1. About this Series

There is strong scientific evidence that climate change will significantly affect British Columbia’s ecosystems. Therefore, adapting natural resource management to climate change is necessary to foster resilient ecosystems that continue to provide the services, products and benefits society relies on.

This extension note is part of a series that uses current climate change research to summarize, for each region, projected climate changes, impacts to ecosystems, and potential adaptation strategies. Where regional information is limited, information is drawn from provincial-scope research.

The intent of this extension note is to inform adaptation of natural resource planning and practices to climate change by providing best available information to resource professionals, licensees, and Government staff engaged in: operational planning and practices under the Forest and Range Practices Act and other natural resource legislation; monitoring effectiveness of adaptation practices; assessing cumulative effects; and, preparing climate change action plans. Endnotes provide references and further sources of information.

2. Provincial Overview

Climate: As a whole, BC has become warmer and wetter over the last century. Winter has warmed the most. Extreme rainfall and dry conditions have increased and snowpacks have decreased. Due to the effects of greenhouse gas emissions already in the atmosphere, climate scientists agree these warming trends will continue. By the end of this century, mean annual temperature in BC could be at least 1.7 to 4.6°C warmer than it was in the last few decades. More winter precipitation will likely fall as rain rather than snow, resulting in lower snowpacks, earlier and more rapid snowmelt, and longer fire seasons.

Regional differences: Northern and southern interior regions of BC are expected to warm more than coastal BC and parts of central BC. Winter precipitation is expected to increase in all regions, but summer precipitation is expected to increase in northern BC and decrease in southern and coastal BC.

Impacts: Ecosystems will likely undergo both predictable and unpredictable ecological shifts. Climate envelopes (the climate associated with an ecosystem today) for subalpine and alpine areas will diminish in most locations while those for grasslands, shrub-steppe and dry forested ecosystems are expected to expand. In response, ecological communities will disassemble and reassemble—sometimes into novel
combinations—as populations decline, move or adapt. Many species, including trees, will not be able to migrate quickly enough to keep pace with shifting climate. During this evolution, ecosystems will be strongly influenced by disturbances and invasive plants. **Natural disturbance** dynamics will change: likely changes include increased fire and drought in southern and coastal BC, increased storms and windthrow on the coast, and more frequent and extensive mortality due to bark beetles, defoliators and diseases across BC. Invasive species will increase. **Hydrological regimes** will shift due to increased evaporation, altered vegetation communities, increased storm frequency and magnitude, decreased snow accumulation, seasonal changes to precipitation, and accelerated ice melt followed by diminished glacier extent.

**Adaptation**: Many climate change adaptation strategies are similar across BC. With the exception of assisted migration, most strategies are not new, but rather are elements of ecosystem management that require broader application. Strategies to reduce risks to forest ecosystems include **promoting resilience** by maintaining or increasing diversity at all scales, **guiding ecological transformation** by maintaining landscape connectivity and assisting migration, **combating detrimental change** by controlling invasive plants and excessive disturbance, and **limiting cumulative effects** of multiple land-use activities. Strategies to reduce risks to forestry-dependent communities include **increasing monitoring** of change, **strategically harvesting** at-risk forests, managing fire in wildland-urban interfaces, increasing capacity of infrastructure to withstand extreme events, and **increasing community capacity** to respond to change (e.g., by economic diversification).

### 3. Description of Region

The Northeast Region includes the vast Northeastern Plains edged by the Rocky Mountains to the west. The mountains act as a barrier to Pacific influence. Unlike the rest of BC, the Northeast is dominated by continental air masses (both polar and arctic), and therefore experiences drier conditions and a large spread in temperatures. Winters are long and cold, and the growing season is relatively short. Precipitation is low except at high elevations in the southwest of the region. The boundary between mountains and plains represents an enduring feature that will shape ecosystems in any climate regime. A less obvious and perhaps less enduring boundary divides the northern and southern portions of the region.7

Current ecoregions, Taiga Plains, Boreal Plains, Northern Boreal Mountains, and Sub-boreal Interior, represent a reasonable division of the Northeast region into four broad climatically-relevant portions (Figure 1). At this broad scale, current forested biogeoclimatic (BEC) zones\(^8\) match the sections well: the **plains** sub-regions are dominated by BWBS with extensive muskeg, the **sub-boreal mountains** sub-region by ESSF, and the **northern boreal mountains** sub-region by SWB with BWBS in valleys. Alpine ecosystems are common in the mountains. Fires are extensive; hence, many forests are seral stands dominated by aspen. Non-forested scrub and boreal grassland occurs throughout the region, particularly in the north. For more information on BEC zones in this region, visit [BEC WEB.](#)
4. Climate Change Projections

Our understanding of climate change is improving continually as models are improved with new research and methods. Projections in this document are derived from the Pacific Climate Impacts Consortium’s regional climate summary for the Northeast Region and its Plan2Adapt tool for projecting future climate conditions.

The climate in the Northeast Region has changed more rapidly over the past century than the rest of the province and is expected to continue to change. Averaged across the region, over 2°C of warming has occurred during the 20th century, with most warming in winter. Projections suggest the region may warm, on average, an additional 1.8 to 4.6°C by the end of this century, similar to moving from Fort St. John to Williams Lake or Fort Nelson to Prince George (2.2° and 4.4°C warmer respectively).

