



---

**BC Farm Industry  
Review Board**

# **BC FARM INDUSTRY REVIEW BOARD**

## **2019/20 Annual Report**

**December 2020**



---

## BC Farm Industry Review Board

Honourable Lana Popham  
Minister of Agriculture

Dear Minister Popham:

I respectfully submit the Annual Report for the British Columbia Farm Industry Review Board (BCFIRB) for the period April 1, 2019 through March 31, 2020, per section 59.2 of the *Administrative Tribunals Act*.

Although this report covers the 2019/20 fiscal year, I want to first acknowledge the extraordinary situation in 2020 that has resulted due to the Covid-19 global pandemic. The surge in consumer panic buying in spring 2020, followed by the sudden loss of food services markets required rapid production, processing and storage adjustments in agriculture in BC. All regulated agricultural sectors in the province have been affected, in significant and various ways. I want to take this opportunity to thank the eight agricultural commodity boards and commissions, and their staff, for their tremendous efforts managing through these very difficult and challenging times.

Highlights of the 2019/20 fiscal year included the launch of two comprehensive supervisory reviews, one of the regulated vegetable sector in September 2019, and the other of chicken pricing in March 2020. Both reviews involve multiple parties, complex issues and their outcomes will have important implications. Both reviews are carrying on in 2020/21.

BCFIRB continued to make progress with its Public Accountability and Reporting Project (PARP), with the publication of its first Summary Report of all regulated agricultural sectors in October 2019. BCFIRB also completed a two-day strategic planning session in September 2019 and made progress addressing the strategic priorities.

There were numerous appeals and complaints filed with BCFIRB in 2019/20, a number of which went to formal hearing. I am thankful for the ongoing effort of BCFIRB staff to assist the parties in reaching a satisfactory settlement before a formal hearing is commenced. In our supervisory role, BCFIRB held both formal meetings with the commodity boards as well as non-formal meetings during which multiple issues were addressed. Again, BCFIRB staff worked closely with commodity board staff to ensure that discussions and decisions were productive and fruitful. I continue to be pleased with BCFIRB's accomplishments in meeting its statutory mandates. I would be remiss in failing to acknowledge the support BCFIRB receives from the Ministry of Agriculture staff, regional agrologists and industry specialists. We thank them all.



---

## **BC Farm Industry Review Board**

In 2020/21, BCFIRB will continue to focus on the two supervisory reviews underway, along with working closely with the commodity boards and commissions, and the Ministry of Agriculture, on managing the ongoing challenges resulting from the COVID-19 pandemic.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Peter Donkers". The signature is stylized with a large, sweeping initial "P" and a long, thin vertical stroke extending upwards from the end.

Peter Donkers, Chair  
BC Farm Industry Review Board

## Purpose of the BC Farm Industry Review Board

---

The British Columbia Farm Industry Review Board (BCFIRB) is an independent administrative tribunal that operates at arm's-length from government. As the regulatory tribunal responsible for the general supervision of BC's regulated agricultural commodity boards and commissions, BCFIRB provides oversight, policy direction and decisions to protect the public interest. In its adjudicative capacities, BCFIRB provides a less formal system than the court for resolving disputes in a timely and cost-effective way. BCFIRB consists of a part-time board of up to ten members and nine full time staff, and is accountable to government for its administrative operations.

The BCFIRB 2019/20 Annual Report describes achievements and results met from April 1, 2019 to March 31, 2020.

BCFIRB's statutory responsibilities are established in the *Natural Products Marketing (B.C.) Act (NPMA)*, the *Farm Practices Protection (Right to Farm) Act (FPPA)*, and the *Prevention of Cruelty to Animals Act (PCAA)*, and are supported by the *Administrative Tribunals Act (ATA)*.

BCFIRB's mandated responsibilities are listed below:

- General supervision of BC's regulated marketing boards and commissions.
- Signatory to formal federal-provincial cooperation agreements in regulated marketing.
- Hearing appeals of regulated marketing board and commission orders, decisions and determinations.
- Hearing appeals related to certain animal custody and cost decisions of the BC Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals (BCSPCA).
- Hearing farm practices complaints from persons disturbed by odour, noise, dust or other disturbances arising from agriculture or certain aquaculture operations.
- Conducting farm practices studies.

