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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Seventy-nine ground plots were established in the Williams Lake Timber Supply Area (TSA) to monitor young stands. One plot was subsequently dropped. The target population was 15 to 50 year old stands which represent approximately 370,000 ha within a vegetated land base of approximately 3,500,000ha.

Overall, the whole stem volume differences were marginally statistically significant ( $p=0.047$ ). The volume bias was relatively large ( $38 \%$ of ground volume) but was highly variable.

The bias associated with age and height was not statistically significant but ground SI was statistically different from the PSPL and likely contributes to the volume differences.

Table 1. The results of comparing the ground plots to the inventory and to the YSM assumptions are summarized. A prob(bias $=0$ ) of less than 0.05 is generally considered an indication of statistically significant differences (or bias).

| Attribute | N | Ground mean | Comparison | Inventory mean | Bias |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Magnitude | \% of ground mean | prob(bias $=0$ ) |
| Whole stem volume ( $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{ha}$ ) | 78 | 45.1 | TIPSY | 62.3 | $-17.3 \pm 8.6$ | -38\% | 0.047 |
| Volume attribute bias ( $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{ha}$ ) | 78 |  | TIPSY |  | $-17.1 \pm 7.3$ | -38\% | 0.021 |
| Volume model bias ( $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{ha}$ ) | 78 |  | TIPSY |  | $-0.1 \pm 4.1$ | 0\% | 0.977 |
| Species matched age (yrs) | 70 | 36.2 | VRI | 32.4 | $3.8 \pm 2.1$ | 11\% | 0.069 |
| Species matched height (m) | 68 | 9.0 | VRI | 8.2 | $0.8 \pm 0.5$ | 9\% | 0.123 |
| Site index (m) | 61 | 15.5 | PSPL | 16.8 | $-1.2 \pm 0.5$ | -8\% | 0.009 |

For young stands, not all attributes In the Phase I inventory are updated by VDYP7, particularly basal area and trees/ha. As a consequence, the utilization level associated with basal area and trees/ha is not fixed and this has implications for the comparison. This should be noted in any analyses involving young polygons.

The samples are young and therefore the ground compilations are sensitive to utilization level. The average basal area ( $\mathrm{Dbh} \geq 7.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) on the ground plots was $10.3 \pm 1.4 \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{ha}$ (ranging from $0-60.5$. $\mathrm{m}^{2} / \mathrm{ha}$ ) and the average stems/ha was $796 \pm 99$ (ranging from $0-4,703$ ). The average age of the leading species was $35 \pm 3$ years (ranging from $14-138$ years) and height was $8.8 \pm 0.6 \mathrm{~m}$ (ranging from $1.5-26.4$ $m$ ). Fifty-one of the samples were pine-leading followed by Douglas-fir (7), spruce (6), balsam (5), cedar (3), and poplar/birch (3). Three plots did not have any live trees. There was an average of approximately 191 dead stems/ha, mostly small pine. Approximately $63 \%$ of the live stems, or an average of 1045 stems/ha, had signs of damage. Pine had the highest fraction of stems with damage (74\%) and the cause of most of the damage was unknown (54\%) followed by disease (21\%) and insect (20\%).

The results here do not indicate any major issues with the Williams Lake YSM Phase I attributes or TIPSY volumes. However, a key monitoring objective is to compare observed to forecasted growth. The samples should be remeasured, as planned in order to confirm if trends meet expectations as predicted in TSR.

A VRI analysis of the Williams Lake is documented in a separate report, available from the Ministry of Forests, Lands and Natural Resource Operations, and includes stand and stock tables for both the mature and YSM portion of the TSA at: http://www.for.gov.bc.ca/hts/vri/planning_reports/tsa_analysis.html
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## 1 Introduction

The British Columbia Ministry of Forests, Lands and Natural Resource Operations Forest Analysis and Inventory Branch (FAIB) has developed a framework for a Young Stand Monitoring (YSM) program to monitor the performance of young forest stands, especially those in high risk forest management units . The primary focus of YSM is to check the accuracy of the growth and yield assumptions and predictions of key timber attributes in young stands for timber supply review in a management unit. This monitoring program helps to identify opportunities to improve the accuracy of timber supply forecasting for a management unit.

## 2 Objective

This report summarizes YSM for the Williams Lake TSA. The intent of the YSM is to monitor the performance of young forest stands. Specifically, the primary goals of FAIB's YSM are to:

1 Characterize the young stand population, including composition, structure, mortality, growth, yield, and health.
2 Assess the accuracy of some Vegetation Resources Inventory (VRI) polygon attributes (e.g., age, height and site index) for young stands.
3 Assess the accuracy of site index estimates in the Provincial Site Productivity Layer (PSPL).
4 Compare observed stand yields (e.g., basal area/ha and trees/ha) to predictions generated from TIPSY.
5 Once remeasurements are available, compare observed growth to forecasts from growth and yield models for the young stand population.

## 3 Sample Design

### 3.1 Population

The monitoring unit, the geographic area of interest, is the Williams Lake TSA which is located in southcentral British Columbia (Figure 1). The Williams Lake TSA has approximately 5 million hectares, approximately 57 percent of which is considered productive forest (Table 2).

Table 2. Williams Lake TSA Land Base (taken from Nona Phillips Forestry Consulting 2013).

| Land Classification | Area (ha) | \% of TSA |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Total TSA Area | $\mathbf{4 , 9 3 3 , 6 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |
| Net-downs | $\mathbf{8 4 4 , 5 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 . 1 \%}$ |
| Military Reserve | 6 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Parks | 588,926 | $11.9 \%$ |
| Private | 231,605 | $4.7 \%$ |
| Indian Reserve | 24,008 | $0.5 \%$ |
| Net Area | $\mathbf{4 , 0 8 9 , 1 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{8 2 . 9 \%}$ |
| $\quad$ Non-Vegetated | 582,017 | $11.8 \%$ |
| Vegetated | $3,507,102$ | $71.1 \%$ |
| $\quad$ Non-Treed | 682,644 | $13.8 \%$ |
| $\quad$ Treed | $2,824,458$ | $57.2 \%$ |

Williams Lake TSA YSM Sampling


Figure 1. The location of the Williams Lake TSA and the YSM samples (from FAIB).

### 3.2 Target Population

The YSM target population is composed of 15 - to 50 -year-old young stands within the Williams Lake TSA (Table 2). The population was not restricted to vegetated treed polygons. It includes all stands in the age range (including silvicultural openings with CC $<10 \%$ ). The ground sampling plan is described in Nona Phillips Forestry Consulting (2013).

Table 3. Williams Lake TSA YSM population is summarized by leading species. From Nona Phillips Forestry
Consulting (2013).

| Inventory Leading Species | Area (ha) | \% of YSM population |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Pine (PL) | 242,218 | $66 \%$ |
| Douglas-Fir (FD) | 47,500 | $13 \%$ |
| Spruce (SX) | 43,063 | $12 \%$ |
| Aspen (AT) | 19,009 | $5 \%$ |
| Balsam (BL) | 8,696 | $2 \%$ |
| Cedar (CW) | 5,396 | $1 \%$ |
| Birch (EP) | 2,260 | $1 \%$ |
| Hemlock (HW) | 1,238 | $0 \%$ |
| Larch (LW) | 2 | $0 \%$ |
| Total | 369,382 | $100 \%$ |

### 3.3 Sample Selection

The YSM target population was not stratified prior to sample selection. The sampling design was a systematic sample on a fixed grid. Five grids were tested and, based on FAIB direction, the $5 \times 10 \mathrm{~km}$ grid was selected, yielding 79 samples with no alternates. The samples were numbered from $200-278$ (see Appendix A). As noted in section 4.2, sample 273 was subsequently dropped.

### 3.4 Plot Design \& Establishment

Seventy-nine plots were established from April - September 2013 following the plot design and establishment CMI protocol ${ }^{1}$. The CMI plot consists of three nested plots: a $400 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ ( 11.28 m radius) plot for measuring all trees with diameter at breast height (DBH) $\geq 9.0 \mathrm{~cm}$; an $100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ ( 5.64 m radius) for trees with DBH between 4.0 and 9.0 cm ; and a $19.6 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ ( 2.50 m radius) plot for all trees at least 1.3 m tall and $\mathrm{Dbh}<4.0 \mathrm{~cm}$. The sample plots are centered at the grid intersection points.

There were no substitutions or movements of plots. Sample 227 appears to be a borderline plot. Based on the ground GPS coordinates, it appears be in the adjacent mature polygon but the ground data indicate a young stand. It was retained and paired with the inventory data from the intended sample plan polygon.

The sampling intensity, the proportion of the area samples, was approximately $0.00085 \%$ based on 79 0.04 ha samples and a population size of 369,382 ha.

## 4 Data Compilation

### 4.1 Ground plot attributes

The tree level file was used to compile most attributes (volume, BA, etc.). The attributes are defined in Table 4 and summarized in Table 8.

Table 4. Definitions of attributes extracted from the VRI/CMI compiler at plot establishment (Source of definitions: Churlish, 2003) ${ }^{2}$.

| Attribute | Utilization | Compiler Name | Variable Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Height | 7.5 cm | Ht_txo | Mean Total height for $\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{X}$ and O trees by species. |
| Age | 7.5 cm | Aget_txo | Mean Total Age for $\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{X}$ and O trees by species. |
| Site index | 7.5 cm |  | The average SI by species. The SI for each suitable SI tree is computed using SiteTools and the average computed by species. |
| Species comp. | 4.0 cm | Spb_cpct | Species composition by Basal Area. |
| Basal area | 7.5 cm | Ba_ha | Basal area/ha (live trees). |
| Stems/ha | 7.5 cm | Stems_ha | Number of stems/ha (live trees). |
| Gross volume | 7.5 cm | Vha_wsv | Whole stem volume/ha (live trees). |
| Net volume | 12.5 cm | Vha_nwb | Net stem volume/ha (live trees): Gross stem volume less cruisercalled decay volume and volume of waste, breakage, top and stump. |
| Mortality | 7.5 cm | Vha_wsvd | Whole stem volume/ha (dead trees). |

[^0]
### 4.2 Ground plot data screening

Samples 202, 228, 236, 250 and 258 were boundary plots and sampled using the walkthrough method (Ducey et al. 2004) and compiled accordingly.