**Significance of Increasing Temperatures**

While it is normal for temperatures to vary considerably between seasons or from day to night, even a fraction of a degree rise in temperatures, when averaged over decades, is significant for ecosystems. For example, the mountain pine beetle epidemic was triggered by a series of warm winters that accompanied an increase in average temperature of less than one degree over a century. Climate is changing an order of magnitude faster than Canada’s tree species can migrate or adapt.  

Over the Northeast Region, annual precipitation has generally increased over the past century, but has decreased in winter from 1951 – 2009. Currently, most precipitation (about 210 mm) falls in summer, the majority as convective showers or thunderstorms. Changes in precipitation are projected to be modest relative to historic variability, with slight increases in all seasons but little change in summer. Snowfall may increase in winter. The large percentage change in spring (March, April, May) snowfall is only about 10% of the annual snowfall and approximately 5% of total annual precipitation.
Summary of climate projections for the Northeast for the 2050s*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Climate variable</th>
<th>Change in Northeast</th>
<th>Variation within region</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (°C)</td>
<td>+1.8 (1.5 to 2.8)</td>
<td>Fairly consistent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer (°C)</td>
<td>+1.4 (1 to 2.6)</td>
<td>Fairly consistent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winter (°C)</td>
<td>+2.2 (0.7 to 3.4)</td>
<td>Slightly higher on plains and in north</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precipitation (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>+6 (0 to 16)</td>
<td>Slightly higher in northern mountains</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer</td>
<td>+4 (-6 to 13)</td>
<td>Higher in north</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winter</td>
<td>+11 (-6 to 22)</td>
<td>Fairly consistent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snowfall (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winter</td>
<td>+7 (-7 to 19)</td>
<td>Increase more in north</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring</td>
<td>-57 (-69 to -23)</td>
<td>Least decrease in northern mountains</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snowpack</td>
<td>Little change</td>
<td>Little change in north</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frost-free days</td>
<td>+16 (9 to 23)</td>
<td>Greater increase in mountains</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growing Degree Days</td>
<td>+226 (148 to 392)</td>
<td>Greater increase in plains</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extreme weather</td>
<td>More heat waves, summer drought, wildfires, heavy precipitation and windstorms¹¹</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Based on 1961-1990 baseline. Projected changes in temperature continue to increase past 2050. Source: PCIC Plan2Adapt tool. Projections are based on a combination of A2 and B1 emissions scenarios, where A2 represents roughly business as usual and B1 represents a more optimistic scenario with about 1/3 of emissions of business as usual. Median of 30 projections with range (in brackets) showing the 10th to 90th percentile of projected changes.¹²

Increased Variability and Extremes: Focusing on mean changes in temperature and precipitation can obscure important changes in climate variability and extremes. Climate projections are based on mean temperature and precipitation per season and do not reflect potentially large changes in variability. Even with constant variability, the frequency of extreme events will increase much more than a small change in mean temperature or precipitation would suggest.

Climate Variability and Extreme Events
Seemingly small increases in mean values of climate variables can substantially increase the probability of an extreme event. For example, increasing the mean by one standard deviation can lead to a more than 10-fold increase in extremes so that a 1 in 100 year event (e.g., flood) can become a 1 in 10 year event.¹³

5. Impacts to Ecosystems

Ecosystem Climate Envelopes
Climate envelopes describe the climatic conditions associated with currently mapped biogeoclimatic (BEC) subzone/variants.¹⁴ These envelopes help scientists and resource professionals integrate climate variables and visualise the potential extent and implications of climate change, but they do not predict what future ecosystems will look like for several reasons. First, ecosystems do not move as a unit;
second, current climate projections are based on average climate values, ignoring the extreme events that can shape ecosystem structure and composition; third, climate envelopes do not capture site-scale shifts well. Nonetheless, projections can help estimate the relative stress that climate change poses to an ecosystem and its potential to recover to a new functional state.

Climate envelopes are projected to shift upslope and northward across BC, resulting in expansion of some existing lower valley and plateau ecosystems, decline of higher elevation ecosystems, and creation of novel ecosystem assemblages. By the 2050s, climate envelopes for current Northeast biogeoclimatic zones are predicted to shift about 60 – 160 m upward in elevation and 10 – 175 km northward across their range (Figure 2). High elevation BEC zones across the Northeast will likely experience the highest stress, with ESSF, SWB and BAFA all projected to lose about two-thirds of their current area by the 2050s. The ESSF envelope is projected to move northward into current SWB, and so may be less stressed than SWB. The BWBS climate envelope is projected to lose little current area and to expand slightly inland and upslope. An ICH climate envelope is projected to move into southern valleys of the region.

![Figure 2](image)

**Figure 2.** Current BEC zone locations (based on BEC8) and projected future (2041-2070) BEC zone locations. Future map shows consensus of multiple projections. Maps retrieved from ClimateBC.

At a smaller site-level scale, the wettest and driest ecosystems may experience regime shifts. For example, some dry sites may shift from forest to scrub or grasslands. An increased frequency of large fire events, particularly late in the growing season when water tables are low and peats have dried, make organic substrate wetlands susceptible to changes in hydrology, plant community composition, and degradation of permafrost after a severe fire.

**Natural Disturbance**

The most profound changes to BC’s forests in this century are expected to be the result of more frequent and severe natural disturbances. Changes in **mean climatic conditions** support range expansion of forest pests that are currently limited by climate. Northeast ecosystems are likely to undergo shifts due to the combination of droughts and enhanced pest incidence. Stressed aspen would be more susceptible to mortality from agents such as aspen leaf-miner and forest tent caterpillar. A combination of increased windthrow occurrence and more frequent dry summers could lead to more
frequent outbreaks of the spruce bark beetle under future climates in the northeast. The limited number of tree species in the region means ecosystems may be more sensitive to pest outbreaks than more diverse ecosystems.

