## **Key Lower Fraser Sturgeon Habitat Questions for Qualified Environmental Professionals Major Projects -**

Resource Management, FLNRO – South Coast Region June 20, 2017 – Version 2.0

n	1	+,	٠.	
v	а	ιt	Ξ,	

following qu	uestions be filled out a	and submi	itted by Qualified Environmental P	nd Natural Resource Operations reco rofessionals working on behalf of the ng the information prepared by Qualif the review process.	
qualified en professiona action by th area of expe developmen the assessm experienced associated v qualified if t	vironmental profession organization constitute at association. To be a certise must be one that it proposal that is being that that is within their in sturgeon population with industrial or recrease.	onal. He outed under able to ce t is accept assessed assessed on assessed attional and tiple s	or she must be registered and in goer a provincial <i>Act</i> , acting under that if that they are qualified to proviable for the purpose of providing and the individual is considered a cexpertise. In this case, it is expected ments, and in assessing and monital citivities on White and Green Sturg	cientist or technologist, acting alone of od standing in British Columbia with a ssociation's code of ethics and subside an answer to a particular questional or part of a report in respect of the Qualified Environmental Professional d that the Qualified Environmental Profession and their habitat. The professional ery familiar with the specific habitat refer Fraser sturgeon.	an appropriate ject to disciplinary n posed, the individual's particular only for that portion of rofessional(s) will be apensation/off-sets al will be considered
			1.0 Professional Accour	ntability	
Has the submission been signed off by a certified and qualified environmental professional experienced in sturgeon population assessments, and in monitoring assessing the impacts, mitigation and compensation/off-sets associated with industrial or recreational activities on Sturgeon and their habitat?  Unknown					
Professional Portion(s) of Technical Data Report or					
Name Accreditation Signature		Environmental Assessment (an Responsible For			

2.0 Assessment of Sturgeon Values/Components			
2.1	Habitat		
2.1.1	Is the extent of affected sturgeon habitat fully understood and described?	Unknown	
2.1.1.1	Are the habitat types (by life stages) understood and described for the following habitats:		
а	microhabitats; and/or,	Unknown	
b	macrohabitats?	Unknown	
2.1.1.2	Is habitat use understood and described for the following parameters:		
а	by life stage; and/or,	Unknown	
b	by timing/season?	Unknown	
2.2	Individuals & Populations		
2.2.1	Has use of each sturgeon life stage in the project area been adequately determined?	Unknown	
2.2.1.1	Has the published and grey literature been adequately reviewed and interpreted?	Unknown	
2.2.1.2	Have any project-specific assessments been conducted?	Unknown	
2.2.1.3	Were assessment efforts and methods consistent with government or professional expectations?	Unknown	
2.2.1.4	Is there adequate description of sampling methods and effort?	Unknown	
2.2.1.5	Are the methods and effort used adequate to support conclusions; particularly to support low use sturgeon habitats or low impact statements?	Unknown	
а	Are the catch methods appropriate for all life-stages, seasons, tidal, flow and habitat conditions (were multiple catch methods employed)?	Unknown	
b	Is there appropriate temporal distribution of effort (multiple seasons during all habitat conditions and multiple years)?	Unknown	
С	Is there appropriate spatial distribution of effort to capture all directly and indirectly effected habitats?	Unknown	
d	Is the timing appropriate to ensure the catchability and assessment of all life stages?	Unknown	
е	Is sampling conducted at appropriate flow and tidal stage(s)?	Unknown	
f	Is sampling conducted at appropriate water temperature(s)?	Unknown	
h	Are appropriate site photos of all directly and indirectly effected habitats provided?	Unknown	
2.2.1.3	Are sampling sites mapped and results summarized geographically?	Unknown	
2.2.1.4	Are sampling results consistent with existing/historic knowledge of the sites in both the published and grey literature?	Unknown	
2.2.1.5	Have results been presented to allow clear interpretation of abundance, distribution, high use areas, seasonality of use, and use by all life stages?	Unknown	
2.2.1.6	Have fish productivity and high use habitats, or indicators been adequately assessed and interpreted?	Unknown	
2.2.1.7	Are any other factors that should be considered in the project licensing/permitting decisions adequately described that are not identified above with respect to fish distribution or abundance?	Unknown	

