example. However, Ministry of Agriculture staff have found through experience that inserting the urban-side criteria in the zoning bylaw provides more certainty to applicants and more efficient local government administration.

Design performance objectives and strategies are best utilized in edge areas that are currently not developed but undergoing urban growth, or where there are change-in-use pressures for residential, commercial, industrial, or institutional uses. For existing, built areas, the edge planning tools are used when the area is re-developed.

3.1 Performance Goal and Objectives

The overall design performance goal on the urban side of the Agricultural Land Reserve (ALR) boundary is:

- Within 300 metres of the ALR boundary, create farm-friendly urban development which promotes compatibility with agriculture and stabilizes the ALR boundary.

Within that goal, design performance objectives include:

- Use subdivision layouts which limit potential, future urban encroachment into the ALR or other farming areas;
- Limit the effects of urban development on farming by managing water, pedestrians, and traffic;
- Minimize the effects of farm activities on urban development through visual and spatial separation, reduction of risks, and public awareness of normal farm practices;
- Ensure the edge location is stable over time.

Urban-side planning, design, and management tools to implement these objectives are grouped in the following sections under:

3.3 Subdivision design: density, road, and lot patterns
3.4 Building design and layout
3.5 Open space and landscape design
3.6 Storm and ground water management
3.7 Urban-side buffer design
### 3.2 Type and Location of Urban Development

The type of urban development (residential, recreational, industrial, etc.) plays a role in compatibility. In most situations, the greater number of people located near an edge, and the closer buildings are situated to farm land, the higher the potential for complaints by both farmers and non-farmers. However, the exception to this appears to be that rural estate owners often have less tolerance for disturbances than those living in higher density types of housing. The following table outlines different types of urban development, their associated activities and impacts, and a compatibility rating. The low and moderate compatibility areas are ones where the edge conditions should be addressed to improve compatibility of uses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Urban Development Type</th>
<th>Activities</th>
<th>Impacts and Compatibility with Agriculture</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Residential – medium to high (e.g., townhouses, apartments)</strong></td>
<td>High numbers of residents; frequent vehicle access; limited green space; often rely on farm land for ‘green space’; limited time recreating immediately outdoors (i.e., on resident’s property)</td>
<td>Trespass, dogs at large, damage to crops and equipment, litter, theft, livestock harassment, flooding, traffic conflict</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Residential – lower density (e.g., urban single-family)</strong></td>
<td>Medium numbers of residents; fairly frequent vehicle access; some green space in yards, but also some reliance on farm land for open space; immediate outdoor recreating high</td>
<td>Trespass, dogs at large, damage to crops and equipment, litter, theft, livestock harassment, flooding, traffic conflict</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Residential – low density (e.g., country residential, 0.20 to 0.40 ha lots)</strong></td>
<td>Low number of residents; some vehicle access; large properties with own green space; less reliance on farm land for green space; immediate outdoor recreating high; high expectations for peaceful setting</td>
<td>Trespass, damage to crops and equipment, litter, theft, livestock harassment, flooding, traffic conflict</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Institutional (e.g., schools, churches)</strong></td>
<td>High numbers of people over short time frame; frequent vehicle access; may have significant green space if associated with a school; may have high immediate outdoor recreating if a school</td>
<td>Trespass, dogs at large, damage to crops and equipment, litter, theft, livestock harassment, flooding, traffic conflict</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Recreational (e.g., playing fields, nature trails, golf courses)</strong></td>
<td>Low to high numbers of people over short time frame depending on type of recreation; low to medium vehicle access (may be high for specific events); high levels of green space; high immediate outdoor recreating</td>
<td>Trespass, dogs at large, damage to crops and equipment, litter, theft, livestock harassment, fire, spread of weeds, liability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Commercial</strong></td>
<td>High numbers of people usually over short periods; frequent vehicle access; no green space; no reliance on farm land for green space; no outdoor recreating</td>
<td>Trespass, litter, theft, flooding, traffic conflict</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Industrial</strong></td>
<td>High numbers of people over short periods; frequent vehicle access; limited green space; no significant reliance on farm land for green space; limited outdoor recreating</td>
<td>Trespass, litter, theft, flooding, traffic conflict</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.3 Subdivision Design: Density, Road, and Lot Patterns

Lay out the development to create separation and a vegetated buffer between the farming, with its noises, dust, odours, and use of chemicals, and the residential, institutional, commercial, industrial uses

3.3.a Gross Density

Use gross density or density bonus, or both, to encourage the creation of open space on the urban side next to the farming area. Gross density is the permitted number of units per hectare, before an area is subdivided and roads, parks, etc. are subtracted from the overall area.

E.g., if a 4 hectare parcel is designated in an OCP for a gross density of 15 units per hectare, the maximum number of units would be 60. If 25% of the land (1 ha) is used for a buffer or open space separation to the farms, all of the unit “entitlement” could go on the remaining 3 ha – or 60 units on 3 ha for a density of 20 units per ha (i.e., medium-sized single-family residential lots).

- This tool could be implemented in the OCP by mapping the Edge Planning Area where gross density should be used.
- The zoning and/or the subdivision procedure and servicing bylaws could contain zone(s) which spell out the criteria for this concept.

3.3.b Density Bonus

The Edge Planning Area could be zoned to allow an extra “bonus” in density, if open space or buffer were created along the urban side of the farming area boundary.

E.g., the ‘base’ density might be 2 units per hectare, but if open space is set aside along the agriculture-urban edge, the ‘bonus’ could be another 8 units per ha, for a total of 10 units per ha – which could be an attractive total for a developer.

- The OCP could designate where bonuses could apply.
- The zoning bylaw could contain zones that have ‘base’ and ‘bonus’ densities.
### 3.3.c Density Transfer

The 300-metre-wide Edge Planning Area (EPA) could have an average allowed density but those areas adjacent to the agriculture-urban edge, on the urban side, could build no more units but could sell all of their ‘potential’ unit allowance to areas on the outer portion of the EPA.

For example, say the EPA average allowed density is 8 units per hectare, but only 1 unit per ha could be built unless a density transfer occurs. If, within 80 metres along the urban side of the agriculture-urban boundary, no more units could be built, but the full 8 units/ha allowance could be transferred (sold) from this area. Then, within the 220 metres along the outer portion of the EPA, the ‘base’ density could be expanded from 8 units/ha, to 16 units per ha (8 avg + 8 from adjacent areas = 16) which could all be built, ONLY IF the extra 8 units/ha are purchased (received via transfer) from the landowners along the agriculture-urban edge. [Exact formulae would vary from community to community.]

So, all areas within the EPA would start with the same allowance but units would be transferred from the agriculture-urban edge to the outer edge, away from potential disturbance by farming.

- The OCP should have maps of areas which ‘send’ density into a transfer and areas which ‘receive’ the transferred density.
- The OCP or zoning could have text for the ‘sending’ and ‘receiving’ areas and zones.

### 3.3.d Housing Clusters

Housing units could be clustered together, away from the agriculture-urban edge, leaving wider open space along the boundary. Clustering could be either a stand-alone concept, or it could be combined with one of the density concepts above. It may mean that not all of the housing is the same type, but there may be a mix – some single-family, some townhouses, and maybe some apartments – and/or some single-family lots might be smaller.

- The OCP could stipulate that the EPA must have clustering in order to create a wider buffer, or separation along the farming edge.
- The zoning could be tailored to each site to match the cluster locations, densities, and housing types.
3.3.e 5% Park Dedication Abutting the Edge

Each subdivision can be required to dedicate 5% of the gross site area for park and open space. For urban sites abutting the farming edge, the 5% should be provided adjacent to the boundary of the ALR or other farming area, to create space for a vegetated buffer. Sites elsewhere within the 300-metre-wide Edge Planning Area could contribute the cash in lieu of the 5% land dedication and the funds could be used to acquire land for the edge buffer in locations where the buffer is missing.

- The parks plans, and zoning and subdivision procedure bylaws could specify these uses of the 5% or cash-in-lieu along the urban side of the agriculture-urban edge.

3.3.f Avoid larger suburban lots along the edge

Some local governments have OCP designations and zoning which create larger (say 1 acre or 0.4 ha) lots along the urban side of the ALR edge. While such lower density has the advantage of locating fewer non-farm residents close to the farming, such an approach may backfire. There has been some evidence in Ministry studies of the edge, that more-affluent residents on larger suburban lots adjacent to farms have higher expectations of peace and quiet and are more likely to complain about farm practices.

- Instead of lower density suburban lots along the edge, an OCP should specify the use of other tools in this guide

3.3.g Avoid road stubs and half-roads

Urban-side roads which lead to the agriculture-urban edge and stop create the impression that further urban development of farm land is anticipated. Allowing an urban subdivision to create a half-width road along the farming edge also gives the impression that future subdivision is expected. Both road pattern designs will fuel speculation and drive up farm land prices. Avoid both.

Existing road stubs could be converted to cul-de-sacs or T-ended roads or to mini-parks. Existing half-roads could have dense vegetation planted along the agriculture-urban edge to emphasize “the other half will not be built”.

The Land Title Act states an approving officer may refuse a subdivision if “the extent or location of highways and highway allowances shown on the plan is such that it would unreasonably or unnecessarily increase access to land in an agricultural land reserve”.

Sometimes an approving officer thinks he/she must allow access from the subject lot to land adjacent or beyond within the ALR, but that is not necessary because the ALR should be considered as long-term farm land
not needing any more access.

- Zoning and subdivision procedure bylaws can specify that road stubs and half-roads must be avoided adjacent to farming areas.
- Approving officers should be encouraged to refuse such urban road designs.

### 3.3.h Offset Road Along Agriculture-Urban Boundary

Offset pavement toward the agriculture-urban edge, to provide about 1/3 to 1/2 of the buffer on the road allowance.

Avoid new driveways from this road to the urban area, to reduce the openings in the buffer.

Residences should still be sited 30 metres from the boundary – in this case, the setback from the buffer would be $30 - (20 + 4.5) = 5.5$ metres.

Reduce buffer width to 7.5 metres (from 15 m) to allow for width of road allowance.

### 3.3.i Direct urban traffic away from farms

Non-farm roads and trails should be linked to collector roads which do not lead the non-farm traffic along routes the farmers use to move their slow, large equipment. By limiting urban access to farm roads, future conflicts between farmers and urbanites can be reduced.

- Transportation and pathway plans in the OCP can allow for such separation of traffic types.
3.3.j Avoid utility extensions into ALR

Like the road patterns, the extension of utilities such as water and sanitary sewer, can fuel speculation of future urban expansion. Either the utility presence creates demand by farm land owners to use the utilities for urban development, or it creates an expectation of urban uses along the lines to pay for them, or both.

3.4 Site and Building Design and Layout

The setbacks to buildings from the agriculture-urban edge and the design of the buildings themselves can help create the separation between agriculture and urban or industrial uses. They can also decrease the impact of farming activities on the building occupants.

3.4.a Setbacks of buildings from ALR edge

The urban-side setback from the ALR, or other farming area, edge to housing or other buildings should provide some distance separation to the farms, and it should provide space for a wide, vegetated buffer.

In most cases, it will be the rear lot line which abuts the agricultural area, but for some townhouses, apartments, commercial, industrial, or institutional buildings, it may be a side lot line which abuts the agricultural area.

Recommended setbacks of buildings adjacent to the ALR are:

- Residential: 30 metres
- Commercial or industrial: 15 metres
- Institutional: (to occupied buildings) 90 m.

These setbacks could be included in zoning bylaws and/or development permit area criteria.

3.4.b Vegetated Buffer Height and Width

A continuous buffer along the urban side of the agriculture-urban edge will serve several functions. It will provide a visual screen of farm buildings and activities, provide a deterrent to trespass onto farms, capture some dust and spray drift, and filter farm odours somewhat.

- Recommended height at plant maturity: 6 m.
- Recommended MINIMUM buffer width:
  - Residential: 15 metres
Commercial or industrial: 8 metres
Institutional: 15 metres
On existing lots where available space may be limited: 3 metres

Where a stream abuts the farm interface, the vegetated buffer width can be reduced to (in addition to the stream width):

Residential: 8 metres
Commercial and industrial: 6 m

These buffer widths could be included in a landscape bylaw, in the landscape section of the zoning bylaw, and/or as development permit area criteria.

3.4.c Institutional Site Layouts

Locate large institutional groups of people – playgrounds, schools, churches, health care facilities, seniors’ centres, etc. - far from agriculture (“Planning for Agriculture” recommends 90 metres).

Parks situated adjacent to agricultural areas should have active recreation facilities, with larger groups of participants and audience, located farther from farms. Passive recreation facilities and parking areas could be near the agricultural edge.

The buffer design should include extra measures, like a fence or prickly shrubs, to prevent trespass onto farms because adventurous youth at the school or park may seek to explore the farms.

These design criteria could be in the institutional zones and/or development permit area criteria. They should be shared with architects and other facility planners.

3.4.d Yard Widths Next to ALR or Buffer

In many lot layouts, the vegetated buffer may be included within the setback area. But as recommended below, it would be better for long-term plant maintenance if the buffer area is separate land parcel instead of just an easement. The resulting rear or side yard width abutting the agriculture-urban boundary is recommended to be:

Residential: 15 metres
Commercial or industrial: 7 metres

These yard widths could be included in the zoning bylaw.
3.4.e Longer or Deeper Lots

To accommodate the longer or deeper yards (in 3.3.d above), the parcels abutting the agriculture-urban boundary should be longer or deeper. They may also have narrower width, if the lots are to have similar areas.

- These criteria could be included in the zoning and/or subdivision procedure bylaw.

NOTE: the lots on the left side of the sketch have standard yards and the buffer is on its own lot.

3.4.f Fire Lanes, Other Items in Yards next to Agricultural Areas

Fire department vehicles must be able to have access to all sides of commercial, industrial, and institutional buildings. Along the agricultural edge, such fire lanes could be constructed in the yard area between the vegetated buffer and the building.

Other items which could be included in yards are: parking, stormwater management, and community gardens.

- The zoning and building bylaws and perhaps a development permit area could include these criteria.

3.5 Open Space and Landscape Design

There are some broad planning and design concepts to be considered in the design of open space and landscape buffers.

3.5.a Buffer in a Separate Dedicated Parcel

Commonly, vegetated buffers have been planted in an easement or covenant area at the end of the (usually rear) yard. Even if the lot owner knows or remembers the easement exists, in the future, he/she may choose to clear or modify the vegetation for his/her own purposes.