Changes in extreme weather events lead to increased abiotic and biotic disturbances. Although the Northeast is less likely to experience severe heat waves than southern portions of the province, and although precipitation is projected to increase on average, periods of relative drought projected for some sub-regions will influence fire hazard and drought stress, making trees more susceptible to insects and disease. Fewer extreme cold events will occur to inhibit insect outbreaks.

The increased prevalence of disturbance will vary by climatic sub-region, elevation and ecosystem type.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disturbance</th>
<th>Projected changes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Fire and drought                   | • Changes in fire regime are uncertain in this region. Depending on interactions between precipitation, temperature and growing season length, projections range from minor decrease to substantial increase.  
  • Reduced spring moisture availability and increased occurrence of late season drought events will potentially increase in length of fire season.  
  • Periods of drought may increase, leading to reduced water supplies for residents and resource based industries, and decreased forest regeneration success and increased tree mortality due to moisture stress. |
| Wind and mechanical damage         | • Damage to trees from ice and snow may proliferate with increased storms. Uncertainty is high.                                                                 |
| Hydrogeomorphic (flooding and mass wasting) | • Increased peak flows and sediment delivery may affect aquatic ecosystems and fish habitat as well as damage infrastructure. Uncertainty is high.  
  • Increased seasonal soil moisture due to snowmelt and rainfall may increase mass movement in susceptible terrain.  
  • Mountain permafrost degradation may lead to more frequent landslides.  
  • Loss of snow and ice at high elevation may increase mass wasting from increasing freeze-thaw of exposed rock in mountainous sub-regions. |
| Insects and disease                | • Spruce beetles may increase and move from a two-year to one-year cycle, increasing the probability of an outbreak.  
  • Broadleaf defoliators may increase and, combined with drought, increase the probability of regime shifts from deciduous parkland to grassland in BWBS ecosystems with limited moisture storage capacity.  
  • Willow may decline as the introduced willow stem borer spreads northward. |

**Hydrology**

The Northeast Region is expected to follow projected provincial trends in hydrology. Increased winter temperatures, increased precipitation, and reduced snowfall in spring (i.e., more rain) will likely shift the hydrological regime from snowmelt-driven to hybrid rain/snow-driven, leading to more frequent rain-on-snow events and smaller spring snowpacks. These changes will affect peak flows, sediment loads, and channel stability, reduce summer low flows, and increase the duration of the low-flow period, with consequent increased risk to fish and infrastructure.
Competition for limited water resources may increase as seasonal flow decreases; water allocation challenges may increase with climate change.

Loss of vegetation through natural or anthropogenic disturbance, combined with climate change, will cause cumulative effects. These cumulative effects may decrease the capacity of the landscape to buffer rainfall, increasing streamflow flashiness and potentially increasing sediment delivery and channel instability.

### Cumulative Effects

Cumulative effects are defined as changes to an ecosystem over time caused by a combination of **human activities, natural variability** and **climate change**. Assessment of cumulative effects integrates the effects of past, present and foreseeable future events and processes. FLNR has a **framework in place to guide assessment of the cumulative effects of resource management and climate change**. Such a framework provides the context for informed decisions about which management actions are most likely to succeed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Projected changes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Hydrological regime| • Glaciers and permafrost will thaw.  
• Shift from snowmelt-driven to hybrid rain/snow-driven.  
• Rain-on-snow events may give greater spring peak flows.  
• Altered timing of peak and low flows. |
| Peak flows          | • Timing of spring peak discharge will change.  
• Shift to hybrid regime could lead to more frequent high flows during fall and spring.  
• These flows could disturb the streambed and spawning habitat. |
| Spring recession    | • Loss of snow-driven regimes could reduce the spring recession (a relatively stable period of moderate flow and temperature), affecting the sorting and deposition of sediment and profoundly impacting aquatic habitat. |
| Low flows           | • Smaller spring snowpack and earlier spring freshet will lower summer low flows, lengthen the low-flow period, and decrease groundwater storage.  
• Temperature may increase in some streams and lakes, posing risk to temperature sensitive fish such as Arctic grayling. |
| Variability         | • Variability in peak flow will likely increase, leading to unstable stream morphology. |

### Flood Return Intervals

Small changes in mean climate can cause large changes in flooding frequency and magnitude. For example, current 50-year floods may become 5-year events and future 50-year floods might be what we now consider a 1,000-year flood.
Biodiversity

Climate shapes species distributions and ecological communities. Populations faced with a changed environment can die out, move, be displaced by encroaching species, or adapt to the new conditions. Many species that are adapted to projected future climates currently live several hundred kilometres distant from that area; only the fastest dispersers will be able to keep up with the pace of change. Most invasive plants and generalist weedy species are well-adapted for broad movement. For some ecosystems, potentially irreversible regime shifts may follow intense disturbances, particularly if invasive plants colonise and block historical successional paths.

