# BIOMETRICS INFORMATION 

(You're 95\% likely to need this information)

SUBJECT: ANOVA: Approximate or Pseudo F-tests
Analysis of variance designs will occasionally have two or more random factors that are crossed with a treatment. This complicates the determination of the proper error term for the F-tests since no single source in the design is appropriate. Thus a pseudo ${ }^{\dagger}$ F-test, using a combination of sources in the ANOVA, must be used to test for treatment effects.

I will use the following example as an illustration. Suppose a researcher wants to test the storability of pollen at three different moisture contents. Several pollen lots are selected to represent all possible pollen lots. The pollen is dried to moisture contents of either $4 \%, 8 \%$, and $12 \%$ and then stored for some time. In a following Spring, the viability of the pollen is tested by applying the pollen to receptive flowers on ten trees in a nearby seed orchard. The trees are blocks in a randomized block design since they receive all the treatments. Two branches per tree are assigned one pollen lot which had been stored at one of the moisture levels. Thus block, pollen lot, and moisture content are completely crossed. Since both pollen lot and trees may respond quite differently to each other and to the treatments, it is important to include several examples of each in the experiment. This is why both pollen lot and trees are considered random factors. The Expected Mean Squares (EMS) for the design are as follows:

| Source of Variation | Degrees of Freedom | Expected Mean Squares |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Block | 9 | $\sigma_{\mathrm{e}}^{2}+6 \sigma_{\mathrm{BL}}^{2}+24 \sigma_{\mathrm{B}}^{2}$ |
| Pollen L | 3 | $\sigma_{\mathrm{e}}^{2}+6 \sigma_{\mathrm{BL}}^{2}+60 \sigma_{\mathrm{L}}^{2}$ |
| B x L | 27 | $\sigma_{\mathrm{e}}^{2}+6 \sigma_{\mathrm{BL}}^{2}$ |
| Moisture M | 2 | $\sigma_{\mathrm{e}}^{2}+2 \sigma_{\mathrm{BLM}}^{2}+8 \sigma_{\mathrm{BM}}^{2}+20 \sigma_{\mathrm{LM}}^{2}+80 \sigma_{\mathrm{M}}^{2}$ |
| L x M | 6 | $\sigma_{\mathrm{e}}^{2}+2 \sigma_{\mathrm{BLM}}^{2}+\quad+20 \sigma_{\mathrm{LM}}^{2}$ |
| B x M | 18 | $\sigma_{\mathrm{e}}^{2}+2 \sigma_{\mathrm{BLM}}^{2}+8 \sigma_{\mathrm{BM}}^{2}$ |
| B x L x M | 54 | $\sigma_{\mathrm{e}}^{2}+2 \sigma_{\text {BLM }}^{2}$ |
| Error | 120 | $\sigma_{\mathrm{e}}^{2}$ |

As you can see from this table the error terms for block and pollen is their interaction, $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{L}$, and the error terms for their interactions with the moisture treatment is $\mathrm{B} \times \mathrm{L} \times \mathrm{M}$. This is determined by noting, for example, that if $\sigma_{\mathrm{B}}^{2}$ is zero then the EMS for block becomes $\sigma_{\mathrm{e}}^{2}+6 \sigma_{\mathrm{BL}}^{2}$, which is the EMS for B x $L$. Thus B $\times L$ is the error term, because if $\sigma_{B}^{2}$ is zero then the F-statistic will be the ratio of two identical expected mean squares.

