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## A Note on the Development of this Report

The Province of British Columbia is in a transition period, as we work towards transforming the management and stewardship of our waters, lands and resources, together with First Nations. The Province acknowledges that this report was not developed in partnership with First Nations. It provides best available information and aims to support and inform future work and collaboration between the Province and First Nations on the management of cumulative effects. The Province also looks forward to working with natural resource industries, and community stakeholders, to ensure that cumulative effects are identified, considered, and managed appropriately.

## Disclaimer

Current condition reports (CCRs) for old growth forest are being prepared for some regions in the province under the provincial Cumulative Effects Framework (CEF). This assessment provides insights to where management attention may be needed within the West Coast Region.

This CCR for Old Growth Forest focused on the Vancouver Island portion of the West Coast Region uses standardized assumptions and methodologies from the Interim Assessment Protocol for Old Growth Forest in British Columbia (2017). The CCR report addresses specific questions related to the current condition of indicators for old forest and old growth management areas (OGMAs) and is limited to this scope.

The Technical Advisory Panel (TAP) assessment and polygons related to the Old Growth Strategic Review were not incorporated into this report. The TAP analysis was a separate analysis with a different goal - to map rare, at-risk, and irreplaceable forest types to identify recommended areas for deferral. The TAP mapping included: Big-treed old growth, Ancient forest, Remnant old ecosystems, Intact watersheds and Big-treed Recruitment Forest. This CEF reporting is different - it is an accounting of the amount of old growth forest and mature-plus-old forest compared to legal orders and policy targets.

An error in the roads dataset created for this assessment resulted in some road segments not being accounted for in the analysis (see Section 4.3 Assumptions and Limitations for a full description). This does not materially affect the results or conclusions of this report. Future updates to this report will include a corrected roads layer to ensure the entirety of roads in the CE Integrated Roads layer are incorporated into the analysis.

## Companion Documents

Interim Assessment Protocol for Old Growth Forest in British Columbia. Version 1.1 (December 2017). Prepared by the Provincial Old Growth Forest Technical Working Group - Ministry of Forests, Lands, Natural Resource Operations and Rural Development. 25 p.

Additional background context is also provided in the Old Growth Forest Management in British Columbia: Provincial Backgrounder (WLRS, 2023a) and the Old Growth Forests in British Columbia: Provincial Cumulative Effects Assessment Backgrounder (WLRS, 2023b).
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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report describes the current condition of old growth forest in the Vancouver Island portion of the West Coast Region as part of the Province of B.C.'s Provincial Cumulative Effects Framework (CEF). This report also provides summary information for the Haida Gwaii and Great Bear Rainforest planning areas to give an overall regional context for old growth management.

This report is directly related to two recommendations made by the Old Growth Strategic Review:

- Provide the public with timely and objective information about forest conditions and trends. (Recommendation \#5)
- Bring management of old forests into compliance with existing provincial targets and guidelines for maintaining biological diversity. (Recommendation \#7)

The West Coast Region manages 6.7 million hectares of Crown forest land, $38 \%$ of this area is on Vancouver Island, $51 \%$ in the Great Bear Rainforest, and $11 \%$ on Haida Gwaii. This does not include the 800,000 hectares of private managed forest land located mainly on the southeast side of Vancouver Island. The Great Bear Rainforest, Haida Gwaii and Clayoquot Sound are managed under ecosystem-based management regimes, while the Vancouver Island Land Use Plan (excepting Clayoquot Sound) is based on the provincial old growth management regime.

Old growth forests are important to the conservation and maintenance of biodiversity at all scales. Old growth forests are impacted by past, present and future human activities and natural processes, resulting in cumulative effects on old growth forest. It is important to assess and monitor the condition of old growth forests to inform management of this value over time.

This report focuses on the current condition of old growth forest on Vancouver Island relative to legally defined objectives for old growth retention. The current condition report for old growth forest assesses:

- For landscape units that have spatial legal Old Growth Management Areas: The amount of productive forest contained within OGMAs and protected/reserved areas and the proportion of that area that is old growth forest.
- For landscape units that have non-spatial legal targets (including areas with draft OGMAs): The amount of old growth forest by landscape unit (LU) and biogeoclimatic (BEC) variant compared to the objectives for old growth in the Provincial Non-spatial Old Growth Order (PNOGO).
- The total amount of mature-plus-old forest by LU and BEC variant compared to the non-legal targets in the Biodiversity Guidebook.
- The amount of mature forest in the Special Management Zones (SMZ) defined in the Vancouver Island Land Use Plan (VILUP) compared to the objectives for those SMZs.
- The amount of old forest in Watershed planning units in Clayoquot Sound compared to targets.
- Total incursions in spatially defined Old Growth Management Areas (OGMAs)

Key findings of this current condition report for old growth forests on Vancouver Island include:

- On Vancouver Island, (outside of Clayoquot Sound) $67 \%$ of the assessment units managed under the PNOGO currently have equal or greater amounts of old forest compared to the old forest retention target. This covers $88 \%$ of the CFLB managed under PNOGO.
- Within Clayoquot Sound, all Watershed Planning Units currently have amounts of old forest greater than or equal to the targets for old forest retention.
- In $45 \%$ of assessment units managed with legal OGMAs, the area within OGMAs and protected/reserved areas is 75$100 \%$ old forest. In $30 \%$ of units managed with legal OGMAs, less than half of the area within OGMAs and protected/ reserved areas consists of old forest.
- In all LUs with legal OGMAs, the total area of OGMA incursion was 5\% or less of the total OGMA area across the LU, with most being under $2 \%$. Large incursions ( 5 ha or $5 \%$ of an individual OGMA) occur in OGMAs in four LUs and make up less than $1 \%$ of the OGMA area across the LU.
- In LUs with non-legal OGMAs all but three had less than 5\% of the total OGMA area across the LU incurred; of the remaining three LUs, one had 6\%, one had $7.5 \%$ and one had $24.6 \%$ of its total OGMA area incurred. Large incursions ( 5 ha or $5 \%$ of an individual OGMA) occur in three LUs and make up less than $2 \%$ of the total OGMA area across the LU in two of those LUs, and $17.5 \%$ of the total OGMA area in the third.
- Roads account for most of the incurred area in legal OGMAs (84.1\%), followed by cutblocks (7.8\%). In non-legal OGMAs cutblocks accounted for most of the incurred area (66.4\%), followed by roads (28.5\%)

The dry forest ecosystems located on the south of Vancouver Island have experienced the most development impacts and therefore have the least old growth forest available for selection to meet the targets. Areas on eastern Vancouver Island around Campbell River and Sayward also have low amounts of old growth due to a long history of forest harvesting and historical natural disturbance. Retention of younger forests (less than 250 years) for old growth recruitment are needed in these areas to meet the targets.

Opportunities related to old growth management on Vancouver Island include:

- Ensure that appropriate recruitment strategies are in place, particularly for assessment units that have less old forest than target amounts,
- Improve the currency and accuracy of the spatial OGMA layer to ensure accurate analysis of incursions, and transparent reporting of OGMA condition.
- Pursue opportunities to use LiDAR data in OGMA establishment to increase the accuracy of old forest identification.
- Improve the currency and accuracy of disturbance data (e.g., resource roads data) to improve the accuracy of this analysis.
- Review existing spatial OGMAs to assess if they are best capturing Old Forest values and amend them as necessary to improve the capture of Old Forest values.


## LIST OF ACRONYMS

| AAC | Allowable Annual Cut |
| :---: | :---: |
| B.C. | British Columbia |
| BCGW | BC Geographic Warehouse |
| BDG | Biodiversity Guidebook |
| BEC | Biogeoclimatic Ecosystem Classification |
| BEO | Biodiversity Emphasis Option |
| BMTA | Biodiversity, Mining and Tourism Area |
| CCR | Current Condition Report |
| CDF | Coastal Douglas Fir (biogeoclimatic zone) |
| CEF | Cumulative Effects Framework |
| CFLB | Crown Forest Land Base |
| CS | Clayoquot Sound |
| CWH | Coastal Western Hemlock (biogeoclimatic zone) |
| DCR | Campbell River District |
| DNI | North Island District |
| DSI | South Island District |
| FAIB | Forest Analysis Inventory Branch |
| FPC | Forest Practices Code |
| FRPA | Forest and Range Practices Act |
| FSP | Forest Stewardship Plan |
| GBRO | Great Bear Rainforest Order |
| LU | Landscape Unit |
| MH | Mountain Hemlock (biogeoclimatic zone) |
| NDT | Natural Disturbance Type |
| OGAA | Oil and Gas Activities Act |
| OGC | Oil and Gas Commission |
| OGMA | Old Growth Management Area |
| PNOGO | Provincial Non-spatial Old Growth Order |
| RMZ | Resource Management Zone |
| SFMA | Special Forest Management Area |
| SMZ | Special Management Zone |
| TEM | Terrestrial Ecosystem Mapping |
| TFL | Tree Farm License |
| THLB | Timber Harvesting Land Base |
| TSA | Timber Supply Area |
| TSR | Timber Supply Review |
| UWR | Ungulate Winter Range |
| VILUP | Vancouver Island Land Use Plan Higher Level Plan Objectives Order |
| VRI | Vegetation Resources Inventory |
| WLRS | Ministry of Water, Lands and Resource Stewardship |

Assessment Unit (AU):

## Benchmarks:

## Biodiversity emphasis option (BEO):

Assessment units (AUs) are used to describe the current state of old growth forests in Cumulative Effects Framework reporting. Assessment Units are based on the combinations of LU, NDT, BEO, and BEC subzone and/or variant.

Reference points that support interpretation of the condition of an indicator or component. Benchmarks are based on scientific understanding of a system and may or may not be defined in policy or legislation. For the purpose of the CEF, benchmarks are identified to support assessment and reporting in relation to broad objectives (Province of British Columbia, 2016).

A range of management alternatives that emphasize different levels of natural biodiversity within forested landscapes. There are three options for emphasizing biodiversity at the landscape level: high, intermediate, and low. Each option is designed to establish a level of natural biodiversity and a different risk of losing elements of natural biodiversity (Province of B.C., 1995). Overall, the BEO informs the amount of old growth to be retained.

