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## Introduction

## Outline of Project and Objectives

This study was conducted to update the 2007 study titled "A Project to develop baseline data on typical costs and returns of ranching businesses in various areas of British Columbia". Using a focus group approach, information and feedback from groups of B.C. ranchers was collected to update cost and return data and production parameters outlined in the 2007 study. Focus group sessions were held in six locations in British Columbia: Cranbrook, Vernon, Kamloops, Williams Lake, Vanderhoof and Dawson Creek. The authors would like to thank the ranchers who contributed their valuable expertise and time to provide the information to complete the study. The authors would also like to thank the BC Cattlemen's Association and staff from the BC Ministry of Agriculture who helped organize the focus group sessions and provided valuable input and support into the study.

The Program objectives were:

1. To develop base line data for a representative ranch business in various areas of B.C. which included:

- An asset profile including deeded land base, crown land, machinery complement, size of cow herd etc.
- A financial profile of the ranch including a Net Income Statement, Balance Sheet and Cost of Production of the cattle and forage operations.
- A profile of production variables including calving percentage, weaning weights, prices, forage production etc.

2. To make the information available to participating agencies for program use.

## Methodology of the Study

To determine the profitability of ranching businesses, two computer models were developed to process the information. In addition to the information provided by the ranchers, secondary sources were used to provide, supplement and verify data on input costs and revenues, production variables and the capital structure of typical ranches. The data was entered into computer models to compile the information and develop the financial statements for the representative sample ranches. The models produced a Balance Sheet, an Income and Expense Statement for the ranch business and the value of production for the cow calf enterprise. A forage cost model was developed which produced cost and return information for the forage enterprise on each sample ranch. This information was provided to the ranchers who
participated in the focus group sessions who were asked to review the draft and provide feedback. This feedback was incorporated into the final results of the study. The following outlines the focus group process.

## The Focus Group Process to Determine Cost of Production Information

The process which was used to verify costs of forage production and cow calf profits utilized focus groups of ranchers to provide information to verify production and financial information for a sample ranch in the area. The following is an outline of the process.

- As this project was to update the data collected in 2007, the first step in the process was to review the parameters of the sample ranch developed at that time.
- In 2007 the group agreed on the physical size for the sample ranch in the area. The total acreage of the ranch was determined with number of deeded acres, number of cows, the number of acres in forage production and the number of Crown Range AUM's on the ranch. After reviewing the information developed in 2007 the focus groups updated the information for 2013.
- Producers provide information for the cow calf enterprise including prices, weaning weights calving percentage, etc. Forage cost information like yields and prices in both the establishment and full production year and detailed costs associated with cow calf production was verified.
- Input costs like forage seed, fertilizer, chemicals (if used), fuel costs etc. were verified and updated.
- A list of the machinery complement on the ranch was verified and updated.
- The group confirmed each activity to determine fuel costs, repair and maintenance costs, and labor costs for each operation.
- Interest costs, land costs, and other overhead costs were updated.
- The information was input into a computer model to calculate and produce a Net Worth Statement, an Income and Expense Statement and detailed costs related to hay production on the sample ranch.
- Preliminary financial information for the sample ranch was distributed to participating producers at the end of the meeting.
- The information was verified and the financial data was provided to the producers for comment and feedback.

The information was used to prepare a final report on baseline production costs in British Columbia.

## Developing Sample Ranches for the Study

Sample ranches were developed in six areas of the province of British Columbia for the purposes of the study in 2007. These ranches were not meant to be average or typical ranches but rather ranches that one would regard as being a reasonable fit for the area in which they were designed. They need to be capable of accommodating the production parameters and economic data in a logical way and without confounding encumbrances. The selected production parameters and economic data provide for the average, normal or typical characteristics associated with the area or region.

Lengthy dialogue was held during the process of designing the sample ranch. Some components of the discussions included:

1. An important requirement for the sample ranch was that the operation would not stand out as being a gross abnormality or clearly a near impossibility for a ranch located in that particular region. The ranch being designed was described as a sample ranch, as opposed to an average ranch, or a typical ranch for the area.
2. The sample ranch needed to be structured so that discussion participants could confidently attach appropriate production and economic parameters that were logical and defensible for the sample ranch.
3. It was important that the scale of operation for the sample ranch be large enough to be a commercial entity as opposed to a hobby farm. It was recognized that some of ranch operations could require a significant component of off farm income or other sources of revenue or capital. There was not a specific target as to size of the operation, other than the desire to consider commercial sized operations, not hobby farms or a sideline.
4. Beyond the above three requirements, it was desirable to construct a sample ranch that encompassed some of the significant geographic and climatic features typical to the zone or region in which the example was located (such as open native grasslands in the Kamloops example vs. northern examples using native brush areas often mixed with openings of pastures seeded to domestic species).
5. It was desirable for the sample ranch to represent some of the cultural, economic, or historic features that may be somewhat special to the area, such as using primarily horses for livestock handling in Kamloops and Williams Lake, the lack of availability of private
pasture to rent in some locations, custom haying on smaller properties such as in the Vernon area, etc.
6. A range in the size of operations represented by the sample ranches was not a requirement; however, in the end, sample ranches ranged in size from 150 to 400 cows. This range of herd sizes could be contained within any of the regions in the study area. Although it was not part of the original plan, being able to compare the costs and returns over a range of cowherd sizes was useful. It was also helpful to have at least one of the examples with sufficient herd numbers to (at least theoretically) provide income to support one or two ranch families without significant off farm income being an essential component. When the data was used for comparative purposes, costs and returns were presented on a per cow basis.

The production and economic features represented by the sample ranches were excellent for the purpose of this study. The results of this study confirm the usefulness of the hypothetical sample ranch model technique.

This technique requires the careful selection and development of sample ranches and their respective production and economic parameters. The knowledge, experience and judgment of participants is key to the success of this process. The sample ranches represent the collective wisdom and experience of the participants who developed the scenarios.

The study provided the following information on each of the sample ranches.

- Ranch Description
- Ranch Basic Assumptions, Winter Feed and AUM Calculations
- Ranch Income and Expense Statement
- Ranch Net Worth Statement
- Costs of production of the forage enterprise

The financial situation of each sample ranch is outlined by the Ranch Income and Expense Statement and the Ranch Net Worth statement.

## Ranch Income and Expense Statement

The Income and Expense Statement for each sample ranch during the period January 1 to December 31, 2013 speaks to the profitability of the sample ranch. The profitability of a business is shown on the Ranch Income and Expense Statement. Sometimes called a Profit and Loss ( $\mathrm{P} \& \mathrm{~L}$ ) Statement, it summarizes the revenue and expenses of a business over a
period of time indicating net income or loss. It matches the revenue with the expenses incurred during the period. It is usually reported on an accrual basis with exception of agricultural businesses, which can report on the cash basis. Under the cash basis revenues and expenses are reported in the period in which the related cash is received.

Under the accrual basis revenues and expenses are reported in the period in which they have been earned or incurred regardless of when the cash is received or paid. Adjustments are made for change in inventory, accounts payable and receivable. The Income and Expense Statement for the sample ranch in B.C. was reported on the accrual basis.

The gross profit shows the revenue generated from the ranch less livestock and crop purchases and marketing costs, and is adjusted for changes in inventory of cattle and crop sales. The production coefficients like weaning weights, calf prices, calving percentage, etc. are outlined in the Sample Ranch Basic Assumptions, Winter Feed and AUM Calculations Table. Direct costs are those costs that are directly related to items produced by the ranch business. Examples include fertilizer, feed, fuel and vet supplies. Indirect expenses are those items that cannot be directly related to production. Examples include taxes, accounting, interest and utilities. Total return over expenses is the gross profit minus direct and indirect expenses. Depreciation is deducted from this number to determine the Net Farm Income of the business. No operator labour is included in the expenses section of these unincorporated businesses. Additional items which must be covered by the net farm income, include principal payments, operator labour, return to management, and equity.

## Opportunity Costs

A number of ranchers at the focus group meetings indicated that opportunity cost of capital invested in the ranch operation should be addressed.

Opportunity cost can be defined as the cost of income foregone if the capital is invested in the next best alternative. For example, if the ranch is sold and the money received is invested in the next best alternative, the opportunity cost is the amount the investment would return. In most cases ranchers do not address opportunity costs until they plan to make major changes or they are realistically considering selling the ranch.

Any decision that involves two or more options involves opportunity costs. The main use of opportunity cost is to evaluate specific investment alternatives. In many instances opportunity cost is expressed in nonmonetary terms. Opportunity costs differ from the accounting costs that have been used in the cost and returns on the Income and Expense Statement. The accounting
costs include actual cost and do not include forgone opportunities.
In the process of calculating opportunity cost, the appreciation of ranch assets over time should be considered. A complete analysis should examine the historic rate of appreciation in the capital asset over time, the reasons for the appreciation, and the likelihood that the asset would continue to appreciate at the historic rate or even exceed the historic rate of return in the future. In many instances the increase in the value of the ranch assets over time may offset the opportunity cost. Opportunity cost was considered in the analysis of the forage enterprise but was not included on the total Ranch Income and Expense Statement.

## Net Worth Statement

The Ranch Net Worth Statement is a statement summarizing the net worth of a business at a point in time. The statement date for each sample ranch in the study is December 31, 2013. Assets are valued at estimated fair market value and liabilities are subtracted from the asset values to estimate net worth of the business. Current Assets are those assets that can be converted to cash within one year or consumed in the production process within one year. Examples of Current Assets include cash, feed, accounts receivable and market livestock. In most cases on the sample ranches this consists of the hay inventory at year-end. Intermediate Assets are those assets that have a useful life of greater than one year and not more than 10 years. Examples include equipment and breeding livestock. The value of the machinery is the fair market value for a compliment of machinery held by a typical ranch in the various areas of B.C. Fixed Assets are those assets that have a useful life of more than 10 years. Items include land, buildings and corrals and grazing leases. The values of the fixed asset were determined by the focus group participants, the authors and other secondary sources.