The data were screened to detect any errors and departures from the intended YSM population. Sample 273 was part of the YSM population at the time of the sample plan (with a projected age of 33 in 2011). A more recent update with the RESULTS (Reporting Silviculture Updates and Land status Tracking System) layer places the sample in a polygon with an age of 7 . The corresponding ground data ( 4 pine trees with Dbh $<4 \mathrm{~cm}$ and one deciduous tree with $\mathrm{Dbh}=20 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) are consistent with a very young plot. The RESULTS-revised Phase I label for the sample does not meet the YSM population definition and sample 273 was dropped from further analysis.

There were a number of trees sampled for age, height and site index that were very young. These were reviewed by FAIB staff and trees with a breast height age < 5 years were not used in the site index calculations.

There were a number of plots with zero volume. Samples 211, 213, 214, 224, 241, 244, 259 and 269 had live trees but none with Dbh $\geq 7.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. Sample 258 had only dead trees. Samples 212 and 270 had no trees.

Plots with large, old trees and high volumes were also examined in more detail. The summaries are based on all live, measured trees.

Seven plots have a mean age (AT_M_TLS) greater than 60 (Table 5). These ages may represent residual trees after selective disturbance. All were retained in the analysis.

Table 5. The samples with a ground mean age greater than 60 are given ( $\mathrm{Dbh} \geq 7.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ ).

| Sample | HT_MNALL <br> $(\mathrm{m})$ | HT_M_TS <br> $(\mathrm{m})$ | AT_M_TLS <br> $($ years $)$ | Basal area <br> $\left(\mathrm{m}^{2} / \mathrm{ha}\right)$ | Tree/ha | Whole stem <br> volume $\left(\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{ha}\right)$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0225 | 10.4 | 19.4 | 91 | 27.8 | 1276 | 156 |
| 0226 | 12.7 | 19.7 | 138 | 3.2 | 50 | 23 |
| 0230 | 11.5 | 17.7 | 71 | 37.7 | 1451 | 253 |
| 0236 | 9.5 | 14.8 | 82 | 34.1 | 2327 | 191 |
| 0243 | 12.3 | 13.8 | 85 | 19.3 | 2477 | 114 |
| 0245 | 11.8 | 14.5 | 78 | 20.3 | 1576 | 109 |
| 0257 | 12.0 | 14.7 | 65 | 15.2 | 625 | 78 |

Sample 278 the highest ground basal area ( $60.5 \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{ha}$ ) and volume ( $356 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{ha}$ ). Eight trees were sampled for age. One had a total age of 51.5, another had a total age of 50.5 and the rest had a total age less than 50. Despite the high volume the plot is part of the YSM population.

Sample 265 appears to have a layer of larger aspen ( $\mathrm{Dbh}=18.5-242.4 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) over a layer of smaller pine (Dbh $<15 \mathrm{~cm}$ ). Each layer contributes approximately the same amount of basal area ( $\mathrm{Dbh} \geq 4.0 \mathrm{~cm}$ ).

Seven samples had more than $50 \mathrm{~m}^{3} /$ ha of dead whole stem volume ( $\mathrm{Dbh} \geq 7.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) (see Appendix B). These were samples 201 ( $86 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{ha}$ of dead volume), 215 ( $71 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{ha}$ of dead volume), 226 ( $105 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{ha}$ of dead volume), 234 ( $85^{3} /$ ha of dead volume), 235 ( $60 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{ha}$ of dead volume), 277 ( $71 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{ha}$ of dead volume), and 278 ( $103 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{ha}$ of dead volume).

### 4.3 Ground sampling year and projection year

The ground sampling occurred from April 30, 2013 to October 7, 2013. The projection date for the Phase I data was January 1, 2013. For ground measurements after June 30, the age was increased by 1.

### 4.4 Ground SI and years to breast height

Age and height were measured on some trees on the ground plots. The trees used in site index assessment had a breast height age $\geq 5$ years, a total age, a height, and the height and site index suitability flags $=\mathrm{Y}$. Because of this screening, the trees used in the SI calculations are not necessarily the same as those used in the age and height calculations. The suitable SI trees were then processed by SiteTools using the sindex33.dIl using breast height age and total height. The SiteTools growth intercept estimate was used, if available. Otherwise the SiteTools site index estimate was used.

### 4.5 Phase I (Inventory) data

Inventory information for recently disturbed polygons generally comes from the RESULTS (Reporting Silviculture Updates and Land status Tracking System) layer. These polygons are processed by VDYP7 to project them to the year of ground sampling. For stands less than 7 m tall, VDYP will project the age and height until the height is 7 m and then generate the remaining attributes. Until the projected height is 7 m , the other attributes are not altered and the utilization limit is unchanged from the original data collection. This is illustrated by sample 211 in Appendix B which has a PROJ_HEIGHT_1 $=0.1 \mathrm{~m}$ and 7,439 trees/ha. The utilization limit is based on Dbh, implying that trees must be at least 1.3 m tall so the height for sample 211 does not have a utilization limit. As a consequence, for young stands, the Phase I inventory may not be a good source for basal area and trees/ha.

The Phase I (Inventory) SI was taken from the provincial site productivity layer (PSPL). The PSPL provides SI for up to 22 species. The intersection of the provincial site productivity layer and the ground plots was provided by the FAIB. Of the 78 YSM ground plots, three did not have any trees to determine and leading species and two were AT leading and there was not an associated AT site index estimate in the site productivity layer.

### 4.6 Height and Age matching

The height and age data matching followed the FAIB (2011) VRI procedures. The ground plot data were matched with the corresponding VRI Phase I data for the polygon. The ground plot heights and ages were based on the average values for the $T, L$, and $X$ trees for the leading species. The objective was to match the ground leading species to the Inventory (Phase I) leading or secondary species and compare the ages and heights. If a match could not be made at the Sp0 (genus) level, conifer-to-conifer (or deciduous-todeciduous) matches were allowed. However, conifer-deciduous matches were not acceptable.

The five possible matching cases are given in Table 6.
Table 6. The height and age matching cases are described.

| Case | Description |
| :---: | :--- |
| 1 | VRI polygon leading SpO matches the ground leading SpO |
| 2 | VRI polygon second SpO matches the ground leading SpO at the SpO level |
| 3 | VRI polygon leading species and the ground leading species are both coniferous or are both deciduous. |
| 4 | VRI polygon second species and the ground leading species are both coniferous or are both deciduous. |
| 5 | No match |

### 4.7 Stratification

The samples were stratified by BEC, leading species and leading species age (Table 7).
Table 7. The strata used to summarize the results are defined.

| Stratification | Strata | Definition |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| BEC | ICH | ICH |
|  | IDF | IDF |
|  | Other | ESSF, MS, SBS |
|  | SBPS | SBPS |
| Leading species | Other | AT, BL, CW, FD, SE, SXW, SW |
| (Phase I inventory) | Pine | PLI |
| Age | Young | ages 15-30 |
| (Phase I Inventory) | Older | ages 31-50 |

## 5 Stand structure and health

The ground data are summarized in Table 8.
Table 8. The Williams Lake TSA YSM ground plots are summarized. SE is the standard error of the mean and SE\% is standard error expressed as a percent of the mean. All are given at the 7.5 cm utilization.

| Attribute | N | Statistic ( $\mathrm{n}=78$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | 78 | 10.3 | 0.0 | 60.5 | 1.4 |
| Basal area $\left(\mathrm{m}^{2} / \mathrm{ha}\right)$ | 78 | 796 |  | $13 \%$ |  |  |
| Trees per hectare (stems $/ \mathrm{ha}$ ) | 78 | 53.9 | 0 | 4703 | 99 | $12 \%$ |
| Gross volume live $\left(\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{ha}\right)$ | 78 | 10.5 | 0.0 | 355.5 | 8.1 | $15 \%$ |
| Gross volume dead ( $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{ha}$ ) | 78 | 32.3 | 0.0 | 105.1 | 2.8 | $27 \%$ |
| Volume net of decay, waste \& breakage $\left(\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{ha}\right)$ | 229.4 | 5.5 | $17 \%$ |  |  |  |
| Leading species age (years) | 77 | 35 | 14 | 138 | 2.6 | $7 \%$ |
| Leading species height (m) | 75 | 8.8 | 1.5 | 26.4 | 0.6 | $7 \%$ |

The average number of dead trees was 191 trees/ha. $75 \%$ of the dead trees have a $\mathrm{Dbh}<12.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ and $70 \%$ are pine.

Table 9. The average number of dead trees/ha is given by species and Dbh class. Zeroes indicate there were dead trees but the average was less than 0.5 trees/ha.

| Species |  | Dbh | Class | (cm) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Group |  | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 25 | $30+$ | Total | Fraction |
| AT | Poplar | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 6 | $3 \%$ |
| BL | Balsam | 15 | 5 | 1 |  |  | 0 | 22 | $11 \%$ |
| CW | Cedar | 3 |  | 0 |  |  | 2 | 5 | $3 \%$ |
| FD | Douglas-fir | 4 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 17 | $9 \%$ |
| PL | Pine | 55 | 48 | 24 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 135 | $70 \%$ |
| SX | Spruce | 1 | 2 | 1 |  | 1 | 0 | 5 | $3 \%$ |
| XC | Unknown conifer |  |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | $1 \%$ |
| Total |  | 81 | 63 | 30 | 9 | 5 | 4 | 191 |  |
| Fraction | $42 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |  |

Approximately $63 \%$ of the trees show signs of damage (Table 10 and Figure 2). The cause of most of the damage is unknown (54\%) followed by insect and disease (41\%). Pine has the largest fraction of trees with damage (74\%).