BCFIRB supervises the following BC regulated commodity boards and commissions.

BC Broiler Hatching Egg Commission

BC Chicken Marketing Board

BC Cranberry Marketing Commission

BC Egg Marketing Board

BC Hog Marketing Commission

BC Milk Marketing Board

BC Turkey Marketing Board

BC Vegetable Marketing Commission

BCFIRB is accountable to the Courts for its decisions (Judicial Review) and the BC Ombudsperson for its practices and procedures.

## BCFIRB 2019/20 Results at a Glance

---

<b>Goal 1:</b> <b>A regulated marketing system with effective self-governance.</b>	<b>Objective 1.1:</b> BCFIRB and marketing boards and commissions practice good governance in their external and internal operations.	<b>Performance Measure (PM) #1</b> Programs, policies and decisions reflect legislative intent, sound marketing policy and consider the public interest	<b>Result</b> Met
---	--	--	----------------------

<b>PM #2</b>	<b>Result</b>
BCFIRB expenditures meet budget targets	Met

---

<b>Goal 2:</b> <b>A principles-based, outcomes-oriented approach to regulation</b>	<b>Objective 2.1:</b> BCFIRB and marketing boards and commissions use a principles-based approach to regulating.	<b>PM #3</b> Outcomes are strategic, accountable, fair, effective, transparent and inclusive (show use of BCFIRB's SAFETI principles)	<b>Result</b> Met
---	---	--	----------------------

<b>PM #4</b>	<b>Result</b>
Orders, decisions & determinations are published promptly	Met

---

<b>Goal 3:</b> <b>Effective, fair and independent resolution of inquiries &amp; disputes</b>	<b>Objective 3.1:</b> Ensure issues and disputes arising within BCFIRB's jurisdiction are resolved in a fair and timely manner, including use of Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) methods where appropriate.	<b>PM #5</b> Appeals and complaints are routinely handled within usual time periods established in BCFIRB's Practice Directives	<b>Result</b> Met
---	---	--	----------------------

---

## **Goal 1: A regulated marketing system with effective self-governance.**

### **Objective 1.1 - BCFIRB and marketing boards and commissions practice good governance in their external and internal operations.**

#### **Strategies:**

- Ensuring that marketing boards and commission activities and decisions are administratively fair, comply with legislation/regulations, and accord with sound marketing policy.
- Requiring boards to give consideration to the government policy framework and the public interest.
- BCFIRB provides supervisory intervention when necessary.
- Working to achieve priorities within budget while continuing to place importance on board and staff development and training.
- While preserving its independence as a tribunal, continuing to work to ensure effective relations with the Ministry of Agriculture, regulatory agencies at all levels, and stakeholders.

#### **Performance Measure 1:**

***BCFIRB, boards and commissions demonstrate that their programs, policies and decisions reflect legislative intent, sound marketing policy and consider the public interest.***

#### **2019/20 Results**

In September 2019, BCFIRB established the following five priorities:

1. Establishing a strategic framework for BCFIRB's operations, action and decision-making, with a focus on its supervisory mandate
2. Enhanced member orientation, ongoing education and mentorship
3. Developing plans for staff renewal and succession planning
4. Improved communication methods and tools about BCFIRB and its services
5. Review of BCFIRB processes to reduce the overall timeframe for case filing to decision

Work continues on these priorities and various discussions have occurred to align and manage funds and resources most effectively, particularly around decision-writing and the use of board member and legal expertise. Strategic issues facing BCFIRB are discussed on a regular basis and as time permits, a reference framework document will be prepared. Insights arising from the two supervisory reviews currently underway (vegetables and chicken pricing) will be important considerations as part of this process.