Current Liabilities are liabilities that must be paid within one year. Examples include accounts and notes payable, operating loans and the principal. Intermediate Liabilities are liabilities that must be paid within 10 years. Examples include loans for livestock and equipment. Term Liabilities are liabilities of more than 10 years. Examples include mortgages and equipment loans of more than 10 years. Total liabilities of the typical ranch were estimated at $\$ 1,000$ per cow. The liabilities of the typical ranch are the amount of debt the focus group believed a cow could support.

## Forage Enterprise Cost and Returns

The hay cost of production table combines the costs and returns of the establishment year and
the full production year. It is summarized on a per acre and per ton basis in the categories of direct costs, indirect costs and opportunity costs. The revenues and costs are weighted averages which reflect the different acreages of the establishment and production.

Total Revenue of the hay enterprise consists of hay used for feed at market value and sales of hay not used for feed.

Direct Costs are those costs that are directly related to hay production. Examples include seed, fertilizer, repair and maintenance, fuel and hydro.

Indirect Costs are those costs that cannot be related directly to production. Items include depreciation on equipment and buildings and labour.

Gross Operating Profit is the total revenue less direct and indirect costs.
Opportunity Cost is the expected rate of return forgone by the bypassing of other potential investment activities for a given capital. This typical farm land ownership costs are accounted for by including the cost of renting land in the area.

Total Economic Costs includes direct costs, indirect costs and opportunity costs. The direct and indirect costs are incorporated into the ranch Income and Expense Statement.

## Cranbrook Sample Ranch Description

The sample ranch is located near Cranbrook, in the East Kootenay region of British Columbia. The ranch markets cattle in southern Alberta. The following summarizes the production parameters of the ranch.

## Cow Herd

The ranch has a herd of 200 cows. The cows commence calving on March $10^{\text {th }}$. Calves are sold in the fall (mid October). The sale weights in 2013 for steer calves was 570 pounds and for heifers, 515 pounds. The average selling prices for the fall of 2013 were $\$ 1.64$ per pound for steers and $\$ 1.48$ per pound for heifers. The cow to bull ratio is 25 to 1 . The weaning percentage, expressed as the number of calves weaned as a percentage of cows overwintered, was $88 \%$. The herd replacement rate is $15 \%$ with $85 \%$ of the heifer calves retained entering the herd. Therefore, 35 heifer calves are kept as replacements.

## Winter Feeding

Winter feeding begins December $1^{\text {st }}$ and the last day of feeding is May $25^{\text {th }}$ for a total feeding period of 175 days. The total winter feed requirement for the herd is 660 tons of hay. On a per cow basis the winter feed requirement is 3.3 tons per cow.

## Winter Feed Production

The ranch has 200 acres of hay land. Of this acreage 180 acres are in full production and 20 acres are in the establishment year. These hay stands are an alfalfa grass mix and the average yield is 3.5 tons per acre on the established stands and 2.5 tons on the new seeding. The total hay produced on the ranch is 680 tons. A total of 660 tons of hay are required for feeding the herd and the remaining 20 tons are sold. The hay land also provides aftermath grazing in the fall.

## Grazing

The ranch uses Crown Range, rented pasture and the home ranch to provide the grazing requirements for the herd. The grazing period is 190 and the Animal Unit Months (AUM's) of grazing required for the ranch is 1518 AUM's.

## Total Size of Ranch

The ranch has a total of 700 acres of deeded land. Hay is produced on 200 acres.

Table 1 - Assumptions and Price Data - Cranbrook Sample Ranch
2013 Cranbrook Ranch: Basic Assumptions

| Cow Herd Assumptions |  | 200 Cow-Calf Ranch |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assumptions Number of Cows | 200 |  | placement | 15.0\% |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weaning Percentage | 88.0\% |  | ntion Rate | 85.0\% |  |  |
| Start Calving Date | Mar 10,13 |  | Death Loss | 2.00\% |  |  |
| Weaning Date | Oct 20,13 |  | Bull Ratio | 25 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| First Day of Feeding | Dec 01,12 | Total C | eding Herd | 235 head |  |  |
| Last day of Feeding | May 25,13 | Total B | eding Herd | 9 head |  |  |
| First day grazing | May 25,13 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Last Day grazing | Dec 01,13 |  | Marketing | \& Trucking Cost |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Commission | Fees | Trucking |
| Days on grass | 190 Days |  | Calves | \$0.00 | \$5.00 | \$15.00 |
| Winter Feeding Period | 175 Days |  | Culls | \$18.00 | \$5.00 | \$50.00 |
| Replacement Heifers Retained | 35 head |  | Yearlings | \$15.00 | \$5.00 | \$50.00 |
| Revenue |  | Average Weight | Price |  | Summary | Total |
|  | Head |  | Per Unit | Total | Total | Per Cow |
| Steers | 88 head | 570 lbs | \$1.64 | \$82,262 |  | \$411.31 |
| Heifers | 53 head | 515 lbs | \$1.48 | \$40,397 |  | \$201.98 |
| Cull Yearling Heif. | 5 head | 950 lbs | \$1.20 | \$5,700 |  | \$28.50 |
| Cull Cows | 26 head | 1200 lbs | \$0.70 | \$21,840 |  | \$109.20 |
| Cull Bulls | 3 head | 1800 lbs | \$0.85 | \$4,590 |  | \$22.95 |
| Total Herd Revenue | 175 head |  |  |  | \$154,789 | \$773.95 |
| Less Bull Purchase | 3 head | 1 | \$4,000.00 | $(\$ 12,000)$ | \$142,789 | (\$60.00) |
| Less Marketing Costs: | Commission | Fees | Trucking |  |  |  |
|  | (597) | (875) | $(3,815)$ | $(\$ 5,287)$ | $(\$ 5,287)$ | (\$26.44) |
| Total Herd Revenue (net of marketing costs) |  |  |  |  | \$137,502 | \$687.51 |

Wintering Herd Feed Requirements (bred cows, replacement heifers, bulls)

| Category | Number of head | Hay: lbs/head/day | Days fed | Hay lbs total | Hay Ton | Hay Tons per cow |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bred cows | 200 head | 33 | 175 days | 1,155,000 lbs | 578 ton |  |
| Replacem'ts | 35 head | 20 | 175 days | 122,500 lbs | 61 ton |  |
| Bulls | 6 head | 40 | 175 days | 42,000 lbs | 21 ton |  |
|  |  |  | Total: | 1,319,500 lbs | 660 ton | 3.30 ton/cow |


| Total Herd Grazing Requirements |  |  |  |  | Cranbrook AUM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category | Numbers | days | AUM equiv. | total AUM |  |
| Cows | 200 head | 190 days | 1 | 1267 AUM | 1518 AUM Total |
| Replacement |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heifers | 35 head | 190 days | 0.75 | 166 AUM | 200 AUM @ \$25 |
| Bulls | 9 head | 190 days | 1.5 | 86 AUM | 1111 AUM @ \$2.62 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 207 AUM on ranch |
|  |  |  | Total: | 1518 AUM | Total: \$7,911 |


| Average Calf Age at weaning 200 days \% Calves Born Per 21-Day Cycle |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Calculated S | ning Weight | 570 lbs | 1st cycle | 2nd cycle | 3rd cycle | 4th cycle |
| Heifer Weight (\% steer ) | 90\% | 513 lbs | 60\% | 20\% | 15\% | 5\% |
|  |  |  | *Estimatd Daily Gain Birth to Weaning *Estimated Calf Birth Weight |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 2.50 \mathrm{lbs} / \mathrm{day} \\ 70 \mathrm{lbs} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |

Table 2 - Income and Expenses Statement - Cranbrook Sample Ranch Income and Expense Statement Cranbrook 200 Cows

January 1 to December 31, 2013


## Table 3 - Net Worth Statement - Cranbrook Sample Ranch

| Net Worth - Cranbrook- Sample Ranch December 31, 2013 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current Assets |  | Current Liabilities |  |
| Cash |  | Operating Loan |  |
| Account Receivable |  |  |  |
| Supplies |  | Accounts Payable |  |
| Hay | \$78,600 |  |  |
| Feeders |  | Feeder Loan |  |
| Total Current Assets | \$78,600 | Total Current Liabilities | \$0 |
| Intermediate Assets |  | Intermediate Liabilities |  |
| Cow Herd | \$191,200 | Intermediate Loans |  |
| Equipment | \$151,470 |  |  |
| Car |  |  |  |
| Horses | \$3,000 |  |  |
| Total Intermediate Assets | \$345,670 | Total Intermediate Liabilities | \$0 |
| Fixed Assets |  | Long Term Liabilities |  |
| Buildings and Corrals | \$33,250 |  |  |
| House | \$150,000 | Land mortgage | \$200,000 |
| Other |  |  |  |
| Land | \$1,400,000 |  |  |
| Total Fixed Assets | \$1,583,250 | Total Long Term Liabilities | \$200,000 |
| Total Assets | \$2,007,520 | Total Liabilities | \$200,000 |
|  |  | Total Equity | \$1,985,700 |

Table 4 - Forage Costs and Returns - Cranbrook Sample Ranch

Forage Costs and Returns Cranbrook - 2013
Average of Establishment and Production Years

| Revenue |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yield (Tons/Ac) | Per Acre | Per Ton |
| Oat hay | 2.50 | \$250.00 | \$100.00 |
| Alfalfa 1st Cut | 2.00 | \$250.00 | \$125.00 |
| Alfalfa 2nd cut | 1.50 | \$210.00 | \$140.00 |
| Total | 3.4 | \$439.00 | \$129.00 |
| Direct Costs |  |  |  |
| Seed |  | \$7.36 | \$2.16 |
| Fertilizer |  | \$83.59 | \$24.59 |
| Twine |  | \$2.23 | \$0.66 |
| Irrigation |  | \$35.00 | \$10.29 |
| Total Supplies and Materials |  | \$130.00 | \$38.00 |
| Fuel and Lube Costs |  | \$50.66 | \$14.90 |
| Machine Repairs |  | \$38.84 | \$11.42 |
| Total Direct Costs |  | \$219.00 | \$64.00 |
| Contribution Margin |  | \$220.00 | \$65.00 |
| Indirect Costs |  |  |  |
| Dep. (Bldgs \& Equip.) plus taxes |  | \$80.79 | \$23.76 |
| Labour |  | \$57.55 | \$16.93 |
| Total Indirect Costs |  | \$138.00 | \$40.69 |
| Total Direct and Indirect Costs |  | \$357.00 | \$105.00 |
| Gross Operating Profit |  | \$82.00 | \$24.00 |
| Opportunity Costs |  |  |  |
| Interest on Direct Costs |  | \$5.48 | \$1.61 |
| Land Rental Cost |  | \$55.00 | \$16.18 |
| Interest on Bldgs. \& Equip. |  | \$49.48 | \$14.55 |
| Total Opportunity Costs |  | \$110.00 | \$32.00 |
| Total Economic Costs |  | \$467.00 | \$137.00 |
| Total Acres Hayland | Acres | 200 |  |
| Total Tons Produced | Tons | 680 |  |

Table 5 - Summary of Hay Production Costs and Returns - Cranbrook Sample Ranch


## Vernon Sample Ranch Description

The sample ranch is located near Vernon, British Columbia in the Southern Interior. The ranch sells cattle at the local auction market. The following summarizes the production parameters of the ranch.