Mountain ranges are particularly important for conservation of biodiversity. Relative to gentle terrain, mountains accommodate more climatic zones within close proximity; thus, as the climate changes, populations in lower elevation zones may find suitable climatic conditions by migrating upwards.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Projected changes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Cumulative effects         | • Past human activities, particularly development for shale gas, have altered, degraded and fragmented habitat in large areas of the northeast, making dispersal in response to climate-related disturbance more difficult for specialist species.  
• Human response to increased disturbance (e.g. extensive salvage harvesting) can exacerbate impacts of climate change.  
• Old forest will decline due to disturbance and harvesting, threatening associated species.  
• Without management intervention, climate change will exacerbate the effects of overgrazing and trampling, which impact grasslands and forest understory by changing the plant community, destroying the biological crust and opening soil to invasive plants. |
| Ecological communities     | • Communities will reassemble, often into new combinations, as some established species decline or disappear, new species colonise and interactions change.  
• Some ecosystems may undergo regime shifts (e.g. from aspen parkland to scrub or grassland).  
• Below-ground communities that support terrestrial vegetation may change.                                                                                                                                                                                  |
| Interactions               | • Ecological processes and relationships among species (e.g. predation, pollination) may uncouple as the timing of events changes and becomes more variable (e.g., if migration depends on day length, but prey abundance depends on temperature, nesting time may be uncoupled).                                                                                                   |
| Invasive species           | • Invasive species (plants and other organisms) are expected to increase as temperatures and disturbance increase.  
• Current indigenous species may be less competitive in the new climate and disturbance conditions, facilitating invasive plant population expansion.  
• Some locations in the Northeast already have invasive plant species capable of displacing native plant communities.                                                                                                               |
| Wildlife                   | • Wildlife and trophic interactions (e.g., predation) will be particularly affected by changes in snowpack and freeze-thaw regimes. Impacts vary by species.  
• Biting insects and disease may increase in response to milder weather; for example, winter ticks may increase impacts to moose.  
• In the northern sub-regions, changed green-up timing could increase mortality of young sheep and goats.  
• Increased fire could affect caribou habitat negatively and moose habitat positively.  
• Greater plant productivity in shallow boreal lakes will increase oxygen demand during the ice cover period, which may result in more frequent large-scale fish kills.                                                                                      |
Ecological Surprises

Current vulnerability modelling does not include ecological surprises or complex climate-ecological relationships. Mountain lions have established permanent, successfully reproducing populations in the Peace River area. Prior to 1990, only transient individuals were recorded. The combination of milder winters and high ungulate populations likely enabled this change. Simplistic predictions in complex systems cannot replace long-term interdisciplinary research and monitoring.

Trees

Tree species distributions will shift gradually in response to climate change due to physiological tolerances, natural disturbance, and competition. There are few tree species in the Northeast, hence low ecological redundancy. Most tree species will be unable to migrate quickly enough to follow the climate envelopes to which they are adapted. Uncertainty about climate projections leads to uncertainty about which trees may be best-suited to changing conditions. For example, warmer, wetter projections favour different species than warmer, drier projections. Suitable trees at any point in time may be maladapted by rotation age, creating additional uncertainty and complexity for management.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Projected changes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Physiological tolerance  | • Most tree species in the Northeast seem physiologically resilient to projected conditions for the next 30 years but, by 2080, many species within the BWBS will be under moderate to high drought risk.  
  • Interior spruce on dry sites may be vulnerable to moisture stress. |
| Productivity             | • **Tree growth** will likely increase in some areas due to elevated CO₂ coupled with warmer temperatures.  
  • **Growth potential**, however, may **not be realised** because of limited moisture or nutrients, because populations are not adapted to changed seasonality and increased extreme events, or because maladaptation increases susceptibility to insects and disease. Provenances are adapted to even narrower climatic conditions than species. |
| Natural disturbance      | • Episodic **die back** and mortality of trees will occur after drought events.  
  • **Stressed trees** are more susceptible to insects and pathogens.  
  • Several **deciduous** and **coniferous** species, including the aspen, willow and interior spruce that have defined ecosystems of the Northeast, may have increased mortality and highly variable regeneration due to a variety of factors including physiological stress, pathogens and insects. |
| Competition              | • Competitive interactions among trees and other plants will change in unexpected ways, leading to shifts in species dominance; for example, after disturbance on steeper warm slopes, **shrubs and grass** may be favoured over tree regeneration. |

Range

Rangeland ecosystems will also shift in response to climate change due to higher temperatures and increased occurrence of droughts and floods. Earlier spring plant growth and a longer growing season may translate into a longer grazing season for livestock. Degradation of rangeland is most likely to occur during extreme events (e.g., soil erosion during intense rainstorms). Invasive species are most likely to establish in locations that were overgrazed during droughts. The most rapid effects of climate change
are likely to occur at high elevations and northern latitudes, which have a limited historical record from which to identify trends.\textsuperscript{26}

\section*{6. Adaptation—modifying management to account for climate change}

It is necessary to modify management activities – planning, practices, and monitoring - to address the impacts of climate change on ecosystems. Adaptation strategies will vary depending on the ecosystem, the direction of climatic variables, the degree of certainty in projected changes, the urgency (risk and vulnerability), and the likelihood of adaptation practices achieving desired outcomes. Hence, management activities under a changing climate will need to be flexible and proactive.

This section includes potential adaptation strategies that may help address the current and anticipated impacts to ecosystems described above. These strategies reflect regionally-important \textbf{best available information} drawn from research and the input of regional specialists. Resource professionals, licensees and Government staff should consider these adaptation strategies as \textbf{voluntary non-legal guidance} to inform operational planning and practices.

Almost all of these adaptation strategies are existing elements of good resource management that require broader application. \textbf{As such, they are generally supported by current policy guidance.} Because we manage for multiple resource values, some adaptation strategies may conflict with each other (e.g., maintaining downed wood to sustain biodiversity may conflict with minimizing forest fuels to reduce catastrophic wildfire risks). This will require decisions that balance the benefits and risks to resource values, depending on the priorities for the area in question.