Table 10. Live trees per hectare are given by species and primary damage agent. The data are graphed in Figure 2a.

|  | Damage |  |  |  |  |  |  | Agent |  |  | Total damage (\% of species |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| sp0 | Abiotic | Animal | Disease | Unknown | Insect | Treatment | None | TPH with damage) |  |  |  |
| AT | 0 | 2 | 3 | 36 |  |  | 69 | $41(37 \%)$ |  |  |  |
| BL | 8 |  | 0 | 52 | 32 |  | 88 | $92(51 \%)$ |  |  |  |
| CW |  | 2 |  | 28 |  |  | 57 | $30(34 \%)$ |  |  |  |
| EP |  |  |  | 6 |  |  | 16 | $6(28 \%)$ |  |  |  |
| FD | 3 |  | 1 | 46 | 1 | 1 | 48 | $52(52 \%)$ |  |  |  |
| HW |  |  |  | 23 |  |  | 42 | $23(36 \%)$ |  |  |  |
| PL | 16 | 11 | 218 | 310 | 81 |  | 228 | $636(74 \%)$ |  |  |  |
| S | 2 |  | 2 | 62 | 99 |  | 75 | $165(69 \%)$ |  |  |  |
|  | $3 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $0 \%$ |  | $1045(63 \%)$ |  |  |  |



Figure 2. The basal area (a) and stems/ha (b) affected by each primary damage agent is given by species for live trees.

## 6 Ground vs. Inventory

### 6.1 Stand Age and Height

A total of 70 plots had acceptable age matches, 68 had acceptable height pairs while 61 had acceptable SI pairs (Table 11). Six out of the 20 plots that were a case 2 match (ground leading species = Phase I second species) did not have an age and height associated with the second species. For Site index, two of the case 1 matches did not have a Ground SI. Of the case 2 matches, two did not have a ground SI, two did not have a ground leading species, two were AT leading and the site productivity layer did not include an AT SI for that plot and one was EP and EP does not appear in the site productivity layer.

Table 11. The results of the age, height and SI matching are given.

| Case | Number of plots | Age pairs | Height pairs | SI pairs |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 50 | 50 | 48 | 48 |
| 2 | 20 | 13 | 14 | 13 |
| 3 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 0 |
| 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| All | 78 | 70 | 68 | 61 |

The leading species height and age are compared in Table 12 and Figure 3 and the species- or casematched height and age are given in Table 13. Overall, the age differences are just over $10 \%$ while the height differences are just below 10\%. Although the age differences are statistically significant (Table 12), most are close and the significant result is heavily influenced by sample 226 (Figure 3). Sample 226 has only two live trees with $\mathrm{Dbh} \geq 7.5 \mathrm{~cm}$, and both are large ( $\mathrm{Dbh}>30 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) and old (total age 95.5 and 180.5).

The stratification (and population definition) is based on the Phase I (VRI) age so it is possible for the average ground age to be 51.5 for the age 31-50 year age class.

Table 12. The leading species ground plot and VRI Polygon ages and heights are compared. Statistically significant differences (prob(bias $=0)<0.05)$ are shaded.

| Strata | Age (years) |  |  |  |  | Height (m) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | Ground | VRI | Bias | prob(bias = 0) | N | Ground | VRI | Bias | prob(bias = 0) |
| ICH | 14 | 47.6 | 37.2 | $10.3 \pm 4.5$ | 0.038 | 13 | 13.8 | 14.2 | $-0.4 \pm 0.8$ | 0.611 |
| IDF | 16 | 45.7 | 32.8 | $12.9 \pm 6.8$ | 0.080 | 16 | 8.4 | 8.4 | $0 \pm 1.2$ | 0.998 |
| Other | 17 | 30.3 | 29.8 | $0.5 \pm 2.1$ | 0.809 | 18 | 9.2 | 7.8 | $1.4 \pm 0.8$ | 0.095 |
| SBPS | 30 | 27.2 | 27.6 | $-0.4 \pm 1.4$ | 0.806 | 28 | 6.2 | 5.1 | $1.2 \pm 0.6$ | 0.065 |
| Other | 24 | 44.6 | 33.5 | $11.1 \pm 5.1$ | 0.041 | 24 | 11.9 | 11.3 | $0.6 \pm 0.8$ | 0.479 |
| Pine | 53 | 31.3 | 29.7 | $1.5 \pm 1.3$ | 0.229 | 51 | 7.2 | 6.5 | $0.8 \pm 0.5$ | 0.116 |
| Age 15-30 | 42 | 22.0 | 22.6 | $-0.6 \pm 0.8$ | 0.455 | 41 | 5.4 | 5.2 | $0.2 \pm 0.4$ | 0.559 |
| Age 31-50 | 35 | 51.5 | 40.8 | $10.7 \pm 3.8$ | 0.007 | 34 | 12.7 | 11.4 | $1.3 \pm 0.8$ | 0.111 |
| All | 77 | 35.4 | 30.9 | $4.5 \pm 1.9$ | 0.018 | 75 | 8.7 | 8.0 | $0.7 \pm 0.4$ | 0.093 |

Table 13. The case-matched ground plot and VRI Polygon ages and heights are compared. Statistically significant differences (prob(bias $=0$ ) $<0.05$ ) are shaded.

| Strata | Age (years) |  |  |  |  | Height (m) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | Ground | VRI | Bias | prob(bias $=0$ ) | N | Ground | VRI | Bias | prob(bias = 0) |
| ICH | 14 | 47.6 | 37.7 | $9.8 \pm 4.5$ | 0.048 | 13 | 13.8 | 14.7 | $-1.0 \pm 0.6$ | 0.153 |
| IDF | 16 | 45.7 | 33.9 | $11.7 \pm 6.9$ | 0.112 | 16 | 8.4 | 8.7 | $-0.3 \pm 1.2$ | 0.831 |
| Other | 15 | 31.4 | 32.4 | $-1.0 \pm 3.5$ | 0.776 | 16 | 9.4 | 6.7 | $2.7 \pm 1.2$ | 0.040 |
| SBPS | 25 | 26.7 | 28.4 | $-1.7 \pm 0.8$ | 0.053 | 23 | 6.3 | 5.2 | $1.1 \pm 0.7$ | 0.113 |
| Other | 21 | 47.8 | 36.4 | $11.4 \pm 6.1$ | 0.079 | 21 | 12.4 | 11.2 | $1.2 \pm 1.2$ | 0.315 |
| Pine | 49 | 31.2 | 30.7 | $0.6 \pm 1.2$ | 0.615 | 47 | 7.4 | 6.8 | $0.6 \pm 0.5$ | 0.252 |
| Age 15-30 | 37 | 22.6 | 23.2 | $-0.6 \pm 0.9$ | 0.533 | 36 | 5.7 | 5.6 | $0.1 \pm 0.4$ | 0.818 |
| Age 31-50 | 33 | 51.5 | 42.7 | $8.8 \pm 4.1$ | 0.041 | 32 | 12.6 | 11.1 | $1.5 \pm 0.9$ | 0.114 |
| All | 70 | 36.2 | 32.4 | $3.8 \pm 2.1$ | 0.069 | 68 | 9.0 | 8.2 | $0.8 \pm 0.5$ | 0.123 |




Figure 3. The VRI inventory (Phase I) and ground (YSM) leading species ages are compared (a) and the case-matched ages are compared (b). For sample 274, the inventory leading species age is 35 and the second species age is 74 . The second species matches the ground leading species.

The relationship between ground and inventory height was more variable (Figure 4) but overall the bias is not statistically significant (0). As noted in section 4.5, the Phase I heights are not projected until the polygons reach a minimum height threshold and this may be responsible for the slight underestimation of height in the VRI (Phase I).


Figure 4. The VRI inventory (Phase I) and ground (YSM) leading species heights are compared (a) and the case-matched heights are compared (b).

### 6.2 Site index

The Phase I (inventory) site index (SI) is taken from the provincial site productivity layer ( $\mathrm{PSPL}^{3}$ )). The PSPL SI values are taken from the PSPL tile with the largest overlap with the ground plot. The sample size for the PSPL SI is greater than the VRI inventory SI because of the species matching - the PSPL has more

[^1]species and more matches. As noted in the PSPL documentation ${ }^{4}$, the PSPL site indexes are more appropriately used for strategic, as opposed to operational, purposes. If used for site-specific applications, as is the case here, the site index estimates should be verified through a ground-based survey. The PSPL estimates in the Williams Lake TSA are approved, indicating they passed a third party accuracy assessment based on published standards and procedures.

Site index field data are collected by site series within the Biogeoclimatic Ecosystem Classification system (SIBEC). The SIBEC SI estimates are then averaged by species for each site series with sufficient field data and applied spatially through the Predictive Ecosystem Mapping (PEM) or Terrestrial Ecosystem Mapping (TEM) processes. The data are collected from a large number of sample points across the province using standard, documented methods.

The SI's in the PSPL are estimates from models, either from PEM/TEM/SIBEC or a biophysical model when a PEM/TEM derived SI is not yet available. In the case of PEM/TEM/SIBEC estimates applied to the Williams Lake TSA, two models are used to estimate SI: a PEM/TEM is used to estimate site series and the SIBEC model is used to estimate site index from the PEM/TEM site series estimate. As a consequence, users of the site index layer must be aware of the accuracies in these models, particularly if the SI estimates are used on a site specific basis as is the case here.

The site index layer was designed to assist with strategic-level decision-making where the effects of the any errors in the site index estimate are reduced from the grouping and averaging of individual site index values for points across a broader area such as an analysis unit. The site index estimates are provided on a 1 ha grid, giving the user flexibility in grouping points for weighting and averaging.

There was considerable variation in SI (Figure 5a) and evidence of statistically significant differences in SI (Table 14). There appears to be a trend of increasing differences as the ground leading species age increases (Figure 5b). The oldest ground sampled tree in the YSM population came from sample 226 and had a breast height age of 167 and an associated SI of 11.0. The other SI tree on the plot had a breast height age of 84 and an associated SI of 13.2 m . The only other sample with a SI tree with a breast height age $>100$ was sample 230. There were two cedar SI trees (cedar was the leading species). One tree had a breast height age of 114 and associated SI of 8.5 m while the other tree had a breast height age of 63 and associated SI of 15.7 m . The inclusion of older trees in the ground sample may be contributing to the differences in SI between the ground and PSPL.

