BRITISH COLUMBIA
The Best Place on Earth
BCTS

PLANTING CONTRACTOR <u>VALUE ADDED</u> EVALUATION

BC Timber Sales						Scoring: 0 - 5 Poin	nts
CONTRACTOR LEGAL ENTITY NAME:	RACTOR LEGAL ENTITY NAME: Risk Matrix Rating: LOW		LOW N	MED HIGH			
CONTRACT / FILE NUMBER:	CONTRACT start/end	DATE	CON	CONTRACT VALUE:			
	:						
SIZE OF CONTRACT (trees planted):				DERING AUTHORITY ocation)	(
KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATOR - SAFETY						SCORE 0-5 Pts.	
 Documented level of completed training exceeding the basic certification; drug & alcohol program; harassment policy; safety meeting 	requirements of the cons; etc.	tract? Exan	nples: d	river training; harassment	awareness;	0 1 2 3 4 5	j
Comments/Explanation:							
2. What documented level of programs in MSI and Wellness did the contractor demonstrate?					0 1 2 3 4 5	<u> </u>	
Comments/Explanation:							
3. Did the contractor's Emergency Response Plan and it's execution exceed the contract circumstance? Examples: ERP; drills; interviews; SAT phones; ETV; level of First Aid Attendants; # of attendants on site.					0 1 2 3 4 5]	
Comments/Explanation:							
4. Did the contractor document near misses and incidences, and provide a copy to the ministry representative? Example(s): documentation; communications				0 1 2 3 4 5	Ī		
Comments/Explanation:							
5. Was there any significant deficiency that would negate the po	ints in this section?					YES/NO.	
Comment/Explanation						U U	

KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATOR - PROJECT MANAGEMENT	SCORE 0-5 Pts.
Did the contractor meet their pre-work communication commitments and were they effective? Examples: stakeholders, suppliers, project manager, implementation of contract	0 1 2 3 4 5
Comments/Explanation:	
2. Did the contractor's human resources input into the contract exceed contract requirements? Examples: experience employees; experienced	
supervisors; interviews with staff; pay properly; professionalism of staff	0 1 2 3 4 5
Comments/Explanation:	
3. Did the contractor's relationships with ministry staff, employees, stakeholders provide added value? Examples: professionalism; respectful;	
how conflict is dealt with; customer/vendor services; solution orientated as opposed to blaming behaviour; willingness to work together rather than adversarial; upfront regarding difficulties and forthright disclosure	0 1 2 3 4 5
Comments/Explanation:	
4. Did the non-human resources provided by the contractor add value to the contract? Examples: new, old, adequate amount; logistics; equipment; suppliers; accommodation; transport of equipment; maintenance; camp	
Comments/Explanation:	0 1 2 0 1 0
E. Did the contractor's change management pressure add value to the contract? Finally, W. J. D. W. D.	
5. Did the contractor's change management processes add value to the contract? Examples: Work Progress Plan; WPP-Managed to meet project goals; back-up plan; contingency plans;	
Comments/Explanation:	
6. Were the contractor's deliverables required by the contract produced consistently, accurately and timely? Example: paperwork –and/or tree	
planting itself - no re-work or small amount of rework required; quality and timeliness;	0 1 2 3 4 5
Comments/Explanation:	
7. Was the work done in such a way that EMS was managed to exceed basic contract specifications? Example(s): Communicate how you're	
going to enhance the environmental factors of the specific contract	0 1 2 3 4 5
8. Was there any significant deficiency that would negate the points in this section?	YES/NO
Comment/Explanation	
	VES NO

KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATOR – QUALITY/STOCK HANDLING						
1. Did the stock handling and transportation exceed the requirements of the contract? Example: Temperature; treatment of boxes; transport by quad or heli; FISTS vs canopy; handling of trees at risk; transfers						
Comments/Explanation:						
2. Did the contractor have a documented stock handling process and was the process followed? Example: What is your stock handling plan and how is it going to add to the success of this project and how is it documented?						
Comments/Explanation:						
3. Was stock management done in a way that exceeded the minimum contract specifications? Example: Are they putting the stock in the right place at the right time; knowledge of transfer guidelines?						
Comments/Explanation:						
4. Planting Quality. Point Range: 92.6%=0 to a max 96.6% for 5 points (pay plot and audit plots)						
Comments/Explanation:						
·						
5. Was there any significant deficiency that would negate the points in this section?						
Comment/Explanation						
DOTO 0 1111 1 D 1 1 0 1						
BCTS Qualified Receiver Signature	Planting Contractor Signature					
Date Signed	Date Signed					
Send Completed/Signed Rating Forms as a PDF with the naming convention "FY????_Contractor Name" to: Planting.Contractor.Rating@gov.bc.ca						