A slightly more stable version is within strata titled projects, the buffer area could be made common property. Still, the buffer’s continued existence and health depend on the strata members maintaining it.

A much more stable approach that is recommended is to have the vegetated buffer area surveyed into a separate parcel which is turned over to the local government for long-term maintenance.

The measurement of the rear or side yard, and/or setback would be made from this new lot’s boundaries.
This separate-lot approach could be included in development permit area criteria and in the zoning and subdivision procedure bylaws.

### 3.5.b Features of the Buffer Vegetation

- While ensuring farm operations are not affected, maintain and enhance views and natural landscape features – riparian areas, nests, environmentally sensitive areas.
- Retain pertinent existing tree cover in buffer in natural state.
- Locate and choose species in the buffer which will not shade the farm crops.
- Do not plant invasive species.
- Use low-maintenance, drought-tolerant plants.
- Select tree and shrub species which will not harbour insects or diseases harmful to nearby farm crops.
- Select tree and shrub species that will filter dust and spray drift from the agricultural area – see Appendix.

### 3.6 Storm and Ground Water Management

Urban developments can affect nearby farms by changing the storm water flows and the ground water levels. When development occurs, it usually is converting “soft”, natural landscape to “hard”, paved areas or roofs. Rainwater that used to soak into the ground often runs off more quickly, either to neighbouring lots or to the municipal storm drainage system of pipes, ditches, and streams.

Farms have been affected by the faster runoff flowing on to farm fields making it too soft for farm machines to work, or flooding crops causing loss of value. Developers and local government engineers and planners are considering newer, “green” water management techniques. New drainage management techniques are creating mote infiltration and delaying runoff through retention and detention facilities (over-sized pipes, French drains, ponds). If the pre-development rates of infiltration are decreased considerably, the water table may fall, affecting nearby springs, wells, or ditches that farmers have been using to irrigate their crops.

Some features of storm and ground water management pertinent to edge planning follow.

#### 3.6.a Avoid Changes to Water Cycles Nearby

Post-development surface water flows and stream and ditch runoff rates and volumes should match the pre-development ones. Do not allow flooding of nearby farms. Ground water levels in nearby wells after development should be the same as before development.

On-site storm water detention or retention ponds could be designed next to the buffer area to add to the amount of separation distance between urban uses and farms.

- These concepts could be included in development permit area criteria.
- They could form part of the engineering standards and subdivision procedure bylaw.

#### 3.6.b Possible Water Benefits to Farmers

It may be that nearby farmers could use the extra water at some times of the year. The detention pond could be a holding pond for future farm irrigation. Or, the farmers may be having problems caused by ground wa-
ter levels and would want the water table to be lowered.

The buffer design could break up overland flow and divert water. A ditch along the agriculture-urban interface may catch runoff from uphill but it might also effectively block trespass into farm fields and direct runoff to irrigation systems.

The engineers designing the urban development water systems should consult nearby farmers to see whether the project’s water management could also benefit the farmers.

- Co-ordinated design between urban projects and nearby farms could be a requirement in the local government’s engineering standards for development.
- It could also be a DPA criterion where the DPA is for the purpose of water conservation.

### 3.7 Urban-side Buffer Design

Buffers provide a number of benefits for both residents and farmers. Extensive research on buffering has found that complaints about farming practices are often based as much on perception as reality. Seeing the source of the nuisance may heighten the perception of that nuisance (DNR, 1997; BCMAFF, 2000). Thus, establishing a visual barrier between the development and agricultural land can significantly reduce the level of complaints by minimizing both the cause and the perception of a nuisance.

When designed and installed properly, buffers are extremely effective at reducing livestock harassment from dogs, preventing trespass and the associated problems of litter and crop damage. In addition, buffers can mitigate the effects of noise, light, and dust or spray drift.

They can also provide passive, low-intensity recreational and wildlife benefits without negatively impacting adjacent farm operations. A vegetated buffer can:

- protect soils, crops, pastures, and livestock from the effects of damaging winds.
- help reduce soil temperatures and retain moisture
- provide critical food and shelter for a variety of songbirds and small mammals
- provide linear habitat that forms corridors for species to move through
- add an opportunity for agro-forestry sample planting. [Agroforestry is a land management approach that purposefully integrates the growing of trees with crops or livestock.]\(^1\)

### 3.7.a Buffer Design Elements

Research undertaken by the B.C. Ministry of Agriculture indicates that the most effective buffer combines separation of uses, dense vegetation, and fencing. Basic buffer design concepts include:

- **A total minimum separation distance of 30 m** (15 m of which is a vegetative buffer) between a housing unit and agriculture-urban boundary is required to mitigate most effectively the impacts of urban and farming activities.

- A greater separation distance of 50 metres would be optimal based on previous Ministry studies, but limited land availability and current development patterns have lead to a compromise in the spatial setback.

---

\(^1\) [http://www.agf.gov.bc.ca/resmgmt/agroforestry/index.html](http://www.agf.gov.bc.ca/resmgmt/agroforestry/index.html)
By including a barrier (fence), trespass can be prevented.

**Finished height:** The vegetative buffer must reach a finished height of at least 6 metres to screen effectively the farm operation from its urban neighbours. This height will also capture more dust and spray drift.

Mixed planting: A mixed deciduous and coniferous planting with foliage from base to crown is required in order to ensure dust and spray drift is captured to the fullest extent possible.

The **crown density** must be 50-75% - i.e. densely packed hedges are not desirable due to poor air circulation which can lead to ineffective buffering of dust and spray drift and odour.

A **2-metre separation distance** between the vegetative buffer and agriculture-urban boundary is desirable as it provides space for improved functioning on the agricultural side – less shading, more air circulation and greater manoeuvrability for farm equipment. This two-metre-wide strip could have low-growing vegetation.

Any pathway or passive recreation along the buffer should be set far away from the farms, with two-thirds of the buffer width, or at least 7 metres of planting between the path and the farm land.

At first glance, it may appear that nothing can be done to enhance this ‘built out’ urban area adjacent to the ALR for greater compatibility.

**But . . . . two actions are possible:**

1. Disclosure statements could be placed on the land titles to indicate to future owners of these homes that they are living near a farming area.

2. A buffer could be installed along the road ending that abuts the farm edge.

### 3.7.b Buffer Design Plan

- Each application for new development should submit a **buffer design plan** showing:
  - existing and proposed grades
  - extent of the buffer
  - constructed barriers
  - location, spacing, size, and quantity of proposed and existing trees and shrubs
  - list of the tree and shrub species to be planted.

- Another plan should note the subdivision and building design elements that will promote compatibility along the edge (e.g., road layout, location of patios, sound-proofing measures, separation distances, and rainwater management).

- The requirements for these plans could be included in guidelines for a development permit area on the urban side for the protection of farming. See sample wording in Appendix A. The buffer requirements could also be included in the zoning bylaw or servicing standards, or in development procedures bylaws. The approved plans could be included in a restrictive covenant on the land titles.

- Establishing buffer criteria or guidelines should be considered a long-term policy initiative. Where urban uses are already built to the farm land edge, the buffers would be obtained gradually over time as re-development occurs.
3.7.c Buffer Installation and Maintenance

- Ensure the buffer is installed prior to building construction.

- Ensure the buffer is maintained:

  - Require a letter of credit for the installation cost, of which a portion would be returned to the landowner or developer after substantial completion of the landscaping construction.

  - The remaining portion of the monies should be held for two to three years and returned if the buffer vegetation is deemed to be healthy.

  - Irrigation and weeding should be undertaken to ensure survival of the plants.

  - If the buffer does not pass inspection, the security can be renewed until the buffer is approved, or the security deposit can be used to undertake the necessary work to complete the landscaping.

- Establish a restrictive covenant on the land title requiring preservation of the buffer and prohibiting the construction of, or addition to, any buildings or structures within the buffer area or a yard adjacent to the buffer.

- It would be best if the buffer was dedicated to the local government, and then public maintenance would be required. OR

- If the buffer is to be maintained by the developer or subsequent owner, a maintenance plan should be prepared and signed off by a registered landscape architect or professional biologist.

Periodic inspections should be conducted to ensure maintenance is being undertaken.

- The requirements for buffer installation and maintenance could be included in development procedures bylaws.

**SAMPLE COVENANT WORDING**

“The property owner acknowledges that:

1. the lot is subject to the following restrictions:
   a. the vegetated buffer will be maintained;
   b. no habitable structures will be built in the rear or side yard abutting the ALR;
   c. the walls and windows facing, or at an angle to the ALR, will be constructed with extra sound-proofing and no patios will be built on those sides.

2. Because the lot is close to the Agricultural Land Reserve, some or all of the following impacts arising from agricultural practices may occur:
   a. noise from farm operations at various times of the day, including propane cannons and other devices used to deter wildlife;
   b. farm odours and chemical spray;
   c. aesthetic appearance of fields (unkempt fields, storage of materials, etc.);
   d. light from greenhouses.”
3.8 Urban-side buffer design specifications

Below are the setback distances for principal buildings and design criteria for installing an urban-side buffer along the agriculture-urban boundary. Four examples of design specifications and layouts follow.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level 1</th>
<th>Setback Distance and Buffer Size</th>
<th>Buffer Height</th>
<th>Buffer Design Features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Setback</strong></td>
<td>30 m from agricultural area boundary</td>
<td><strong>6 m</strong> ** (finished height)**</td>
<td>• Mixed planting of fast growing tree and shrub species with foliage from base to crown – long thin foliage desirable. Include at least 60% evergreen conifers to collect dust &amp; spray drift.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Buffer Width</strong></td>
<td>15 m – buffer is located within the 30 m setback</td>
<td></td>
<td>• No gaps in buffer and no tightly packed hedges; crown density of 50-75%. Design as wedge shaped if odour dilution desired.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Level 2</strong></td>
<td>Setback</td>
<td>15 m from agricultural area boundary</td>
<td><strong>6 m</strong> ** (finished height)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Buffer Width</strong></td>
<td>8 m – buffer is located within the 15 m setback</td>
<td><strong>See Note 2 below</strong></td>
<td>• Leave 2 m of low growing or no vegetation from agricultural area boundary.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Exception to Level 1 Residential Urban-side Buffer requirements:

Residential parcels that are separated from the agricultural area by a road allowance can reduce the size of the Level 1 buffer, provided new driveway accesses from these parcels onto the subject road allowance are avoided. The siting of the residence should still be 30 m but the vegetative buffer can be reduced to 7.5 metre width and located as near and parallel to the agricultural area boundary as possible.

** If spray drift is a concern, tree height should be 1.5 times the spray release height or target height, whichever is higher.
3.8.a Urban-Side Buffer A (no berm) – Design Specifications & Layout

The Urban-side **Buffer A** includes:

- double row deciduous/coniferous trees (see Appendix B for plant list)
- triple row trespass inhibiting shrubs (see Appendix B for plant list)
- double row screening shrubs (see Appendix B for plant list)
- solid wood fence or chain link fence with a height of 6 feet (1.8 metres) and built as per Appendix C or as per the local government’s fencing specifications.
3.8.b Urban-Side Buffer B (with berm) – Design Specifications & Layout

Urban-side **Buffer B** includes all elements of **Buffer A**, as well as a berm with a minimum height of 2 metres above the adjacent grades. There are two alternatives for locating a fence, either at the lowest or highest points of the berm. This choice should be made according to design and use of adjacent properties. The main intent of the berm in this example is to provide increased storm water retention capabilities of the buffer, although a berm may provide more effective noise reduction and visual screening as well.
3.8.c Urban-Side Buffer C (Existing Vegetation) - Design Specifications & Layout

Urban-side Buffer C should retain existing vegetation and use either a solid wood or chain-link fence with a height of 6 feet (1.8 metres), built as per Appendix C or as per the local government’s fencing specifications.
3.8.d Urban-Side Buffer D - Design specifications, layout & spacing

Urban-side **Buffer D** includes:

- single row deciduous or coniferous or just coniferous trees (see Appendix B for plant list)
- triple row trespass inhibiting shrubs (see Appendix B for plant list)
- single row screening shrubs (see Appendix B for plant list)
- solid wood fence or chain link fence with a height of 6 feet (1.8 metres) and built as per Appendix C or as per the local government’s fencing specifications.
3.8.e Urban-Side Buffer Spacing (Buffers A, B or D)

**Double row deciduous/coniferous trees**

- Minimum Distance from ALR Boundary to Trunk of First Row = 2m
- Minimum 1 Row Deciduous Trees
- Minimum 1 Row Coniferous Trees
- Fence

**Double row screening shrubs**

- Screening Shrubs (Continuous)
- Fence
- 1.2m O.C.
- 0.6m
- 0.5m

**Triple row trespass inhibiting shrubs**

- 1.0m O.C.
3.9 Enhancing Agricultural Awareness

Communication tools can be used to enhance compatibility between farming and non-farm uses. Whenever possible, they should be used in conjunction with the other compatibility mechanisms listed in this Guide. These tools can increase the awareness of urban residents living near the farm edge about impacts from normal farm practices that they may experience. The awareness tools can be used even where existing urban development makes it impractical to address subdivision and housing design, or buffering.

Please refer to Appendix A for an example of how the agriculture awareness tools in this section can be applied within Development Permit Area guidelines.

3.9.a Disclosure statements

A disclosure statement, in the form of a restrictive covenant under section 219 of the Land Title Act can be a very effective tool. It can inform the prospective land buyer that the property is close to an agricultural area where acceptable farm practices may result in noise, dust, odour &/or other impacts during certain times of the year.

To be accepted by the Registrar of Land Titles, the covenant must have a “restrictive” aspect. Such “restriction” could include other urban-side tools discussed above – e.g., no building in the yards adjacent to the ALR; houses or other habitable buildings must have extra sound-proofing.

If new development occurs in the Edge Planning Area, within 300 metres of the ALR boundary, a covenant could be placed on land titles disclosing the proximity of the agricultural area and the potential implications.

3.9.b Signage

Local governments should consider using signs along the agriculture-urban boundary that inform residents and prospective purchasers of the proximity of farm operations within the immediate area and the possible activities associated with farm operations. Here are two sample buffer signs.