A greater overestimation of SI by the site productivity layer was observed in the volume audit population where the SI of site productivity layer was 17.5 m vs. a ground SI of 13.7 m . The volume audit population has been recently inventoried and the Phase I inventory SI computed from the photo interpretation estimates of age and height did not have statistically significant bias.
${ }^{4}$ http://www.for.gov.bc.ca/hts/siteprod/provlayer.html


Figure 5. The inventory SI (from the PSPL) and ground leading species SIs are compared (a) and the differences plotted against the ground age (b). The site productivity layer SI corresponds to the ground leading species.

Table 14. The ground plot and VRI Polygon SI are compared. Statistically significant differences $($ prob $($ bias $=0)<0.05)$ are shaded.

|  |  | SI (m) |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Strata | N | Ground | VRI (PSPL) | Bias | prob(bias = 0) |
| ICH | 9 | 19.7 | 21.5 | $-1.8 \pm 1.6$ | 0.288 |
| IDF | 14 | 12.6 | 16.3 | $-3.7 \pm 1.1$ | 0.005 |
| Other | 14 | 16.6 | 17.5 | $-0.9 \pm 0.7$ | 0.255 |
| SBPS | 24 | 15 | 14.9 | $0.2 \pm 0.5$ | 0.735 |
| Other | 16 | 16.9 | 18.4 | $-1.5 \pm 1.2$ | 0.229 |
| Pine | 45 | 15.1 | 16.2 | $-1.2 \pm 0.5$ | 0.019 |
| Age 15-30 | 36 | 15.5 | 16.2 | $-0.6 \pm 0.6$ | 0.275 |
| Age 31-50 | 25 | 15.6 | 17.7 | $-2.1 \pm 0.8$ | 0.010 |
| All | 61 | 15.5 | 16.8 | $-1.2 \pm 0.5$ | 0.009 |

### 6.3 Leading Species

Forty-nine (63\%) of the plots had the same inventory and ground leading species.
Table 15. The Ground and Phase I (Inventory) leading species are compared ( 4.0 cm utilization level).
Agreement cells are shaded gray.

| Ground Plot Leading Species | VRI polygon leading species |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AT | BL | CW | EP | FD |  | PL | SX |
| None |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |
| AT |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |
| BL |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| CW |  | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| EP |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| FD |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 3 |  |
| PL | 6 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 42 | 2 |
| SX | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 3 | 1 |

### 6.4 Basal area and trees/ha

As noted in section 4.5, the original source of the Phase I Inventory trees/ha (TPH) and basal area (BA) is silviculture surveys provided by RESULTS. When the inventory is projected using VDYP7, the TPH and BA are modified to represent only trees with Dbh $\geq 7.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ in the projection year. However, BA and TPH are only updated by VDYP once the projected height is 7 m . The samples where the Phase I inventory BA and TPH have not been modified likely represent a smaller utilization limit or no utilization limit.

The ground and Phase I (Inventory) basal area (BA) and trees per hectare (TPH) are compared in Table 16. The average Phase I TPH is 2875 stems/ha and the BA is $8.0 \mathrm{~m}^{2} /$ ha which corresponds to a quadratic mean Dbh of 6.0 cm , confirming the Phase I inventory utilization limit is less than 7.5 cm . The effect of differing utilization levels and lack of updating BA and stems/ha is expected to be greater for younger samples. This is confirmed by the larger relative biases associated with the 15-30 year age class.

Overall, the biases associated with TPH and BA are statistically significant. The lower Inventory BA and higher trees/ha are consistent with the BA and trees/ha not being projected for some samples. This limits the usefulness of the comparison.

Table 16. The ground plot and VRI Polygon basal area are compared. Statistically significant differences
(prob(bias $=0$ ) $<0.05$ ) are shaded.

| Trees/ha |  |  |  |  |  | BA ( $\mathrm{m}^{2} / \mathrm{ha}$ ) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strata | N | Ground | Inventory | Bias | prob(bias = 0) | Ground | Inventory | Bias | prob(bias = 0) |
| ICH | 14 | 1431 | 1574 | $-142 \pm 177$ | 0.436 | 22.2 | 23.8 | $-1.6 \pm 3.0$ | 0.595 |
| IDF | 16 | 583 | 2177 | $-1594 \pm 780$ | 0.059 | 6.9 | 7.1 | $-0.2 \pm 1.9$ | 0.930 |
| Other | 18 | 1162 | 2402 | $-1240 \pm 659$ | 0.077 | 14.8 | 7.5 | $7.3 \pm 2.6$ | 0.012 |
| SBPS | 30 | 393 | 4137 | $-3744 \pm 946$ | 0.000 | 3.9 | 1.5 | $2.4 \pm 0.9$ | 0.009 |
| Other | 25 | 1101 | 1899 | $-798 \pm 300$ | 0.014 | 18.1 | 17.1 | $1.0 \pm 2.2$ | 0.662 |
| Pine | 53 | 652 | 3335 | $-2683 \pm 632$ | 0.000 | 6.7 | 3.8 | $2.9 \pm 1$ | 0.008 |
| Age 15-30 | 42 | 358 | 4328 | $-3970 \pm 701$ | 0.000 | 3.6 | 2.1 | $1.5 \pm 0.8$ | 0.059 |
| Age 31-50 | 36 | 1306 | 1179 | $128 \pm 186$ | 0.497 | 18.1 | 14.9 | $3.2 \pm 2.0$ | 0.116 |
| All | 78 | 796 | 2875 | $-2079 \pm 450$ | 0.000 | 10.3 | 8.0 | $2.3 \pm 1.0$ | 0.025 |

## 7 Ground vs. TIPSY Volumes

### 7.1 Ground plot data screening

The following is taken from the CMI procedures (MSRM 2005, p.42)
Classify all trees assessed on the larger tree plot as to whether it is a residual from a former stand. In making this assessment, refer to the general area around the plot. Trees are classed as residual if they are present in even aged stands, are living remnants of a former stand, and occur as the occasional (< 25 per ha) large stem of an older age class than the stand as a whole. Typically these trees have larger diameters, a higher incidence or indication of decay, thicker bark, larger branching and "ragged" or flat tops. These trees must be clearly residual. Unevenaged stands do not generally have residual trees.

The data were examined for potential residual or veteran trees. Each plot was examined to identify trees that appeared to be part of a residual or veteran cohort. These tended to be older, larger trees. The decision rules in Table 17 were used to remove the identified veteran trees. These trees were removed only for the TIPSY comparisons (section 7).

Section 4.2 noted some additional issues, particularly the presence of significant dead volume on some plots.

Table 17. The decision rules to remove veteran trees are listed. These trees identified as "veterans" are only removed for the TIPSY comparisons (section 7).

| samp_no | Veteran? |
| :--- | :--- |
| All | IF age_bh $>70$ |
| 208 | IF species FD and Dbh $\geq 32.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ |
| 230 | IF species BL and Dbh $\geq 22.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ |
|  | IF species CW and Dbh $\geq 32.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ |
| 236 | IF species CW and Dbh $\geq 7.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ |
|  | IF other species and $\mathrm{Dbh} \geq 17.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ |
| 239 | IF species BL and Dbh $\geq 22.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ |
|  | IF species CW and Dbh $\geq 42.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ |
| 245 | IF species deciduous and Dbh $\geq 12.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ |
| 251 | IF species PL and Dbh $\geq 17.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ |
| 265 | IF deciduous and Dbh $\geq 22.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ |
| 273 | IF deciduous and Dbh $\geq 17.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ |

The ground volumes were compared to the TIPSY predictions in section 7.3.

### 7.2 Analysis Units

FAIB provided the analysis unit definitions (Table 18).
Table 18. The analysis unit (AU) definitions and assumptions are given. OAF1 $=15 \%$ and $O A F 2=5 \%$.

| Analysis unit | Pre-harvest leading species | Site index range | Regen method | Regen \% | Regen delay (years) | Expected species composition | Wellspaced density | Areaweighted PSPL SI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fd (poor) | Fd | 7.0-12.0 | Plant | 50\% | 2 | Pl/FD/Decid/Sx | 1026 | 14.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 52/28/14/6 |  |  |
|  |  |  | Natural | 50\% | 2 | Pl/FD/Decid/Sx | 1026 | 14.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 52/28/14/6 |  |  |
| Fd (good/medium) | Fd | >12.0 | Plant | 90\% | 3 | Pl/FD/Decid/Sx/BL | 1139 | 19.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 42/24/17/15/3 |  |  |
|  |  |  | Natural | 10\% | 3 | Pl/FD/Decid/Sx/BL | 1139 | 19.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 42/24/17/15/3 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Cw}, \mathrm{Hw}$ (poor) | $\mathrm{Cw}, \mathrm{Hw}$ | 7.0-12.0 | Plant | 100\% | 2 | Sx/PI/Fd/Cw/He/BI/Dec | 1152 | 20.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 41/23/9/9/6/6/6 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Cw}, \mathrm{Hw}$ (good/medium) | Cw , Hw | >12.0 | Plant | 100\% | 1 | Sx/Pl/Fc/Cw/Decid/Hw/BI | 1481 | 22.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 51/18/18/8/3/2/1 |  |  |
| Sx, Bl (poor) | Sx, BI | 7.0-12.0 | Plant | 100\% | 2 | Sx/PI/BI/decid/Fd | 1173 | 16.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 53/32/9/4/1 |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sx, BI } \\ & \text { (good/medium) } \end{aligned}$ | Sx, BI | >12.0 | Plant | 100\% | 2 | Sx/PI/BI/decid/Fd | 1255 | 18.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 49/28/9/8/6 |  |  |
| Pl (poor) | PI | 7.0-12.0 | Plant | 30\% | 4 | Pl/Decid/Fd/Sx | 1001 | 14.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 87/10/1/1 |  |  |
|  | PI | 7.0-12.0 | Natural | 70\% | 4 | Pl/Decid/Fd/Sx | 1001 | 14.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 87/10/1/1 |  |  |
| PI (good/medium) | PI | >12.0 | Plant | 85\% | 2 | Pl/Decid/Sx/Fd/BI | 1133 | 19.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 67/17/10/8/3 |  |  |
|  | PI | >12.0 | Natural | 15\% | 2 | Pl/Decid/Sx/Fd/BI | 1133 | 19.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 67/17/10/8/3 |  |  |

### 7.3 Predicted (Projected) Yield Estimates

For each sample plot, ground measured volumes were compared against two separate sets of TIPSY to quantify the overall volume bias as well as to partition the total bias into model bias and attribute bias. In addition, two types of volume were compared. Whole stem volume is the total stem volume of live trees with $\operatorname{Dbh} \geq 7.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. Net volume is the stem volume minus stump, top and net downs for all live trees with Dbh $\geq 12.5$.