## Cow Herd

The ranch has a herd of 150 cows. The cows commence calving on March $25^{\text {th }}$. Calves are sold in the fall (mid October). The sale weight in 2013 for steers calves was 650 pounds and for heifers, 600 pounds. The average selling prices for the fall of 2013 were $\$ 1.50$ per pound for steers and $\$ 1.39$ per pound for heifers. The cow to bull ratio is 25 to 1 . The weaning percentage, expressed as the number of calves weaned as a percentage of cows overwintered, was $90 \%$. The herd replacement rate is $15 \%$ with $80 \%$ of the heifer calves retained entering the herd. Therefore, 29 heifer calves are kept as replacements.

## Winter Feeding

Winter feeding begins November $15^{\text {th }}$ and the last day of feeding is May $14^{\text {th }}$ for a total feeding period of 180 days. The total winter feeding requirement for the herd is 525 tons of hay. On a per cow basis the winter feed requirement is 3.5 tons per cow.

## Winter Feed Production

The ranch has 150 acres of hay land. Of this acreage 120 acres are in full production and 30 acres are in the establishment year. These hay stands are an alfalfa grass mix and the average yield is 4.2 tons per acre on the established stands and 2.0 tons on the new seeding. The total hay produced on the ranch is 600 tons. A total of 525 tons of hay are required for feeding the herd and the remaining 75 tons are sold. The hay land also provides aftermath grazing in the fall.

## Grazing

The ranch uses Crown Range for summer grazing. The grazing period is 185 days and the total Animal Unit Months (AUMs) of grazing required for the ranch is 1124 AUMs. Some grazing is also provided on deeded land.

## Total Size of Ranch

The ranch has a total of 300 deeded acres. Hay is produced on 150 acres.

Table 6 - Assumptions and Price Data - Vernon Sample Ranch


Table 7 - Income and Expenses Statement - Vernon Sample Ranch


| Net Worth - Vernon Sample Ranch December 31, 2013 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current Assets |  | Current Liabilities |  |
| Cash |  | Operating Loan |  |
| Account Receivable |  |  |  |
| Supplies |  | Accounts Payable |  |
| Hay | \$88,200 |  |  |
| Feeders |  | Feeder Loan |  |
| Total Current Assets | \$88,200 | Total Current Liabilities | \$0 |
| Intermediate Assets |  | Intermediate Liabilities |  |
| Cow Herd | \$267,440 | Intermediate Loans |  |
| Equipment | \$232,830 |  |  |
| Car |  |  |  |
| Horses | \$ 3,000 |  |  |
| Total Intermediate Assets | \$503,270 | Total Intermediate Liabilities | \$0 |
| Fixed Assets |  | Long Term Liabilities |  |
| Buildings and Corrals | \$28,000 |  |  |
| House | \$250,000 | Land mortgage | \$150,000 |
| Other |  |  |  |
| Land | \$2,100,000 |  |  |
| Total Fixed Assets | \$2,378,000 | Total Long Term Liabilities | \$150,000 |
| Total Assets | \$2,969,470 | Total Liabilities | \$150,000 |
|  |  | Total Equity | \$2,819,470 |

# Table 9 - Forage Costs and Returns - Vernon Sample Ranch 

Forage Costs and Returns Vernon - 2013

## Average of Establishment and Production Years

## Revenue

|  | Yield (Tons/Ac) | Per Acre | Per Ton |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Hay | 2.00 | $\$ 160.00$ | $\$ 80.00$ |
| Alfalfa 1st Cut | 2.50 | $\$ 300.00$ | $\$ 120.00$ |
| Alfalfa 2nd cut | 2.00 | $\$ 360.00$ | $\$ 180.00$ |
| Total | 4.0 | $\$ 560.00$ | $\$ 140.00$ |


| Direct Costs |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Seed | $\$ 12.60$ | $\$ 3.15$ |
| Fertilizer | $\$ 79.17$ | $\$ 19.79$ |
| Twine | $\$ 4.20$ | $\$ 1.05$ |
| $\quad$ Irrigation | $\$ 25.00$ | $\$ 6.25$ |
| Total Supplies and Materials | $\$ 120.97$ | $\$ 30.24$ |
| Fuel and Lube Costs | $\$ 56.68$ | $\$ 14.17$ |
| $\quad \$ 45.94$ | $\$ 11.48$ |  |
| Machine Repairs | $\$ 223.59$ | $\$ 55.90$ |
| Total Direct Costs | $\$ 336.41$ | $\$ 84.10$ |
| Contribution Margin |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Indirect Costs | $\$ 141.19$ | $\$ 35.30$ |
| $\quad$ Dep. (Bldgs \& Equip.) plus taxes | $\$ 216.32$ | $\$ 18.78$ |
| $\quad$ Labour | $\$ 439.91$ | $\$ 109.98$ |
| Total Indirect Costs | $\$ 120.09$ | $\$ 30.02$ |
| Total Direct and Indirect Costs |  |  |
| Gross Operating Profit | $\$ 5.59$ | $\$ 1.40$ |
|  | $\$ 150.00$ | $\$ 37.50$ |
| Opportunity Costs | $\$ 86.42$ | $\$ 21.60$ |
| $\quad$ Interest on Direct Costs | $\$ 242.01$ | $\$ 60.50$ |
| $\quad$ Land Rental Cost | $\$ 681.91$ | $\$ 170.48$ |


| Total Acres Hayland | Acres | 150 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total Tons Produced | Tons | 600 |

## Table 10 - Summary of Hay Production Costs and Returns - Vernon Sample Ranch



## Kamloops Ranch Description

The sample ranch is located near Kamloops, British Columbia in the Southern Interior. The ranch sells through the local auction market. The following summarizes the production parameters of the ranch.

## Cow Herd

The ranch has a herd of 400 cows. The cows commence calving on March $25^{\text {th }}$. Calves are sold in the fall (mid October). The sale weight in 2013 for steers calves was 580 pounds and for heifers, 500 pounds. The average selling prices for the fall of 2013 were $\$ 1.64$ per pound for steers and $\$ 1.51$ per pound for heifers. The cow to bull ratio is 20 to

1. The weaning percentage, expressed as the number of calves weaned as a percentage of cows overwintered, was $92 \%$. The herd replacement rate is $15 \%$ with $85 \%$ of the heifer calves retained entering the herd. Therefore, 71 heifer calves are kept as replacements.

## Winter Feeding

Winter feeding begins December $18^{\text {th }}$ and the last day of feeding is May $1^{\text {st }}$ for a total feeding period of 134 days. The total winter feed requirement for the herd is 1022 tons of hay. On a per cow basis the winter feed requirement is 2.6 tons per cow.

## Winter Feed Production

The ranch has 300 acres of hay land. Of this acreage, 240 acres are in full production and 60 acres are in the establishment year. These hay stands are an alfalfa grass mix and the average yield is 4.0 tons per acre on the established stands and 3.0 tons per acre on the new seeding. The total hay produced on the ranch is 1140 tons. A total of 1022 tons of hay are required for feeding the herd and the remaining 118 tons are sold. The hay land also provides aftermath grazing in the fall.

## Grazing

The ranch uses Crown Range for summer grazing. Some grazing is also provided on deeded land. The grazing period is 231 days and the Annual Unit Months (AUM's) of grazing required is 3767 AUM's.

## Total Size of Ranch

The ranch has a total of 2000 acres of deeded land. Hay is produced on 300 acres.

Table 11 - Assumptions and Price Data - Kamloops Sample Ranch


Wintering Herd Feed Requirements (bred cows, replacement heifers , bulls )