Although some of these adaptation strategies may be perceived as incurring incremental costs or land base constraints, the long-term economic benefits of adaptation to the productivity of timber, forage and other resource values are predicted to outweigh short-term costs. For example, studies in Central BC indicate the economic benefits of diversifying managed forests to reduce forest health risks and increase resilience.\textsuperscript{27} And, designing and maintaining roads and bridges to a higher standard will likely minimize repair and compensation costs after flood events. Some adaptation strategies are also potential climate change mitigation or carbon storage strategies (e.g., retention networks, retaining downed wood).

Potential adaptation strategies in this section reference supporting policy guidance, information or tools.

\section*{Planning Considerations}

Climate change poses at least three broad challenges for practitioners:

- \textbf{Existing management objectives} may be inappropriate because they were developed without considering climate change and do not generally include objectives for mitigation or adaptation.
- \textbf{Existing management strategies} are unlikely to achieve existing objectives under a changing climate.
- \textbf{Uncertainty} about the effectiveness of management strategies will increase.
In addition, slow regulatory or administrative change may pose a challenge to implementing timely management responses to changing conditions. At a broader scale, market forces may pose barriers.

**Uncertainty**

The impacts of climate change are already present on the landscape and there is substantial scientific evidence that this trend will continue. However, projecting the impacts of climate change into the future is fraught with uncertainty due to the limitations of ecological and climate models, and to alternative plausible emissions scenarios. Ecological processes that reflect multiple interactions (e.g. shifting species distributions) are more uncertain than processes that correlate strongly with a single variable (e.g. fire hazard and temperature).

Managing in the face of uncertainty requires:

- Recognition of uncertainty (known and unknown sources)
- Information gathering (via monitoring) to reduce uncertainty where possible
- Recognition that uncertainty increases with time span considered
- Acceptance that uncertainty will remain and a decision to either use precaution to maintain a desired value or to put a value at risk

Adaptation requires planning that includes new objectives, new strategies and increased consideration of uncertainty. For example, objectives to maintain biodiversity or timber could be modified to maintain ecological resilience, and strategies to mitigate flood impacts should take into account the higher probability of flooding associated with climate change plus climate oscillations. Practitioners may need more flexibility to handle regime shifts (e.g., if forested ecosystems lose viability). Best management practices for ecosystem management provide an excellent resource.

**Practice Considerations**

**Hydrology**

To protect aquatic ecosystems and infrastructure near watercourses, adaptation consists of managing water removal to ensure sufficient summer flow in areas with drought, limiting sediment input (from surface erosion, streambank collapse and landslides), limiting increases in peak flows, and limiting increases in stream temperature.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potential adaptation strategies</th>
<th>Supporting policy guidance, information or tools</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Projected ecosystem change: Decreased summer flow</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| • Manage water allocations and use to maintain water supply during low flow periods | • Drought guidance[^30]  
  • Water quality and livestock grazing BMPs |
| • Increase storage reservoir capacity to meet demand in low flow periods | |
| • Evaluate aquifers and other new potential water sources | |
| • Retain sufficient riparian cover to maintain stream flow | |
| • Manage level of livestock grazing | |

[^28]: The impacts of climate change are already present on the landscape and there is substantial scientific evidence that this trend will continue. However, projecting the impacts of climate change into the future is fraught with uncertainty due to the limitations of ecological and climate models, and to alternative plausible emissions scenarios. Ecological processes that reflect multiple interactions (e.g. shifting species distributions) are more uncertain than processes that correlate strongly with a single variable (e.g. fire hazard and temperature).

[^29]: Adaptation requires planning that includes new objectives, new strategies and increased consideration of uncertainty. For example, objectives to maintain biodiversity or timber could be modified to maintain ecological resilience, and strategies to mitigate flood impacts should take into account the higher probability of flooding associated with climate change plus climate oscillations. Practitioners may need more flexibility to handle regime shifts (e.g., if forested ecosystems lose viability). Best management practices for ecosystem management provide an excellent resource.

[^30]: Drought guidance provides specific guidelines and tools for managing water in drought conditions, ensuring sufficient water supply for aquatic ecosystems and other critical infrastructure.
### Potential adaptation strategies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Projected ecosystem change: increased risk of landslides and surface erosion (that affect streams or infrastructure)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Avoid locating roads and cutblocks on or above unstable terrain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Design and maintain roads and drainage structures to accommodate increased peak flow and sediment transport in areas likely to become wetter: e.g., improve surface on high hazard roads; seed erodible cut slopes; build adequate ditches; replace selected culverts with bridges; limit road density in erosion-prone areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Manage for permafrost; constructing on permafrost may lead to thawing and subsequent instability or erosion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Manage grazing to maintain functional riparian ecosystems; maintain sufficient riparian vegetation to control grazing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Supporting policy guidance, information or tools</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Interior watershed assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Water quality evaluation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Forest road engineering guidebook</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Guidelines for managing terrain stability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Water quality and livestock grazing BMPs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Projected ecosystem change: increased peak flows