	3.0 Assessment of Potential/Expected Impacts	
3.1	Project Footprint/Construction - Sturgeon Habitat	
3.1.1	Are the immediate impact pathways and limiting factors to sturgeon habitats fully considered, understood and described?	Unknown
3.1.2	Does the project footprint avoid affecting critical or important high use sturgeon habitat?	Unknown
3.1.3	Have direct and indirect instream habitat changes and impacts been adequately detailed?	Unknown
3.1.4	Have fish spawning/rearing/migration/survival impacts for all life stages been adequately detailed for all project components?	Unknown
3.1.5	Have locations where sediment will be introduced been accurately mapped and interpreted?	Unknown
3.1.6	Have locations where habitat will be altered been accurately mapped and interpreted?	Unknown
3.2	Project Operation/Post Construction - Sturgeon Habitat	
3.2.1	Are the long term impact pathways and limiting factors to sturgeon habitats fully considered, understood and described?	Unknown
3.2.2	Does the project operation or project design avoid or minimize long term impacts on critical or important sturgeon habitats?	Unknown
3.2.3	Have the project operations or project design been adequately defined to assess potential extended or long term impacts to sturgeon habitat?	Unknown
3.2.3.2	Are the maintenance schedules and activities fully understood and described in order to assess potential extended or long term impacts to sturgeon habitat?	Unknown
3.2.4	Are changes to instream habitat adequately detailed by habitat type and specific habitat, tidal and flow variability, seasonality and use by all life stages?	Unknown
3.2.5	Are changes to productivity and use of instream habitats by all sturgeon life stages adequately detailed by habitat type and specific habitat?	Unknown
3.2.5.2	Has a table describing habitat time series changes and summary been developed and interpreted for the proposed construction and operations/post construction with comparisons to the pre-project state?	Unknown
3.3	Project Footprint- Individuals & Population	
3.3.1	Has there been a thorough comparison of pre- and post-project habitat availability and use for all sturgeon life stages in directly and indirectly affected habitats?	Unknown
3.3.2	Has an interpretation of the significance of changes at the population and individual level in habitat availability and use by all sturgeon life stages been provided?	Unknown
3.3.2.1	Is this assessment based on site-specific assessment information, published and grey literature, and qualified professional judgement?	Unknown
3.4	Project Construction - Individuals and Population	
3.4.1	Will least risk windows for the mitigation of impacts to all life stages of sturgeon and their habitats be adhered to for all foreshore and in-river decommissioning or construction activities?	Unknown
3.4.1.1	Will fish movement/migration impacts be adequately mitigated during project construction? Does this include:	Unknown
а	All instream construction activities;	Unknown

b	All foreshore construction activities;	Unknown
С	Avoidance of sturgeon high use areas during high use and high risk periods or seasons;	Unknown
d	Adequate mitigation methods to keep sturgeon from construction areas during in-water construction or activities if required and unavoidable; and/or,	Unknown
е	Pre-assessment of in-river construction areas immediately prior to the undertaking of activities using high resolution sonar or equivalent method to determine if additional mitigation is required or if activities need to be postponed?	Unknown
3.4.2	Have mitigation methods been identified for each in-river or foreshore activity?	Unknown
3.4.2.1	Do mitigation methods take into consideration the sensitivity of sturgeon and use and habitat values at each activity location?	Unknown
3.4.2.2	Are significant effects to be avoided or minimized at any in-river or foreshore activity location?	Unknown
3.4.2.3	Are appropriate and adequate mitigation measures prescribed to restrict or limit sediment or any other deleterious substance from entering the Fraser River or any other watercourse?	Unknown
3.4.2.4	Will sediment or substrate deposition or disturbance directly or indirectly resulting from the proposed construction activities be minimized and mitigated to avoid impacting any life stage of sturgeon (e.g. migration, spawning, egg incubation, feeding, or early, juvenile and adult rearing)?	Unknown
3.5	Project Operation/Post Construction - Individuals & Population	
3.5.1	Has there been a thorough comparison of pre- and post-project habitat availability and use by all sturgeon life stages?	Unknown
3.5.2	Has an interpretation of the significance of changes at the population and individual level in habitat availability and use by all sturgeon life stages been provided?	Unknown
3.5.2.1	Does this interpretation account for regular changes that will occur long-term as a consequence of required future in-river or foreshore maintenance activities (e.g. dredging, streambank protection, etc.)?	Unknown
3.5.2.2	Is this assessment based on site-specific assessment information, published and grey literature, and qualified professional judgement?	Unknown
	4.0 Mitigation and Off-sets	
4.1	Impact Avoidance	
4.1.1	Project Footprint – Habitat and Individual Sturgeon	
4.1.1.1	Have the project components been designed to avoid direct or indirect impacts to sturgeon and instream sturgeon habitat?	Unknown
4.1.1.2	Have the project components been designed to avoid direct or indirect impacts to high use, important or critical sturgeon habitats?	Unknown
4.1.1.3	Have the project components been designed to avoid direct or indirect impacts to foreshore habitat?	Unknown
4.1.1.4	Have the project components been designed to avoid direct or indirect impacts to riparian habitat?	Unknown
4.2	Mitigation Measures	
4.2.1	Project Footprint – Habitat and Individual Sturgeon	
4.2.1.2	Are all project components designed, located or other measures prescribed to reduce or mitigate impacts to individual sturgeon and instream sturgeon habitat as much as is technically possible?	Unknown
4.2.1.3	Are the project components designed to adequately reduce or mitigate impacts to foreshore habitat as much	Unknown