## Table 12 - Income and Expenses Statement - Kamloops Sample Ranch

Income and Expense Statement Kamloops
January 1 to December 31, 2013

| Revenue |  |  | Total Ranch | Per Cow |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cow Calf |  |  | 337766 | 844 |
| Feeder |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Crops |  |  | 15,544 | 39 |
| Other Income |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Less: Bull Purchase |  |  | $(32,000)$ | (80) |
| Feed Purchase |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Marketing and Trucking |  |  | $(11,469)$ | (29) |
| Inventory Cow Calf | Feeder | Crops |  | 0 |
| Change 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Gross Profit |  |  | 309,841 | 775 |
| Direct Expenses |  |  |  |  |
| Seed |  |  | 3,972 | 10 |
| Fertilizer |  |  | 18,675 | 47 |
| Chemicals |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Twine |  |  | 1,193 | 3 |
| Crop Insurance |  |  | 450 | 1 |
| Custom Work |  |  | 2,400 | 6 |
| Irrigation |  |  | 12,000 | 30 |
| Feed Supplement |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Mineral and Salt |  |  | 3,000 | 8 |
| Grazing Lease Fees |  |  | 6,550 | 16 |
| Private Pasture Fees |  |  | 13,076 | 33 |
| Trucking hay and to Pasture |  |  | 3,000 | 8 |
| Supplies |  |  | 4,000 | 10 |
| Vet and Medicine |  |  | 12,000 | 30 |
| Equip. Fuel and Lube |  |  | 30,055 | 75 |
| Equip. Repair |  |  | 21,306 | 53 |
| Other Enterprise Expense |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Supplies Inventory Change |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Total Direct Expenses |  |  | 131,677 | 329 |
| Contribution Margin |  |  | 178,164 | 445 |
| Indirect Expenses |  |  |  |  |
| Building and Fence Repair |  |  | 4,000 | 10 |
| Land Taxes |  |  | 1,600 | 4 |
| Shop supplies/Small tools |  |  | 1,350 | 3 |
| Labour |  |  | 50,000 | 125 |
| Legal and Accounting |  |  | 3,500 | 9 |
| Insurance and Licences |  |  | 6,000 | 15 |
| Utilities |  |  | 1,000 | 3 |
| Misc. (Office, fees, subscriptions) |  |  | 3,500 | 9 |
| Operating Interest |  |  | 2,760 | 7 |
| Term Loan Interest |  |  | 20,000 | 50 |
| Total Indirect Expense |  |  | 93,710 | 234 |
| TOTAL EXPENSES |  |  | 225,387 | 563 |
| NET RETURN OVER EXPENSE |  |  | 84,454 | 211 |
| Adjustments |  |  |  | 0 |
| Depreciation - Buildings and Equipment |  |  | $(19,020)$ | (48) |
| NET FARM INCOME |  |  | 65,434 | 164 |


| Net Worth - Kamloops Sample Ranch December 31, 2013 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current Assets |  | Current Liabilities |  |
| Cash |  | Operating Loan |  |
| Account Receivable |  |  |  |
| Supplies |  | Accounts Payable |  |
| Hay | \$80,400 |  |  |
| Feeders |  | Feeder Loan |  |
| Total Current Assets | \$80,400 | Total Current Liabilities | \$0 |
| Intermediate Assets |  | Intermediate Liabilities |  |
| Cow Herd | \$667,375 | Intermediate Loans |  |
| Equipment | \$270,000 |  |  |
| Car |  |  |  |
| Horses | \$3,000 |  |  |
| Total Intermediate Assets | \$940,375 | Total Intermediate Liabilities | \$0 |
| Fixed Assets |  | Long Term Liabilities |  |
| Buildings and Corrals | \$30,000 |  |  |
| House | \$250,000 | Land mortgage | \$400,000 |
| Other |  |  |  |
| Land | \$4,000,000 |  |  |
| Total Fixed Assets | \$4,280,000 | Total Long Term Liabilities | \$400,000 |
| Total Assets | \$5,300,775 | Total Liabilities | \$400,000 |
|  |  | Total Equity | \$4,900,775 |

## Table 14 - Forage Costs and Returns - Kamloops Sample Ranch

## Forage Costs and Returns Kamloops 2013

Average of Establishment and Production Years

| Revenue |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Yield (Tons/Ac) | Per Acre | Per Ton |
| Oat hay | 3.00 | $\$ 330.00$ | $\$ 110.00$ |
| Alfalfa 1st Cut | 2.50 | $\$ 325.00$ | $\$ 130.00$ |
| Alfalfa 2nd cut | 1.50 | $\$ 210.00$ | $\$ 140.00$ |
| Total | 3.8 | $\$ 494.00$ | $\$ 130.00$ |


| Direct Costs |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Seed | $\$ 13.24$ | $\$ 3.48$ |
| Fertilizer | $\$ 70.48$ | $\$ 18.55$ |
| Twine | $\$ 8.36$ | $\$ 2.20$ |
| Irrigation | $\$ 20.00$ | $\$ 5.26$ |
| Total Supplies and Materials | $\$ 132.00$ | $\$ 35.00$ |
| Fuel and Lube Costs | $\$ 54.85$ | $\$ 14.43$ |
| Machine Repairs | $\$ 45.09$ | $\$ 11.86$ |
| Total Direct Costs | $\$ 232.00$ | $\$ 61.00$ |
| Contribution Margin | $\$ 262.00$ | $\$ 69.00$ |
|  |  |  |
| Indirect Costs | $\$ 69.40$ | $\$ 18.26$ |
| $\quad$ Dep. (Bldgs. \& Equip.) plus taxes | $\$ 47.29$ | $\$ 15.86$ |
| Labour | $\$ 130.00$ | $\$ 34.13$ |
| Total Indirect Costs | $\$ 362.00$ | $\$ 95.00$ |
| Total Direct and Indirect Costs | $\$ 132.00$ | $\$ 35.00$ |
| Gross Operating Profit |  |  |
|  | $\$ 5.81$ | $\$ 1.53$ |
| Opportunity Costs | $\$ 75.00$ | $\$ 19.74$ |
| $\quad$ Interest on Direct Costs | $\$ 40.55$ | $\$ 10.67$ |
| Land Rental Cost | $\$ 121.00$ | $\$ 32.00$ |
| Interest on Bldgs. \& Equip. | $\$ 483.00$ | $\$ 127.00$ |
| Total Opportunity Costs |  |  |
| Total Economic Costs | 300 |  |
| Total Acres Hayland |  |  |
| Total Tons Produced |  |  |

Table 15 - Summary of Hay Production Costs and Returns - Kamloops Sample Ranch


## Williams Lake Ranch Description

The sample ranch is located one hour from Williams Lake in central British Columbia. The ranch markets cattle at the sale yard in Williams Lake. The following summarizes the production parameters of the ranch.

## Cow Herd

The ranch has a herd of 200 cows. The cows commence calving on April $1^{\text {st }}$. Calves are sold in the fall (mid October). The sale weight in 2013 for steers calves was 550 pounds and for heifers, 525 pounds. The average selling prices for the fall of 2013 were $\$ 1.60$ per pound for steers and $\$ 1.45$ per pound for heifers. The cow to bull ratio is 20 to one. The weaning percentage, expressed as the number of calves weaned as a percentage of cows overwintered, was $85 \%$. The herd replacement rate is $15 \%$ with $80 \%$ of the heifer calves retained entering the herd. Therefore, 38 heifer calves are kept as replacements.

## Winter Feeding

Winter feeding begins December $1^{\text {st }}$ and the last day of feeding is May $16^{\text {th }}$ for a total feeding period of 166 days. The total winter feed requirement for the herd is 641 tons of hay. On a per cow basis the winter feed requirement is 3.2 tons per cow.

## Winter Feed Production

The ranch has 250 acres of hay land. Of this acreage 100 acres is irrigated alfalfa and the remainder a dry land grass mix. The average yield is 4.0 tons per acre on the established irrigated stands and 2.0 tons per acre on the established dry land stands. Ten acres of the dry land hay and 15 acres of the irrigated hay are re-established each year. The total hay produced on the ranch is 650 tons. A total of 641 tons of hay are required for feeding the herd and the remaining 9 tons are sold. The hay land also provides aftermath grazing in the fall.

## Grazing

The ranch uses Crown Range for summer grazing. Some grazing is also provided on deeded land. The grazing period is 199 days and the ranch requires1635 Animal Unit Months (AUM's) of grazing

## Total Size of Ranch

The ranch has a total of 1200 acres of deeded land. Hay is produced on 250 acres.

Table 16 - Assumptions and Price Data - Williams Lake Sample Ranch


Wintering Herd Feed Requirements (bred cows, replacement heifers, bulls )

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category | head | lbs/head/day | Days fed | Hay lbs total | Hay Ton | cow |  |
| Bred Cows | 200 head | 34 | 166 days | $1,128,800 \mathrm{lbs}$ | 564 ton |  |  |
| Replacem'ts | 38 head | 20 | 166 days | $126,160 \mathrm{lbs}$ | 63 ton |  |  |
| Wintered Bulls | 8 head | 40 | 166 days | $53,120 \mathrm{lbs}$ | 27 ton |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Total: | $1,308,080 \mathrm{lbs}$ | 654 ton | 3.27 ton/cow |


| Total Herd Gra | Requirem |  |  |  | Williams Lake AUM's |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category | Numbers | days | AUM equiv. | total AUM |  |
| Cows | 200 head | 199 days | 1 | 1327 AUM | 1635 AUM Total |
| Replacement Heifers | 38 head | 199 days | 0.75 | 189 AUM | 900 AUM @ \$2.62 |
| Bulls | 12 head | 199 days | 1.5 | 119 AUM |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 795 AUM on ranch |
|  |  |  | Total: | 1635 AUM | Total: \$2,358 |



Table 17 - Income and Expenses Statement - Williams Lake Sample Ranch
Income and Expense Statement Williams Lake 200 Cows
January 1 to December 31, 2013

| Revenue | Janary 1 to December | Total Ranch | Per Cow |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cow Calf |  | 142,484 | 712 |
| Feeder |  | 0 | 0 |
| Crops |  | 0 | 0 |
| Other Income |  | 0 | 0 |
| Less: | Bull Purchase | $(12,000)$ | (60) |
|  | Feed Purchase | 0 | 0 |
|  | Marketing and Trucking | $(5,252)$ | (26) |
| Inventory | Cow Calf Feeder Crops |  | 0 |
| Change | 00 |  | 0 |
| Gross Profit |  | 125,232 | 626 |
| Direct Expenses |  |  |  |
| Seed |  | 5,010 | 25 |
| Fertilizer |  | 20,478 | 102 |
| Chemicals |  | 0 | 0 |
| Twine |  | 1,495 | 7 |
| Crop Insuran |  | 200 | 1 |
| Custom Work |  | 2,000 | 10 |
| Irrigation |  | 4,000 | 20 |
| Feed Supple | $m e n t$ | 0 | 0 |
| Mineral and S |  | 1,400 | 7 |
| Grazing Fee |  | 2,358 | 12 |
| Private Pastur | re Fees | 0 | 0 |
| Trucking hay | and to Pasture | 0 | 0 |
| Supplies |  | 1,400 | 7 |
| Vet and Med | icine | 4,000 | 20 |
| Equip. Fuel | and Lube | 19,003 | 95 |
| Equip. Repair |  | 10,249 | 51 |
| Other Enterp | rise Expense | 0 | 0 |
| Supplies Inve | ntory Change | 0 | 0 |
| Total Direct | Expenses | 73,511 | 368 |
| Contribution Mar | gin | 51,721 | 259 |
| Indirect Expense |  |  |  |
| Building and | Fence Repair | 3,500 | 18 |
| Land Taxes |  | 800 | 4 |
| Shop supplie | s/Small tools | 1,500 | 8 |
| Labour |  | 3,500 | 18 |
| Legal and Ac | counting | 2,000 | 10 |
| Insurance and | d Licences | 5,200 | 26 |
| Utilities |  | 3,600 | 18 |
| Misc. (Office | fees, subscriptions) | 3,000 | 15 |
| Operating Int | erest | 1,626 | 8 |
| Term Loan In | terest | 10,000 | 50 |
| Total Indire | t Expense | 34,726 | 174 |
| TOTAL EXPENS |  | 108,237 | 541 |
| NET RETURN OV | ER EXPENSE | 16,995 | 85 |
| Adjustments |  |  | 0 |
| Depreci | ation - Buildings and Equipment | $(22,249)$ | (111) |
| NET FARM INCO |  | $(5,255)$ | (26) |