![Farmers in this area sometimes:](image)

- Make noises to keep wildlife away from crops
- Plough fields on dry, dusty days
- Spread manure to fertilize fields
- Spray crops to eliminate weeds or plant disease
- Drive big, slow machines between fields
- Harvest crops day or night when ripe
3.9.c Information Package

One final ‘awareness tool’ that local governments may wish to develop is an information package for new and/or existing residents located within the Edge Planning Area, 300 metres of the agricultural area boundary. This package could include:

- information on and the benefits of the vegetative buffer (assuming one is installed);
- a brief overview of the Provincial Farm Practices Protection legislation and acceptable farm practices;
- the Ministry of Agriculture booklet *The Countryside and You*;
- contact numbers for the Ministry and the Farm Industry Review Board (which reviews complaints about farm practices).

The information package should ensure local relevance by describing the types of farm operations commonly found in the area and use local references. The Ministry could help local government staff and the local agriculture organization or Agricultural Advisory Committee in preparing the package, if requested. This package will help to establish effective communication between farmers and their non-farm neighbours and ultimately assist in reducing potential conflict.
3.10 Case Studies

3.10.a City of Surrey

Context
The City of Surrey is the second largest municipality in BC, with a population exceeding 400,000. Rapid urbanization in Surrey has occurred alongside a significant farming industry. Approximately one-third of the land base in Surrey (nearly 10,000 hectares) is farmland. As a result, the City has longstanding experience in mitigating conflicts between urban and farming land uses.

Policy
For more than two decades, Surrey has employed a policy requiring buffers between urban and farmland uses. A buffer of 15 metres is required on the urban side, with a fence along the property line, vegetation and a restrictive covenant that requires the property owner to maintain the buffer. Neighbourhood Concept Plans and rezoning trigger the buffering requirements.

In addition to the buffer, maximum densities are established within ¼ mile of farmland. Directly adjacent to the farmland, no more than 2 units per acre are permitted. Farther from the edge, densities can increase to urban levels. Recent changes to the policy require that the buffer landscaping must be installed prior to the issuance of a building permit.

Lessons Learned
In general the City has found that the buffers, when installed and maintained properly, seem to be effective. Problems tend to arise with respect to enforcement and when developers negotiate relaxation of buffer requirements. When a property owner removes the landscape plantings within the buffer, the City has no recourse other than to take the owner to court to enforce the restrictive covenant. The City is currently exploring the introduction of bylaws and fines to increase enforcement abilities.

The City has also discussed the possibility of reversing the density policy, thereby allowing higher density strata projects adjacent to farmland. A strata council would perhaps be more reliable in maintaining the buffer, while residents of multi-family units could be more tolerant of the noise and other aspects of farming as compared to their estate lot counterparts.

Links
3.10.b Regional District of Nanaimo

**Context**

The Regional District of Nanaimo has a population of about 140,000, approximately one quarter of whom live in unincorporated areas. Nearly 9% of the region’s land base is designated in the Agricultural Land Reserve and rapid growth in some areas of the district has increased the size of the interface between urban and farmland uses.

**Policy**

The Regional Growth Strategy emphasizes the protection of rural areas and agriculture. As a result, the Official Community Plans for Arrowsmith/Benson, Nanoose Bay and Area G include Development Permit Area (DPA) requirements for farmland protection. In these communities, the concept of buffers to farmland was introduced during the OCP process and supported by the community.

The three farmland protection DPAs are largely similar. A DPA is required for developments within 15 metres or across a road from ALR land. A vegetated buffer is required and fencing can be provided if designed with reference to the ALC publications. A restrictive covenant must be registered on title.

There are a number of exceptions from the DPA requirements. If no building is proposed within the 15 metre buffer area, following DPA guidelines is not required. Most commonly, subdivisions in which the lot depth is 50 metres or more are not required to follow the guidelines in the DPA. As a result, only 7 permits have been issued in over a decade, despite ongoing development in the region.

As well, due to developer criticism, in the most recent set of regulations (Area G), DPA guidelines are not required for subdivisions separated from ALR land by a road.

**Lessons Learned**

The many exceptions dilute the effectiveness of the requirements, since very few development applications actually trigger a DPA. In addition, the latest DPA guidelines are further diluted, since a roadway is considered to be an adequate buffer. Fortunately, a lack of complaints from farmers and residents indicate that there have not been significant problems to date.

**Links**

RGS: [http://www.rdn.bc.ca/cms/wpattachments/wpID436atID413.pdf](http://www.rdn.bc.ca/cms/wpattachments/wpID436atID413.pdf)


Part 4 – Farm-Side Edge Planning Tools

Application of the tools in Part 4 will require the use of a Farm Bylaw. Therefore, local governments will need to engage the Ministry of Agriculture, as well as their local farmers, early in the process in order to develop and implement the most effective farm-side edge planning tools for their community. Farm Bylaws require the approval of the Minister of Agriculture, which in the case of edge planning would be expected only when urban-side restrictions are jointly applied.

4.1 Overview of Farm Side Guidelines

This Part contains the farm-side edge planning tools and implementation methods to promote compatibility. When they are applied within the ALR, they are only available to local governments regulated under section 918 of the Local Government Act. The farm side tools include the use of BOTH the siting of certain farm structures AND some farm management techniques in the Edge Planning Area (EPA). This combined approach enables agricultural lands at the urban edge to be utilized for farm purposes and not be subject to prohibition of uses.

These tools address four aspects of the farm operation:
1. Scale of farm to which the edge planning criteria will apply
2. Management practices that reduce the potential for nuisance concerns
3. Building setbacks that reduce the potential for nuisance concerns; and
4. Landscaped buffering that relaxes the setback requirements for select buildings.

These tools provide a starting point for local governments to explore their appropriate application. Each community will need to craft a package of tools that best suits their needs while maintaining agricultural options within the EPA.

The farm-side management techniques within the Guide are based on practices used by existing operations that are effective in mitigating land use conflict. These techniques will be subject to review and alteration as needed to account for changes in technology and management techniques. In order to ensure the most appropriate farm management and siting techniques are applied, AGRI staff will work with local governments and their farm community to tailor the requirements for their EPA.

4.2 Application of management and siting guidelines

The application of the farm-side edge planning techniques will vary within the EPA. Using the diagram on the next page as an example:

- Within the first 60 metres of the agricultural area boundary some agricultural structures, like manure storage, would be prohibited.

- Within the first 100 metres from the agricultural area boundary, there would be restrictions on the siting of some structures combined with special management requirements directly related to lessening conflict (e.g. fan orientation).

- Beyond 100 metres from the edge, structure standards would be the same as elsewhere in the agricultural area. In addition to the setback requirements from the edge, setbacks from lot lines not facing the agricultural area boundary will apply as per local government regulations. Throughout the entire 300-metre-wide EPA, there would be special management requirements for certain activities (e.g. manure application).
The setback distances and management guidelines in this Part are designed to achieve compatibility with an urban residential land user. If other urban uses exist next to the agricultural area boundary such as industrial, commercial, institutional, or passive recreational, and an EPA is deemed necessary, the setback distances and the level of farm management should be reduced to account for these differing or less-intensive urban land uses. For example, the 60 metre setback distance could be used along with the base set of management requirements (i.e. the management requirements currently associated with the 100 metre setback).

The diagram below shows where some of the tools can apply within the farm-side EPA.

![Diagram of Farm-side Edge Planning Area Example](image)

**Figure 4:** Farm-side Edge Planning Area Example

### 4.3 Role of the zoning and Farm Bylaws

Because the farm-side guidelines address both the siting of buildings and the management of farming activities, a combination of zoning and farm bylaw powers is required to implement these guidelines within the ALR.

A zoning bylaw regulates the land use and its arrangement on a site. To regulate farm activity, i.e., how a farm is operated, a Farm Bylaw will be needed. Section 917 of the *Local Government Act* establishes Farm Bylaws to address things like conduct of farm operations, types of buildings, machinery and equipment that are a pre-requisite to conducting a farm operation, and the siting of stored materials, waste facilities, and stationary equipment. Before a local government can adopt a Farm Bylaw, it requires approval by the Minister of Agriculture.
It is suggested here that all new farm operations that locate within the EPA should comply with both the sit-
ing and management requirements outlined in a ‘hybrid’ zoning-plus-Farm Bylaw.

Existing farms will need to be treated differently. With regard to setback requirements for farm structures, local governments could consider exempting existing farm structures, for example, those that existed prior to the date of the new bylaw would follow one set of setbacks, so as to not create non-conforming structures. Management requirements could be handled in a similar fashion. The local government may choose to exempt existing farms, in operation before the bylaw date, from complying with all or some of the require-
ments. A ‘phase-in’ approach could be taken whereby existing farms would have a certain number of years to come into compliance. Local governments will need to work with their farm communities to develop the most effective approach for their area.

Farms that are exempted could be provided with a generic edge planning brochure that offers ideas and sug-
gestions for enhancing urban-rural compatibility. The farmer can decide whether or not to incorporate these ‘good neighbour ideas’. A mechanism could also be put in place that provides farmers with exempted farms the opportunity to discuss with local government or Ministry staff options for mitigating conflict.

4.4 Edge Guidelines Matched to Farm Scale

Whether, and how, to apply edge planning guidelines within the Edge Planning Area (EPA) will depend on
the “scale” of the farm operations along the edge. For small farms, it does not make sense to encourage or require them to follow any of these edge farm management and siting guidelines. They could simply follow the setback and coverage standards in the Guide for Bylaw Development in Farming Areas (Bylaw Guide).

How is a “small farm” defined? For edge planning purposes, it includes any farm operation which is below the following “minimum thresholds” for each commodity outlined and that various animal commodities total less than 10 agricultural units ².

4.4.1 Minimum Thresholds

At or above the minimum thresholds listed below, farm operations would follow the EPA guidelines. Below these thresholds, the small farms would simply follow the Bylaw Guide. Included are:

- Greenhouses: 1,000+ square metres of enclosed structure
- All soil-based cropping farm operations
- Animal operations according to the table below³⁴

---

² An “agricultural unit” is defined as the live weight of 455 kg of livestock, poultry, farmed game or fur-bearing animals or any combination of them equalling 455 kg. See Appendix E for more information.

³ Except for free-range hogs - see section 4.6b

⁴ Except for ostriches, emus and mink - see section 4.8
### 4.4.2 Scale of Operation Within Various Distances of Agriculture-Urban Boundary

Farm operations within the distance groupings in the table not only would be limited by the maximum number of animals, but must also follow the special farm management requirements everywhere in the Edge Planning Area.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Operation</th>
<th>Minimum Threshold - above which Application of Edge Planning Area Guidelines are applied</th>
<th>Maximum Number of Animals on a Lot at Any One Time; Distances to Agriculture-Urban Boundary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Special Management require-ments</strong></td>
<td>Farm management guidelines in section 4.5</td>
<td>Farm management guidelines in section 4.5 Also, farms in this area must follow other guidelines in sub-section 4.5x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For types of animals not listed below</td>
<td>2 agricultural units</td>
<td>30 agricultural units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Beef and Small Ruminants</strong></td>
<td>8+ feeders or 7+ cows (10+ agricultural units)</td>
<td>45 feeders or 43 cows (60 agricultural units) for uncovered confined livestock areas; and 87 feeders or 82 cows (115 agricultural units) for covered confined livestock areas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dairy</strong></td>
<td>lactating animals, 7+ cows (10+ agricultural units)</td>
<td>57 cows (80 agricultural units) for uncovered confined livestock areas; and 175 cows (245 agricultural units) for covered confined livestock areas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fur</strong></td>
<td>50+ animals</td>
<td>250 animals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hog</strong></td>
<td>36+ grower/finishers; 10+ sows (farrow to wean); 4+ sows (farrow to finish)</td>
<td>55 grower/finishers; 22 sows (farrow to wean operation); or 6 sows (farrow to finish operation); or Any combination totalling 12.5 agricultural units.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Horses</strong></td>
<td>9+ horses (10+ agricultural units)</td>
<td>25 horses (30 agricultural units)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of Operation</td>
<td>Minimum Threshold - above which Application of Edge Planning Area Guidelines are applied</td>
<td>Maximum Number of Animals on a Lot at Any One Time; Distances to Agriculture-Urban Boundary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Poultry</strong></td>
<td>250+ broilers, meat chickens, layers or layer breeders (1+ agricultural unit)</td>
<td>Chickens (Meat): 30,000 broiler equivalents (130 agricultural units); Chickens (Broiler Breeders): 60,000 birds (400 agricultural units); Chickens (Layers): 118,000 birds (490 agricultural units); Chickens (Layer Breeders): 30000 birds (140 agricultural units); Chickens (Meat): 225000 broiler equivalents (950 agricultural units)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>200+ broiler breeders (1+ agricultural units)</td>
<td>Ducks contained outdoors: 100 birds (10 agricultural units); Ducks: 5000 ducks (38 agricultural units) and the density for ducks should not exceed: Meat Ducks - 2.5 square feet (0.23m²) per bird Developing Duck Breeders - 2.7 square feet (.25m²) per bird Layers/Breeders - 3 square feet (0.24 m²) per bird Emus contained outdoors: 200 birds (20 agricultural units) Ostriches contained outdoors: 100 birds (35 agricultural units) Pheasant: 65000 birds (200 agricultural units) Pigeons: 8000 birds (18 agricultural units) Quail: 350000 birds (230 agricultural units) Silkie chickens: 130000 birds (270 agricultural units) Turkeys: 50000 birds (1000 agricultural units); Turkey Breeders: 20000 birds (670 agricultural units) Free Range bird density must not be greater than 1 agricultural unit per 100m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100+ ducks (0.8+ agricultural units)</td>
<td>Emus contained outdoors: 100 birds (10 agricultural units); Ducks: 5000 ducks (38 agricultural units) and the density for ducks should not exceed: Meat Ducks - 2.5 square feet (0.23m²) per bird Developing Duck Breeders - 2.7 square feet (.25m²) per bird Layers/Breeders - 3 square feet (0.24 m²) per bird Emus contained outdoors: 200 birds (20 agricultural units) Ostriches contained outdoors: 100 birds (35 agricultural units) Pheasant: 65000 birds (200 agricultural units) Pigeons: 8000 birds (18 agricultural units) Quail: 350000 birds (230 agricultural units) Silkie chickens: 130000 birds (270 agricultural units) Turkeys: 50000 birds (1000 agricultural units); Turkey Breeders: 20000 birds (670 agricultural units) Free Range bird density must not be greater than 1 agricultural unit per 100m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>300+ free range birds (1.26+ agricultural units of layers or meat chickens; 6+ agricultural units of turkeys)</td>
<td>Ostriches contained outdoors: 50 birds (17 agricultural units); Pigeons: 1800 birds (4 agricultural units) Quail: 46000 birds (30 agricultural units) Silkie chickens: 15,000 birds (35 agricultural units) Turkeys: 25000 birds (500 agricultural units); Turkey breeders: 10000 birds (220 agricultural units) Free range bird density must not be higher than 1 agricultural unit per 100m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>150+ pheasants (1+ agricultural units)</td>
<td>Ostriches contained outdoors: 50 birds (17 agricultural units); Pigeons: 1800 birds (4 agricultural units) Quail: 46000 birds (30 agricultural units) Silkie chickens: 15,000 birds (35 agricultural units) Turkeys: 25000 birds (500 agricultural units); Turkey breeders: 10000 birds (220 agricultural units) Free range bird density must not be higher than 1 agricultural unit per 100m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>200+ pigeons (0.5+ agricultural units)</td>
<td>Ostriches contained outdoors: 50 birds (17 agricultural units); Pigeons: 1800 birds (4 agricultural units) Quail: 46000 birds (30 agricultural units) Silkie chickens: 15,000 birds (35 agricultural units) Turkeys: 25000 birds (500 agricultural units); Turkey breeders: 10000 birds (220 agricultural units) Free range bird density must not be higher than 1 agricultural unit per 100m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>350+ quail (0.25+ agricultural units)</td>
<td>Ostriches contained outdoors: 50 birds (17 agricultural units); Pigeons: 1800 birds (4 agricultural units) Quail: 46000 birds (30 agricultural units) Silkie chickens: 15,000 birds (35 agricultural units) Turkeys: 25000 birds (500 agricultural units); Turkey breeders: 10000 birds (220 agricultural units) Free range bird density must not be higher than 1 agricultural unit per 100m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>200+ silkie chickens (0.5+ agricultural units)</td>
<td>Ostriches contained outdoors: 50 birds (17 agricultural units); Pigeons: 1800 birds (4 agricultural units) Quail: 46000 birds (30 agricultural units) Silkie chickens: 15,000 birds (35 agricultural units) Turkeys: 25000 birds (500 agricultural units); Turkey breeders: 10000 birds (220 agricultural units) Free range bird density must not be higher than 1 agricultural unit per 100m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>200+ turkeys (4+ agricultural units)</td>
<td>Ostriches contained outdoors: 50 birds (17 agricultural units); Pigeons: 1800 birds (4 agricultural units) Quail: 46000 birds (30 agricultural units) Silkie chickens: 15,000 birds (35 agricultural units) Turkeys: 25000 birds (500 agricultural units); Turkey breeders: 10000 birds (220 agricultural units) Free range bird density must not be higher than 1 agricultural unit per 100m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100+ turkey breeders (4+ agricultural units)</td>
<td>Ostriches contained outdoors: 50 birds (17 agricultural units); Pigeons: 1800 birds (4 agricultural units) Quail: 46000 birds (30 agricultural units) Silkie chickens: 15,000 birds (35 agricultural units) Turkeys: 25000 birds (500 agricultural units); Turkey breeders: 10000 birds (220 agricultural units) Free range bird density must not be higher than 1 agricultural unit per 100m²</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.5 Manure Handling