	as is technically possible?	
4.2.1.3	Are the project components designed to adequately reduce or mitigate impacts to riparian habitat as much as is technically possible?	Unknown
4.2.1.4	Will directly or indirectly disturbed habitats be adequately restored as much as is technically possible? Does this include:	Unknown
а	Complete restoration of all directly and indirectly disturbed areas; and/or,	Unknown
b	Long-term maintenance (in perpetuity) of restored habitats?	Unknown
4.2.1.5	Will direct or indirect fish movement/migration impacts be adequately mitigated as much as is technically possible? Does this include:	Unknown
а	All in-river structure removal or construction activities; and,	Unknown
b	All required post-construction in-river maintenance activities (e.g. dredging, streambank protection, structure maintenance, etc.)	Unknown
4.2.2	Project Operation/Post Construction Maintenance- Habitat and Individuals	
4.2.2.1	Will the proposed project and its' long term maintenance or operational activities avoid the introduction or significant alteration of sediment or substrates to avoid the alteration of sturgeon habitats?	Unknown
4.2.2.2	Will the proposed project and its' long term maintenance or operational activities avoid the introduction of contaminants to sturgeon or their habitats?	Unknown
4.2.2.3	Will the introduction of any sediments or contaminants resulting from long term maintenance activities be limited and mitigated to minimize impacts to productivity of instream sturgeon habitats?	Unknown
4.3	Off-setting/Compensation	
4.3.1	Project Footprint Impacts	
4.3.1.1	Does the proposed compensation/off-set provide adequate equivalency or an appropriate alternative to directly address immediate and residual impacts from changes in water quality and sturgeon habitat	Unknown
	availability, use and productivity?	
4.3.1.2	Does the proposed compensation/off-set provide adequate equivalency or an appropriate alternative to directly address immediate and residual impacts to instream sturgeon habitats?	Unknown
4.3.1.2 a	Does the proposed compensation/off-set provide adequate equivalency or an appropriate alternative to	Unknown Unknown
	Does the proposed compensation/off-set provide adequate equivalency or an appropriate alternative to directly address immediate and residual impacts to instream sturgeon habitats?	
а b с	Does the proposed compensation/off-set provide adequate equivalency or an appropriate alternative to directly address immediate and residual impacts to instream sturgeon habitats?  Is it like-for-like in terms of instream habitat function and productivity?  If it is not like-for-like, does it target a limiting life stage?  Is it geographically as close as possible to the disturbance?	Unknown Unknown Unknown
а b	Does the proposed compensation/off-set provide adequate equivalency or an appropriate alternative to directly address immediate and residual impacts to instream sturgeon habitats?  Is it like-for-like in terms of instream habitat function and productivity?  If it is not like-for-like, does it target a limiting life stage?  Is it geographically as close as possible to the disturbance?  Does it adequately address anticipated changes in function, productive capacity and use by individual sturgeon?	Unknown Unknown
а b с	Does the proposed compensation/off-set provide adequate equivalency or an appropriate alternative to directly address immediate and residual impacts to instream sturgeon habitats?  Is it like-for-like in terms of instream habitat function and productivity?  If it is not like-for-like, does it target a limiting life stage?  Is it geographically as close as possible to the disturbance?  Does it adequately address anticipated changes in function, productive capacity and use by individual sturgeon?  Is there a sufficient compensation ratio applied that accounts for maintenance requirements and habitat development and maturation of instream habitat?	Unknown Unknown Unknown Unknown Unknown
a b c d	Does the proposed compensation/off-set provide adequate equivalency or an appropriate alternative to directly address immediate and residual impacts to instream sturgeon habitats?  Is it like-for-like in terms of instream habitat function and productivity?  If it is not like-for-like, does it target a limiting life stage?  Is it geographically as close as possible to the disturbance?  Does it adequately address anticipated changes in function, productive capacity and use by individual sturgeon?  Is there a sufficient compensation ratio applied that accounts for maintenance requirements and habitat development and maturation of instream habitat?  Will proposed restoration or enhancement habitats be maintained in perpetuity?	Unknown Unknown Unknown Unknown