VOL1: Ground based plot volume. The data were screened and residual or veteran trees removed (Table 17). VOL1 is identical to the ground compiled volume except for the removal of veteran trees. Net volume is vol_ntwb *।_nvaf.

VOL2: TIPSY estimated volumes using ground plot inputs. The ground plot inputs include site index and species composition. For each species, the average site index was computed as described in section 4.4. If SI was not available for the leading species, it was taken from the site productivity layer. There were
three samples with no ground trees. They all were in Phase I pine polygons and the leading species was assumed to be pine. If SI was not available for non-leading species, site index conversion equations were used to impute the SI from the SI of the leading species. If no conversion equations exist, the leading species SI was used for non-leading species.

If there was a record of harvesting in the polygon and the species was a conifer and not balsam, the regeneration method was assumed to be planting and with a planting density of 1,400 stems $/ \mathrm{ha}$. Otherwise the regeneration method was assumed to be natural with an initial density of 5,000 stems/ha.

The TIPSY total age is the age since disturbance and not necessarily breast height age plus years to breast height. It includes a regen delay, years to breast height and assumes an initial stock height. As a consequence, when the TIPSY total age is equal to the ground age, the TIPSY height will not necessarily equal the ground height. And the heights should match since the ground compiler and TIPSY use the same SI (SiteTool) curves. Rather than matching the ground and TIPSY at the same total age, the ground and TIPSY heights were matched and the corresponding TIPSY volume extracted. This is equivalent to matching the ground and TIPSY volumes at the same breast height age. The ground height was taken as the average height of the suitable height trees. If there were no suitable height trees, the average height of the six live trees of largest Dbh, excluding broken top trees, was used. The TIPSY height is the weighted average top height of all species.

TIPSY supports limited species mixtures. Based on comparisons by FAIB staff, the following substitutions were made. For samples with a secondary deciduous component, the deciduous component was replaced with SX and the corresponding deciduous site index reduced to $90 \%$ of the original SI.

VOL3: TIPSY estimated volumes using the PSPL site index estimates and VRI Phase I based species mix. The TIPSY runs were similar to those for VOL2 except the species composition was taken from the VRI Phase I layer and SI from the PSPL. The TIPSY age was matched to PROJ_AGE_1. As with VOL2, for samples with a secondary deciduous component, the deciduous component was replaced with SX and the corresponding deciduous site index reduced to $90 \%$ of the original SI.

VOL4: AU volumes generated by FAIB. The samples were assigned to an AU based on the Phase I inventory leading species and the PSPL SI corresponding to the Phase I leading species. These volumes correspond to a utilization of 12.5 cm . Note there were no AU curves for hardwood leading plots. Severn plots had hardwood leading species in Phase I.

The bias was defined a follows.

```
Total Bias = VOL1 - VOL3 = Model Bias + Attribute Bias
Model Bias = VOL1 - VOL2
Attribute Bias = VOL2 - VOL3
```


### 7.4 Total bias - Ground compiler vs. TIPSY Volume

The ground volume (VOL1) versus using the TIPSY volume from Phase I species composition and the PSPL SI (VOL3) are not particularly close (Figure 6).


Figure 6. The ground volume is plotted against the TIPSY VOL3 predictions. Volumes are whole stem volume at the 7.5 cm utilization level.

The ground attributes for sample 231 were 150 trees/ha with a top height of 8.5 m while the Phase I inventory had 1395 trees/ha with a top height of 22.4 m . The volume differences for sample 278 in Figure 6 are due largely to age differences (ground age of 48.5 compared to an inventory age of 34 ).

### 7.5 Model bias - Ground vs. TIPSY Volume using ground attributes

The ground volumes (VOL1) were compared to the TIPSY volumes using the ground species composition and site index (VOL2) (Figure 7). This is an indication of the model-related volume bias. The largest difference is associated with sample 278. The leading species for both the ground and the Phase I inventory is FD. The ground SI was 21.3 m and age was 48.5 while the Phase I inventory (PSPL) SI was 23.2 m and the age was 34 .


Figure 7.The ground volume is plotted against VOL2. Volumes are whole stem volume at the 7.5 cm utilization level.

### 7.6 Bias analysis

The results of TIPSY whole stem volume comparisons are given in Table 19 by BEC zones, Phase I leading species and age strata. The overall total bias is $-17.3 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{ha} \pm 8.6$ (one standard error). Most of this bias is due to attribute rather than model bias. Overall the model bias is very low.

Table 19. Overall TIPSY whole stem volume projections comparison. The utilization level is 7.5 cm .
Statistically significant differences are shaded.

| Strata | N | ( $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{ha}$ ) |  |  | Bias |  |  | prob(bias = 0) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | VOL1 | VOL2 | VOL3 | Total | Model | Attribute | Total | Model | Attribute |
| ICH | 14 | 96.5 | 118.7 | 150.7 | $-54.2 \pm 25.0$ | $-22.2 \pm 12.2$ | $-32.0 \pm 24.4$ | 0.048 | 0.090 | 0.210 |
| IDF | 16 | 25.7 | 32.0 | 53.5 | $-27.8 \pm 10.0$ | $-6.3 \pm 5.7$ | $-21.5 \pm 11.2$ | 0.014 | 0.292 | 0.075 |
| Other | 18 | 66.3 | 43.5 | 58.1 | $8.2 \pm 26.1$ | $22.7 \pm 10.2$ | $-14.6 \pm 20.0$ | 0.758 | 0.038 | 0.475 |
| SBPS | 30 | 14.2 | 14.5 | 23.0 | $-8.7 \pm 4.9$ | $-0.3 \pm 3.4$ | $-8.4 \pm 5.4$ | 0.083 | 0.931 | 0.129 |
| Other | 25 | 81.5 | 82.2 | 85.2 | $-3.8 \pm 21.9$ | $-0.7 \pm 10.4$ | $-3.1 \pm 17.7$ | 0.864 | 0.947 | 0.863 |
| Pine | 53 | 27.9 | 27.8 | 51.5 | $-23.6 \pm 7.3$ | $0.2 \pm 3.6$ | $-23.8 \pm 6.7$ | 0.002 | 0.966 | 0.001 |
| Age 15-30 | 42 | 13.5 | 8.7 | 8.7 | $4.7 \pm 3.8$ | $4.8 \pm 1.8$ | $0.0 \pm 2.9$ | 0.214 | 0.011 | 0.990 |
| Age 31-50 | 36 | 82.0 | 87.8 | 124.9 | $-42.9 \pm 17.2$ | $-5.8 \pm 8.6$ | $-37.1 \pm 14.8$ | 0.017 | 0.502 | 0.017 |
| Total | 78 | 45.1 | 45.2 | 62.3 | $-17.3 \pm 8.6$ | $-0.1 \pm 4.1$ | $-17.1 \pm 7.3$ | 0.047 | 0.977 | 0.021 |

The volumes net of decay, waste and breakage are given in Table 20. The ground volumes are much smaller and the model bias is greater. The samples are young and should not have much decay but the trees are small with a high fraction of non-merchantable volumes and stand level volumes are very sensitive to utilization level.

Table 20. Overall TIPSY volumes net of decay waste and breakage comparison. The utilization level is 12.5 cm . Statistically significant differences are shaded.

| Strata | N | ( $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{ha}$ ) |  |  | Bias |  |  | prob(bias $=0$ ) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | VOL1 | VOL2 | VOL3 | Total | Model | Attribute | Total | Model | Attribute |
| ICH | 15 | 55.6 | 78.3 | 113.5 | $-57.9 \pm 23.2$ | $-22.7 \pm 9.9$ | $-35.2 \pm 21.2$ | 0.026 | 0.037 | 0.120 |
| IDF | 16 | 11.1 | 19.2 | 31.3 | $-20.2 \pm 9.7$ | $-8.1 \pm 6.5$ | $-12.1 \pm 9.2$ | 0.055 | 0.232 | 0.212 |
| Other | 19 | 33.0 | 24.1 | 34.4 | $-1.4 \pm 18.9$ | $9.0 \pm 4.9$ | $-10.4 \pm 16.1$ | 0.943 | 0.085 | 0.527 |
| SBPS | 28 | 5.4 | 6.6 | 8.6 | $-3.2 \pm 2.5$ | $-1.2 \pm 1.9$ | $-2.1 \pm 3.4$ | 0.204 | 0.541 | 0.551 |
| Other | 25 | 49.9 | 53.4 | 55.5 | $-5.7 \pm 15.8$ | $-3.5 \pm 7.2$ | $-2.2 \pm 14.3$ | 0.723 | 0.633 | 0.882 |
| Pine | 53 | 10.3 | 14.9 | 32.3 | $-22.0 \pm 7.2$ | $-4.6 \pm 2.6$ | $-17.4 \pm 5.9$ | 0.004 | 0.085 | 0.005 |
| Age 15-30 | 42 | 5.8 | 3.4 | 2.3 | $3.6 \pm 2.1$ | $2.5 \pm 1.3$ | $1.1 \pm 1.4$ | 0.093 | 0.068 | 0.437 |
| Age 31-50 | 36 | 42.9 | 55.1 | 83.4 | $-40.5 \pm 14.2$ | $-12.1 \pm 5.9$ | $-28.4 \pm 12.7$ | 0.007 | 0.046 | 0.032 |
| Total | 78 | 23.0 | 27.2 | 39.7 | $-16.8 \pm 7$ | $-4.3 \pm 2.9$ | $-12.5 \pm 6.1$ | 0.020 | 0.146 | 0.044 |

The ground and Phase I (Inventory) basal area (BA) and trees per hectare (TPH) were compared (Table 21). Ten of the samples had no live trees and an additional 5 plots had stems/ha $\leq 100$ stems/ha. The inclusion of these plots lowers the average ground BA and TPH.

