

Administrative Guidance 17 - Completing Summary of Site Condition

Document Section(s)	Issue	Stakeholder Comments/Recommendations	Ministry Response
Part 3	Suggested additional item to include.	Protocol 6 Pre-approval requests and responses (if not included in any other report).	Comment has been incorporated.
Page 2	Reference relates to the incorrect section of the document.	The texts referencing “Sections 5.3, 5.4, 5.5 and 6.1 Contaminants of Concern” looks like it would better fit under Part 5. It is currently under Part 4	The text has been moved to Part 5.
Page 3	Unclear as to which section the text is in reference to.	“Providing items in the note box” states “In the note box at the bottom of this section please indicate:” It is not clear which section is being referred to. The section should be specified (e.g., Section 4.6 or Section 5.1 – these seem to be the sections with a note box).	The comment was in regard to the notes box in Section 4.6. This clarification has been provided in the amended document.
Page 1, Part 3	Request for an example of a communication record	Suggest an example would be beneficial for better understanding of this point	A simple reference to the communication record is what we are looking for here. AG 11 is the document that describes communication records.
Page 2	Site Conditions, Water use Determination	Similar to referencing figures/drawings we suggest that an applicant should reference applicable water use determination sections in the report accompanying the submission vs. separately presenting “site-specific information and sound rationale”...in Section 4.2”. This would benefit the MOE by ensuring that the complete details and rationale are being reviewed, and would avoid unnecessary costs in preparing the summary	MoE reviews the determination of appropriate water use as there is an apparent lack of understanding by some stakeholders. MoE feels Inclusion of this information directly in the SoSC is warranted, and facilitates review of legal instrument applications.
Page 2	editorial	Insert the word “been” between “have” and “amendments” in section 4.4	Text added.
Page 2	editorial	Suggest replacing the words “may exceed the numerical standards” with “are potential contaminants of concern”	Either way works. Substances that “may” exceed CSR numerical standards are the PCOCs.
Page 2	editorial	This section appears to be out of order in the document	Headings are referenced to the Schedule 1.1 of the CSR (the SoSC form).
Page 3	editorial	We note that this and all CSR guidance documents are interpreted as regulation by Approved Professionals, and the use of words like “must” reinforce this interpretation	MoE agrees that the document is guidance, and there are no inappropriate “musts” in AG 17.
Page 3	Editorial	Clarification on which section if being referred to	Same as Item #3 above. Completed