

## Study Date: 2000. Study Area: Mid-Coast and North Coast Forest Districts Original AOA Potential Categories: High, Moderate

Predictive models were developed for coastal and inland habitation sites, and for culturally modified trees (CMTs). The Central Coast models for sub-alpine camps, trails, pictographs and petroglyphs were not effective due to data limitations, and consequrently they were not implemented for TFL 25.

Percentage of the study area that falls within the Class I (highest site potential) and Class II (moderate potential) categories:

Potential	% of Land Area	Land Area (ha)
High	4.3%	12 426
Moderate	23%	62 683

## Recommendations from the AOA include:

- AIAs be undertaken in all Class I (high potential) lands prior to land-altering developments;
- Class II (moderate) lands, including those predicted to have only CMT potential should have a preliminary reconnaissance to verify the model and assess site potential in the field. Where possible, reconnaissance should be conducted under permit, to allow for an AIA if it is warranted.

## **Conforms to Provincial AOA Standards:**

1996 Standards	$\checkmark$
2008/9 Standards	N/A

## This information is available:

In RAAD	$\checkmark$
As a data request	$\checkmark$