Table 21. The ground plot and TIPSY-generated trees/ha and basal area are compared. Statistically significant differences $($ prob $($ bias $=0)<0.05)$ are shaded.

| Strata | N | Trees/ha |  |  | prob(bias = 0) | BA ( $\mathrm{m}^{2} / \mathrm{ha}$ ) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Ground | TIPSY | Bias |  | Ground | TIPSY | Bias | prob(bias = 0) |
| ICH | 14 | 1326 | 1111 | $215 \pm 170$ | 0.226 | 18.6 | 21.9 | $-3.4 \pm 3.6$ | 0.365 |
| IDF | 16 | 563 | 801 | $-238 \pm 145$ | 0.122 | 5.8 | 9.8 | $-4.0 \pm 1.9$ | 0.055 |
| Other | 18 | 1041 | 747 | $294 \pm 250$ | 0.255 | 12.9 | 7.8 | $5.1 \pm 3.8$ | 0.193 |
| SBPS | 30 | 372 | 574 | $-202 \pm 100$ | 0.053 | 3.5 | 3.8 | $-0.3 \pm 0.9$ | 0.726 |
| Other | 25 | 1017 | 852 | $165 \pm 181$ | 0.371 | 15.3 | 11.4 | $3.9 \pm 3.0$ | 0.207 |
| Pine | 53 | 635 | 725 | $-90 \pm 93$ | 0.336 | 6.3 | 8.6 | $-2.3 \pm 1.2$ | 0.051 |
| Age 15-30 | 42 | 357 | 303 | $54 \pm 73$ | 0.462 | 3.6 | 1.9 | $1.7 \pm 0.9$ | 0.060 |
| Age 31-50 | 36 | 1224 | 1305 | $-81 \pm 167$ | 0.632 | 15.7 | 18.3 | $-2.7 \pm 2.6$ | 0.307 |
| All | 78 | 758 | 766 | $-8 \pm 86$ | 0.924 | 9.2 | 9.5 | $-0.3 \pm 1.3$ | 0.800 |

### 7.7 Ground vs. AU volumes

The ground volumes (VOL1) were compared to the Analysis Unit (AU) TIPSY volumes (VOL4) (Figure 8). The AU curves were obtained from FAIB and are TIPSY-generated volumes at the 12.5 cm utilization level by 5 year age classes. Although it is generally reassuring when the two volumes are close, differences are not necessarily a cause for alarm. The AU yields represent the average condition while the ground plots may be at the higher or lower end of the productivity range. In addition, the assignment to an AU was based on the Phase I (Inventory) information. If the Phase I species is incorrect, differences between VOL1 and VOL4 are expected.

Volumes for all ages were obtained by linear interpolation. Each sample was then assigned to an AU based on the Phase I leading species and site productivity layer SI. VOL4 is the volume from the AU yield curve corresponding to PROJ_AGE_1.

There were seven samples that had a hardwood Phase I leading species. There are no hardwood AUs so these samples were dropped from the following comparison.


Figure 8. The ground volume is plotted against VOL4. Volumes are net of decay, waste and breakage at the 12.5 cm utilization level.

The AU volumes are summarized in Table 22 along with the Phase II ground volumes and VOL3. In general, the AU volumes are statistically larger than the ground volumes, particularly for pine-leading polygons. The AU volumes and VOL3 are closer. The volumes compared here are merchantable volumes net of decay, waste and breakage at the 12.5 cm utilization level. The AU volumes and VOL3 are closer. The differences between ground (VOL1) and AU volume observed here may have the same cause attribute error. The average pine-leading PSPL SI was 17.7 m (Table 14) compared to an AU average SI of 19.3 m (Table 18). The relatively small ground sample may not adequately reflect the population.

Table 22. Average volume, net of decay waste and breakage, is given by strata ( $\mathrm{Dbh} \geq 12.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ ). .
Statistically significant differences (prob(bias $=0)<0.05)$ are shaded.

| Strata | N | Volume ( $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{ha}$ ) ( $\mathrm{Dbh} \geq 12.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) |  |  | Ground vs. AU volume |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Ground (VOL1) | AU curves (VOL4) | VOL3 | Bias | prob(bias = 0) |
| ICH | 14 | 55.6 | 82.2 | 113.5 | $-26.6 \pm 16.9$ | 0.138 |
| IDF | 13 | 13.6 | 60.0 | 38.3 | $-46.4 \pm 12.4$ | 0.003 |
| Other | 16 | 30.7 | 32.0 | 18.9 | $-1.2 \pm 14.5$ | 0.934 |
| SBPS | 28 | 5.8 | 40.5 | 9.3 | $-34.7 \pm 10.0$ | 0.002 |
| Other | 18 | 63.4 | 63.8 | 58.6 | $-0.4 \pm 17.2$ | 0.981 |
| Pine | 53 | 10.3 | 46.4 | 32.3 | $-36.2 \pm 6.5$ | 0.000 |
| Age 15-30 | 38 | 6.4 | 7.1 | 2.5 | $-0.6 \pm 2.8$ | 0.822 |
| Age 31-50 | 33 | 43.6 | 101.2 | 80.9 | $-57.6 \pm 12.1$ | 0.000 |
| All | 71 | 23.7 | 50.8 | 38.9 | $-27.1 \pm 6.7$ | 0.000 |

## 8 Discussion

The analysis has a number of complications. Twelve of the 78 samples did not have any live trees with $\mathrm{Dbh} \geq 7.5 \mathrm{~cm}$. These are young samples and many of the trees may be smaller than the Dbh threshold. 43 samples had a Phase I height < 7 m and potentially have not had VRI attributes such as basal area and volume updated by VDYP7.

Overall, the total volume bias is moderately statistically significant, largely due to attribute bias.
The bias associated with age and height was not statistically significant but SI was overestimated by the PSPL and likely contributes to the overestimate of volume.

Table 23. The results of comparing the ground plots to the inventory and to the YSM assumptions are summarized. A prob(bias $=0$ ) of less than 0.05 is generally considered an indication of statistically significant differences (or bias).

| Attribute | N | Ground mean | Comparison | Inventory mean | Bias |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Magnitude | \% of ground mean | prob(bias = 0) |
| Whole stem volume ( $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{ha}$ ) | 78 | 45.1 | TIPSY | 62.3 | $-17.3 \pm 8.6$ | -38\% | 0.047 |
| Volume attribute bias ( $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{ha}$ ) | 78 |  | TIPSY |  | $-17.1 \pm 7.3$ | -38\% | 0.021 |
| Volume model bias ( $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{ha}$ ) | 78 |  | TIPSY |  | $-0.1 \pm 4.1$ | 0\% | 0.977 |
| Species matched age (yrs) | 70 | 36.2 | VRI | 32.4 | $3.8 \pm 2.1$ | 11\% | 0.069 |
| Species matched height (m) | 68 | 9.0 | VRI | 8.2 | $0.8 \pm 0.5$ | 9\% | 0.123 |
| Site index (m) | 61 | 15.5 | PSPL | 16.8 | $-1.2 \pm 0.5$ | -8\% | 0.009 |

## 9 Recommendations

For young stands, not all attributes In the Phase I inventory are updated by VDYP7, particularly basal area and trees/ha. As a consequence, the utilization level associated with basal area and trees/ha are not fixed and this has implications for the comparison. This should be noted in any analyses involving young polygons.

The results here do not indicate any major issues with the Williams Lake YSM Phase I attributes or TIPSY volumes. However, a key monitoring objective is to compare observed to forecasted growth. The samples should be remeasured, as planned.
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## 11 Appendix A - List of Sample Locations \& Weights

The sample weights were equal for all plots (plot weight $=1$ ).
Table 24. The sample locations are given.

| Sample | Map ID | Polygon ID | Northing | Easting |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0200 | 093A063 | 76573 | 5836633 | 607637 |
| 0201 | 093A052 | 65008 | 5817496 | 586773 |
| 0202 | $093 \mathrm{B034}$ | 01000 | 5801787 | 485922 |
| 0202 | $093 B 034$ | 01000 | 5801787 | 485922 |
| 0203 | 093 A026 | 42999 | 5794893 | 645907 |
| 0204 | $093 C 010$ | 48857 | 5764338 | 424236 |
| 0205 | $093 \mathrm{B003}$ | 00403 | 5762644 | 464223 |
| 0206 | 092N090 | 00575 | 5744345 | 423396 |
| 0207 | 0920087 | 47737 | 5740096 | 523344 |
| 0208 | 0920067 | 76201 | 5720110 | 522488 |
| 0209 | $093 C 013$ | 00014 | 5778519 | 324668 |
| 0210 | $093 C 046$ | 00347 | 5811856 | 366133 |
| 0211 | 092N096 | 00766 | 5751857 | 363620 |
| 0212 | 092N097 | 00404 | 5756015 | 383825 |
| 0213 | 093C030 | 54103 | 5789330 | 425293 |
| 0214 | 092N100 | 01004 | 5759335 | 424028 |
| 0215 | 0920071 | 00026 | 5738503 | 443176 |
| 0216 | 0920061 | 00490 | 5718514 | 442328 |
| 0217 | $093 \mathrm{B053}$ | 00539 | 5827634 | 466983 |
| 0218 | 0920093 | 13616 | 5752647 | 463799 |
| 0219 | 0938014 | 01748 | 5776787 | 484857 |
| 0220 | $093 B 026$ | 94229 | 5785934 | 505277 |
| 0221 | 0920056 | 87069 | 5705977 | 501863 |
| 0222 | 0920077 | 74774 | 5735099 | 523131 |
| 0223 | 0920067 | 08597 | 5725108 | 522702 |
| 0224 | 0920037 | 05284 | 5685137 | 520992 |
| 0225 | 0938020 | 83958 | 5783361 | 565263 |
| 0226 | 0920070 | 58501 | 5723386 | 562679 |
| 0227 | 093A052 | 37722 | 5822487 | 586989 |
| 0228 | 093A084 | 65463 | 5851636 | 608285 |
| 0228 | 093A084 | 65463 | 5851636 | 608285 |
| 0229 | 093A053 | 70538 | 5826635 | 607208 |
| 0230 | 093A053 | 57703 | 5821628 | 606989 |
| 0231 | 093A033 | 78801 | 5806626 | 606340 |
| 0232 | 093A055 | 10718 | 5825762 | 627207 |
| 0233 | 093A036 | 85411 | 5799899 | 646123 |
| 0234 | 093A026 | 49979 | 5789894 | 645688 |
| 0235 | 093A016 | 27099 | 5784896 | 645472 |
| 0236 | 093A028 | 99920 | 5789019 | 665690 |
| 0236 | 093A028 | 99920 | 5789019 | 665690 |
| 0237 | 093A069 | 46509 | 5833595 | 677652 |
| 0238 | $093 C 059$ | 01407 | 5824753 | 416771 |
| 0239 | 093A043 | 44654 | 5817058 | 596778 |