| • Consider limiting Equivalent Clearcut Area (ECA) to 30 to 50% of THLB in sensitive watersheds |
| • Anticipate increased natural disturbance and manage harvest to stay within ECA limits |
| • Evaluate hydrological implications of salvage harvest |
| • Account for increased runoff from burned sites in ECA calculations |
| • Assess flood risk and increase design criteria for infrastructure |
| • Protect stream sources from timber harvesting and compaction by livestock and machinery |
| • Leave live standing vegetation and downed wood barriers next to all riparian areas on rangeland. |
| • Limit development on known floodplains |
| • Manage roads to minimize impacts to flows |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Supporting policy guidance, information or tools</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Interior watershed assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Post disturbance watershed effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Practice guidelines for flood assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Water quality and livestock grazing BMPs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Projected ecosystem change: increased stream temperature

| • Retain adequate riparian vegetation next to streams and wetlands |
| • Particularly important in temperature sensitive watersheds and along headwater areas |
| • Maintain ditches and culverts and deactivate roads to restore natural drainage as soon as possible |
| • Important to prevent water warming on sites that feed streams, particularly in temperature sensitive watersheds |
| • Avoid harvesting sites with high water tables |
| • Important for sites with high water tables that feed streams, particularly in temperature sensitive watersheds |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Supporting policy guidance, information or tools</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Watershed monitoring</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Biodiversity**

Adaptation strategies for biodiversity are designed to achieve two objectives: (1) reduce the existing anthropogenic pressures that compound the negative effects of climate change on biodiversity (e.g., reduce harvesting and road access where sensitive values exist), and (2) promote resilient ecosystems at stand and landscape scales.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potential adaptation strategies</th>
<th>Supporting policy guidance, information or tools</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Projected ecosystem change: Loss of old forest habitat and connectivity, due to increased tree mortality</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Create a network of retention areas and corridors at multiple scales</td>
<td>• Biodiversity Guidebook</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o Include riparian areas, wildlife tree patches, and old growth management areas in retention areas</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o Include corridors crossing elevation gradients</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o Include habitat for specialized species and communities at risk</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Limit salvage in retention network (e.g., partial cut or avoid harvest)</td>
<td>• Chief Forester’s retention guidance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o Particularly important where stands buffer microclimate or provide large structure</td>
<td>• Post-disturbance biodiversity management 34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Projected ecosystem change: Loss of suitable microclimate and soil conditions to re-establish historic ecosystems following harvest (e.g., potential regime shift from forest to grassland)** |                                                                                       |
| • Avoid harvesting low elevation, very well drained upland sites prone to greater moisture stress | • Drought risk assessment tool                                                        |
|   o Use silviculture practices that conserve snow pack and soil moisture                     | • Enhancing biodiversity through partial cutting                                       |
| • Partially-cut stands (i.e., retain partial overstory for shelter) on dry sites 35           |                                                                                       |
| • Plant spruce under mature aspen to establish advanced regeneration 20 years prior to aspen harvest |                                                                                       |
| • Retain large downed wood                                                                  | • Wildlife trees and coarse woody debris policies                                     |
|   o Particularly important on drier sites and in riparian ecosystems                          | • FREP CWD backgrounder                                                               |
| • Promote rapid site recovery to appropriate species (e.g., reforest dry sites; retain deciduous trees on moist sites) | • CWD management                                                                     |
|   o Particularly important on drier sites                                                    |                                                                                       |
| • See Trees section                                                                           |                                                                                       |

| **Projected ecosystem change: Loss of diversity and vigour in young and maturing forests due to maladaptation to changing climate** |                                                                                       |
| • Plant climatically-suited species and genotypes (i.e., facilitate migration)                | • See Trees section                                                                 |
| • Retain naturally-occurring and regenerating species (including deciduous trees and shrubs) and plant a diverse species mix | • Climate change stocking standards 36                                                |
| • Use stand tending to influence successional pathways                                       | • See Trees section                                                                 |
### Potential adaptation strategies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Projected ecosystem change: increased spread of invasive plants following disturbance</th>
<th>Supporting policy guidance, information or tools</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| - Minimize roads  
  - Especially important in currently unroaded areas and susceptible ecosystems  
- Minimize road use (e.g., use gates, deactivate)  
- Establish competitive vegetation in ditches, on side slopes and other disturbed soil as soon as possible  
- Wash equipment to remove seeds and plants prior to moving into new areas  
- Follow best management practices for invasive plants | - Invasive plant management practices  
- Invasive species council of BC  
- Invasive species working group; IAPP Map, E-Flora BC  
- Managing rangeland invasive plants |
| - Manage grazing to maintain vigorous native plant communities  
  - Adjust stocking rates and distribution  
  - Integrate invasive plant management with overall rangeland resource management | |
| - Minimize site disturbance, especially multiple disturbances  
  - Particularly important on susceptible sites  
  - Ensure revegetation seed mixtures are free of invasive plants  
- Account for invasive plants in site plans | |

### Trees

Adaptation strategies for trees are designed to increase establishment success, survival and growth potential, and to reduce the negative impacts of natural disturbance resulting from climate change. Adaptation strategies have the potential to shift overall climate-induced impacts on timber supply from negative to positive or neutral.

Plantings of pine and spruce from genetic stocks which are better suited for predicted future climates are likely to have the most widespread potential application in the northeast over the next 30 years. Interior Douglas-fir may have application on warmer aspects under suitable silviculture regimes. Planting of white spruce under mature aspen to establish spruce advance regeneration at least 20 years prior to aspen harvest would retain boreal mixed wood ecosystems on the landscape.

