BC Farm Industry Review Board

September 24, 2021 File: 44200-60\AREV

DELIVERED BY EMAIL

Claire Hunter, Q.C. Morgan Camley
Hunter Litigation Chambers Dentons Canada LLP

Ravi Hira, Q.C. Kenneth McEwan, Q.C. Hira Rowan McEwan Partners

Robert Hrabinsky Robert McDonell Affleck Hrabinsky Burgoyne Farris LLP

Dean Dalke DLA Piper

Dear all:

INTERIM ORDER REGARDING AMENDING ORDER 54: VEGETABLE COMMISSION ALLEGATIONS OF BAD FAITH AND UNLAWFUL ACTIVITY REVIEW:

On September 15, 2021, I issued a decision amending my interim order of August 20, 2021 regarding the establishment of BC Vegetable Marketing Commission (Commission) panels to hear and decide any requests and applications made by Prokam Enterprises Ltd., CFP Marketing Corporation (CFP), and MPL British Columbia Distributors Inc. (MPL).

Subsequently, MPL drew to my attention the Commission's Amending Order 54, which states that applications for agency status shall be referred to a five-member panel. MPL suggested that my September 15 order be amended to clarify that requirement would be "waived".

Section 11(2) of the *Natural Products Marketing (BC) Act* gives me the power to, at any time, amend, vary or cancel an order made by the Commission. I have determined that it is appropriate for me to vary s. 2(1) of Amending Order 54 to make it inapplicable to agency applications made by CFP and MPL for the duration of this supervisory review. I make that order for the reasons set out in my August 20 decision, and as result of the fact that quorum for Commission decisions is now four members.

Web:Phone:Mail:Office:Email:firb@gov.bc.caInfo:250 356-8945PO Box 9129 Stn Prov Govt2975 Jutland RdWebsite:www.gov.bc.ca/BCFarmIndustryReviewBoardFax:250 356-5131Victoria BC V8W 9B5Victoria, BC V8T 5J9

I am therefore making the following order:

a. Section 2(1) of the Commission's Amending Order 54 is varied such that any requirement for agency applications to be decided by a five-member panel is inapplicable to requests and applications made by CFP and MPL for the duration of this supervisory review.

I encourage the Commission to consider in the future whether amendments to s. 2(1) of Amending Order 54 are appropriate given quorum for Commission decisions will change depending on Commission composition.

Regards,

Peter Donkers

Chair

cc: Nazeer Mitha, Q.C.

Mark Underhill Kate Phipps

BCFIRB web site