BCFIRB published its first [Public Accountability and Reporting Project \(PARP\) Summary Report in October 2019](#), after working extensively with the commodity boards and commissions (boards). The individual 2019 PARP reports of the boards can be found on [BCFIRB's website](#). BCFIRB also worked with the boards in 2019 and 2020 to streamline reporting requirements and to follow up on the tools and processes that were not reported on in early 2019. BCFIRB extended the reporting deadline to September 2020 for 2019/20 information from the boards due to Covid-19. BCFIRB expects its next PARP Summary Report of all regulators agricultural sectors will be available in early 2021.

The BC Milk Marketing Board (MMB) continued to work throughout 2019/20 on measures to help address the pricing impacts of the Canada, United States and Mexico (CUSMA) agreement with industry, other provinces and the federal government. The CUSMA agreement was implemented in July 2020, after being ratified by all three countries. BCFIRB worked with the MMB to examine various legal and regulatory issues associated with the proposed Dairy Innovation West (DIW) initiative, now being led by the BC Dairy Association and the Dairy Industry Development Council (DIDC).

The BC Egg Marketing Board (EMB) continued to work towards a vision for the future of egg production and regional food sustainability in the province. The EMB continued implementation of the plan in 2019/20 for producers to transition from conventional caged housing to enriched housing for laying hens as part of the national animal welfare initiative.

The BC Broiler Hatching Egg Commission (BHEC) and the BC Chicken Marketing Board (CMB) initiated a cross-sectoral strategic plan in 2019/20, intended as a framework for a future vision of the BC chicken industry. The strategic plan is intended to support work being undertaken by both boards around chicken pricing and work on the plan continues in 2020/21.

The CMB was directed to establish a long-term formula for the price of live chicken in BC by spring 2020, following a May 2019 BCFIRB appeal decision. BCFIRB granted an extension of its direction deadline to the CMB and subsequently the deadline matter was transferred to BCFIRB's supervisory authority after the CMB again advised BCFIRB that it would not be able to meet the deadline. BHEC announced its intention to exit a price linkage agreement that has been in place with the CMB since 1995, citing several reasons including a low return on cost of production for hatching egg producers.

BCFIRB announced a supervisory review on chicken pricing in March 2020 as it believes the current situation creates significant uncertainty with pricing throughout the broiler chicken supply chain (chicken growers, hatching egg producers, processors and hatcheries). This uncertainty will continue until BHEC and the CMB find long-term solutions on pricing. The review process is continuing into 2020/21, with an aim to conclude by March/April 2021.

BCFIRB also announced a supervisory review of the regulated vegetable sector in September 2019 after receiving appeals of several BC Vegetable Marketing Commission decisions related to agency and producer licences. The appeals were subsequent to a February 2019 appeal decision, following an eight-day hearing that was held in 2018. The review is focusing on Commission composition, a framework for designated agency accountability and management of storage crop delivery allocation. The review is expected to conclude in late fall 2020.

BCFIRB also supported discussions in 2019 between the Ministry of Agriculture and the supply managed boards to develop provincial input to Global Affairs Canada about the future distribution of Tariff Rate Quotas for BC, for all supply managed sectors.

## **Performance Measure 2:**

*BCFIRB and the boards and commissions it supervises exercise appropriate governance and fiscal procedures in exercising their mandates.*

### **2019/20 Results**

BCFIRB expended \$1,499,602 in 2019/20. About \$756,000 of this amount was expended on operating costs, which include both contracted legal services and board member time and expenses. Just over \$743,000 was expended on public service staff salaries.

All commodity boards and commissions reported having audited financial statements in 2019, with most also reporting financial accountability frameworks and approved board member remuneration and internal financial policies and controls in place.

All boards and commissions reported on a series of governance measures in their individual 2019 PARP reports. In addition to financial accountability, measures included planning and reporting, performance evaluation, accountability and transparency around rules, operational policies and decisions, management of conflict of interest and stakeholder consultation.

## **Goal 2: A principles-based, outcomes-oriented approach to regulation.**

**Objective 2.1: The British Columbia Farm Industry Review Board and marketing boards and commissions use a principles-based approach to regulating.**

### **Strategies:**

- Working with boards and commissions to develop, adopt, and employ a principles-based approach to regulation.
- Requiring all BCFIRB, marketing board and commission orders, decisions and determinations to be made available to the public, except where privacy legislation and policies apply.
- Promoting policies that reflect BC. interests at both federal and provincial levels.