Table 18 - Net Worth Statement - Williams Lake Sample Ranch

## Net Worth - Williams Lake Sample Ranch

December 31, 2013

| Current Assets |  | Current Liabilities |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash |  | Operating Loan |  |
| Account Receivable |  |  |  |
| Supplies |  | Accounts Payable |  |
| Hay | \$64,800 |  |  |
| Feeders |  | Feeder Loan |  |
| Total Current Assets | \$64,800 | Total Current Liabilities | \$0 |
| Intermediate Assets |  | Intermediate Liabilities |  |
| Cow Herd | \$296,300 | Intermediate Loans |  |
| Equipment | \$274,200 |  |  |
| Car |  |  |  |
| Horses | \$3,000 |  |  |
| Total Intermediate Assets | \$573,500 | Total Intermediate Liabilities | \$0 |
| Fixed Assets |  | Long Term Liabilities |  |
| Buildings and Corrals | \$100,000 |  |  |
| House | \$200,000 | Land mortgage | \$200,000 |
| Other |  |  |  |
| Land | \$1,400,000 |  |  |
| Total Fixed Assets | \$1,600,000 | Total Long Term Liabilities | \$200,000 |
| Total Assets | \$2,238,300 | Total Liabilities | \$200,000 |
|  |  | Total Equity | \$2,038,300 |

# Table 19 - Forage Costs and Returns Dryland - Williams Lake Sample Ranch 

## Forage Costs and Returns Dryland Williams Lake - 2013

Average of Establishment and Production Years

| Revenue |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | Yield (Tons/Ac) | Per Acre | Per Ton

## Table 20 - Summary of Hay Production Costs and Returns Dryland - Williams Lake Sample Ranch

| Page 3 | SUMMARY OF HAY PRODUCTION COSTS AND RETURNS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Williams Lake Dryland |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Revenue | Est. Year 1 |  |  | 10 Acres |  | Est. Year 2 |  |  | 10 Acres |  | Full Pdn. |  |  | 130 Acres |  |
|  |  |  |  | \$Per |  |  |  |  | \$Per |  |  |  |  | \$Per |  |
|  | Yield | Price | Units | Acre | \$/Ton | Yield | Price | Units | Acre | \$/Ton | Yield | Price | Units | Acre | \$/Ton |
| Oat hay | 2.0 | 80.00 | Ton | 160.00 |  | 1.5 | 80.00 | Ton | 120.00 |  |  |  | Ton |  |  |
| Alfalfa 1st Cut |  |  | Ton |  |  |  |  | Ton |  |  | 2.0 | 115.00 | Ton | 230.00 |  |
| Alfalfa 2nd cut |  |  | Ton |  |  |  |  | Ton |  |  |  | 115.00 | Ton |  |  |
| Total Revenue | 2.0 |  |  | 160 | 80 | 1.5 |  |  | 120 | 80 | 2.0 |  |  | 230 | 115 |
| DIRECT COSTS |  |  | Units |  |  |  |  | Units |  |  |  |  | Units |  |  |
| Supplies and MaterialsQ | Quant. | \$/ Unit | Used | \$/Ac |  | Quant. \$/ | \$/ Unit | Used | \$/Ac |  | Quant. | \$/ Unit | Used | \$/Ac |  |
| Seed: Grass mix |  | 4.50 | Lbs. |  |  | 15.0 | 3.50 | Lbs. | 52.50 |  |  |  | Lbs. |  |  |
| : Brome grass |  | 3.30 | Lbs. |  |  |  | 3.30 | Lbs. |  |  |  |  | Lbs. |  |  |
| : Orchard Grass |  | 2.00 | Lbs. |  |  |  | 2.50 | Lbs. |  |  |  |  | Lbs. |  |  |
| :Oats | 100.0 | 0.30 | Lbs. | 30.00 |  | 50.0 | 0.30 | Lbs. | 15.00 |  |  |  | Lbs. |  |  |
| Fertilizer: 46-0-0 | 100.0 | 0.34 | Lbs. | 34.03 |  | 50.0 | 0.34 | Lbs. | 17.01 |  | 150.0 | 0.34 | Lbs. | 51.04 |  |
| :0.0.60 | 20.0 | 0.29 | Lbs. | 5.90 |  | 30.0 | 0.29 | Lbs. | 8.85 |  | 40.0 | 0.29 | Lbs. | 11.80 |  |
| : 11-52-0 |  | 0.34 | Lbs. |  |  | 20.0 | 0.34 | Lbs. | 6.81 |  | 50.0 | 0.34 | Lbs. | 17.01 |  |
| Custom fertilizer appln | 1.0 | 8.00 | acres | 8.00 |  | 1.0 | 8.00 | acres | 8.00 |  | 1.0 | 8.00 | acres | 8.00 |  |
| Twine | 2.0 | 2.30 T | .of hay | 4.60 |  |  | 2.30 T | T.of hay | 3.45 |  | 2.0 | 2.30 T | T.of hay | 4.60 |  |
| Irrigation Power |  | 40.00 | \$/acre |  |  |  | 40.00 | \$/acre |  |  |  | 40.00 | \$/acre |  |  |
| Boron |  | 3.50 | \$/ton |  |  |  | 3.50 | \$/ton |  |  |  | 3.50 | \$/ton |  |  |
| Total Supplies and Mat | terials |  |  | 83 |  |  |  |  | 112 |  |  |  |  | 92 |  |
| Fuel \& Lube Costs |  |  |  | 65.15 |  |  |  |  | 48.15 |  |  |  |  | 31.27 |  |
| Machine Repairs |  |  |  | 34.74 |  |  |  |  | 21.88 |  |  |  |  | 16.55 |  |
| TOTAL DIRECT COSTS |  |  |  | 182 | 91 |  |  |  | 182 | 121 |  |  |  | 140 | 70 |
| Contribution Margin |  |  |  | -22 | -11 |  |  |  | -62 | -41 |  |  |  | 90 | 45 |
| Indirect Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dep. (Bldgs \& Eq.) + | taxes |  |  | 89.35 | 44.67 |  |  |  | 89.35 | 59.56 |  |  |  | 89.35 | 44.67 |
| Labour |  |  |  | 39.77 | 19.89 |  |  |  | 31.69 | 21.13 |  |  |  | 13.67 | 6.84 |
| Total Indirect Costs |  |  |  | 129 | 65 |  |  |  | 121 | 80.69 |  |  |  | 103 | 51.51 |
| Total Direct and Indirect | ct Costs |  |  | 312 | 156 |  |  |  | 303 | 201.79 |  |  |  | 243 | 122 |
| Gross Operating Profit |  |  |  | -152 | -76 |  |  |  | -183 | -122 |  |  |  | -13 | -7 |
| Opportunity Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest on Direct C | osts |  |  | 4.56 | 2.28 |  |  |  | 4.54 | 3.03 |  |  |  | 3.51 | 1.75 |
| Land Rental Cost |  |  |  | 38.00 | 19.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 38.00 | 19.00 |
| Interest on Bldgs \& | Equip. |  |  | 54.64 | 27.32 |  |  |  | 54.64 | 36.43 |  |  |  | 54.64 | 27.32 |
| Total Opportunity Cost |  |  |  | 97 | 49 |  |  |  | 59 | 39 |  |  |  | 96 | 48 |
| Total Economic Costs |  |  |  | 409 | 204 |  |  |  | 362 | 241 |  |  |  | 339 | 170 |

## Table 21 - Forage Costs and Returns Irrigated - Williams Lake Sample Ranch

## Forage Costs and Returns Irrigated Williams Lake 2013

## Average of Establishment and Production Years

| Revenue |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yield (Tons/Ac) | Per Acre | Per Ton |
| Oat hay 2.50 | \$210.00 | \$84.00 |
| Alfalfa 1st Cut 2.50 | \$287.50 | \$115.00 |
| Alfalfa 2nd cut 1.50 | \$172.50 | \$115.00 |
| Total 3.6 | \$385.00 | \$108.00 |
| Direct Costs |  |  |
| Seed | \$14.10 | \$3.97 |
| Fertilizer | \$76.45 | \$21.53 |
| Twine | \$8.17 | \$2.30 |
| Irrigation | \$40.00 | \$11.27 |
| Total Supplies and Materials | \$144.00 | \$41.00 |
| Fuel and Lube Costs | \$58.05 | \$16.35 |
| Machine Repairs | \$43.31 | \$12.20 |
| Total Direct Costs | \$245.00 | \$69.00 |
| Contribution Margin | \$140.00 | \$39.00 |
| Indirect Costs |  |  |
| Irrigation |  |  |
| Dep. (Bldgs \& Equip.) plus taxes | \$90.00 | \$25.35 |
| Labour | \$50.75 | \$14.30 |
| Total Indirect Costs | \$141.00 | \$39.65 |
| Total Direct and Indirect Costs | \$386.00 | \$109.00 |
| Gross Operating Profit | (\$1) | \$0.00 |
| Opportunity Costs |  |  |
| Interest on Direct Costs | \$6.13 | \$1.73 |
| Land Rental Cost | \$80.00 | \$22.54 |
| Interest on Bldgs. \& Equip. | \$54.84 | 15.45 |
| Total Opportunity Costs | \$141.00 | \$40.00 |
| Total Economic Costs | \$527.00 | \$148.00 |
| Total Acres Hayland Acres | 100 |  |
| Total Tons Produced Tons | 355 |  |

# Table 22 - Summary of Hay Production Costs and Returns Irrigated - Williams Lake Sample Ranch 



## Vanderhoof Ranch Description

The sample ranch is located near Vanderhoof in central British Columbia. The ranch is an hour from Vanderhoof and sells cattle through the sales yard in Vanderhoof. The following summarizes the production parameters of the ranch.