Special management guidelines that apply throughout the designated EPA have been established for manure storage and application. The guidelines for manure storage were developed with the assistance of the BC Ministry of Agriculture resource management specialists. By addressing the type of manure, how it is stored, and how it is applied to land, the impacts of odour will be mitigated effectively.

4.5.1 Manure Storage

- Only solid manure storage is permitted for all commodities, except lactating dairy which can have either solid manure storage or enclosed liquid manure storage.
- Cover manure in areas with more than 600 mm precipitation during the months of October and April as per Section 9 of the Code of Agricultural Practice for Waste Management.
- Beef - clean feedlot loaﬁng areas at least once every 9 months and dispose of manure.
- Horse - remove manure from paddocks/turn out pens at least once a week and clean out the manure storage area at least once every 6 months and dispose of manure.
- Fur, Hog & Poultry - maintain moisture content of manure in barns at 35% or less.
- Fur – remove manure from pens at least once a week (this requirement can be relaxed during whelping season from April 20th to July 1st).

4.5.2 Solid Manure Application

- Beef, Hog & Poultry - for bare soil application of solid manure, incorporate manure within 48 hours of applying to the soil.
- Fur - for bare soil application of solid manure, incorporate manure within 4 hours of applying to the soil.

4.5.3 Liquid Manure Application

- No aerial application of liquid manure
- No liquid chicken or hog manure application
- Application on bare soil:
  - injection method or
  - surface application method if incorporated within 4 hours of application
- Application on crops (this includes pasture/grassland):
  - sub-canopy manure deposition method with a 5-10 year phase-in period for existing farms

4.6 On-farm Composting

Special management guidelines that apply throughout the designated EPA have been established for on-farm composting. These guidelines are separated into two categories - mushroom operations and all other farm operations. By addressing how the compost is handled, the types of waste composted, and the volume of production, the impacts of odour will be mitigated effectively.

4.6.1 On-farm Composting for Mushroom Operations

- Use impermeable surfaces for all composting activities and compost storage.
Cover composting materials (except straw) and compost between October 1 and April 1 in areas with more than 600 mm average precipitation during those months.

Blending, grinding and mixing of raw materials can occur in an uncovered area but should be transferred to an enclosed composting facility in the same calendar day.

House the on-farm composting process in an enclosed building.

Maintain aerobic decomposition through design, mechanical turning or porous ventilation.

Collect and treat the exhaust generated through the composting process with a wet scrubber and bio-filter designed by a professional, BC licensed engineer; the wet scrubber and bio-filter should remove a minimum of 90% of the odours.

Provide an air quality monitoring program developed by a BC licensed professional engineer. This program should provide easy verification that the system, including the bio-filter, is operating as designed; monitor and submit reports annually and include a description of the composting facility and the treatment works, a statement as to whether the composting facility is operating as designed, and the annual compost production in cubic metres at the actual moisture content.

No liquid manure may be composted.

Manage solid manure used for composting according to the commodity-specific EPA guidelines.

Waste to be composted that is not generated on the farm unit is limited to solid agricultural waste.

The volume of compost produced, including unfinished and finished, is limited to 300 m³ per week.

Manage storm water and waste water per the Bylaw Guide.

4.6.2 On-farm Composting for all Farm Operations, except Mushroom

Use impermeable surfaces for all composting activities and storage.

Cover composting materials and compost between October 1 and April 1 in areas with more than 600 mm average precipitation during those months.

Maintain aerobic decomposition through design, mechanical turning or porous ventilation.

No liquid hog or poultry manure may be composted.

Manage solid manure used for composting according to the commodity-specific EPA guidelines.

Agricultural waste to be composted that is not generated on the farm is limited to agricultural solid waste, excluding mortalities. Lawn clippings and branches may be composted if done in accordance with the Environmental Management Act or the Organic Matter Recycling Regulation (BC Reg 18/2002).

The maximum total volume of compost production on site, including mixed and finished compost, is limited to 100 cubic metres at any one time.

4.7 Noise, Odour and Dust Management

Special management guidelines that apply throughout the designated EPA have been established to deal with noise, odour, and dust management. These guidelines are separated into two categories – general and commodity specific. By addressing management of specific farm activities, the impacts of noise, odour, and dust will be mitigated effectively.
4.7.a  General – Noise, Odour and Dust Management

- The following activities are limited to being conducted between 6 am and 10 pm:
  - loading and unloading of hogs and beef;
  - feed milling; and
  - all input deliveries (e.g. feed, woodwaste, mushroom compost).
- Cover or enclose woodwaste storage.
- Locate on-farm feed mills on the opposite side of the farm building to the agriculture-urban boundary.
- Provide hoods for all fans 36 inches or less.
- Orient fans parallel to or away from the agriculture-urban boundary.
- Fur farms must orient fans on the side of the building furthest away from the agriculture-urban boundary.

4.7.b  Commodity Specific – Noise, Odour and Dust Management

The following management requirements are categorized according to the commodity and must be employed in addition to the general management requirements.

**Beef, Small Ruminant and Dairy Farm Operations**

- No Category A noise scare devices should be located within 300 metres from the agriculture-urban boundary; and Category B noise scare devices should be located 200 m or more from the agriculture-urban boundary.
- Feed bunks and water troughs should have a minimum 2.5 metre concrete aprons that are sloped away to facilitate drainage.
Collect contaminated runoff from confined livestock areas and store with manure.

Collect & store silage effluent with manure.

**Fur Farm Operations**
- Contain all feed storage, mixing, thawing, barrel and utensil cleaning in a room with concrete floors sloped to a drain, then to a tank and field tile for final disposal. The room should be fly proof, rat proof, and contain smooth walls to a height of 2 metres to facilitate adequate cleaning.

**Hog Farm Operations**
- No free range hogs within 60 metres of the agriculture-urban boundary

**Horse Farm Operations**
- Minimize dust generation in outdoor riding arenas by watering.
- For outdoor riding arenas or exercise tracks that are less than 30 m from the agriculture-urban boundary, install a vegetative buffer between the arena or track and the agriculture-urban boundary to minimize dust drift as per buffer requirements in Section 12, page 43.

**Poultry Farm Operations**
- 6 am – 10 pm for:
  - Hatching egg pick up (Breeder Birds); egg pick up (Layers); poultry stock delivery
  - Clean-out and sanitization of buildings
- Turn off truck engines for adult bird loading; use of truck engine brakes is prohibited.
- Use nipple drinkers for ducks.
- No free range ducks within 60 metres of the agriculture-urban boundary.
- Remove mortalities from barn daily and dispose of in sealed containers, incinerate, or compost.
- Broken eggs must either be stored in sealed containers and disposed of off-farm or applied to the land and incorporated into the soil within the same calendar day (Layers and Breeder Birds).
- Ensure all new or expanding production buildings have concrete floors.

**Mushroom Farm Operations**
- For mushroom buildings located between 30–100 m from the agriculture-urban boundary install a vegetative buffer between the mushroom building and the agricultural area boundary.

**Soil-based Crop Farm Operations**
- Operate Category A and Category B noise scare devices so they are consistent with BC Ministry of Agriculture’s Farm Practices Wildlife Damage Control guidelines, notably a 300 metre setback from the ALR boundary for Category A devices and 200 metres setback for Category B devices.

**4.8 Light Management**

Special management guidelines that apply throughout the designated EPA have been established to deal with lighting from greenhouses. In addition, all greenhouses that are located within 15 to 100 metres of the agriculture-urban boundary need to install a vegetative buffer.

**Greenhouse Operations**
- Night lighting designed to exceed 5,000 lux must be set back at least 100 m from the ALR/Urban bound-
and either

- ensure there is a minimum of 4 hours of continuous darkness starting at 6 pm or
- install interior or exterior opaque screening of side walls to prevent horizontal light emissions of 25 lux (street lamp intensity) measured at the agriculture-urban boundary.

- Already established greenhouses with currently existing night lighting must adapt to 100 m setback restrictions within 10 years.

- For greenhouses located 15-100 m from the agriculture-urban boundary install a vegetative buffer between the greenhouse and the agriculture-urban boundary as per buffer requirements outlined in Section 4.12.

### 4.9 Safety and Security Measures

Special management guidelines that apply throughout the designated EPA have been established to address safety issues associated with ostriches and emus, which have a potentially harmful kick, and mink, which can be damaging to native wildlife.

#### Ostriches and Emus

- Install a vegetative buffer (farm-side Buffer A or B) and a 2 metre high chain link or solid wood fence along the agriculture-urban boundary or install double fencing comprised of 2 metre-high chain link or solid fence along the agriculture-urban boundary and a second security fence inside the agricultural area with a minimum distance of 2 metres between the fences.

#### Mink

- Establish a security fence to contain animal escapes.

### 4.10 Setback Distances

The following setback distances apply to buildings and structures located within designated EPAs. Setback distances are measured from the ALR/Urban boundary on the farm side.

**15 metres for:**
- Greenhouses
- Crop storage

**30 metres for:**
- Mushroom barns
- Spent compost storage

**50 metres for:**
- Boilers
- Open loading areas
- Refrigeration units

**100 metres for:**
- Agricultural solid waste storage
- Composting and finished compost storage
- Confined livestock areas (except horse paddocks, which can be set back 15 m)*
- Feed mill and feed storage*
- Incinerators
- Livestock and poultry housing*
- Manure storage*
- Milking facilities*
- Silage Storage*
- Medical Marihuana Production Facilities.

* The setback for these buildings and structures can be reduced to 60 metres (horse paddocks can be set back 7 metres) provided the additional management requirements in section 4.11 are met.

4.1.1 Additional Management Requirements for Buildings and Structures (60-99 metres)

For buildings and structures located 60-99 metres from the Agriculture-Urban Boundary, the 100 m setback requirement can be reduced to 60 m for certain buildings and structures provided the additional management requirements listed below are met, the maximum number of animals in the table in Section 4.4 are followed, and a vegetative buffer is installed as per the guidelines in Section 4.12.

4.1.1.1 Extra Manure, Noise, Odour and Dust Management

- Beef and Dairy - cover confined livestock areas in areas with more than 600 mm of precipitation during October to April.
- Dairy - handle and store manure as a solid only.
- Beef & Dairy - orient fans parallel to or away from the agriculture-urban boundary.
- Fur, Hog and Poultry - locate load out doors so they do not face the agriculture-urban boundary.
- Hog and Poultry - orient fans on the side of the building furthest away from the agriculture-urban boundary.
4.12 Farm-Side Buffers

There is opportunity to reduce the setback for certain buildings and structures from the agriculture-urban boundary to 60 metres if certain management requirements are met, animal numbers are reduced, and a vegetative buffer is installed. The following guidelines outline the design criteria for farm-side setbacks and vegetative buffers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Farm-Side Setback and Buffer Design Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Setback Distance and Buffer Size</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Farm-side Setback and Buffer</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition to helping mitigate conflicts with urban neighbours, buffers can provide additional benefits and even economic opportunities for farm operations.
4.12.1 Farm-Side Buffer A (no berm) – Design specifications and layout

The Farm-side Buffer A shall include:

- double row coniferous or mixed deciduous/coniferous trees (See Appendix B for plant list)
- single row hedging/screening shrubs (See Appendix B for plant list)
4.12.2 Farm-Side Buffer B (with berm) – Design specifications & layout

The Farm-side **Buffer B** shall include:

- single row hedging/screening shrubs (See Appendix B for plant list)
- berm with minimum height 2 m above adjacent grades
- for ostriches and emus, install solid wood fence or chain link fence with a height of 6 feet (1.8 metres) and build as per the fencing specifications outlined in Appendix C.

