

Protocol 18, “Criteria for Establishing Multiple Land Uses at Sites”

January 11, 2013

Document Section(s)	Issue	Stakeholder Comments/Recommendations	Ministry Response
3.1		<p>It would make more sense to recommend separate instruments with legal metes and bounds for different land uses at a Site.</p> <p>The reason for this is simple – depending on the land uses, Schedule C contaminants will be different.</p> <p>Unless the Ministry has determined a simple way to format the instrument for different land uses and contaminants, this would be complicated.</p>	<p>We agree that it would be necessary to prepare specially formatted contaminated sites legal instruments for different land uses and substances for sites with more than one land use. We do not consider this too difficult since we already provide instruments with multiple water and sediment uses.</p> <p>We also agree that it would be simplest to issue separate instruments, but that would create additional costs for our clients.</p> <p>Note that the current definition of “parcel” which is used in the protocol includes a description by metes and bounds.</p>