## Cow Herd

The ranch has a herd of 250 cows. The cows commence calving on April $8^{\text {th }}$. Calves are sold in the fall (mid October) and the sale weight in 2013 for steers calves was 540 pounds and for heifers, 490 pounds. The average selling prices for the fall of 2013 were $\$ 1.66$ per pound for steers and $\$ 1.45$ per pound for heifers. The cow to bull ratio is 25 to one. The weaning percentage, expressed as the number of calves weaned as a percentage of cows overwintered, was $92 \%$. The herd replacement rate is $15 \%$ with $75 \%$ of the heifer calves retained entering the herd. Therefore, 50 heifer calves are kept as replacements.

## Winter Feeding

Winter feeding begins November $16^{\text {th }}$ and the last day of feeding is May $27^{\text {th }}$ for a total feeding period of 192 days. The total winter feed requirement for the herd is 919 tons of hay. On a per cow basis the winter feed requirement is 3.7 tons per cow.

## Winter Feed Production

The ranch has 600 acres of hay land. Of this acreage 490 acres are established alfalfa grass mixed stands with an average yield of 2.6 tons per acre. Reestablishment is a two year process. Oats for green feed are seeded in the first year and yield 1.8 tons per acre. In the second year an alfalfa grass mix is seeded but not harvested. The total hay produced on the ranch is 1370 tons. A total of 919 tons of hay are required for feeding the herd and the remaining 451 tons are sold. The hay land also provides aftermath grazing in the fall.

## Grazing

Grazing is provided on Community Pasture, private rented land and on the home ranch. The grazing season is 173 days and 1762 Animal Unit Months (AUM's) of grazing are required.

## Total Size of Ranch

The ranch has a total of 1200 acres of deeded land. Hay is produced on 600 acres.

Table 23 - Assumptions and Price Data - Vanderhoof Sample Ranch

| 2013 Vanderhoof Ranch: Basic Assumptions |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cow Herd Assumptions |  | 250 Cow-Calf Ranch |  |  |  |  |
| Assumptions Number of Cows | 250 |  | placement | 15.0\% ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ |  |  |
| Weaning Percentage | 92.0\% | Heife | ntion Rate | 75.0\% |  |  |
| Start Calving Date | Apr 08,13 |  | Death Loss | 2.00\% |  |  |
| Weaning Date | Oct 20,13 |  | Bull Ratio | 25 |  |  |
| First Day of Feeding | Nov 16,12 | Total Cow | eding Herd | 300 head |  |  |
| Last day of Feeding | May 27,13 | Total Bul | eding Herd | 12 head |  |  |
| First day grazing | May 27,13 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Last Day grazing | Nov 16,13 |  | Marketing | \& Trucking Cost |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Commission | Fees | Trucking |
| Days on Grass | 173 Days |  | Calves | \$18.00 | \$5.00 | \$6.00 |
| Winter feed | 192 Days |  | Culls | \$25.00 | \$5.00 | \$15.00 |
| Replacement Heifers Retained | 50 head |  | Yearlings | \$18.00 | \$5.00 | \$15.00 |
| Revenue | Head | Average | Price |  | Summary | Total |
|  |  | Weight | Per Unit | Total | Total | Per Cow |
| Steers | 115 head | 540 lbs | \$1.66 | \$103,086 |  | \$412.34 |
| Heifers | 65 head | 490 lbs | \$1.45 | \$46,183 |  | \$184.73 |
| Cull Yearling Heif. | 12 head | 900 lbs | \$1.18 | \$12,744 |  | \$50.98 |
| Cull Cows | 33 head | 1400 lbs | \$0.70 | \$32,340 |  | \$129.36 |
| Cull Bulls | 4 head | 1800 lbs | \$0.82 | \$5,904 |  | \$23.62 |
| Total Herd Revenue | 229 head |  |  |  | \$200,257 | \$801.03 |
| Less Bull Purchase | 4 head | 1 | \$4,000.00 | $(\$ 16,000)$ | \$184,257 | (\$64.00) |
| Less Marketing Costs: | Commission | Fees | Trucking |  |  |  |
|  | $(4,381)$ | $(1,145)$ | $(1,815)$ | $(\$ 7,341)$ | $(\$ 7,341)$ | (\$29.36) |
| Total Revenue (net of marketing costs) |  |  |  |  | \$176,916 | \$707.66 |

Wintering Herd Feed Requirements (bred cows, replacement heifers, bulls )

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category | head | lbs/head/day | Days fed | Hay lbs total | Hay Ton | cow |
| Bred Cows | 250 head | 33 | 192 days | $1,584,000 \mathrm{lbs}$ | 792 ton |  |
| Replacem'ts | 50 head | 20 | 192 days | $192,000 \mathrm{lbs}$ | 96 ton |  |
| Wintered Bulls | 8 head | 40 | 192 days | $61,440 \mathrm{lbs}$ | 31 ton |  |
|  |  |  | Total: | $1,837,440 \mathrm{lbs}$ | 919 ton | 3.67 ton/cow |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Total Herd Grazing Requirements |  |  |  |  | Vanderhoof AUM's |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category | Numbers | days | AUM equiv. | total AUM |  |
| Cows Replacement | 250 head | 173 days | 1 | 1442 AUM | 1762 AUM Total |
| Heifers | 50 head | 173 days | 0.75 | 216 AUM | 250 AUM @ \$22 |
| Bulls | 12 head | 173 days | 1.5 | 104 AUM | 1000 AUM @ \$25 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 512 AUM on ranch |
|  |  |  | Total: | 1762 AUM | Total: $\quad \mathbf{3 0 , 5 0 0}$ |

Weaning Weight Calculator


Table 24 - Income and Expenses Statement - Vanderhoof Sample Ranch


Table 25 - Net Worth Statement - Vanderhoof Sample Ranch

Net Worth - Vanderhoof Sample Ranch

December 31, 2013

| Current Assets |  | Current Liabilities |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash |  | Operating Loan |  |
| Account Receivable |  |  |  |
| Supplies |  | Accounts Payable |  |
| Hay | \$45,000 |  |  |
| Feeders |  | Feeder Loan |  |
| Total Current Assets | \$45,000 | Total Current Liabilities | \$0 |
| Intermediate Assets |  | Intermediate Liabilities |  |
| Cow Herd | \$380,300 | Intermediate Loans |  |
| Equipment | \$168,200 |  |  |
| Car |  |  |  |
| Horses | \$3,000 |  |  |
| Total Intermediate Assets | \$551,500 | Total Intermediate Liabilities | \$0 |
| Fixed Assets |  | Long Term Liabilities |  |
| Buildings and Corrals | \$45,000 |  |  |
| House | \$200,000 | Land mortgage | \$250,000 |
| Other |  |  |  |
| Land | \$1,200,000 |  |  |
| Total Fixed Assets | \$1,445,000 | Total Long Term Liabilities | \$250,000 |
| Total Assets | \$2,041,500 | Total Liabilities | \$250,000 |
|  |  | Total Equity | \$1,791,500 |

Table 26 - Forage Costs and Returns - Vanderhoof Sample Ranch


Table 27 - Summary of Hay Production Costs and Returns - Vanderhoof
Sample Ranch


## Dawson Creek Ranch Description

The sample ranch is located near Dawson Creek, British Columbia in the Peace River region. The ranch markets cattle at the sales yard in Dawson Creek. The following summarizes the production parameters of the ranch.

## Cow Herd

The ranch has a herd of 200 cows. The cows commence calving on March $15^{\text {th }}$. Calves are sold in the fall (mid October). The sale weights in 2013 were 600 pounds for steer calves and 500 pounds for heifers. The average selling prices for the fall of 2013 were $\$ 1.51$ per pound for steers and $\$ 1.40$ per pound for heifers. The cow to bull ratio is 30 to one. The weaning percentage, expressed as the number of calves weaned as a percentage of cows overwintered, was $90 \%$. The herd replacement rate is $18 \%$ with $85 \%$ of the heifer calves retained entered the herd. Therefore, 42 heifer calves are kept as replacements.

## Winter Feeding

Winter feeding begins November $1^{\text {st }}$ and the last day of feeding is May $31^{\text {st }}$ for a total feeding period of 211 days. The total winter feed requirement for the herd is 979 tons of hay. On a per cow basis the winter feed requirement is 4.9 tons per cow.

## Winter Feed Production

The ranch has 600 acres of hay land. Of this acreage, 450 acres are in full production. Reestablishment is a two year process with barley green feed produced for one year prior to replanting the alfalfa grass mix the second year. The green feed yields are 2.75 tons per acre. The average yield is 1.5 tons per acre on the established stands. The total hay produced on the ranch is 1088 tons. A total of 979 tons of hay are required for feeding the herd and the remaining hay is sold.

## Grazing

The ranch uses Community Pasture and rented private pasture for summer grazing. The grazing season is 154 days and the ranch requires 1250 Animal Unit Months (AUM's) of grazing.

## Total Size of Ranch

The ranch has a total of 1200 acres of deeded land. Hay is produced on 600 acres.