This simple situation does not occur for testing the moisture treatment. If $\sigma_{M}^{2}$ is zero there is no other source in the table that has an EMS the same as $\sigma_{\mathrm{e}}^{2}+2 \sigma_{\mathrm{BLM}}^{2}+8 \sigma_{\mathrm{BM}}^{2}+20 \sigma_{\mathrm{LM}}^{2}$. Nevertheless, if we add some EMS's together we can obtain an approximate F-ratio of two identical terms. Specifically:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{F} & =\frac{\operatorname{EMS}(\mathrm{BLM})+\operatorname{EMS}(\mathrm{M})}{\operatorname{EMS}(\mathrm{BM})+\operatorname{EMS}(\mathrm{LM})} \\
& =\frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{e}}^{2}+2 \sigma_{\mathrm{BLM}}^{2}+\sigma_{\mathrm{e}}^{2}+2 \sigma_{\mathrm{BLM}}^{2}+8 \sigma_{\mathrm{BM}}^{2}+20 \sigma_{\mathrm{LM}}^{2}+80 \sigma_{\mathrm{M}}^{2}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{e}}^{2}+2 \sigma_{\mathrm{BLM}}^{2}+8 \sigma_{\mathrm{BM}}^{2}+20 \sigma_{\mathrm{e}}^{2}+2 \sigma_{\mathrm{BLM}}^{2}+2}
\end{aligned}
$$

You will note that except for $\sigma_{M}^{2}$, every term in the above ratio occurs an equal number of times in both the numerator and the denominator. The observed F-value is calculated by:

$$
\mathrm{F}=\frac{\mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{BLM})+\mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{M})}{\mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{BM})+\mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{LM})}
$$

and with degrees of freedom calculated by:

$$
d f_{\text {num }}=\frac{[M S(B L M)+M S(M)]^{2}}{\left[\frac{M S(B L M)^{2}}{d f_{B L M}}+\frac{M S(M)^{2}}{d f_{M}}\right]} \text { and } d f_{d e n}=\frac{[M S(B M)+M S(L M)]^{2}}{\left[\frac{M S(B M)^{2}}{d f_{B M}}+\frac{M S(L M)^{2}}{d f_{L M}}\right]}
$$

NOTE: Another ratio could have been obtained by subtracting MS(BLM) from the MS(BM) $+\mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{LM})$ instead of adding it to MS(M). Zar (1974) uses subtraction in his ANOVA tables in Appendix C, but this is not recommended (Dr. Keith Hastings, pers. comm.) since the subtraction can lead to strange results.

As an example, suppose the following mean squares had been obtained for an experiment:

| Source of Variation | Degrees of Freedom | Mean Squares | $\underline{\text { F-values }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Block B | 9 | 192 | 4.0 |
| Pollen L | 3 | 144 | 3.0 |
| B x L | 27 | 48 | 4.0 |
| Moisture M | 2 | 756 | see below |
| L x M | 6 | 162 | 3.0 |
| B x M | 18 | 108 | 2.0 |
| B x L x M | 54 | 54 | 4.5 |
| Error | 120 | 12 | - |

The pseudo F-value for moisture is calculated by:

$$
F=\frac{54+756}{108+162}=3.0 \text { with degrees of freedom calculated by: }
$$

$\mathrm{df}_{\text {num }}=\frac{[54+756]^{2}}{\left[\frac{(54)^{2}}{54}+\frac{(756)^{2}}{2}\right]}=2.295$
and $\quad \mathrm{df}_{\mathrm{den}}=\frac{[108+162]^{2}}{\left[\frac{(108)^{2}}{18}+\frac{(162)^{2}}{6}\right]}=14.516$.

Using the SAS program described in Biometrics Information pamphlet \# 15, the probability for this F-value is 0.0757 . Thus, there is weak evidence of a moisture effect.
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To test the 3 -variable model calculate:

$$
\mathrm{F}=\frac{0.3965 / 3}{(1-0.3965) /(192-4)}=41.169, \mathrm{df}=3,188
$$

The contribution of X1 and X3 is tested by:

$$
\mathrm{F}=\frac{(0.39648035-0.3952283) /(3-1)}{(1-0.39648035) /(192-4)}=0.195, \mathrm{df}=2,188
$$

The probabilities for these F-values are 0.823 and $<0.0001$ respectively. Thus it is quite reasonable that X2 does a fine job on its own and variables X1 and X3 are unnecessary in the final model.

Calculate the F-test for moisture if it's mean square had been 1836 instead of 756.