## **Performance Measure 3:**

*BCFIRB and the boards and commissions demonstrate the application of the SAFETI principles (Strategic, Accountable, Fair, Effective, Transparent and Inclusive) in their programs, policies and decisions.*

### **2019/20 Results**

BCFIRB 2019/20 supervisory records demonstrated that there is progress being made on the implementation of the principles-based approach to regulation, including the use of the SAFETI principles. Examples include:

#### ***The BC Broiler Hatching Egg Commission (BHEC)***

- Continued to work with the CMB on pricing issues related to the price hatching egg producers receive for their chicks with the price chicken growers are paid for their chicken.
- Implemented the Canadian Hatching Egg Producers Animal Care Program in BC, audits started in late August 2019.

- Continued to implement effective and strategic regulation of Silkie and Taiwanese hatching egg production in BC.

***The BC Chicken Marketing Board (CMB)***

- Continued to work with BHEC, processors and producers on pricing related matters.
- Ensured that the CMB's regulations and policies enabled BC chicken growers to produce 100% of BC's share of the national chicken allocation.
- Achieved 100% certification of all BC chicken growers in the BC Biosecurity program and the Chicken Farmers of Canada Animal Care and On Farm Food Safety programs.

***The BC Cranberry Marketing Commission (CrMC)***

- Worked with BC cranberry growers and processors to find markets for a significant volume of fruit negatively impacted by poor weather conditions, which would have otherwise been disposed of. Production in 2019 was the lowest in eight years due to perennial bearing, winter damage and harvest frost. Growers are adopting new varieties and implementing management practices to improve production volume and quality.
- Continued to determine research priorities with industry and support education sessions for growers.
- Confirmed all registered producers adhered to a pest management program.

***The BC Egg Marketing Board (EMB)***

- Completed a review and update of its New Entrant Program in 2019. The EMB runs a lottery based New Entrant Program, and they invited 17 new entrants into the sector between 2015-2018. They will be running their next draw for new entrants in 2021.
- Worked towards fair producer pricing for enriched and specialty egg production in 2019, to reflect increased and extra production costs.
- Confirmed all registered producers in BC were 100% compliant with their Animal Care Program and Start-Clean Stay-Clean Program.
- BCFIRB continues to coordinate with the EMB and the Ministry of Agriculture on the national process to update the Federal-Provincial Agreement for eggs.

***The BC Hog Marketing Commission (HMC)***

- Continued progress on transitioning hog producers to 100% compliance with the new national Canadian Pork Excellence Program. Producers are validated annually with respect to animal welfare, food safety and traceability.
- Supported education sessions for hog producers.

***The BC Milk Marketing Board (MMB)***

- Continued to work with provincial and federal counterparts to develop strategies in response to CUSMA requirements, including ingredient pricing and increased market access for United States products sold in Canada.
- Implemented an updated lottery-based New Entrant Program in 2019, with 95 applications received and 3 new entrants selected to start production in 2020. In 2020, the MMB drew 8 candidates for review, and selected 3 new entrants to start production in 2021. They have invited 24 new entrants into the sector between 2015-2018.

- Completed implementation of a new succession policy in October 2019 in accord with BCFIRB’s Quota Assessment Tools Review decision that broadened farm succession beyond family members.
- Implemented a new Milk Management System in August 2019 to ensure business continuity and improved service to industry. The System manages quota, milk production and distribution, along with billing and producer payments.

***The BC Turkey Marketing Board (TMB)***

- Continued participation in the national Turkey Farmers of Canada “Think Turkey” marketing campaign to make turkey a part of everyday meals.
- Completed a BCFIRB directed industry assessment of grower-vendor direct marketing after consulting with direct marketers, commercial producers, processors and retailers. Consultations and assessment will continue into 2020/21.