4.12.3 Farm-side Buffer A or B – Spacing
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Appendix A - Development Permit Areas and Guidelines to Protect Farming

Appendix A provides an example of development permit area (DPA) provisions that can be applied in identified edge planning areas on the urban side to protect farming and promote urban-rural compatibility. This example is not exhaustive, but is a sample of objectives and guidelines and should be adapted to meet the specific needs of each community.

Annotated Sample DPA for the Protection of Farming

Designation:
The Farmland Protection Development Permit Area is shown on Map ___ and includes all land within 300m of the urban side boundary of the Agricultural Land Reserve (ALR).

Authority:
The Farmland Protection Development Permit Area is designated a development permit area pursuant to Section 919.1(1)(c) of the Local Government Act for the protection of farming.

Justification:
This Development Permit Area is adjacent to land that is within the ALR. The BC Agricultural Land Commission (ALC) and the BC Ministry of Agriculture (BCMA) have acknowledged that the development of lands adjoining or reasonably adjacent to farmlands may compromise the agricultural use of ALR lands. These lands therefore require protection in order to ensure long-term agricultural use.

Fifteen metre vegetated buffers and 30 metre setbacks are effective at preventing trespass, litter, crop damage, and harassment of livestock, as well as mitigating the effects of noise, light and dust or spray drift, and odour. The incorporation of vegetated buffers and setbacks between developed lands and agricultural lands that meet the specifications of the BCMA’s Guide to Edge Planning will promote greater compatibility between the uses while protecting the agricultural uses from urban impacts. Addressing subdivision layout, building design and stormwater management, employing disclosure statements and signage, and incorporating landscaped and siting buffers between new subdivisions and ALR lands will protect the agricultural use of the ALR lands and minimize complaints for the benefit of both farm and urban residents.

Objectives:
1. To plan and regulate new development in a manner that protects the long-term agricultural potential of adjoining or reasonably adjacent farmland.

Research has shown that impacts from farming such as noise, dust, odour and spray drift, etc. can be experienced up to 300 m on the urban side.

It may be tempting to compromise and reduce the setbacks and vegetated buffer width to achieve acceptance of the DPA, but the research does not support anything less than 15 m vegetated buffers and 30 m setbacks as being effective at mitigating the full suite of potential impacts.

Development Permit Areas and guidelines are not the only ways to establish urban-side buffers. A local government may wish to take a more regulatory approach by including the buffer requirements in screening and landscaping provisions of a zoning bylaw. Another possibility is to secure the buffer through park dedication at time of subdivision.
agricultural lands.

2. To minimize the impacts of urban development on agricultural lands.

3. To protect farmland by mitigating conflict between agriculture and residential, commercial, industrial and institutional uses.

4. To provide greater definition of the boundary of the ALR.

5. To develop effective vegetated buffers along the boundary of the ALR.

6. To visually screen farmland from adjoining or reasonably adjacent urban development.

7. To mitigate adverse effects of agricultural operations such as noise, dust and odour on nearby urban residents and users.

8. To increase the compatibility of adjacent land uses with farm uses.

9. To protect agricultural water supplies from non-agricultural uses and development of the landscape.

**Development Approval Information:**

This Development Permit Area is designated as an area for which development approval information (DAI) may be required in accordance with Section 920.01(1)(c) of the Local Government Act, and the [local government]'s Development [Application Procedures/Approval Information] Bylaw No. ___. The designation of this area as an area for which DAI may be required is based on the special conditions or objectives supporting the designation of the DPA and the [local government] may require applicants to provide reports, studies or information on the anticipated impacts of the proposed activity or development and appropriate mitigation measures.

**Applicability:**

All development in this Development Permit Area is exempted from the requirement to obtain a Development Permit, except:

1. Subdivision of land that adjoins agricultural land or that drains into agricultural land;

2. Construction of new residential dwellings and residential accessory buildings within the DPA or additions to existing residential dwellings located partially or wholly within 30m of the ALR boundary;

3. Construction of buildings or structures located within 30 m of the ALR boundary.

4. Construction of a building or structure that would result in more than ___ m² of new impervious surfaces, or alteration of the existing drainage regime on properties that adjoin or drain into agricultural land

Prior to commencing any of these activities, the owner must obtain a development permit in accordance with the Farmland Protection Development Permit Area Design Guidelines

Designating the DPA as a DAI area allows the local government to ask for reports and studies as required.

The reverse wording shown here works in situations where the focus of the DPA is quite narrow as it clarifies that most minor development occurring within the 300 m DPA is exempt from having to obtain a DP but is required for those types of development that are of most concern. For instance, new subdivisions that are within 300m that have the potential to have drainage and stormwater impacts on farms would be required to get a DP, whereas someone building a minor addition to an existing residence that is greater than 30m from the ALR boundary would not. Impervious surface area has been left blank for local governments to fill in based on local topography and soils.
Exemptions:

For clarity, the following activities are also exempt from any requirement for a development permit:

1. Any construction occurring outside of the Development Permit Area.

2. The placement of impermanent structures such as benches, tables and garden ornaments, provided they are not located within a required vegetated buffer area.

3. Repair, maintenance, alteration or reconstruction of existing legal buildings, structures or utilities, including those that are legal non-conforming, providing there is no expansion of the footprint.

4. Farm operations as defined in the Farm Practices Protection (Right to Farm) Act and farm uses as defined in Section 2(2), (3), (4) and (5) of the Agricultural Land Reserve Use, Subdivision, and Procedure Regulation.

5. Construction, repair, maintenance or alteration of a residential fence that is located further than 15m from the boundary of the ALR.

6. Construction, repair, maintenance or alteration of a non-residential fence that is located further than 8m from the boundary of the ALR.

7. Construction, repair, maintenance or alteration of a residential or non-residential fence within 15m or 8m respectively of the boundary of the ALR, so long as the disturbance of vegetation is restricted to 0.5 metres on either side of the fence.

8. The construction of a small residential accessory building such as a pump house, gazebo, garden shed or play house provided:
   - The building is located a minimum of 15 metres from the ALR boundary
   - No shrubs or trees are removed; and
   - The total floor area of the accessory building is less than 10 m².

9. Subdivision of land for public utility, nature reserve, or park uses.

Guidelines:

Development permits issued in this area shall be in accordance with the guidelines set out below:

**General Guidelines:**

1. A disclosure statement in the form of a restrictive covenant under section 219 of the Land Title Act must be placed on title of all newly created lots located partially or wholly within the DPA. This covenant must specify that the lot is located near a farming area, that the following impacts can be expected:
   - Noise from farm operations at various times of the day, including propane cannons and other devices used to deter wildlife
   - Farm odours and chemical spray
   - Unappealing aesthetic appearance of fields (unkempt, storage of materials, etc.)
Light from greenhouses
and that the following restrictions apply:

- Vegetated buffers are to be maintained
- No habitable structures shall be built within 30m of the boundary of the ALR

2. The [Local government] may consider variances to subdivision or building and structure siting or size regulations to enable developments to meet the objectives of this DPA.

**Subdivision Design:**

1. Subdivision design must minimize potential negative impacts that may occur between farm and non-farm land users. Subdivision design and construction must minimize erosion. Ground water quality and levels shall be maintained through an integrated stormwater management plan prepared by a professional engineer or qualified professional.

2. Subdivisions must be designed to allow for clustering of lots, buildings and structures away from agricultural land.

3. Where a subdivision will require 5% parkland dedication as stipulated in section 941 of the *Local Government Act*, the dedication should be located next to the ALR boundary and include the required landscape buffer.

4. New single family residential lots larger than 0.10 ha must not be located along the boundary of the ALR.

5. Road endings or stubs which point directly into the ALR are not permitted except where required for access by farm vehicles.

6. Half roads and half cul-de-sacs along the boundary of the ALR shall not be permitted.

7. The road pattern must be designed in such a way to direct urban traffic away from routes used by farmers to move equipment.

8. Extensions of utilities such as water and sewer lines into the ALR are not permitted.

9. Public and strata open spaces should be located next to the boundary of the ALR, with the required landscape buffer forming part of the open space. Open spaces should be designed for water retention capacity and stormwater attenuation.

**Stormwater Management:**

1. Applications for development that create more than ___m² of impervious surface must include an integrated stormwater management plan and/or drainage plan prepared by a Professional Engineer or other Qualified Professional. This plan must outline any expected changes to the drainage regime that will result from the proposed development, and identify any conditions that should be incorporated into the development permit to protect property from flooding, erosion or from other undesirable impacts as a result of changes to stormwater runoff. Particular attention should be paid to ensuring that drainage changes will not result in detrimental impacts such as

Local governments can do much to reduce speculation (which increases development pressure and the cost of farm-land) by asking for farm-friendly subdivision designs.

Large single family lots tend to generate more farm practices complaints than smaller lots or townhouse or apartment developments.

The amount of impervious surface is left blank for local governments to fill in based on their local topography and soils.
flooding or reduced groundwater availability on agricultural lands. Wherever possible, the plan should include stormwater detention and slow release into the system, and/or rainwater harvesting for on-site needs (such as landscaping).

2. Open spaces with landscaped buffers that are designed with water retention capacity or adequate rainwater/storm drainage system shall be located along the ALR edge.

3. Alteration of natural drainage systems that disrupt the natural hydrological cycle shall not be permitted.

4. Development must not result in the pollution of surface or groundwater supplies.

Building Location:

1. No residential building shall be located within 30m of the boundary of the ALR.

2. No commercial and industrial building shall be located within 15m of the boundary of the ALR.

3. Parks and nature reserves situated adjacent to the ALR should be designed to locate active recreation facilities, such as playing fields, as far as possible from the boundary of the ALR.

4. Passive recreation and parking facilities with permeable surfaces could be located near the boundary of the ALR provided there is a vegetated buffer that will inhibit trespass along the boundary.

5. Applications to locate any of the above noted buildings, structures or recreational facilities closer than stipulated above shall be accompanied by an assessment completed by a qualified professional outlining how the objectives of the DPA will still be met.

6. Buildings and structures must be clustered away from the boundary of the ALR.

Landscaping:

1. For parcels located immediately adjacent to the ALR, a vegetated buffer must be provided and maintained parallel to and along the urban side of the ALR boundary in accordance with the following criteria:

   ▶ All vegetated buffers intended to screen residential development from ALR lands must be continuous and be a minimum 15m in width as measured as a perpendicular distance from the ALR boundary.

   ▶ All vegetated buffers intended to screen commercial or industrial uses from ALR lands must be continuous and be a minimum 8m in width as measured as a perpendicular distance from the ALR boundary.


15m vegetated buffers are effective at mitigating the impact of noise, and intercepting dust and chemical sprays, as well as preventing trespass (with appropriate plant selection) and providing a visual screen. 8m vegetated buffers will mitigate the impacts to a level acceptable in non-residential areas, but will not mitigate the full suite of impacts.
All buffer areas must be landscaped using materials set out in Appendix B of the BCMA Guide to Edge Planning. If appropriate vegetation already exists on the site it must be retained as part of the buffer. Existing vegetation may serve as the entire buffer, provided a registered landscape architect has provided a report stating that it will meet the objectives of this development permit area.

Plant layout, spacing and support must be in accordance with the BCMA Guide to Edge Planning, Section 3.6 Urban-side Buffers - Design Specifications and Layout.

The design and construction of the landscaped buffer must be to the standard of the BC Society of Landscape Architects/BC Nursery Trades Association publication BC Landscape Standards, most recent edition.

Irrigation must be provided during the first 2 years after planting and permanent irrigation must installed where the landscape architect indicates it is necessary to ensure long term plant survival.

Vegetated buffers shall be installed prior to final subdivision registration or the issuance of any building permit. A letter of credit should be deposited with the [local government] in an amount equal to 150% of the cost of the work to be completed.

Paths and/or passive recreational uses should typically not be part of the vegetated buffer. Paths and/or passive recreational uses that are necessary to complete a trail network or that form part of a parks or trail plan may be included as part of a vegetated buffer; however, they must not take up more than one-third the width of the buffer and must be located away from the ALR boundary. The remaining two-thirds of the buffer must be designed with special attention to inhibiting trespass onto ALR land and a registered landscape architect must certify that the overall effectiveness of the buffer will be the same as if the entire width were vegetated and that it will meet the objectives of the development permit area.

If adequate fencing does not currently exist, fencing must be constructed where a subdivision adjoins the ALR boundary. Fencing must be constructed in accordance with local government standards or the BCMA Guide to Edge Planning, Appendix C;

Provide landscaping with trees, including coniferous trees, as a major landscaping component, as well as dense vegetation, within the required landscaped buffer. Wherever possible, double rows of trees should be planted. Any existing mature trees within the buffer area are to be preserved. A majority of the plant material selected should include low maintenance, indigenous vegetation and should be able to survive with little or no fertilizers.

For added effectiveness of the buffer, consider provision of a low landscaped berm as part of the buffer. In the absence of a natural barrier such as an existing watercourse or ravine next to the agricultural area, a continuous fence along the edge of the agricultural area should be installed and maintained. A transparent fence (e.g. a split rail or picket fence) in combination with a dense and continuous evergreen hedge is preferred. A chain link fence may be

The letter of credit is to ensure that the landscaping is completed by the developer or that the local government will have funds to plant the buffer should the developer be unable to complete it for whatever reason.
provided only if it is combined with dense landscaping or a hedge on the outside.

Where possible, existing landscaping or native vegetation that meets the intent of these guidelines should be retained. Landscape plans should:

- Integrate and augment any existing landscape; and
- Retain existing trees and integrate them into the proposed site and landscape design.

2. A buffer maintenance plan must be developed and signed off by a registered landscape architect or registered professional biologist with experience in developing landscaping maintenance plans.

3. A section 219 covenant as per the *Land Title Act* for the buffer specified in the Farmland Protection Development Protection Area Design Guidelines must be registered on title. This covenant shall prohibit the removal of vegetation and the construction of, or addition to, any buildings or structures within the buffer area other than fencing in accordance with local government standards or the BCMA Guide to Edge Planning. Under section 22 of the Agricultural Land Commission Act, this covenant may require the Commission’s approval, prior to registration.

4. All landscaping should meet the British Columbia Landscape Standard published by the British Columbia Society of Landscape Architects and the British Columbia Nursery Trades Association and should be covered by a performance bond for a period of two years from the date of final installation, in order to ensure survival or replacement of plantings. All landscaping should be maintained in perpetuity.