Table 28 - Assumptions and Price Data - Dawson Creek Sample Ranch


Wintering Herd Feed Requirements (bred cows, replacement heifers, bulls )

| Category | head | Hay: lbs/head/day | Days fed | Hay lbs total | Hay Ton | cow |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bred Cows | 200 head | 40 | 211 days | - 1,688,000 lbs | 844 ton |  |
| Replacem'ts | 42 head | 25 | 211 days | - 221,550 lbs | 111 ton |  |
| Wintered Bulls | 5 head | 45 | 211 days | 47,475 lbs | 24 ton |  |
|  |  | , | Total: | 1,957,025 lbs | 979 ton | 4.89 ton/cow |



Weaning Weight Calculator

| Average Calf Age at weaning Calculated Steer Weaning Weight | $\begin{array}{r} 190 \text { days } \\ 611 \mathrm{lbs} \\ 550 \mathrm{lbs} \end{array}$ | \% Calves Born Per 21-Day Cycle |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1st cycle | 2nd cycle | 3rd cycle | 4th cycle |
|  |  | 60\% | 20\% | 15\% | 5\% |
|  |  | *Estimatd Daily Gain Birth to Weaning |  |  | $2.85 \mathrm{lbs} / \mathrm{day}$ |
|  |  | *Estimated Calf Birth Weight |  |  | 70 lbs |

## Table 29 - Income and Expenses Statement - Dawson Creek Sample Ranch

Income and Expense Statement Dawson Creek
200 Cows
January 1 to December 31, 2013


| Net Worth - Dawson Creek Sample Ranch |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current Assets |  | Current Liabilities |  |
| Cash |  | Operating Loan |  |
| Account Receivable |  |  |  |
| Supplies |  | Accounts Payable |  |
| Hay | \$36,000 |  |  |
| Feeders |  | Feeder Loan |  |
| Total Current Assets | \$36,000 | Total Current Liabilities | \$0 |
| Intermediate Assets |  | Intermediate Liabilities |  |
| Cow Herd | \$333,500 | Intermediate Loans |  |
| Equipment | \$227,700 |  |  |
| Car |  |  |  |
| Horses | \$10,000 |  |  |
| Total Intermediate Assets | \$571,200 | Total Intermediate Liabilities | \$0 |
| Fixed Assets |  | Long Term Liabilities |  |
| Buildings and Corrals | \$50,000 |  |  |
| House | \$200,000 | Land mortgage | \$200,000 |
| Other |  |  |  |
| Land | \$1,200,000 |  |  |
| Total Fixed Assets | \$1,450,000 | Total Long Term Liabilities | \$200,000 |
| Total Assets | \$2,057,200 | Total Liabilities | \$200,000 |
|  |  | Total Equity | \$1,857,200 |

Table 31 - Forage Costs and Returns - Dawson Creek Sample Ranch
Forage Costs and Returns Dawson Creek - 2013
Average of Establishment and Production Years

| Revenue |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yield (Tons/Ac) | Per Acre | Per Ton |
| Barley hay 2.75 | \$137.50 | \$50.00 |
| Alfalfa 1st Cut 1.50 | \$90.00 | \$60.00 |
| Alfalfa 2nd cut 0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Total 1.8 | \$102.00 | \$56.00 |
| Direct Costs |  |  |
| Seed | \$7.25 | \$4.00 |
| Fertilizer | \$10.12 | \$5.59 |
| Twine | \$1.09 | \$0.60 |
| Irrigation | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Total Supplies and Materials | \$18.00 | \$10.00 |
| Fuel and Lube Costs | \$24.88 | \$13.73 |
| Machine Repairs | \$15.62 | \$8.62 |
| Total Direct Costs | \$59.00 | \$33.00 |
| Contribution Margin | \$43.00 | \$24.00 |
| Indirect Costs |  |  |
| Dep. (Bldgs. \& Equip.) plus taxes | \$27.94 | \$15.42 |
| Labour | \$15.10 | \$8.33 |
| Total Indirect Costs | \$43.00 | \$23.74 |
| Total Direct and Indirect Costs | \$102.00 | \$56.00 |
| Gross Operating Profit | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Opportunity Costs |  |  |
| Interest on Direct Costs | \$1.47 | \$0.81 |
| Land Rental Cost | \$20.00 | \$11.03 |
| Interest on Bldgs. \& Equip. | \$15.49 | \$8.55 |
| Total Opportunity Costs | \$37.00 | \$20.00 |
| Total Economic Costs | \$139.00 | \$77.00 |
| Total Acres Hayland Acres | 600 |  |
| Total Tons Produced Tons | 1088 |  |

## Table 32 - Summary of Hay Production Costs and Returns - Dawson Creek Sample Ranch



## Summary Comments

- The focus group method worked well to develop and update the Sample Ranches and to determine specific costs and returns and ranch profitability. At all meetings producers were very knowledgeable and had a good understanding of the production variables and financial components of the cow calf business. Workshop participants were always open and instructive in their comments and observations.
- Ranchers seemed to agree with the process and were in agreement that it was important to have a production and financial description of the ranch to determine the ranch revenues and operating costs.
- Participants universally enjoyed the workshop, and appreciated the opportunity to be involved. They appreciated the opportunity to participate in a meeting where they felt they learned something. They found it a positive educational experience
- The rancher participants were interested and most cooperative. They had an excellent grasp of the revenue and expenses on the ranch in general and the specifics of the costs of operating a grazing lease.
- At most of the meetings some participants mentioned that they were appreciative of the fact focus group leaders valued their information and that we were willing to listen.
- There was considerable variability in the size of the ranches developed and the costs involved. The size varied from 150 cows in Vernon to 400 cows in Kamloops. Although not planned it is worthwhile to have a variety in the size of sample ranches.
- There was also a large variability in the per cow net income generated by the sample ranches. In all cases income was low relative to investment in the operation. We feel this points out that although calf prices have strengthened in recent years costs have increased as well resulting in net incomes that are still relatively low.
- The prices received for calves on a per pound basis did not vary much between areas. However, due to significant differences in marketing weights the income per calf varied significantly. The main cause of this difference was age of calves at sale.
- There was a significant range in winter feed requirements between the sample ranches.
- Although the ranches were spread throughout British Columbia, with the exception of Cranbrook marketing costs were very similar.
- The Financial Statements of Sample Ranches provide ranchers and those not familiar with the ranching sector valuable insights into the cost, expense and net income structure of the industry. The example cow calf enterprises are not encumbered by extraneous factors. Per cow revenue and expenses can serve as useful benchmarks for ranches with characteristics similar to the assumptions used in the sample ranch.

Table 33 - 2013 Total Ranch Income and Expense Statement

|  | Cranbrook | Vernon | Kamloops | Williams Lk. | Vanderhoof | Dawson Cr. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Revenue | 200 Cows | 150 Cows | 400 Cows | 200 Cows | 250 Cows | 200 Cows |
| Cow Calf | 154,789 | 122,209 | 337,766 | 142,484 | 200,257 | 161,220 |
| Feeder | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Crops | 2,620 | 11,025 | 15,544 | 0 | 40,770 | 6,450 |
| Other Income | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Less Bull Purchase | $(12,000)$ | $(8,000)$ | $(32,000)$ | $(12,000)$ | $(16,000)$ | $(9,000)$ |
| Feed Purchase | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Marketing Costs | $(5,287)$ | $(4,214)$ | $(11,469)$ | $(5,252)$ | $(7,341)$ | $(5,700)$ |
| Inventory Change | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Gross Profit | 140,122 | 121,020 | 309,841 | 125,232 | 217,686 | 152,970 |
| Direct Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seed | 1,472 | 1,890 | 3,972 | 5,010 | 4,928 | 4,350 |
| Fertilizer | 14,722 | 10,719 | 18,675 | 20,478 | 27,862 | 3,618 |
| Chemicals | 250 | 500 | 0 | 0 | 275 | 0 |
| Twine | 449 | 630 | 1,193 | 1,495 | 1,002 | 653 |
| Crop Insurance | 0 | 0 | 450 | 200 | 963 | 0 |
| Custom Work | 2,000 | 1,200 | 2,400 | 2,000 | 4,440 | 1,200 |
| Irrigation | 7,000 | 3,750 | 12,000 | 4,000 | 0 | 0 |
| Feed Supplement |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,255 | 0 |
| Mineral and Salt | 2,400 | 1,500 | 3,000 | 1,400 | 3,000 | 2,500 |
| Grazing Fees | 2,910 | 2,302 | 6,550 | 2,358 | 5,500 | 7,500 |
| Private Pasture Fees | 5,000 | 0 | 13,076 | 0 | 25,000 | 7,500 |
| Trucking hay \& to Pasture | 0 | 4,500 | 3,000 | 0 | 2,500 | 2,000 |
| Supplies (ear tags, etc.) | 1,500 | 1,350 | 4,000 | 1,400 | 2,700 | 2,000 |
| Vet \& Medicine | 4,000 | 4,050 | 12,000 | 4,000 | 4,500 | 4,800 |
| Equip. Fuel \& Lube | 19,532 | 15,230 | 30,055 | 19,003 | 26,842 | 23,728 |
| Equip. Repair | 10,967 | 9,323 | 21,306 | 10,249 | 13,603 | 12,374 |
| Bedding and Cleaning | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4000 | 0 |
| Supplies Inventory Change | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Direct Expenses | 72,202 | 56,944 | 131,677 | 73,511 | 129,370 | 72,223 |
| Contribution Margin | 67,920 | 64,076 | 178,164 | 51,721 | 88,316 | 80,747 |
| Indirect Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Building \& Fence Repair | 3,500 | 2,500 | 4,000 | 3,500 | 4,500 | 4,500 |
| Land Taxes | 2,000 | 1,200 | 1,600 | 800 | 1,300 | 1,000 |
| Shop supplies/Small tools | 1,500 | 900 | 1,350 | 1,500 | 3,000 | 700 |
| Hired Labour | 12,000 | 6,500 | 50,000 | 3,500 | 12,000 | 12,000 |
| Legal \& Accounting | 1,500 | 3,000 | 3,500 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 1,800 |
| Insurance \& Licences | 5,500 | 5,000 | 6,000 | 5,200 | 6,800 | 4,500 |
| Utilities (heat, bldg. hydro, et.) | 3,500 | 1,500 | 1,000 | 3,600 | 3,600 | 4,000 |
| Misc. (Office, fees, Tele.) | 2,400 | 1,900 | 3,500 | 3,000 | 2,800 | 2,500 |
| Operating Interest | 1,237 | 1,181 | 2,760 | 1,626 | 1,602 | 1,936 |
| Term Loan Interest | 10,000 | 7,500 | 20,000 | 10,000 | 7,500 | 10,000 |
| Total Indirect Expense | 43,137 | 31,181 | 93,710 | 34,726 | 45,102 | 41,531 |
| Total Expenses | 115,339 | 88,125 | 225,387 | 108,237 | 174,472 | 113,754 |
| Net Return Over Exps. Adjustments | 24,783 | 32,895 | 84,454 | 16,995 | 43,214 | 39,216 |
| Depreciation | $(18,490)$ | 20,279 | $(19,020)$ | $(22,249)$ | $(15,234)$ | $(20,827)$ |
| Net Farm Income | 6,293 | 12,616 | 65,434 | $(5,255)$ | 27,980 | 18,389 |