***The BC Vegetable Marketing Commission (VMC)***

- Initiated a review with industry on how to ensure and demonstrate agency accountability given the legislative authorities delegated to agencies, and the key role they play in maintaining orderly marketing.
- Continued to support and provide feedback on proposed federal regulatory amendments related to inter-provincial minimum pricing.
- Prepared the potato anti-dumping renewal application for the Canadian International Trade Tribunal in coordination with industry.

BCFIRB posts [regulated marketing industry snapshots](#) that contain overviews of the regulated agricultural commodity sectors.

**Performance Measure 4:**

***BCFIRB orders, decisions, determinations, practices and procedures and other information are published promptly. Marketing board and commission orders, decisions and determinations are published promptly after being made in order to preserve rights of appeal under the NPMA.***

**2019/20 Results**

BCFIRB 2019/20 administrative and supervisory records demonstrated publishing expectations were met. BCFIRB posted all of its complaints and appeals decisions to its website no later than seven days following all decisions made, as specified in its Rules of Practice and Procedure. Supervisory decisions, all significant correspondence and all updates to policies and procedures were also published to BCFIRB’s website in a timely fashion.

BCFIRB continues to be satisfied with the progress boards and commissions are making publishing orders, determinations, decisions and other information in a timely manner. Timely publication of decisions supports producer business planning and right of appeal to BCFIRB.

### Goal 3: Effective, fair and independent resolution of inquiries and disputes.

**Objective 3.1: Ensure issues and disputes arising within the British Columbia Farm Industry Review Board’s jurisdiction are resolved in a fair and timely manner, including use of Alternative Dispute Resolution methods where appropriate.**

#### Strategies:

- Using farm practices studies to help prevent and resolve farm practices disputes.
- Using supervisory processes to help prevent and resolve regulated marketing disputes.
- Using timely, fair and accessible processes to help resolve complaints under the FPPA and appeals under the NPMA and PCAA.

#### Performance Measure 5:

*BCFIRB reports on time from appeal or complaint filing to resolution, average costs per case, and user satisfaction for each of its statutory mandates and associated adjudicative processes.*

#### 2019/20 Results

In total BCFIRB administered 63 cases in 2019/20. See Appendix One for detailed case list. Of these, 41 were resolved within the fiscal year, with 16 decided following a hearing and the other 25 dismissed, withdrawn or settled through BCFIRB’s ADR settlement process.

#### Summary of BCFIRB’s appeals and complaints in 2019/20

<b>2019/20 CASES</b>	<b>FPPA</b>	<b>NPMA</b>	<b>PCAA</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>
Carried forward from 2018/19	9	8	2	19
New appeals/complaints in 2019/20	23	11	10	44
<b><i>Total appeals/ complaints in 2019/20</i></b>	<b>32</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>63</b>
Total appeals/complaints resolved in 2019/20	18	13	10	41
Total appeals carried forward to 2020/21	14	6	2	22

There is considerable cost variability from case to case. Cases resolved without a hearing ranged from about \$1,000-\$8,000 in 2019/20, but can cost significantly more should the issues be complex. Cases resolved with a hearing ranged significantly in cost, with the average cost-per-case for the PCAA mandate being about \$10,500 in 2019/20. Cases heard under the NPMA mandate ranged from about \$30,000 to \$50,000, while cases under the FPPA mandate cost about \$25,000 to 50,000.

In 2019/20, there were two Judicial Reviews (JRs) of BCFIRB appeal and complaint decisions to the BC Supreme Court, one of an FPPA decision, the other of a PCAA decision. Both of these JRs are ongoing and continue into 2020/21. The average cost of these JRs in 2019/20 was \$10,000.

BCFIRB has published policy and procedure documents that set out the process, steps and timeframes associated with the filing and hearing of appeals and complaints under its different statutory mandates. In 2019/20, 62% of all cases that went to a hearing were decided within established timelines, with 100% of the animal custody appeals that went to hearing decided within the established time period.

BCFIRB continues to gather feedback about the appeal and complaints process, website and staff response times through a user response survey. Feedback and suggestions for improvement are now routinely examined to help identify appropriate areas for service improvements.