Adaptation may have limited success in reducing fire disturbance because effective fire control in average-weather years can be negated by large fires in very dry years. Landscape fire management planning is aimed at reducing these losses as much as possible.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potential adaptation strategies</th>
<th>Supporting policy guidance, information or tools</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Projected ecosystem change: increased tree growth potential on sites with sufficient moisture</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| • Plant climatically-suited species and genetic stock  
  o Especially on dry sites or sites facing drought  
  • Establish operational trials to test survival and growth of interior Douglas fir and western larch  
  • Plant white spruce under maturing aspen at least 20 years prior to aspen harvest to establish spruce advance regeneration | • Tree species selection tool  
  • FFT assisted species migration guidance  
  • Chief Forester standards for seed use 37  
  • Climate-based seed transfer interim policy measures  
  • Seed zone maps |
| • Partially cut stands on dry sites to retain shelter and soil moisture, to conserve snow pack and to increase fire resiliency | • Drought risk assessment tool |
| **Projected ecosystem change: increased disease-related mortality (mainly younger stands)** |
| • Plant climatically suited species and genetic stock  
  o Especially on sites facing drought and areas shifting to wetter climate | • Forest health and species selection  
  • Regional & TSA forest health strategies |
| • Increase within-stand genetic diversity by planting several genotypes for a given species  
• Increase stand-scale species diversity (e.g., retain and plant a variety of species, including broadleaf; expand breadth of “acceptable” species in young stands)  
• Actively manage for mixed species stands by applying knowledge of mixed wood stand development and future desired forest products  
• Increase landscape-scale species diversity by planning retention and reforestation at the landscape level; vary species mix and density | • Long-term forest health and stocking standards  
 • Guidance on species composition  
 • Guidance on broadleaves  
 • Guidance for FSP stocking standards  
 • Mixed species options for FFT  
 • Successional responses 38  
 • Stocking standards reference guide  
 • Climate change stocking standards |
| • Plant higher initial densities to account for losses to biotic (and abiotic) damage; i.e. build in redundancy | • Regional & TSA forest health strategies |
| • Minimize mechanical damage from wind, snow and ice | • BCTS windthrow manual |
| **Projected ecosystem change: increased beetle-related mortality (mainly mature/old stands)** |
| • Plant climatically-suited species and stock | • See above |
| • Increase stand-scale diversity | • See above |
| • Shorten rotations  
  o Especially for relatively productive sites most susceptible to disturbance |  
| • Monitor and control beetle population sources (e.g., sanitation harvesting)  
  o Focus on stands where benefit of control outweighs cost to non-timber values | • Regional & TSA forest health strategies  
 • Provincial bark beetle management strategy  
 • Chief Forester’s retention guidance |
Potential adaptation strategies  |  Supporting policy guidance, information or tools

**Projected ecosystem change: increased fire hazard (all stand ages)**

- Increase fire resilience at the landscape level by creating strategic fuel breaks, prescribing fire, and allowing ecologically appropriate fires in suitable locations to burn under appropriate conditions
  - Work with range managers to create fire breaks

- Assess fire hazard
- Increase fire resilience at the stand level by managing surface fuels, species composition, density, crown base height, crown bulk density and age-class of forest stands
- Reduce post-harvest fuels as necessary (e.g., biomass recovery, broadcast burning, pile and burn, mulching, chipping)
  - Choose appropriate season and weather for fuel-reduction
  - Consider using grazing to manage fine fuels
- Do not reforest areas where climate change creates conditions where there is a low probability of producing commercial timber

- Reduce human-caused fires
- Manage fire hazard around communities
- Reduce risk to structures in interface areas

- **Landscape fire management planning**
- **Wildfire Management Branch prevention strategy**
- **Strategic wildfire prevention initiative**
- **Fuel hazard assessment and abatement**
- **FireSmart program**
- **FireSmart communities**

**Assisted Migration**

When trees are harvested 60-120 years after they are planted, the climate could be 3-5 degrees warmer, exposing the trees to maladaptation and health risks. Moving populations of trees today (assisting migration) from their current location is one potential solution; growth and health are better when seeds are transferred to match the climate in which they evolved. However, trees have complex symbiotic relationships with many ectomycorrhizal fungal species in the soil and in some cases these bonds are tightly linked to local nutrient and climate conditions. Improved understanding of these interactions in specific ecosystems may increase success. Government is leading a large, long-term Assisted Migration Adaptation Trial to understand tree species’ climate tolerances. Findings are helping inform Climate Based Seed Transfer policy and tree species selection guidance.

**Range**

Adaptation strategies for rangelands aim to capitalize on opportunities (e.g., longer grazing season) and to reduce the negative impacts (e.g., increased invasive plants and drought stress) of climate change. Steps towards adaptation include revising expectation for rangelands to include climate dynamics and expecting higher variability in productivity between years. Adaptation strategies include applying best range management practices such as: developing water storage and distribution systems...
to optimise water use while protecting riparian areas; building fences and locating salt where they will promote well-distributed use of the forage resource; enhancing monitoring to enable adjustments to stocking rates to reflect changing productivity; and, redesigning or creating new water developments to sustain livestock drinking water.

**Monitoring**

To develop adaptation strategies that are more likely to achieve management objectives, practitioners and decision-makers need to understand changes in climatic variables and key ecological responses at relevant spatial scales. In the Northeast, it is suggested that trend monitoring include:

- Climate: temperature, precipitation, snowpack, permafrost, wind and extreme weather.
- Hydrology: stream flow by watershed, channel stability, forest cover, water temperature, erosion, suspended sediment, groundwater and aquifers.
- Disturbance: mass earth movements, insect and disease prevalence by BEC site series, site factors and seral stage, soil moisture, fire weather index and wildfire.\(^4\)
- Biodiversity: regime shifts, seral stage, habitat supply, species health, invasive plant species and distribution shifts.
- Range: plant communities and condition, drought conditions.
- Tree growth and health.