Table 34 - 2013 Per Cow Income and Expense Statement

|  | Cranbrook | Vernon | Kamloops | Williams Lk. | Vanderhoof | Dawson Cr. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Revenue | 200 Cows | 150 Cows | 400 Cows | 200 Cows | 250 Cows | 200 Cows |
| Cow Calf | 774 | 815 | 844 | 712 | 801 | 806 |
| Feeder | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Crops | 13 | 74 | 39 | 0 | 163 | 32 |
| Other Income | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Less Bull Purchase | (60) | (53) | (80) | (60) | (64) | (45) |
| Feed Purchase | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Marketing \& Trucking | (26) | (28) | (29) | (26) | (29) | (29) |
| Inventory Change | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Gross Profit | 701 | 807 | 775 | 626 | 871 | 765 |
| Direct Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seed | 7 | 13 | 10 | 25 | 20 | 22 |
| Fertilizer | 74 | 71 | 47 | 102 | 111 | 18 |
| Chemicals | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Twine | 2 | 4 | 3 | 7 | 4 | 3 |
| Crop Insurance | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 0 |
| Custom Work | 10 | 8 | 6 | 10 | 18 | 6 |
| Irrigation | 35 | 25 | 30 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| Feed Supplement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 |
| Mineral and Salt | 12 | 10 | 8 | 7 | 12 | 13 |
| Grazing Fees | 15 | 15 | 16 | 12 | 22 | 38 |
| Private Pasture Fees | 25 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 100 | 38 |
| Trucking hay \& to Pasture | 0 | 30 | 8 | 0 | 10 | 10 |
| Supplies (ear tags, etc.) | 8 | 9 | 10 | 7 | 11 | 10 |
| Vet \& Medicine | 20 | 27 | 30 | 20 | 18 | 24 |
| Equip. Fuel \& Lube | 98 | 102 | 75 | 95 | 107 | 119 |
| Equip. Repair | 55 | 62 | 53 | 51 | 54 | 62 |
| Bedding and Cleaning | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 |
| Supplies Inventory Change | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Direct Expenses | 361 | 380 | 329 | 368 | 517 | 361 |
| Contribution Margin | 340 | 427 | 445 | 259 | 353 | 404 |
| Indirect Expenses |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Building \& Fence Repair | 18 | 17 | 10 | 18 | 18 | 23 |
| Land Taxes | 10 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 |
| Shop supplies/Small tools | 8 | 6 | 3 | 8 | 12 | 4 |
| Hired Labour | 60 | 43 | 125 | 18 | 48 | 60 |
| Legal \& Accounting | 8 | 20 | 9 | 10 | 8 | 9 |
| Insurance \& Licences | 28 | 33 | 15 | 26 | 27 | 23 |
| Utilities (heat, bldg. hydro, etc.) | 18 | 10 | 3 | 18 | 14 | 20 |
| Misc. (Office, fees, phone) | 12 | 13 | 9 | 15 | 11 | 13 |
| Operating Interest | 6 | 8 | 7 | 8 | 6 | 10 |
| Term Loan Interest | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 30 | 50 |
| Total Indirect Expenses | 216 | 208 | 234 | 174 | 180 | 208 |
| Total Expenses | 577 | 587 | 563 | 541 | 698 | 569 |
| Net Return Over Exps. Adjustments | 124 | 219 | 211 | 85 | 173 | 196 |
| Depreciation | (92) | (135) | (48) | (111) | (61) | (104) |
| Net Farm Income | 31 | 84 | 164 | (26) | 112 | 92 |

Table 35 - Assumptions, Production and Financial Factors

|  | Cranbrook | Vernon | Kamloops | Williams Lake | Vanderhoof | Dawson Creek |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of cow overwintered | 200 | 150 | 400 | 200 | 250 | 200 |
| Total deeded Acres | 700 | 300 | 2000 | 1200 | 1200 | 1200 |
| Total forage acres | 200 | 150 | 300 | 250 | 600 | 600 |
| Acres in establishment | 20 | 30 | 60 | 50 | 110 | 150 |
| Hay yields(Tons/Acre) | 3.4 | 4 | 3.8 | 2.6 | 2.28 | 1.81 |
| Direct hay cost/ton | \$64 | \$56 | \$61 | \$71 | \$47 | \$33 |
| Direct \& indirect hay costs/ton | \$105 | \$110 | \$95 | \$117 | \$67 | \$56 |
| Weaning percentage | 88\% | 90\% | 92\% | 85\% | 92\% | 90\% |
| Sale weight :Steer calves | 570 | 650 | 580 | 550 | 540 | 600 |
| : Heifer calves | 515 | 600 | 500 | 525 | 490 | 500 |
| Sale Price : Steer calves | \$1.64 | \$1.50 | \$1.64 | \$1.60 | \$1.66 | \$1.51 |
| Heifer calves | \$1.48 | \$1.39 | \$1.51 | \$1.45 | \$1.45 | \$1.40 |
| Pounds of calf weaned per cow | 477 | 562 | 497 | 457 | 474 | 495 |
| Gross Profit per Cow | \$701 | \$807 | \$775 | \$626 | \$871 | \$765 |
| Gross profit for the ranch | \$140,122 | \$121,020 | \$309,841 | \$125,232 | \$217,686 | \$152,970 |
| Total direct expenses | \$72,202 | \$56,944 | \$131,677 | \$73,511 | \$129,370 | \$72,223 |
| Contribution Margin | \$67,920 | \$64,076 | \$178,164 | \$51,721 | \$88,316 | \$80,747 |
| Total indirect expenses | \$43,137 | \$31,181 | \$93,710 | \$34,726 | \$45,102 | \$41,531 |
| Total Expenses | \$115,339 | \$88,125 | \$225,387 | \$108,237 | \$174,472 | \$113,754 |
| Net Farm Income | \$6,293 | \$12,616 | \$65,434 | $(\$ 5,255)$ | \$27,980 | \$18,389 |
| Total ranch assets | \$2,007,520 | \$2,969,470 | \$5,300,775 | \$2,238,300 | \$2,041,500 | \$2,057,200 |
| Total ranch liabilities | \$200,000 | \$150,000 | \$400,000 | \$200,000 | \$250,000 | \$200,000 |
| Total ranch equity | \$1,985,700 | \$2,819,470 | \$4,900,775 | \$2,038,300 | \$1,791,500 | \$1,857,200 |

## Questions and Feedback

The participants were asked to list some of the decisions they felt ranchers were presently trying to make. The following is list of responses. These include all the responses and no attempt was made to delete duplications:

1. Should I buy or produce my hay requirements?
2. Can I manage my grazing to reduce the length of the winter feeding period?
3. Are there ways to reduce the fertilizer costs including alternative nutrient sources?
4. What are the comparative costs of operating different irrigations systems (e.g. pivots versus wheel lines).
5. Can I make money custom grazing other producer's cattle?
6. What are the 'real' costs of running cattle on Crown Range?
7. How often should I renovate hayfields?
8. Should I graze all my forage land and purchase my winter feed requirements?
9. Should I sell the cow herd and move to a program where I purchase calves in the fall and intensively graze my fields the following summer.
10. Should I buy calves in the spring and graze them on my land over summer and sell as yearlings in the fall?
11. Should I put up hay and custom feed other producer's cattle?
12. Should I use my forage land to custom graze other producer's cattle?
13. Should I convert my hay fields to irrigated pastures and purchase my winter feed requirements?
14. Is swath grazing a viable option to consider to reduce feeding costs?
15. Should I only produce hay and sell to other producers?
16. Should I rent the land I need rather than owning it?
17. Should I rent my land to other producers?
18. Can I minimize the number of acres of forage I need to renovate by improved harvesting and nutrient management?
19. Should I buy hay or put up my own?
20. Can I manage my grazing so that I can reduce the hay I have to feed?
21. Is it cost effective to spread stockpiled manure?
22. How much can I afford to pay for cows?
23. Is expanding the cow herd a good idea at this time?
24. If I decide to expand my herd should I be buying cows or retaining more of my heifer calves?
25. What are the costs of keeping a bull?
26. Many producers in this area are looking at hay production for the export market so analyzing the costs of producing hay is very important.
(The following six observations were not presented as questions but in each case an analysis would likely be useful when making the change.)
27. Make the ranch operate as a profitable business. Make decisions based on good business practices.
28. Operate ranch with separate business units for cattle and haying. Do not have one business unit subsidizing the other.
29. From a business model look at purchasing hay and reducing equipment requirements (do not ignore the real costs of putting up hay).
30. Work with Mother Nature, calve in late May and June, bale graze, and use intensive cell grazing.
31. Use cattle size that fit your area and climate.
32. Move away from performance on calves and focus on number of live calves sold.
33. Look at what the market wants and provide it e.g. consistent size, appropriate breed crosses etc.

We also asked producers what they felt might be the best way to work with producers attempting to make management decisions.

1. I think a computer sheet listing the various expense categories that individual producers could input their own numbers and come up with costs for their own operation would be useful.
2. I think workshops are probably the best for getting the decision making tools out there.
3. Most producers are not all that familiar with using computer programs so that has to be taken into consideration.
4. Interactive workshops are the best way to get producers to explore decisions.
5. I feel that workshops are probably the best for getting the decision making tools out there. The group discussion is the best way to explore all the pros and cons of each scenario.