*Further information about BCFIRB may be found at:*

<http://www2.gov.bc.ca/bcfarministryreviewboard>

---

Telephone: 250 356-8945

Facsimile: 250 356-5131

Email: [firb@gov.bc.ca](mailto:firb@gov.bc.ca)

## Appendix One – BCFIRB Cases in 2019/20

<b>Farm Practices Protection Act (FPPA) Cases 2019/20</b>	
<b>Case Name</b>	<b>Decision</b>
Hayden v Island Sea Farms – filed: November 20, 2017 Kemp v Island Sea Farms – filed: December 6, 2017 Issues: noise from an aquaculture operation on Cortez Island	Decision issued: May 1, 2019
Gabelmann v Khangura – filed May 6, 2019 Issue: dust generated by excavation on a neighbouring farm in Penticton	Dismissed: July 19, 2019
Dragland v Zdralek – filed May 6, 2019 Issue: noise from barking dogs on farm in Kelowna	Dismissed: September 27, 2019
Cartwright v Quitzau – filed September 25, 2019 Issue: odour from horses on neighbouring property on Pender Island	Dismissed: November 20, 2019
Borden v Boucher – filed July 8, 2019 Issues: noise and odour from farm in Sooke	ADR Settled: December 5, 2019
Craig v Canopy Growth Corp – filed September 23, 2019 Issue: odour, noise and light from cannabis operation in Langley	Withdrawn: December 16, 2019
Tuovila v Deleurme – filed: November 20, 2018 Ruck v Deleurme – filed: November 29, 2018 Issues: odour, runoff, manure management on cattle operation in Kelowna	Decision Issued: February 10, 2020
City of Pitt Meadows v 1027152 BC Ltd – filed February 10, 2020 Issue: odour from cannabis operation in Pitt Meadows	Dismissed: March 4, 2020
Dreise v Canopy Growth – filed: July 11, 2018 Leeper v Canopy Growth – filed September 23, 2019 Paddon v Canopy Growth – filed September 23, 2019 Detchev v Canopy Growth – filed September 23, 2019 Hagedorn v Canopy Growth – filed September 23, 2019 Bilesky v Canopy Growth – filed September 25, 2019 Besoiu v Canopy Growth – filed September 26, 2019 Nicholls v Canopy Growth – filed October 4, 2019 Issue: odour, noise and light from cannabis operation in Langley	Dismissed: March 13, 2020
Pimiskern v McMeeken – filed: April 18, 2018 Issue: drainage issue from orchard replant in Kelowna	Withdrawn: April 29, 2020
Brar v Burrowing Owl – filed July 24, 2019 Issue: dust and sand generated from farm in Okanagan	ADR Settled: May 11, 2020
Lane v Sivorot – filed August 30, 2019 Issue: noise, odour and rodents from farm in Sooke	Dismissed: May 27, 2020

Milligan v Pearson – filed March 20, 2020 Issue: odour, run off and noise from cannabis operation in Port Alberni	Withdrawn: June 15, 2020
Bingley v Lang Vineyard – filed: January 11, 2018 Ward v Lang Vineyard – filed: January 11, 2018 Issue: noise from a chiller unit in Naramata	Decision Issued: September 23, 2020
Gardiner v Springbend Chicken Corp – filed: May 4, 2018 Issues: dust, odour from a poultry operation in Grindrod	In process
Balazs v Pankiw – filed November 5, 2019 Issue: drainage and flooding after neighbouring orchard plant in Grand Forks	In process
Gaudette v 93 Landing Co – filed December 12, 2019 Issue: odour from manure storage operation in Abbotsford	In process
Tidball v Frind Winery – filed March 24, 2020 Wedan v Frind Winery – filed March 31, 2020 Fleishman v Frind Winery – filed April 2, 2020 Hrebicek v Frind Winery – not paid Mayrs v Frind Winery – not paid Issue: noise from chiller unit in vineyard in Kelowna	In process
<b>Prevention of Cruelty to Animals Act (PCAA) Cases 2019/20</b>	
<b>Case Name</b>	<b>Decision</b>
Biliski v BCSPCA – filed: March 4, 2019 Issue: seizure of 1 dog	Decision issued: April 10, 2019
Driediger v BCSPCA – filed: March 13, 2019 Issue: seizure of 13 dogs, 2 parrots	Decision issued: April 24, 2019
Christman v BCSPCA – filed April 15, 2019 Issue: seizure of 41 horses, 4 dogs, 4 pigs, 3 sheep, 1 goat	Decision issued: May 29, 2019
Harder v BCSPCA – filed May 24, 2019 Issue: seizure of 1 dog	Decision issued: July 5, 2019
Bhasin & Churchill v BCSPCA – filed August 6, 2019 Issue: seizure of 13 serval cats, 2 dogs, 1 cat	Decision issued: September 18, 2019
Affenzeller v BCSPCA – filed September 3, 2019 Issue: seizure of 4 dogs	Decision issued: October 11, 2019
Skidmore v BCSPCA – filed November 4, 2019 Issue: seizure of 2 dogs	Withdrawn: November 19, 2020
Neuhaus v BCSPCA – filed January 17, 2020	Decision issued:

Issue: seizure of 5 dogs and 6 puppies	February 27, 2020
Taboulinskaia v BCSPCA – filed January 17, 2020 Issue: seizure of 3 dogs	Decision issued: February 28, 2020
Simans v BCSPCA – filed February 10, 2020 Issue: seizure of 8 dogs, 5 birds, 3 cats, 2 rabbits, 1 pig	Decision issued: March 24, 2020
Hogan v BCSPCA – filed February 24, 2020 Issue: seizure of 6 horses	Decision issued: April 8, 2020
Eben v BCSPCA – March 24, 2020 Issue: seizure of 6 dogs	Decision issued: May 6, 2020
<b>Natural Products Marketing Act (NPMA) Cases 2019/20</b>	
<b>Case Name</b>	<b>Decision</b>
Prokam v BCVMC – filed March 21, 2018 Issues: agency designation	Withdrawn: April 15, 2019
Cedar Creek Farms v BCCMB – filed February 25, 2019 Issue: Restrictions on mainstream quota	Dismissed: May 10, 2019
BC Chicken Growers' Association v BCCMB – filed July 20, 2018 PPPABC v BCCMB – filed: July 6, 2018 Issue: Pricing model	Decision issued: May 16, 2019
K & M Farms v BC Chicken Marketing Board – filed March 9, 2018 Issue: seasonal and per cycle production distribution	Decision issued: May 17, 2019
Vancouver Island Produce v BCVMC - filed June 25, 2018 Prokam v BCVMC – filed June 25, 2018 Thomas Fresh v BCVMC – filed June 25, 2018 Issue: Amending Order 49 – new licensing category	Withdrawn: June 10, 2019
CFP v BCVMC – filed July 3, 2019 Issue: denied request for new agency application	To Supervisory: September 10, 2019
Ray Dawn Farms v BCEMB – filed June 27, 2019 Issue: quota	Withdrawn: September 11, 2019
BCCGA v BCBHEC – filed September 23, 2019 Issue: changes to price linkage	Withdrawn: October 22, 2019
Prokam Enterprises v BCVMC – filed November 26, 2019 Issue: unfair process for reconsideration decision	To Supervisory: November 29, 2019
Bren-Den Ventures Ltd. v BCEMB – filed December 2, 2019 Issue: consolidated orders	Dismissed: February 7, 2020

Rosstown Farms v BCTMB – filed June 20, 2019 Issue: custom processing	Decision Issued: February 13, 2020
PPPABC v BCBHEC – filed December 24, 2019 BCCGA v BCHEC – filed December 31, 2019 Issue: adjustment to price linkage formula	To Supervisory: February 25, 2020
Jacobsen v BCMMB – filed June 24, 2019 Issue: train accessible discount	Withdrawn: March 10, 2020
IVCA v BCVMC – filed December 9, 2019 Issue: revoke Class 1 agency licence	Withdrawn: May 5, 2020
J & E Egg Farm v BCEMB – filed November 7, 2019 Issue: terms of producer-vendor licence	Decision Issued: August 14, 2020