5. Surface parking or roads abutting agricultural lands require a minimum 7.5 metre (24.6 feet) wide landscaped buffer to separate the paved surface from the agricultural area. Buildings or structures should not be built within the buffer area.
Appendix B – Buffer Plant List

The plants in this list have been chosen for their fast growth, disease resistance, and hardiness. The ‘Notes’ column highlights special traits of certain species to aid in selecting the appropriate plant for a particular buffer. Species highlighted in yellow have leaf and form characteristics that make them good spray drift barriers. Species that are native are identified in the Notes column. Plant materials not included in this list may also be considered. Retention of existing vegetation when compatible with adjacent farm operations is encouraged. Ultimately, the selection of plants will depend on the site specific conditions.

General Requirements

1. The following plant list indicates the minimum acceptable size for each species/variety at the time of planting. Where shortages occur, smaller size plant material may be considered.

2. All plants must be true to name, type and form. Plants must be compact and properly proportioned.

3. All plants must be healthy with vigorous root systems and free of defects, decay, disfigured roots, sun scald injuries, abrasions of the bark, plant diseases and insect pests.

4. Trees must have straight stems unless that is uncharacteristic and must be well branched for the species/variety.

5. Root balls and soil in containers must be free from noxious weeds.

6. Immediately following planting of trees, all trees shall be braced in an upright position, using stakes with ties as shown on the following page. Tree stakes and straps shall be removed once the trees are stable. Tree stakes and straps should remain for a maximum of two years.

7. A maintenance plan must be developed and procedures must be undertaken for all buffer plantings on a regular basis during the growing season.

8. Weeds in the planted areas must be prevented from becoming a problem; weed removal at least once per month during the growing season is recommended.

9. Pests and diseases that have the potential to damage or kill the trees or shrubs must be controlled.

10. If the area receives limited rainfall during the growing season, some form of irrigation must be used.
Staking for Deciduous / Coniferous Trees

Applies to deciduous trees with <6 cm caliper; coniferous trees <2.5 m height

1. All support stakes shall be equally spaced about each tree, shall be pressure treated, be standard 50-70 mm round, and a minimum of 2440 mm in length.

2. Support stake shall be driven vertically into the ground a minimum of 940 mm and support at least 1500 mm of the tree stem.