Young Stand Monitoring in the Williams Lake TSA

| Sample | Map ID | Polygon ID | Northing | Easting |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0240 | $093 A 044$ | 54741 | 5816193 | 616776 |
| 0241 | 093 C028 | 00540 | 5785597 | 395087 |
| 0242 | $093 A 015$ | 40949 | 5775329 | 635033 |
| 0243 | 0920097 | 59274 | 5760510 | 514208 |
| 0244 | 0920081 | 00243 | 5743920 | 433390 |
| 0245 | 0920064 | 00251 | 5722240 | 472526 |
| 0246 | 0920065 | 16112 | 5721388 | 492510 |
| 0247 | 0920066 | 85920 | 5720533 | 512497 |
| 0248 | $093 C 078$ | 43479 | 5840599 | 397401 |
| 0249 | 092 N079 | 00075 | 5729774 | 412773 |
| 0250 | 0920091 | 33057 | 5753912 | 433813 |
| 0250 | 0920091 | 33057 | 5753912 | 433813 |
| 0251 | 0920071 | 00007 | 5738922 | 433173 |
| 0252 | 0920051 | 00425 | 5713937 | 432126 |
| 0253 | $093 B 032$ | 30251 | 5798057 | 455707 |
| 0254 | $093 B 022$ | 03987 | 5788061 | 455289 |
| 0255 | 0920052 | 00774 | 5708094 | 451898 |
| 0256 | $093 B 034$ | 00136 | 5797211 | 475709 |
| 0257 | $093 B 004$ | 00231 | 5767215 | 474429 |
| 0258 | 0920074 | 95758 | 5737234 | 473159 |
| 0258 | 0920074 | 95758 | 5737234 | 473159 |
| 0259 | 0920053 | 29971 | 5712245 | 472098 |
| 0260 | 0920053 | 00174 | 5707251 | 471886 |
| 0261 | $093 B 025$ | 14025 | 5786362 | 495281 |
| 0262 | $093 B 015$ | 00418 | 5776363 | 494856 |
| 0263 | $093 B 005$ | 15330 | 5771365 | 494641 |
| 0264 | $093 B 005$ | 00322 | 5766365 | 494428 |
| 0265 | 0920075 | 81435 | 5731385 | 492934 |
| 0266 | 0920065 | 72993 | 5716393 | 492296 |
| 0267 | $093 B 017$ | 78390 | 5775509 | 514848 |
| 0268 | 0920056 | 42947 | 5715541 | 512283 |
| 0269 | 0920056 | 66973 | 5705547 | 511854 |
| 0270 | 0920036 | 00776 | 5685562 | 511002 |
| 0271 | $093 B 028$ | 91427 | 5789651 | 535486 |
| 0272 | $093 B 060$ | 97699 | 5823785 | 556986 |
| 0273 | $093 A 051$ | 77856 | 5822929 | 576986 |
| 0274 | $093 A 073$ | 69424 | 5842067 | 597849 |
| 0275 | $093 A 063$ | 83521 | 5832061 | 597420 |
| 0276 | $093 A 012$ | 23849 | 5782065 | 595260 |
| 0277 | $093 A 036$ | 78700 | 5805326 | 636340 |
| 0278 | $093 A 035$ | 15834 | 5800326 | 636121 |

## 12 Appendix B - Plot Data Summaries

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BA } \\ & 7.5 \end{aligned}$ | Phase <br> TPH <br> 7.5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { II } \\ & \text { WSV } \\ & 7.5 \end{aligned}$ | Vol ndwb 125 | Spp1 | HT1 | Age1 | SI1 | WSV <br> dead | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BA } \\ & 12.5 \end{aligned}$ | Phase <br> TPH <br> 12.5 | Vol $12.5$ | Dead <br> Vol | Spp1 | HT1 | Age1 | Site SX | Prod <br> HW | Layer BL | CW | PL | FD | AT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 200 | 14.9 | 1651 | 54 | 8 | PL | 8.5 | 26.5 | 22.3 | 0 | 1.0 | 1928 | 0 | 0 | PL | 6.9 | 36 | 26.4 | 18.3 |  | 19.4 | 18.4 | 24.6 |  |
| 201 | 7.3 | 575 | 41 | 21 | PL | 13.3 | 43.8 | 16.4 | 86 | 18.5 | 1110 | 61 | 1 | PL | 14.3 | 40 | 20.8 |  |  | 20 | 16.4 | 23.7 |  |
| 202 | 6.5 | 751 | 26 | 13 | PL | 5.5 | 19.5 | 16.2 | 0 |  | 3456 | 0 | 0 | PL | 3.4 | 20 | 18.4 |  |  |  |  | 20.1 |  |
| 203 | 23.0 | 1351 | 115 | 72 | FD | 14.2 | 30.3 | 26.2 | 3 | 31.7 | 2448 | 64 | 0 | FD | 13.6 | 37 | 24.1 | 19.2 |  | 20.7 | 18 | 21 |  |
| 204 | 1.6 | 325 | 4 | 0 | PL | 5.2 | 21.8 | 14.7 | 0 |  | 25851 | 0 | 0 | PL | 3.9 | 22 | 17.9 |  |  |  |  | 13.7 |  |
| 205 | 0.4 | 50 | 1 | 0 | PL | 4.3 | 18.9 | 14.6 | 0 |  | 6623 | 0 | 0 | PL | 3.9 | 22 | 17.3 |  |  |  |  | 16.4 |  |
| 206 | 10.6 | 776 | 40 | 23 | PL | 8.6 | 42.5 | 11.8 | 0 | 6.1 | 631 | 6 | 0 | PL | 9 | 46 | 17.9 |  |  |  |  | 13.7 |  |
| 207 | 0.4 | 25 | 1 | 1 | AT |  |  | 19.4 | 1 | 5.0 | 500 | 0 | 0 | AT | 6 | 23 | . |  |  |  |  | 19.4 |  |
| 208 | 4.0 | 400 | 12 | 2 | PL | 8.8 | 45.0 | 12.3 | 4 | 20.1 | 1419 | 58 | 9 | PLI | 16.9 | 40 | 16.9 |  |  |  |  | 16.4 |  |
| 209 | 25.6 | 1376 | 138 | 91 |  | 13.1 | 51.8 | 14.3 | 2 | 4.9 | 516 | 4 | 0 | PL | 9.5 | 49 |  |  |  | 10 |  | 12.1 |  |
| 210 | 10.4 | 1176 | 40 | 8 | PL | 9.3 | 39.3 | 13.3 | 1 |  |  | 0 | 0 | PL | 1.9 | 33 | 16.9 |  |  |  |  | 16.2 |  |
| 211 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | PL | 4.3 | 19.1 | 14.5 | 0 |  | 7439 | 0 | 0 | PL | 0.1 | 20 | 18.4 |  |  | 17.5 |  | 17.6 |  |
| 212 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | PL |  |  |  | 0 |  | 3208 | 0 | 0 | PLI | 1.3 | 21 | 17.9 |  |  |  |  | 13.7 |  |
| 213 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | PL |  |  |  | 0 |  | 8817 | 0 | 0 | PLI | 2.7 | 16 | 17.9 |  |  |  |  | 13.7 |  |
| 214 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | PL |  |  |  | 0 |  | 7745 | 0 | 0 | PL | 3.2 | 19 | 16.7 |  |  |  |  | 15 |  |
| 215 | 1.1 | 150 | 6 | 0 | PL | 9.6 | 47.2 |  | 71 |  |  | 0 | 0 | PL | 2.7 | 47 | 17.9 |  |  |  |  | 13.7 |  |
| 216 | 17.8 | 1626 | 83 | 27 | PL | 10.8 | 47.5 | 12.8 | 0 | 14.1 | 1270 | 36 | 0 | PL | 13.7 | 44 | 17.9 |  |  |  |  | 13.7 |  |
| 217 | 1.3 | 175 | 4 | 0 | AT | 6.0 | 19.5 | 17.3 | 0 |  | 2944 | 0 | 0 | AT | 5.7 | 25 | 17.3 |  |  |  |  | 15 |  |
| 218 | 0.2 | 25 | 0 | 0 | AT | 4.5 | 19.5 | 14.5 | 0 |  | 6305 | 0 | 0 | AT | 5.3 | 23 | 16.7 |  |  |  |  | 14.7 |  |
| 219 | 1.5 | 175 | 3 | 0 | AT | 5.0 | 22.5 | 13.8 | 0 | 5.0 | 2364 | 0 | 0 | AT | 6.6 | 24 | 17.4 |  |  |  |  | 16.4 |  |
| 220 | 2.2 | 250 | 6 | 1 | PL | 6.1 | 18.5 | 18.4 | 0 | 3.0 | 1800 | 0 | 0 | PL | 4.7 | 24 | 17.9 |  |  |  |  | 13.7 |  |
| 221 | 0.6 | 125 | 2 | 0 | PL | 5.3 | 20.8 | 15.5 | 0 | 5.0 | 8676 | 0 | 0 | PLI | 4.5 | 28 | 17.9 |  |  |  |  | 13.7 |  |
| 222 | 0.2 | 25 | 0 | 0 | AT | 3.7 | 29.5 | 8.9 | 2 | 13.8 | 1876 | 8 | 0 | AT | 10.8 | 33 | 18.6 |  |  |  |  | 19.3 |  |
| 223 | 4.6 | 600 | 11 | 1 | PL | 6.3 | 30.5 | 12.7 | 0 | 3.0 | 5492 | 0 | 0 | PLI | 4.6 | 25 | 17.2 |  |  |  |  | 16.4 |  |
| 224 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | PL |  |  |  | 0 |  | 4806 | 0 | 0 | PL | 5.3 | 19 | 18.4 |  |  |  |  | 17.6 |  |
| 225 | 21.1 | 1201 | 112 | 79 | FD | 17.0 | 90.5 | 14.3 | 0 | 20.6 | 954 | 56 | 0 | FD | 11.9 | 43 | 19.1 |  |  |  |  | 19.6 |  |
| 226 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | FD |  | 95.5 | 13.2 | 105 | 14.0 | 1152 | 25 | 0 | FDI | 12.6 | 42 | 19.1 |  |  |  |  | 19.6 |  |