Some of these data are already collected, but are not analysed regularly. A climate network that covers sub-regional variability with sufficient weather monitoring stations will be important.

**Moving Forward**

Successful regional adaptation will require innovation and collaboration. Shared learning among practitioners, decision-makers and communities has the best potential for developing suitable adaptation strategies for the Northeast Region that foster resilient ecosystems and sustain natural resources into the future. This document could be a helpful catalyst in collaborative efforts.

**Contact for More Information**

1. Regional specialist – Richard Kabzems, Research Silviculturist, Northeast Region, Richard.kabzems@gov.bc.ca (250-784-1256).
2. Provincial specialist – Kathy Hopkins, Technical Advisor, Climate Change, Competitiveness and Innovation Branch, kathy.hopkins@gov.bc.ca (250-387-2112).

**February 22, 2016**

---

2. Ecological resilience is the capacity of an ecosystem to absorb, recover from and adapt to disturbance or stress caused by agents of change (such as climate change and natural resource management); this ‘desired outcome’ was established under the *Future Forest Ecosystems Initiative* (FFEII) in 2008, and is further explained in FFEII’s *scientific foundation* (2009)
3. Current research outcomes are primarily derived from projects under the *Future Forest Ecosystems Scientific Council* (FFESC) research program, but also including related regionally-relevant research
Adaptation strategies in this extension note are derived from research and do not constitute new Government policy, standards, or regulations; they represent best available information and voluntary non-legal guidance for the consideration of resource professionals and decision-makers; where helpful, adaptation strategies include hyperlinks to supporting policy guidance, information or tools.

See the report *A Climate Change Vulnerability Assessment for British Columbia’s Managed Forests* (Morgan and Daust et al, 2013) for more insight into how climate change is expected to impact BC’s forest ecosystems.

Projections are based on a combination of A2 and B1 emissions scenarios, where A2 represents roughly business as usual and B1 represents a more optimistic scenario with about ½ of emissions of business as usual (Trevor Murdock, Pacific Climate Impacts Consortium).

For information on how topography and weather systems influence regional climatic variations, see Moore et al, *Chapter 3 (Weather and Climate), Compendium of Forest Hydrology and Geomorphology in British Columbia*.

BEC zone acronyms: BWBS = Boreal White and Black Spruce; ESSF = Engelmann Spruce Subalpine Fir; SWB = Spruce Willow Birch.

Based on trends for all of BC.

Details of the ensemble PCIC30 are given in Murdock and Spittlehouse, *Selecting and using climate change scenarios for British Columbia* (2011).


BECWeb includes information on BEC and climate change.

For more information, see *Chapter 2c (Natural Disturbance)* of *A Climate Change Vulnerability Assessment for British Columbia’s Managed Forests*.

For more information, see summary in *Chapter 2b (Hydrology and Aquatic Ecosystems)* of *A Climate Change Vulnerability Assessment for British Columbia’s Managed Forests*, and *Chapter 19 (Climate Change Effects on Watershed Processes in BC)* in the Compendium of Forest Hydrology and Geomorphology; for the Peace watershed, see Schnorbus et al, *Hydrologic impacts of climate change in the Peace, Campbell and Columbia Watersheds* (2011).

An analysis of streamflow trends in the Northeast is underway (contact Scott Jackson at scott.jackson@lorax.ca for more information).

See Footnote 13.

For more information, see *Chapter 2e (Forested Ecosystems)* of *A Climate Change Vulnerability Assessment for British Columbia’s Managed Forests*.


For more information, see *Chapter 2f (Wildlife)* of *A Climate Change Vulnerability Assessment for British Columbia’s Managed Forests*.

For more information, see Hamann and Wang, *Potential effects of climate change on ecosystem and tree species distribution in British Columbia* (2006).


For more information, see *Climate Change and BC Range*. 
Dymond et al, *Diversifying managed forests to increase resilience* (2014)

Fletcher, *Towards a framework to support working with uncertainty in natural resource management (a discussion paper)* (2015)

For example, see Leech et al, *Ecosystem management: A practitioners’ guide* (2009)


FREP water quality effectiveness evaluation indicators and protocols; *stream quality crossing index*

Redding et al, *Natural disturbance and post-disturbance management effects on selected watershed values* (2012)


Clearcutting may exacerbate adverse environmental conditions for regeneration associated with microclimate (frost, drying winds, and extreme temperatures), soil (lack of soil moisture), etc.

Updates to the Chief Forester’s reference guide for FDP stocking standards based on climate change projections (2014)

Refer to Section 8, Page 15

Swift & Ran, *Successional Responses to Natural Disturbance, Forest Management, and Climate Change in British Columbia’s Forests* (2012)

Guidance for designing fire management stocking standards is anticipated for release in December 2015

Silvicultural regimes for fuel management; *Interim guidelines for fire and fuel management (ABCFP, 2013)*

This web site includes guidance for Community Wildfire Protection Plans, Fuels Management Prescriptions, and Operation Fuel Treatments


For more information on managing the effects of climate change on BC rangelands, refer to: (i) Newman et al, *Managing for the ecological and socioeconomic effects of climate change on BC rangelands: developing strategic Range Use Plans, Range Stewardship Plans, and range management strategic documents* (2013); and, (ii) Range Branch’s *Range Management Responses to Climate Change* (to be published in summer 2015)

*Provincial fire research and monitoring needs*