3. Soft Strapping shall be used to connect each support stake to the tree trunk.

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>25 mm soft strapping</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>50-70 mm round pressure treated stakes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Botanical Name</td>
<td>Common Name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acer platanoides ‘Crimson King’</td>
<td>Norway Maple</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Emerald Queen’</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Summershade’</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. pseudoplatanus</td>
<td>Sycamore Maple</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. rubrum ‘Armstrong’</td>
<td>Red Maple</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘October Glory’</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Schlesingeri’</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Shade King’</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. saccharum</td>
<td>Sugar Maple</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aesculus x carnea ‘briotitii’</td>
<td>Red Horsechestnut</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Betula papyrifera</td>
<td>Paper Birch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cercidiphyllum japonicum</td>
<td>Katsura Tree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Davidia involucrata</td>
<td>Handkerchief or Dove Tree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fagus sylvatica</td>
<td>European Beech</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Laciniata’</td>
<td>Cutleaf Beech</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Purpurea’</td>
<td>Purple Beech</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Riversii’</td>
<td>Copper Beech</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fraxinus pennsylvanica</td>
<td>Green Ash or Red Ash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Larix kaempferi</td>
<td>Japanese Larch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. occidentalis</td>
<td>Western Larch or Tamarack</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquidambar styraciflua ‘Palo Alto’</td>
<td>American Sweet Gum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnolia kobus</td>
<td>Magnolia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metasequoia glyptostroboides</td>
<td>Dawn Redwood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plantanus x acerifolia</td>
<td>London Planetree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Deciduous Trees – Tall (>15 m)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Botanical Name</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
<th>Hardiness</th>
<th>Planting Size</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Populus tremuloides</em></td>
<td>Quaking Aspen</td>
<td>to zone 1</td>
<td>“</td>
<td>Fast growth. Has aggressive water-seeking roots - set well back from drainage systems, full sun, Native</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Prunus sargentii</em></td>
<td>Sargent Cherry</td>
<td>to zone 4</td>
<td>“</td>
<td>Hardy, full sun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Quercus palustris</em></td>
<td>Pin Oak</td>
<td>“</td>
<td>7 cm. calliper</td>
<td>2’ a yr growth, full sun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Q. rubra</em></td>
<td>Red Oak</td>
<td>to zone 3</td>
<td>“</td>
<td>2’ a yr growth, full sun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Robinia pseudoacacia ‘frisia’</em></td>
<td>Black Locust or Frisia Black Locust</td>
<td>“</td>
<td>“</td>
<td>Fast growth, very hardy. Has aggressive water-seeking roots - set well back from drainage systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Botanical Name</td>
<td>Common Name</td>
<td>Hardiness</td>
<td>Planting Size</td>
<td>Notes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acer campestre</td>
<td>Field or Hedge Maple</td>
<td>to zone 5</td>
<td>5 cm cal.</td>
<td>Full to partial sun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. circinatum</td>
<td>Vine Maple</td>
<td>to zone 4</td>
<td>2.0 m ht.</td>
<td>Full to partial shade, Native</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. davidii</td>
<td>David's Maple</td>
<td>to zone 5</td>
<td>“”</td>
<td>Full to part sun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. ginnala</td>
<td>Amur Maple</td>
<td>to zone 2</td>
<td>“”</td>
<td>“”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. glabrum var. douglasii</td>
<td>Douglas Maple</td>
<td>to zone 3</td>
<td>“”</td>
<td>Part shade to full sun, tolerates dry conditions, Native</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. negundo</td>
<td>Boxelder</td>
<td>to zone 2</td>
<td>“”</td>
<td>Fast growth: 15 - 20’ in 4-6 yrs. Shade tolerant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amelanchier laevis</td>
<td>Shadbush</td>
<td>to zone 4</td>
<td>“”</td>
<td>Full sun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Betula jacquemontii</td>
<td>Whitebarked Himalayan Birch</td>
<td>to zone 1</td>
<td>5 cm cal.</td>
<td>Rapid suckering, full sun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carpinus betulus</td>
<td>European Horn-beam</td>
<td>to zone 4</td>
<td>“”</td>
<td>Good screening as a hedge, full to partial sun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cercis canadensis</td>
<td>Eastern Redbud</td>
<td>to zone 5</td>
<td>“”</td>
<td>Requires good drainage, full to partial sun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cornus mas</td>
<td>Cornelian Cherry</td>
<td>to zone 4</td>
<td>“”</td>
<td>Good screening as a hedge, full to partial sun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. nuttallii ‘White Wonder’</td>
<td>Pacific Dogwood</td>
<td>“”</td>
<td>“”</td>
<td>Full or partial sun, Native</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fagus sylvatica</td>
<td>European Beech</td>
<td>to zone 4</td>
<td>5 cm cal.</td>
<td>Trim as hedge to retain leaves through winter, full sun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Dawyckii’</td>
<td>Dawyck Beech</td>
<td>“”</td>
<td>“”</td>
<td>“”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Zlatia’</td>
<td>Golden Beech</td>
<td>“”</td>
<td>“”</td>
<td>“”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Halesia monticola</td>
<td>Mountain Silver-bell</td>
<td>to zone 5</td>
<td>“”</td>
<td>Full to partial sun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maackia amurensis</td>
<td>Amur maackia</td>
<td>to zone 4</td>
<td>2.0 m ht.</td>
<td>Full sun, wet soil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnolia dawsoniana</td>
<td>Dawson Magnolia</td>
<td>to zone 7</td>
<td>2.0 m ht.</td>
<td>Full sun or full shade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. sieboldii</td>
<td>Oyama Magnolia</td>
<td>“”</td>
<td>“”</td>
<td>Shade tolerant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxydendron arboreum</td>
<td>Sorrel Tree or Sourwood</td>
<td>to zone 5</td>
<td>2.0 m ht.</td>
<td>Full to partial sun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prunus emarginata</td>
<td>Bitter Cherry</td>
<td>6 cm cal.</td>
<td>“”</td>
<td>Full sun, moist soil, Native</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prunus padus</td>
<td>European Bird Cherry</td>
<td>to zone 3</td>
<td>6 cm cal.</td>
<td>Full sun, do not plant in tree fruit production areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. subhirtella</td>
<td>Higan Cherry</td>
<td>“”</td>
<td>6 cm cal.</td>
<td>Full sun, do not plant in tree fruit production areas</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Deciduous Trees – Small to Medium (<15 m)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Botanical Name</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
<th>Hardiness</th>
<th>Planting Size</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P. x yedoensis ‘Akebono’</td>
<td>Daybreak Cherry or Akebono Yoshino Cherry</td>
<td>to zone 6</td>
<td>“</td>
<td>“</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhamnus purshiana</td>
<td>Cascara Buckthorn</td>
<td>to zone 7</td>
<td>1 m ht.</td>
<td>Shade, drought tolerant, Native</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salix discolor</td>
<td>Pussy Willow</td>
<td>to zone 4</td>
<td>1.5-2 m ht.</td>
<td>Fast growth, dry to moist sites, full to partial sun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salix glauca</td>
<td>Gray Willow</td>
<td>to zone 4</td>
<td>1 m ht.</td>
<td>Full sun, moist soil, salt and compaction tolerant, Native</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salix lucida</td>
<td>Pacific Willow</td>
<td>to zone 5</td>
<td>“</td>
<td>Full to partial sun, moist soil, Native</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salix scouleriana</td>
<td>Scouler's Willow</td>
<td>to zone 6</td>
<td>“</td>
<td>Full to partial sun, moist soil, Native</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salix sitchensis</td>
<td>Sitka Willow</td>
<td>to zone 4</td>
<td>“</td>
<td>Full to partial sun, moist soil, Native</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sophora japonica ‘Regent’</td>
<td>Regent Pagoda Tree or Japanese Pagoda Tree</td>
<td>to zone 4</td>
<td>5 cm cal.</td>
<td>Fast growth: 10 - 12’ in 5 yrs. Hardy, full sun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sorbus aucuparia ‘Rosedale’</td>
<td>European Mountain Ash</td>
<td>to zone 3</td>
<td>“</td>
<td>Full sun, do not plant in tree fruit production areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stewartia pseudocamellia</td>
<td>Japanese Stewartia</td>
<td>to zone 5</td>
<td>“</td>
<td>Partial sun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Styrax japonicus</td>
<td>Japanese Snowdrop or Snowbell</td>
<td>“</td>
<td>“</td>
<td>Fast growth: 10’ in 7 yrs, full to partial sun.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tilia x euchlora</td>
<td>Crimean Linden</td>
<td>to zone 4</td>
<td>“</td>
<td>Full to partial sun</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Coniferous Trees – Tall (>15 m)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Botanical Name</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
<th>Hardiness</th>
<th>Planting Size</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. concolor</td>
<td>Colorado White Fir</td>
<td>to zone 4</td>
<td>“”</td>
<td>Full sun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. pinsapo</td>
<td>Spanish Fir</td>
<td>to zone 6</td>
<td>“”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. grandis</td>
<td>Grand Fir</td>
<td>to zone 6</td>
<td>2.0 m ht.</td>
<td>Full to partial sun, moist soil, <strong>Native</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calocedrus decurrens</td>
<td>Incense Cedar</td>
<td>“”</td>
<td>2.0 m ht.</td>
<td>Full to partial sun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cedrus atlantica</td>
<td>Atlas Cedar</td>
<td>“”</td>
<td>2.0 m ht.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. deodara</td>
<td>Deodar Cedar</td>
<td>“”</td>
<td>“”</td>
<td>Full sun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chamaecyparis nootkatensis</td>
<td>Nootka Cypress or Alaska Yellow Cedar</td>
<td>to zone 4</td>
<td>“”</td>
<td>Full sun, <strong>Native</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Lutea’</td>
<td>Yellow Cypress</td>
<td>“”</td>
<td>“”</td>
<td>Full sun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cryptomeria japonica</td>
<td>Japanese Cryptomeria or Japanese Cedar</td>
<td>to zone 6</td>
<td>“”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Picea abies</td>
<td>Norway Spruce</td>
<td>to zone 2</td>
<td>2.0 m ht.</td>
<td>Fast growth, full sun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. glauca</td>
<td>White Spruce</td>
<td>to zone 1</td>
<td>“”</td>
<td>Hardy, full sun, <strong>Native</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. pungens</td>
<td>Colorado Spruce</td>
<td>to zone 2</td>
<td>2.0 m ht.</td>
<td>Hardy; somewhat drought tolerant, full sun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. stitchensis</td>
<td>Sitka Spruce</td>
<td>to zone 7</td>
<td>“”</td>
<td>Full to partial sun, <strong>Native</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pinus contorta</td>
<td>Lodgepole Pine or Shore Pine</td>
<td>to zone 4</td>
<td>2.0 m ht.</td>
<td>Full sun, not suitable for coastal areas, <strong>Native</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. nigra</td>
<td>Austrian Pine</td>
<td>“”</td>
<td>“”</td>
<td>Hardy, full sun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. ponderosa</td>
<td>Ponderosa Pine</td>
<td>to zone 3</td>
<td>“”</td>
<td>Full sun, <strong>Native</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. strobus</td>
<td>White Pine or Eastern White Pine</td>
<td>“”</td>
<td>2.0 m ht.</td>
<td>Fast growth, full sun, use blister rust resistant stock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. sylvestris</td>
<td>Scotch Pine</td>
<td>to zone 2</td>
<td>“”</td>
<td>Full sun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. thunbergii</td>
<td>Japanese Black Pine</td>
<td>to zone 5</td>
<td>“”</td>
<td>Good wind break. Tolerates poor sandy soils; drought tolerant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudotsuga menziesii</td>
<td>Douglas Fir</td>
<td>Rocky Mtn type to zone 4; Pacific type to zone 6</td>
<td>“”</td>
<td>Full sun, very dry to moist montane sites, <strong>Native</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sequoia sempervirens</td>
<td>Coast Redwood</td>
<td>to zone 7</td>
<td>“”</td>
<td>Full sun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sequoiadendron giganteum</td>
<td>Giant Redwood or Sierra Redwood</td>
<td>to zone 6</td>
<td>“”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Botanical Name</td>
<td>Common Name</td>
<td>Hardiness</td>
<td>Planting Size</td>
<td>Notes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thuja plicata</td>
<td>Western Red Cedar</td>
<td>to zone 5</td>
<td>&quot;&quot;</td>
<td>Few diseases and insects, Native</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tsuga heterophylla</td>
<td>Western Hemlock</td>
<td>&quot;&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;&quot;</td>
<td>Full sun, Native</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. mertensiana</td>
<td>Mountain Hemlock</td>
<td>to zone 4</td>
<td>2.0 m ht.</td>
<td>Full sun, Native</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tilia x euchlora</td>
<td>Crimean Linden</td>
<td>to zone 4</td>
<td>&quot;&quot;</td>
<td>Full sun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Botanical Name</td>
<td>Common Name</td>
<td>Hardiness</td>
<td>Planting Size</td>
<td>Notes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amelanchier florida</td>
<td>Saskatoon Berry</td>
<td>to zone 4</td>
<td>#2 pot</td>
<td>Prefers well drained sites, Native</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buddleia davidii</td>
<td>Butterfly Bush</td>
<td>to zone 5</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>Fast growth, full sun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caragana arborescens</td>
<td>Siberian Peashrub</td>
<td>to zone 2</td>
<td>#5 pot</td>
<td>Hardy, full sun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clethra alnifolia</td>
<td>Sweet Bush</td>
<td>to zone 4</td>
<td>#2 pot</td>
<td>Good shade plant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cornus alba</td>
<td>Tartarian Dogwood</td>
<td>to zone 2</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>Fast growth, full to partial sun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. sanguinea</td>
<td>Tartarian Dogwood</td>
<td>to zone 4</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>Full to partial sun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. stolonifera</td>
<td>Red Osier Dogwood</td>
<td>to zone 2</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>Fast growth, full sun, Native</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corylus cornuta var. Calif</td>
<td>Beaked Hazelnut</td>
<td>to zone 4</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>Full to partial sun, Native</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cotinus coggygria ‘Royal Purple’</td>
<td>Smokebush</td>
<td>to zone 5</td>
<td>#5 pot</td>
<td>Drought resistant, full sun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cotoneaster acutifolius</td>
<td>Peking Cotoneaster</td>
<td>to zone 2</td>
<td>#1 pot</td>
<td>Full to partial sun, do not plant in tree fruit production areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. lucidus</td>
<td>Hedge Cotoneaster</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>#1 pot</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elaeagnus commutata</td>
<td>Silverberry</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>#5 pot</td>
<td>Fast growth; suckers, full sun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euonymus alata</td>
<td>Winged Burning Bush</td>
<td>to zone 3</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>Can be invasive, full to partial sun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forsythia x intermedia</td>
<td>Border Forsythia</td>
<td>to zones 4-6</td>
<td>#2 pot</td>
<td>Fast growth, full to partial sun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamamelis virginiana</td>
<td>Common Witchhazel</td>
<td>to zone 3</td>
<td>#5 pot</td>
<td>Full to partial sun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holodiscus discolor</td>
<td>Creambush</td>
<td>to zone 5</td>
<td>#2 pot</td>
<td>Full to partial sun, dry to moist sites, Native</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrangea paniculata ‘grandiflora’</td>
<td>PeeGee Hydrangea</td>
<td>to zone 3</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>Fast growth, full to partial sun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kolkwizia amabilis</td>
<td>Beauty Bush</td>
<td>to zone 4</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>Full to partial sun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lonicera korolkowii ‘zabellii’</td>
<td>Zabel’s Honey suckle</td>
<td>to zone 2</td>
<td>#2 pot</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. maackii</td>
<td>Amur Honey suckle</td>
<td>to zone 2</td>
<td>#2 pot</td>
<td>Full to partial sun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. tartarica ‘Rosea’</td>
<td>Tartarian Honey suckle</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>Vigorous, full to partial sun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oemleria cerasiformis</td>
<td>Indian Plum</td>
<td>to zone 6</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>Full sun, dry to moist soil, Native</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philadelphus x virginalis</td>
<td>Mock Orange</td>
<td>to zone 4</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>Full to partial sun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Botanical Name</td>
<td>Common Name</td>
<td>Hardiness</td>
<td>Planting Size</td>
<td>Notes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physocarpus capitatus</td>
<td>Pacific Ninebark</td>
<td>to zone 5</td>
<td>#5 pot</td>
<td>Tolerates drought on coast but prefers moist soil, full to partial sun, Native</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prunus tomentosa</td>
<td>Manchu Cherry</td>
<td>to zone 2</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>Full sun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. triloba ‘Multiplex’</td>
<td>Chin. Flwring Almnd</td>
<td>to zone 3</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>Full to partial sun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ribes alpinum</td>
<td>Alpine Current</td>
<td>to zone 2</td>
<td>#2 pot</td>
<td>Very hardy, full to partial sun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. sanguineum</td>
<td>Flowering Current</td>
<td>to zone 5</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>Full sun, drought tolerant, Native</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rubus parviflorus</td>
<td>Thimbleberry</td>
<td>to zone 3</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>Full sun, Native</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rubus spectabilis</td>
<td>Salmonberry</td>
<td>to zone 5</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>Full to partial sun, moist soil, Native</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salix hookeriana</td>
<td>Hooker Willow</td>
<td>to zone 6</td>
<td>#5 pot</td>
<td>Full sun, moist soil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sambucus canadensis</td>
<td>American Elderberry</td>
<td>to zone 3</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>Fast growth, full or partial sun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. racemosa</td>
<td>Red Elderberry</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>Full sun, wet soil, Native</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symphoricarpos albus</td>
<td>Snowberry</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>Full to partial sun, moist soil, Native</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sorbaria sorbifolia</td>
<td>Ural False Spirea</td>
<td>to zone 2</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>Fast growth, full to partial sun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spiraea douglasii</td>
<td>Pacific Hardhack</td>
<td>to zone 5</td>
<td>#2 pot</td>
<td>Full to partial sun, moist to dry soil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symphoricarpos albus</td>
<td>Common Snowberry</td>
<td>to zone 3</td>
<td>#2 pot</td>
<td>Full sun to full shade, moist to dry soil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syringa vulgaris (cult.)</td>
<td>Common Lilac</td>
<td>to zone 3</td>
<td>#5 pot</td>
<td>Full sun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viburnum x burkwoodii</td>
<td>Burkwood Viburnum</td>
<td>to zone 4</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>Full or partial sun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V. cassinoides</td>
<td>Witherod</td>
<td>to zone 2</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V. dentatum</td>
<td>Arrowwood</td>
<td>to zone 3</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V. opulus ‘Roseum’</td>
<td>Snowball Bush</td>
<td>to zone 2</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>Easy to grow, full to partial sun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V. trilobum</td>
<td>Amrinc Crnbry Bush</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weigelia x ‘Centennial’</td>
<td>Weigelia</td>
<td>to zone 4</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>Tolerates air pollution, full sun</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Hedging / Screening Shrubs – (Conifers & Broadleaf Evergreens)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Botanical Name</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
<th>Hardiness</th>
<th>Planting Size</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arbutus unedo</td>
<td>Strawberry Tree</td>
<td>to zone 8</td>
<td>#5 pot</td>
<td>Full sun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camellia japonica (var.)</td>
<td>Japanese Camellia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Full or partial sun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceanothus velutinus</td>
<td>Snowbrush</td>
<td>to zone 5</td>
<td></td>
<td>Full sun, dry to moist soil, Native</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chamaecyparis lawsoniana</td>
<td>‘Ellwoodii’</td>
<td>to zone 5</td>
<td>#5 pot</td>
<td>Excellent hedge, even in exposed or shady positions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cotoneaster salicifolius</td>
<td>Willowleaf Cotoneaster</td>
<td>to zone 6</td>
<td></td>
<td>Full to partial sun, do not plant in tree fruit production areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cryptomeria japonica ‘El-egans’</td>
<td>Plume Cryptomeria or Plume Cedar</td>
<td>to zone 6</td>
<td></td>
<td>Vigorous, full to partial sun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cupressus macrocarpa</td>
<td>Monterey Cypress</td>
<td>to zone 7</td>
<td></td>
<td>Full sun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elaeagnus x ebbingei</td>
<td>Silverberry or Ebbinge’s Silverberry</td>
<td>to zone 7</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ideal shelter belt; large leaves, full to partial sun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. pungens ‘Maculata’</td>
<td>Thorny Elaeagnus or Silverberry</td>
<td>to zone 6</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fast growth; drought tolerant, full to partial sun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Escallonia rubra</td>
<td>Escallonia</td>
<td>to zone 8</td>
<td></td>
<td>Full to partial sun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juniperus virginiana</td>
<td>Eastern Red Cedar</td>
<td>to zone 2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Should not be used near orchards due to susceptibility to cedar-apple rust, full sun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ligustrum japonicum</td>
<td>Japanese Privet</td>
<td>to zone 8</td>
<td>#2 pot</td>
<td>Fast growth, full to partial sun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ligustrum ovalifolium</td>
<td>California Privet or Golden Privet</td>
<td>to zone 7</td>
<td></td>
<td>Loses leaves in cold areas, full sun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lonicera tartarica ‘Rosea’</td>
<td>Tartarian Honeysuckle</td>
<td>to zone 2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Vigorous, full to partial sun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osmanthus armatus</td>
<td>Chinese Osmanthus</td>
<td>to zone 7</td>
<td></td>
<td>Dense habit, spiny teeth, full sun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photinia x fraseri</td>
<td>Japanese Andromeda or Japanese Pieris</td>
<td>to zone 5</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fast growing, full sun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prunus laurocerasus</td>
<td>Cherry Laurel or English Laurel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vigorous, full to partial sun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Reynvaanii’</td>
<td>Russian Laurel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhododendron varieties</td>
<td>Rhododendron</td>
<td>most to zone 4</td>
<td>#7 pot</td>
<td>Can make good understory planting, partial sun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with mature height &gt; 1.5 m</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syringa vulgaris</td>
<td>Common Lilac</td>
<td>to zone 2</td>
<td>#5 pot</td>
<td>Suckers freely, full sun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxus x media ‘Hatfieldii’</td>
<td>Hatfield Yew</td>
<td>to zone 4</td>
<td>1.5 m ht.</td>
<td>Tolerates shade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Hicksii’</td>
<td>Hick’s Yew</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thuja occidentalis ‘Aureospica’</td>
<td>White Cedar or American Arborvitae</td>
<td>to zone 2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Thrives in almost any well-drained soil, full sun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Brandon’</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Botanical Name</td>
<td>Common Name</td>
<td>Hardiness</td>
<td>Planting Size</td>
<td>Notes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>‘Fastigiata’</td>
<td>Pyramidal Cedar</td>
<td>“</td>
<td>“</td>
<td>“</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tsuga canadensis</td>
<td>Canada Hemlock or Eastern Hemlock</td>
<td>to zone 3</td>
<td>1.5 m ht.</td>
<td>Shade tolerant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaccinium ovatum</td>
<td>Evergreen Huckleberry</td>
<td>to zone 7</td>
<td>#2</td>
<td>Full to partial sun, Native</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viburnum tinus ‘Robustum’</td>
<td>Laurustinus</td>
<td>to zone 8</td>
<td>#5 pot</td>
<td>Full to partial sun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Botanical Name</td>
<td>Common Name</td>
<td>Hardiness</td>
<td>Planting Size</td>
<td>Notes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berberis x ‘Chenaultii’</td>
<td>Chenault Barberry</td>
<td>to zone 4</td>
<td>#5 pot</td>
<td>Dense habit, full to partial sun, can be host to wheat stem rust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. darwinii</td>
<td>Darwin’s Barberry</td>
<td>to zone 7</td>
<td>“</td>
<td>Full to partial sun, can be host to wheat stem rust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. julianae</td>
<td>Wintergreen Barberry</td>
<td>to zone 6</td>
<td>“</td>
<td>Evergreen, full or partial sun, can be host to wheat stem rust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. x mentorensis</td>
<td>Mentor Barberry</td>
<td>to zone 5</td>
<td>“</td>
<td>Fast growth; no fruit, full to partial sun, can be host to wheat stem rust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chaenomeles speciosa</td>
<td>Flowering Quince</td>
<td>to zone 4</td>
<td>#5 pot</td>
<td>Full to partial sun, do not plant in tree fruit production areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elaeagnus pungens ‘Maculata’</td>
<td>Thorny Elaeagnus or Silverberry</td>
<td>to zone 7</td>
<td>“</td>
<td>Evergreen. Fast growth; drought resistant, full to partial sun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mahonia aquifolium</td>
<td>Oregon Grape</td>
<td>to zone 5</td>
<td>“</td>
<td>Evergreen, partial sun, can be host to wheat stem rust, Native</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. x ‘Charity’</td>
<td>Oregon Grape</td>
<td></td>
<td>“</td>
<td>Native</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osmanthus armatus</td>
<td>Chinese Osmanthus</td>
<td>to zone 7</td>
<td>“</td>
<td>Evergreen, full to partial sun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O. heterophyllus</td>
<td>Holly-Leaf Osmanthus</td>
<td>“</td>
<td>“</td>
<td>Full to partial sun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyracantha coccinea ‘Kasan’</td>
<td>Scarlet Firethorn</td>
<td>to zone 6</td>
<td>“</td>
<td>Full to partial sun, do not plant in tree fruit production areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. fortuneana ‘Cherri Berri’</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. x ‘Mohave’</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. x ‘O. Glow’</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosa acicularis</td>
<td>Prickly Rose</td>
<td>to zone 1</td>
<td>#2 pot</td>
<td>Full sun, Native</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosa gymnocarpa</td>
<td>Baldhip Rose</td>
<td>to zone 4</td>
<td>“</td>
<td>Full sun to full shade, dry to moist soils, Native</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosa nutkana</td>
<td>Nootka Rose</td>
<td></td>
<td>“</td>
<td>Full to partial sun, Native</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosa spp.</td>
<td>Shrub roses</td>
<td>to zones 2-4</td>
<td>“</td>
<td>Fast growers, full sun, do not plant in tree stone fruit production areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yucca filamentosa</td>
<td>Adam’s Needle</td>
<td>to zone 4</td>
<td>#5 pot</td>
<td>Full to partial sun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y. glauca</td>
<td>Soapweed</td>
<td>to zone 3</td>
<td>“</td>
<td>Full sun</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix C – Fencing Specifications

1: Solid Wood Fence

The following specifications are recommendations. A local government can use its own specifications if they meet or exceed the following specifications.