a b c d	Does the proposed compensation/off-set provide adequate equivalency or an appropriate alternative to directly address immediate and residual impacts to instream sturgeon habitats?  Is it like-for-like in terms of instream habitat function and productivity?  If it is not like-for-like, does it target a limiting life stage?  Is it geographically as close as possible to the disturbance?  Does it adequately address anticipated changes in function, productive capacity and use by individual sturgeon?  Is there a sufficient compensation ratio applied that accounts for maintenance requirements and habitat development and maturation of instream habitat?  Will proposed restoration or enhancement habitats be maintained in perpetuity?  Does the proposed compensation/off-set provide adequate equivalency or an appropriate alternative to directly address immediate or residual impacts to riparian habitat?	Unknown Unknown Unknown Unknown Unknown Unknown Unknown
a b c d e e f 4.3.1.3	Does the proposed compensation/off-set provide adequate equivalency or an appropriate alternative to directly address immediate and residual impacts to instream sturgeon habitats?  Is it like-for-like in terms of instream habitat function and productivity?  If it is not like-for-like, does it target a limiting life stage?  Is it geographically as close as possible to the disturbance?  Does it adequately address anticipated changes in function, productive capacity and use by individual sturgeon?  Is there a sufficient compensation ratio applied that accounts for maintenance requirements and habitat development and maturation of instream habitat?  Will proposed restoration or enhancement habitats be maintained in perpetuity?  Does the proposed compensation/off-set provide adequate equivalency or an appropriate alternative to directly address immediate or residual impacts to riparian habitat?  Is it like-for-like in terms of function (streambank stability, shade, food and nutrition, LWD, etc.)?	Unknown Unknown Unknown Unknown Unknown Unknown Unknown Unknown Unknown
a b c d e f	Does the proposed compensation/off-set provide adequate equivalency or an appropriate alternative to directly address immediate and residual impacts to instream sturgeon habitats?  Is it like-for-like in terms of instream habitat function and productivity?  If it is not like-for-like, does it target a limiting life stage?  Is it geographically as close as possible to the disturbance?  Does it adequately address anticipated changes in function, productive capacity and use by individual sturgeon?  Is there a sufficient compensation ratio applied that accounts for maintenance requirements and habitat development and maturation of instream habitat?  Will proposed restoration or enhancement habitats be maintained in perpetuity?  Does the proposed compensation/off-set provide adequate equivalency or an appropriate alternative to directly address immediate or residual impacts to riparian habitat?  Is it like-for-like in terms of function (streambank stability, shade, food and nutrition, LWD, etc.)?  Is it geographically as close as possible to the disturbance?	Unknown Unknown Unknown Unknown Unknown Unknown Unknown Unknown Unknown
a b c d e e f 4.3.1.3	Does the proposed compensation/off-set provide adequate equivalency or an appropriate alternative to directly address immediate and residual impacts to instream sturgeon habitats?  Is it like-for-like in terms of instream habitat function and productivity?  If it is not like-for-like, does it target a limiting life stage?  Is it geographically as close as possible to the disturbance?  Does it adequately address anticipated changes in function, productive capacity and use by individual sturgeon?  Is there a sufficient compensation ratio applied that accounts for maintenance requirements and habitat development and maturation of instream habitat?  Will proposed restoration or enhancement habitats be maintained in perpetuity?  Does the proposed compensation/off-set provide adequate equivalency or an appropriate alternative to directly address immediate or residual impacts to riparian habitat?  Is it like-for-like in terms of function (streambank stability, shade, food and nutrition, LWD, etc.)?  Is it geographically as close as possible to the disturbance?  Does it adequately address anticipated changes in function and productive capacity?	Unknown Unknown Unknown Unknown Unknown Unknown Unknown Unknown Unknown
a b c d e f 4.3.1.3	Does the proposed compensation/off-set provide adequate equivalency or an appropriate alternative to directly address immediate and residual impacts to instream sturgeon habitats?  Is it like-for-like in terms of instream habitat function and productivity?  If it is not like-for-like, does it target a limiting life stage?  Is it geographically as close as possible to the disturbance?  Does it adequately address anticipated changes in function, productive capacity and use by individual sturgeon?  Is there a sufficient compensation ratio applied that accounts for maintenance requirements and habitat development and maturation of instream habitat?  Will proposed restoration or enhancement habitats be maintained in perpetuity?  Does the proposed compensation/off-set provide adequate equivalency or an appropriate alternative to directly address immediate or residual impacts to riparian habitat?  Is it like-for-like in terms of function (streambank stability, shade, food and nutrition, LWD, etc.)?  Is it geographically as close as possible to the disturbance?	Unknown Unknown Unknown Unknown Unknown Unknown Unknown Unknown Unknown

