



2.0 ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW – DOCKS

Marine Checklist for Qualified Environmental Professionals

The Ministry of Forest, Lands, Natural Resource Operations and Rural Development (FLNRORD) and shishalh Nation require that the following checklist be used to inform mandatory environmental reviews for proposed docks. The checklist should be completed and submitted along with other materials in support of an application for private or group moorage in the shishalh swiya.

A completed form will clarify the information required for the Shared Decision-Making (SDM) review of an application, and support the timely processing of applications.

Please refer to the Guidance Document for Qualified Professionals and the associated Environmental Review Report Template when completing this checklist.

1.0 Rationale			Report Section
1.1	Has a rationale for the proposed structure been provided?	Unknown	
1.1.1	A mooring buoy is not practicable?	Unknown	
1.1.2	A shared dock (Group Moorage) is not practicable?	Unknown	
1.1.3	The property is not within 5 km of a marina?	Unknown	
1.1.4	The property is water-access only?	Unknown	

2.0 Location			Report Section
2.1	Is the proposed dock within <u>Pender Harbour Dock Management</u> <u>Plan</u> Zone 1 or 2?	Unknown	
2.2	Will the orientation of the proposed structure impact the marine and riparian environment?	Unknown	
2.2.1	Is the proposed structure within 100 m of an estuarine tidal and/or a mud, sedge, or salt grass flat?	Unknown	
2.2.2	Is the proposed structure within 100 m of known shellfish and/or forage fish habitat, or areas which provide suitable habitat to support shellfish and/or forage fish?	Unknown	
2.2.3	Is the proposed structure within 100 m of Habitat as defined in the Guidance Document for Qualified Professionals?	Unknown	
a)	Is identified Habitat(s) spatially delineated and provided?	Unknown	
2.2.3	Is the proposed structure within 50 m of known raptor or great blue heron nests?	Unknown	
2.2.4	Is the proposed structure within 100 m of the mouth(s) of potential fish- bearing streams, as defined by the Federal <i>Fisheries Act</i> ?	Unknown	





3.0 Assessment of Potential Riparian Impacts			
3.1	Does the proposed structure access point and/or associated construction require upland modification including vegetation removal within the 15 m marine riparian setback? If yes, please describe and comment on potential impact(s).	Unknown	

4.0 Project Design			Report Section
4.1	Are the Best Management Practices for Marine Docks (see Guidance	Unknown	
	Document for Qualified Professionals) being employed? If not,		
	please explain, assess potential impact(s) of proposed design, and		
	describe any proposed mitigation.		
	Please note: design variations are only considered in situations where		
	required for safety or structural stability and must be supported by the		
	opinion of an appropriate Qualified Professional, including QEP and		
	P.Eng.		

5.0 Mitigation			Report Section
5.1	Is the proposed structure and construction designed to reduce/mitigate impacts to marine and riparian habitat as much as possible? If no, please explain and comment on potential impact(s).	Unknown	
5.1.1	Will access for construction along the foreshore occur from the adjacent upland property as much as possible?	Unknown	
5.2	Will construction of the proposed structure be undertaken and completed during period(s) consistent with appropriate timing windows?	Unknown	
5.3	Is monitoring of construction activities recommended by a QEP for start-up, sensitive activities, or in areas involving SAR or sensitive habitat?	Unknown	
5.3.1	Will the QEP(s) be provided with written authority to modify and/or halt any construction activity if deemed necessary for the protection of fish and wildlife populations or their habitats?	Unknown	
5.4	Is the structure footprint greater than 20 m ² ? If yes, has the Proponent submitted a Request for Review to the Department of Fisheries and Oceans Canada ("DFO")? If yes, please attach copy of Request for Review.	Unknown	
5.5	Has offsetting been required by the DFO and calculated using ecological equivalency – the similarity between the environmental values and components that will be impacted – in consultation with QEP?	Unknown	





6.0 Professional Accountability					Report Section		
6.1	5.1 Has the submission been signed off by a certified professional Unknown experienced in assessing fish and aquatic wildlife habitat impacts, mitigation associated with works in or about marine, freshwater and riparian environments?						
	Professional						
	NameAccreditation & Registration #Signature		ignature				