1. All posts and rails shall be rough sawn of “No. 1 Structural” grade, pressure treated with a wood preservative non-toxic to surrounding plant material, in accordance with CSA Standard 080.2 and compatible with staining requirements below.

2. All fence boards and planks shall be rough sawn of “Quality Fencing” grade, finished with penetrating stain with preservative, conforming to CGSB Standards 1-GP145M and 204M, applied to all surfaces prior to installation and on any cuts thereafter.

3. Line posts shall be minimum 8.0 ft. in length and at least (standard) 4” x 4”.

4. Corner posts shall be minimum 8.0 ft. in length and at least (standard) 6” x 6”.

5. Fence rails (min. 3) shall be maximum 7.5 ft. in length and at least (standard) 2” x 4”.

6. Cap rails shall be at least (standard) 2” x 6”. Cant to drain.

7. The finished height of opaque fencing shall be at least 6.0 ft.

8. All nails used in fence construction shall meet the following specifications:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>8.1 Minimum gauge of nails used - #9, common in post/rail connections</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8.2 Minimum gauge of nails used - #11.5, common in rail/fence board connections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8.3 Galvanized - CSA G164</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9. Line posts shall be placed no more than 8.0 ft. O.C. and be firmly anchored in the soil to a depth of not less than 2.0 ft.

10. The fence shall be constructed in accordance with these specifications and details provided in the drawings which forms part of these specifications.
2: Wire Fabric Fence with Two Strands Barbed Wire

1. All posts and brace poles shall be pressure treated in accordance with CSA Standard 080.5, using a wood preservative non-toxic to surrounding plant material.

2. Line posts shall be 8.0 ft. in length and 4” - 5” in diameter.

3. Corner and brace posts shall be 8.0 ft. in length and 5” - 6” in diameter.

4. Bracing poles shall be 3” - 4” in diameter.

5. All line and corner posts shall be machine pointed to permit driving of posts.

6. The wire mesh fencing material shall meet the following specifications:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>Minimum wire gauge - 12.5 A.W.G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>Overall Height - 48”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>Min. number of horizontal strands - 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>Max. spacing between horizontal strands - 8”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>Max. spacing between vertical stays - 16”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>Wire intersections of non-slip design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>Galvanized - CSA G164</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7. The barbed wire fencing material shall meet the following specifications:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>Number of strands - 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>Minimum wire gauge - 12.5 A.W.G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>Maximum spacing between barbs - 6”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>Number of points per barb - 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>Galvanized - CSA G164</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8. Brace wire shall meet the following specifications:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>Number of strands - 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>Minimum wire gauge - 12.5 A.W.G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>Galvanized - CSA G164</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9. The staples used in fence construction shall meet the following specifications:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>Minimum wire gauge - 9.0 A.W.G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>Minimum length - 1.75”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>Galvanized - CSA G164</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10. Line posts shall be placed no more than 10.0 ft. apart and be firmly anchored in the soil to a depth not less than 30”.

11. Corner brace assemblies shall be constructed as indicated in the drawings.

12. An intermediate brace assembly shall be constructed as shown in the drawings and spaced as required by terrain or every 660.0 ft.

13. Barbed wire shall be pre-stretched prior to tieing off. Tension wire to 600 lbs., relax to 250 lbs., then staple securely to brace assemblies. Securely staple barbed wire to line posts allowing for wire movement.

14. Wire mesh shall be stretched and securely attached by staples at each wire intersection with the brace assembly posts. At line posts, wire mesh shall be attached by staples at alternate wire intersections with posts. Securely staple to line posts allowing for wire movement.

15. Wire mesh and barbed wire shall be spaced as shown in the drawings.
16. The fence shall be constructed in accordance with these specifications and details provided in the drawings which forms part of these specifications.

3: Chain Link Fence

1. Line posts shall be constructed from 2" (50 mm) standard galvanized steel pipe (0.125" wall thickness), 8.5 ft. (2.5 m) in length. Galvanized to CSA G164 standard.

2. Corner and straining posts shall be constructed from 2.5" (64 mm) standard galvanized steel pipe (0.125" wall thickness), 10 ft. (3 m) in length. Galvanized to CSA G164 standard.

3. Diagonal corner bracing shall be constructed from 1.25" (32 mm) standard galvanized steel pipe. Galvanized to CSA G164 standard.

4. Posts shall be securely anchored in the soil using 2,500 PSI concrete extending from the soil surface to 6" (15 cm) below the bottom of the post. Posts shall be spaced no more than 8.0 ft. (2.5 m) O.C.

5. The chain link fencing material shall meet the following specifications:

   5.1 Minimum height: 5’ 8” (1.8 m)
   5.2 Minimum wire gauge: 11.0 AWG
   5.3 Maximum mesh size: 2” (50 mm x 50 mm)
5.4 Be galvanized (to CSA G164) or plastic coated

6. If barbed wire is deemed necessary, the material shall meet the following specifications:
   
   6.1 Number of strands: 2
   
   6.2 Minimum wire gauge: 12.5 AWG
   
   6.3 Maximum spacing between barbs: 6” (15 cm)
   
   6.4 Number of points per barb: 4
   
   6.5 Galvanized: CSA G164

7. All accessory materials shall meet the following specifications:
   
   7.1 Post caps and extension arms: of pressed steel or cast or malleable iron and galvanized to CSA G164 standard.
   
   7.2 Tension wire: bottom and top wires 6.0 gauge (5 mm) medium tensile galvanized wire.
   
   7.3 Tie wire: 9.0 gauge aluminum wire for mesh fixing to line posts.
   
   7.4 Hog ring clips: 9.0 gauge galvanized steel wire clips for mesh fixing to top and bottom tension.
   
   7.5 Tension bar: minimum ¼” x ¾” (6.25 mm x 19 mm) galvanized mild steel flat bar.
   
   7.6 Tension bands: 1/8” x ¾” (3 mm x 19 mm) galvanized formed mild steel flat bars with galvanized bolts and nuts for all tension bar fixing.

8. All terminal posts (posts at ends, corners or intersections), all line posts and any intermediate tensioning posts shall be set plumb into concrete footings in augured or dug holes to the depths and regular spacing.

9. All posts shall be securely fitted with the appropriate weather-tight caps and extension arms.

10. If top and bottom welded rails are not used, top and bottom tension wires shall be securely fixed taut and sag-free to terminal posts and any intermediate tensioning posts. Top tension wire shall pass through line post tops.

11. Intermediate tensioning assemblies shall be provided where terminal posts are more than 500.0 ft. (150 m) apart, and at any subsequent 500.0 ft (150 m) spacing to consist of a straining post with diagonal pipe braces to adjoining line posts each way.

12. Chain link fencing mesh shall be stretched between terminal posts and any intermediate tensioning posts using proper equipment, and secured with tension bars and bands, tie wire and clips. Joins in the length of wire mesh shall be made by weaving the mesh together with a single wire picket to form a neat continuous mesh.

13. If deemed necessary, barbed wire shall be installed in the slots of all extension arms and secured to extension arms at terminal and intermediate tensioning posts taut and free of sags.
Appendix D – Definitions for Farm-side Edge Planning Area Guidelines

Aerobic Decomposition means the microbiological conversion of organic matter in the presence of oxygen.

Agricultural Solid Waste means a by-product of agriculture and includes manure, used mushroom medium and agricultural vegetation waste.

Agricultural Unit means live weight of 455 kg (1000 lbs) of livestock, poultry, farmed game or fur-bearing animals or any combination of them equaling 455 kg, defined under the Code of Agricultural Practice for Waste Management, Environmental Management Act.

Agricultural Waste Water means water which contains any unwanted or unused products or by-products of agriculture such as milk, fertilizers, pesticides, detergents, acids, phosphates, chlorine, and manures.

Broiler Equivalents means 1.929 kg of live weight of chicken.

Category ‘A’ Noise Scare Device means a device used to protect crops and feed that creates an impulse sound generated from impacts or explosions and includes propane-fueled cannons. Firearms and shell launchers such as orchard pistols are not included.

Category ‘B’ Noise Scare Device means any stationary device used to protect crops and feed, not in Category ‘A’, which generates sounds to scare or disturb animals. Devices that broadcast animal calls or other sounds through loudspeakers are included in Category ‘B’. Firearms and shell launchers such as orchard pistols are not included.

Confined Livestock Area means an outdoor, non-grazing area where livestock, poultry, or farmed game is confined by fences, other structures or topography, and includes feedlots, paddocks, corrals, exercise yards, and holding areas, but does not include seasonal feeding areas, free range poultry at a density of less than 1 agricultural unit per 100 m\(^2\), horse riding rings, or exercise yards.

Enclosed Liquid Manure Storage means a liquid manure storage facility that excludes precipitation and is physically protected from wind.

Feed Lot means a fenced area where livestock, poultry or farmed game are confined solely for the purpose of growing or finishing, and are sustained by means other than grazing.

Feed Mill means a facility for processing and/or mixing animal feed inputs.

Free Range Layers means birds housed the same as free run layers and have access to a contained outdoor environment.

Free Run Layers means birds housed on the floor inside a barn with all litter or partial litter and total or partial raised wire or slatted flooring.

Game Birds means the following birds: guinea fowl, pheasant, partridge, pigeon, quail, silkies, squab, and tinamou.

Grazing Area means a pasture or rangeland where livestock, poultry or farmed game is primarily sustained by direct consumption of feed growing in the area.

Greenhouse means a structure covered with translucent material, used for the purpose of growing plants, and is of sufficient size for persons to work within the structure.

Manure means waste material excreted from animals including livestock, poultry, farmed game and fur bearing animals; and may include some agricultural waste water and/or associated bedding.

Manure, Liquid means manure that has a moisture content of 80% or higher.

Manure, Solid means manure that has a moisture content of less than 80%.

Meat Chickens means broiler, cornish and roaster birds.
Milk House means a farm building or farm structure used to cool or store milk or farm separated cream and to clean, sanitize, and store milking equipment used in the production and storage of milk or farm separated cream.

Milking Facilities means farm buildings or structures used on a dairy farm, including milking barns, milking rooms, milking parlours and milk houses.

Mushroom Medium means a composted mixture that is used for growing mushrooms.

On-farm Composting means composting of agricultural waste or raw materials, which may include manure, straw, vegetative waste, woodwaste, ground paper, other sources of carbon and nitrogen, and bulking agents, to generate finished compost but does not include production of mushroom medium.

Poultry means domesticated birds kept for eggs, meat, feathers, hide or cosmetic or medicinal purposes, and includes broilers, cornish, layers, breeding stock, replacement pullets, roasters, ducks, geese, turkeys, ostriches, emus and game birds.

Propane Fueled Cannons means automatic exploders powered by a gas, such as propane or butane, that produce sounds similar to shotgun blasts, used to scare birds and other wildlife.

Seasonal Feeding Area means an area
a) used for forage or other crop production and
b) used seasonally for feeding livestock, poultry or farmed game that is primarily sustained by supplemental feed, but does not include a confined livestock area or grazing area.

Shell Launchers means guns or orchard pistols that launch bird scaring shells instead of bullets to scare birds and other wildlife.

Small Ruminants includes llamas, alpacas, sheep and goats.

Soil Based Crops includes berry crops, vegetable crops, fruit trees, vineyards, forage crops, turf, specialty wood crops, nursery crops including nursery material grown in pots and excludes mushrooms and greenhouse crops.

Specialty Wood Crops means salix and populus species as prescribed by the Minister of Agriculture.

Sub-canopy Manure Deposition System means a method to apply liquid manure beneath the canopy of a growing crop and includes deep injection, shallow injection, and manure banding with or without soil aeration.

Wood waste means wood materials including hog fuel, mill ends, wood chips, bark, and sawdust, but excluding demolition waste, construction waste, tree stumps, branches, logs and log ends.
## Appendix E – Agricultural Units* Conversion Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Livestock</th>
<th>Sub Type</th>
<th>Information</th>
<th>Typical Top Weight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(lb’s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alpaca</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beef Cattle</td>
<td>Calf</td>
<td>0 to 8 months</td>
<td>506</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Feeder</td>
<td>9 mo to slaughter</td>
<td>1,320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cow</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,397</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bull</td>
<td></td>
<td>3,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dairy Cattle</td>
<td>Calf</td>
<td>0 to 6 mo</td>
<td>359</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Heifer</td>
<td>7 to 26 mo</td>
<td>1,173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cow</td>
<td>over 26 mo</td>
<td>1,397</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emu</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>94.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Game Birds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pheasant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pigeon</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quail</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silkie Chicken</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goat</td>
<td>Buck</td>
<td></td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Doe</td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kid</td>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hog</td>
<td>Piglet</td>
<td>0 to 21 day</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nursery (wean)</td>
<td>22 to 56 days</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wean to Finish</td>
<td>57 to 165 days</td>
<td>45-140/140-240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sow</td>
<td></td>
<td>451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horse</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Foal</td>
<td></td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Llama</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mink</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ostrich</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poultry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broiler</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broiler Breeder</td>
<td>Pullet</td>
<td>0 to 23 wk</td>
<td>5.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Layer</td>
<td>24 to 60 wk</td>
<td>9.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Layer</td>
<td>Pullet</td>
<td>0 to 18 wk</td>
<td>2.977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Layer</td>
<td>over 18 wk</td>
<td>4.180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duck</td>
<td>Pullet</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Layer</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Broiler</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breeder</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td></td>
<td>24.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td></td>
<td>62.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Livestock</td>
<td>Sub Type</td>
<td>Information</td>
<td>(lb’s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheep</td>
<td>Ewe</td>
<td></td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lamb</td>
<td>Spring</td>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Market</td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* 1 agricultural unit = 455 kg
Appendix F – Reference List – Building the Guide to Edge Planning

The consideration to develop ways to improve planning along the agriculture/urban edge began in 1997. Chapter 8 ‘Planning Along Agriculture’s Edge’ in “Planning for Agriculture” laid the initial groundwork for development of the edge planning tools and techniques found in this Guide. Below is a complete list of the literature and studies that helped to form the basis for the “Guide to Edge Planning”. Of particular note:

- Relevant federal and provincial legislation was reviewed to ensure that the guidelines and definitions were developed in a manner consistent with existing legislation;
- The ALC Landscaped Buffer Specifications formed the basis of the Guide to Edge Planning buffer specifications; and
- Extensive discussion and consultations was undertaken with BCMA staff when developing the farm-side management guidelines.
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