|  | Youn | Stand | Mo | ring i | the W | illiam | Lake |  |  | Page 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{BA} \\ & 7.5 \end{aligned}$ | Phase <br> TPH <br> 7.5 | II WSV 7.5 7.5 | Vol ndwb $125$ | Spp1 | HT1 | Age1 | SI1 | WSV dead | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BA } \\ & 12.5 \end{aligned}$ | Phase <br> TPH <br> 12.5 | Vol $12.5$ | Dead Vol | Spp1 | HT1 | Age1 |  | Prod <br> HW | Layer <br> BL | CW | PL | FD | AT |
| 227 | 27.6 | 1976 | 116 | 73 | SX |  |  | 20.0 | 4 | 15.9 | 1940 | 21 | 0 | SX | 14.1 | 39 | 20.8 |  |  | 20 | 16.4 | 23.7 |  |
| 228 | 2.4 | 275 | 9 | 4 | SX | 5.7 | 22.5 | 20.5 | 2 | 1.0 | 800 | 0 | 0 | SE | 4.9 | 34 |  |  |  | 9 |  | 17.3 |  |
| 229 | 6.9 | 275 | 32 | 25 | SX | 10.1 | 26.0 | 24.6 | 33 | 10.0 | 800 | 0 | 0 | SX | 12 | 19 | 22.7 | 19.9 |  | 21.3 | 17.6 | 22.5 |  |
| 230 | 21.5 | 1276 | 131 | 92 | BL | 15.8 | 60.8 | 16.4 | 2 | 34.8 | 1255 | 192 | 0 | BL | 20.7 | 49 | 22.9 | 18.6 |  | 20.9 | 17.4 | 21 |  |
| 231 | 1.1 | 150 | 4 | 1 | AT |  |  | 20.5 | 17 | 14.4 | 560 | 61 | 0 | AC | 22.3 | 44 | 20.5 |  |  | 21.1 |  | 21.1 |  |
| 232 | 24.6 | 1501 | 117 | 80 | SX | 12.4 | 26.9 | 22.0 | 0 | 5.0 | 2300 | 0 | 0 | SX | 6 | 27 | 24.1 | 18 |  | 19.7 | 18 | 21 |  |
| 233 | 7.9 | 776 | 24 | 6 | PL | 7.1 | 20.5 | 18.7 | 0 | 3.3 | 419 | 1 | 0 | PL | 8.2 | 24 |  |  |  | 12 |  | 19.5 |  |
| 234 | 18.9 | 1551 | 105 | 59 | PL | 15.9 | 33.8 | 22.8 | 85 | 13.5 | 477 | 13 | 17 | PL | 12.3 | 36 | 20.8 |  |  | 20 | 16.4 | 23.7 |  |
| 235 | 17.8 | 1151 | 91 | 54 | PL | 11.9 | 34.0 | 22.5 | 60 | 18.9 | 1348 | 42 | 5 | PL | 13.6 | 34 | 20.8 |  |  | 20 | 16.4 | 23.7 |  |
| 236 | 11.7 | 801 | 66 | 41 | CW |  |  | 24.0 | 32 | 19.7 | 1456 | 65 | 0 | CW | 12.2 | 49 | 24 | 19.9 |  | 21.3 | 18 | 21.1 |  |
| 237 | 26.6 | 1576 | 141 | 87 | CW | 14.7 | 42.5 | 19.7 | 4 | 46.8 | 1896 | 138 | 0 | CW | 12.5 | 39 | 22.7 | 19.9 |  | 21.3 | 17.6 | 22.5 |  |
| 238 | 6.0 | 700 | 19 | 3 | PL | 7.5 | 26.3 | 15.8 | 0 | 5.4 | 347 | 2 | 2 | PL | 9 | 26 | 17.9 |  |  |  |  | 13.7 |  |
| 239 | 24.7 | 1776 | 143 | 82 | SX | 16.0 | 62.8 | 15.9 | 8 | 39.1 | 2879 | 104 | 0 | SX | 15.2 | 34 | 20.1 |  |  | 20.5 | 16.7 | 24 |  |
| 240 | 23.5 | 1326 | 147 | 98 | FD | 18.1 | 47.0 | 21.8 | 7 | 33.8 | 1535 | 137 | 0 | FD | 18.4 | 49 | 22.7 | 19.9 |  | 21.3 | 17.6 | 22.5 |  |
| 241 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | PL |  |  |  | 0 |  | 8040 | 0 | 0 | PLI | 5.7 | 22 | 17.9 |  |  |  |  | 13.7 |  |
| 242 | 26.5 | 1976 | 116 | 61 | BL | 11.4 | 44.8 | 16.6 | 0 | 5.0 | 850 | 0 | 0 | BL | 7 | 29 |  |  |  | 17.6 |  | 17.1 |  |
| 243 | 17.3 | 2352 | 98 | 8 | PL |  | 85.1 | 10.7 | 44 | 2.9 | 378 | 2 | 0 | PL | 7.5 | 43 | 19.1 |  |  |  |  | 19.6 |  |
| 244 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | PL | 6.5 | 49.7 | 8.2 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | PL | 2.5 | 44 | 17.9 |  |  |  |  | 13.7 |  |
| 245 | 7.9 | 926 | 36 | 6 | PL |  | 76.5 |  | 11 | 3.8 | 463 | 3 | 0 | PL | 8 | 41 | 17.9 |  |  |  |  | 13.7 |  |
| 246 | 2.2 | 300 | 6 | 0 | PL | 5.7 | 28.5 | 12.4 | 0 |  | 2157 | 0 | 0 | PLI | 5.2 | 26 | 17.9 |  |  |  |  | 13.7 |  |
| 247 | 4.9 | 525 | 15 | 3 | SX | 6.4 | 21.2 | 16.9 | 0 | 3.0 | 1900 | 0 | 0 | SW | 4 | 28 | 17.9 |  |  |  |  | 13.7 |  |
| 248 | 0.9 | 150 | 2 | 0 | PL | 3.7 | 37.2 | 7.3 | 0 |  | 5954 | 0 | 0 | PLI | 6.5 | 20 | 9.8 |  |  |  |  | 15 |  |
| 249 | 10.7 | 1001 | 40 | 17 | PL | 8.4 | 40.5 | 12.0 | 1 | 8.4 | 849 | 11 | 0 | PL | 10.5 | 34 | . |  |  |  |  | 9 |  |
| 250 | 0.2 | 25 | 1 | 0 | PL | 3.1 | 16.5 | 13.0 | 0 |  | 5231 | 0 | 0 | PL | 5 | 18 | 17.9 |  |  |  |  | 13.7 |  |
| 251 | 3.6 | 575 | 11 | 1 | PL |  |  | 13.7 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | PL | 3 | 44 | 17.9 |  |  |  |  | 13.7 |  |
| 252 | 38.5 | 4703 | 188 | 28 | PL | 10.9 | 50.5 | 12.5 | 6 | 5.1 | 550 | 5 | 0 | PL | 9.2 | 47 | 16.9 |  |  | 15.8 |  | 16.2 |  |
| 253 | 6.7 | 675 | 24 | 9 | PL | 8.1 | 24.0 | 18.0 | 0 | 1.0 | 1198 | 0 | 0 | PL | 3.1 | 21 | 17.9 |  |  |  |  | 13.7 |  |
| 254 | 1.4 | 150 | 6 | 3 | PL | 5.7 | 18.8 | 17.2 | 0 |  | 784 | 0 | 0 | PLI | 3.2 | 24 | 17.1 |  |  |  |  | 16.4 |  |
| 255 | 18.3 | 1101 | 105 | 70 | PL | 15.1 | 52.0 | 16.1 | 22 |  |  | 0 | 0 | PL | 4 | 49 | 17.9 |  |  |  |  | 13.7 |  |
| 256 | 1.7 | 325 | 3 | 0 | PL |  |  | 15.0 | 0 |  | 6153 | 0 | 0 | PL | 4.6 | 25 | 15.1 |  |  |  |  | 15 |  |
| 257 | 13.6 | 600 | 71 | 55 | PL | 13.6 | 67.5 | 13.6 | 0 | 13.2 | 961 | 36 | 1 | PL | 13.8 | 46 | 17.9 |  |  |  |  | 16.4 |  |




[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ http://archive.ilmb.gov.bc.ca/risc/pubs/teveg/nficmp2012/CMI\%20Procedures_ver1_2012_Final.pdf
    ${ }^{2}$ G. Churlish. 2003. Data dictionary for the vegetation resources inventory and national forest inventory timber data (summary files).

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ http://www.for.gov.bc.ca/hts/siteprod/download/FLNR Provincial Site_Productivity Layer.pdf