4.3.2	Project Operation/Post Construction Maintenance Impacts		
4.3.2	Does the proposed compensation/off-set provide adequate equivalency or an appropriate alternative to directly address immediate and residual impacts from changes in water quality, sturgeon habitat productivity and use by individual sturgeon that will occur over the long term as a consequence of maintenance or operational activities (e.g. dredging, structure maintenance, streambank protection, etc.)?		
	a   Is it like-for-like in terms of instream habitat function and productivity?	Unknown	
	b Is it geographically as close as possible to the disturbance?	Unknown	
	c Does it adequately address anticipated changes in function and productive capacity?	Unknown	
	d Is there a sufficient compensation ratio applied that accounts for maintenance requirements and habitat development and maturation?	Unknown	
	e Will proposed restoration or enhancement habitats be maintained in perpetuity, or the compensation/offsetting of any regular activities be undertaken or resourced in perpetuity?	Unknown	
	5.0 Monitoring and Reporting		
5.1	Mitigation and Restoration Implementation		
5.1.2	Project Footprint Construction Impacts		
5.1.2.1	Will the implementation of mitigation and restoration activities associated with the construction or alteration of project structures and their impacts or threats be regularly and adequately monitored by qualified environmental professionals and reported to FLNRO?	Unknown	
5.2	Project Infrastructure Effectiveness		
5.2.1	Will there be effectiveness monitoring for project components with respect to:		
а	Water quality;	Unknown	
b	Turbidity, and/or,	Unknown	
С	Sturgeon habitat use?	Unknown	
5.2.1.1	Are there signed agreements in place to modify structures or operations based on monitoring results?	Unknown	
5.3	Project Operation Effectiveness		
5.3.1	Will the monitoring program quantitatively measure changes to the sturgeon habitats and populations in response to the project operations or maintenance activities (e.g. dredging, streambank protection, footing or structure maintenance?	Unknown	
5.4	Compensation Implementation		
5.4.1	Will physical habitat characteristics of proposed instream compensation or alternative be adequately monitored, maintained and reported by a qualified environmental professional?	Unknown	
5.4.2	Will physical habitat characteristics of proposed riparian compensation or alternatives be adequately monitored, maintained and reported by a qualified environmental professional?	Unknown	
5.4.3	Are there signed agreements in place to modify structures or operations based on monitoring results?	Unknown	
5.5	Compensation Effectiveness		
5.5.1	Will the biological responses of the proposed instream compensation or alternative be adequately monitored and reported by a qualified environmental professional (sturgeon habitat use and productivity)?	Unknown	

5.5.2	Will the biological responses of the proposed riparian compensation or alternative be adequately monitored and reported by a qualified environmental professional (streambank stability and riparian productivity)?	Unknown
5.5.3	Are there signed agreements in place to modify structures or operations based on monitoring results?	Unknown
5.5.4	Have appropriate experimental principles been applied by a qualified environmental professional to the design of all the monitoring activities?	Unknown

This questionnaire can be submitted with the development plan when submitting a project proposal through the Front Counter BC.