A multi-scaled, hierarchical, ecosystem-based classification system that groups ecologically similar sites based on climate, site, soils, and vegetation, and is widely used as a framework for resource management and scientific research in B.C.
BEC zones have similar patterns of energy flow, vegetation, and soils as a result of a broadly homogeneous macroclimate. There are 16 zones in B.C. which are subdivided into subzones and variants (see List of Acronyms). Subzones reflect differences in regional climate, while variants recognize sub-regional variation (e.g., areas that are slightly drier, wetter, snowier, warmer, or colder than other areas in the subzone) (MFR, 2008).

Crown Forested Land Base (CFLB):

## Crown Land:

## Cumulative Effects:

## Cumulative Effects Framework (CEF):

The forested area that the provincial government manages for a variety of natural resources values. This excludes non-vegetated areas (e.g., water, rock, ice), non-forested ecosystems (e.g., grasslands, wetlands), non-productive forest (e.g., alpine, areas with very low productivity), and non-commercial forest (e.g., shrub/brush areas). The CFLB includes provincially and federally protected areas (e.g., provincial and national parks), conservancies, wildlife habitat areas, wildlife management areas, etc., because of their contribution to biodiversity.

Land, whether it is covered by water or not, or an interest in land, recognized in Canadian law as vested in the provincial government of B.C. In B.C., all land categorized as Crown land is also the traditional territory of one or more First Nations (Land Act, RSBC, 1996).

Changes to environmental, social, and economic values caused by the combined effect of past, present, and potential future human activities and natural processes (Province of B.C., 2016).

A set of policies, procedures and decision-support tools that helps identify and manage cumulative effects consistently and transparently across British Columbia's natural resource sector. The CEF was established in 2016 by the Province of British Columbia and is led by the Ministry of Land, Water, and Resource Stewardship.

## Ecosystem-Based <br> Management (EBM):

## Current Condition <br> Assessment/Report (CCR):

Incursion:

## Indicator(s):

## Landscape Unit (LU):

Landscape Unit Planning Guide (LUPG):

## Mature Forest

## Mature-plus-Old Forest

Natural Disturbance Type (NDT):

An adaptive approach to managing human activities that seeks to ensure the coexistence of healthy, fully functioning ecosystems and human communities (Province of BC, n.d.).

An assessment/report on the current state or condition of individual CEF values in relation to selected state or pressure indicators (Province of B.C., 2016).

Anthropogenic (human-caused) disturbance footprints within old growth management areas from resource development activities such as forest harvesting, road construction, or mining. It does not include impacts from natural disturbance, such as forest fires or insects.

The metrics used to measure and report on the condition and trend of a component. There are two main types of indicators: state indicators and pressure indicators. State indicators that directly measure and report on the condition of a component, and pressure indicators that measure and report on the processes that act upon or influence the condition of a component (Province of B.C., 2016).

An area used for long-term planning and monitoring of resource management activities. These units contain land and water and are typically at the scale of a watershed or a group of watersheds, with areas ranging from 5,000 to 400,000 hectares (MFR, 2008).

A guidance document published by the Ministry of Forests and Ministry of Environment, Lands and Parks (1999) that outlines procedures to implement landscape unit planning throughout B.C. (including the development of objectives and strategies). The guide focuses on the priority of forest biodiversity including the retention of old growth forest and guidance for stand-level biodiversity management through wildlife tree retention.

Stands that have progressed through successional development stages including natural thinning. Vertical structure has developed but stands lack the complex structure typical of old growth forests.
The time required for mature forest to develop varies by ecosystem. In B.C., the minimum age of mature forest is 80 years in productive coastal and cool, northern boreal forests, 120 years in high elevation forests, and 100 years in the remaining forests. Mature forest ages are determined by NDT and BEC zone.

Biodiversity objectives for mature forest retention are set as a minimum requirement for mature-plus-old forest, meaning that retention targets include the minimum requirements for old growth forest plus additional targets that can be met by mature and/ or old forest (BDG, 1995). The additional targets for mature-plus-old forest can be met using mature and/or old forest, but the old forest portion of the target must be met using old growth forest (where available). When the mature-plus-old forest target is the same as the old growth forest target, there are no additional requirements for mature forest area. Matureplus-old targets are specified in the Biodiversity Guidebook but are not required in many regional land use orders, including the Provincial Non-spatial Old Growth Order.

A coarse-level classification system that broadly describes disturbance regimes across B.C. based on the long-term average frequency of stand-initiating disturbances such as wildfires, insects, or wind. Five NDT categories form the basis for the old growth forest targets in the Biodiversity Guidebook (Province of B.C., 1995).

## Non-Contributing Timber Harvesting Land Base:

## Non-Spatial Old Growth Management:

## Old Growth Forest:

Old Growth Management Area (OGMA):

## Private Forest:

Includes Parks and Protected Areas, no harvest zones within wildlife management areas (e.g., ungulate winter ranges, wildlife habitat areas), riparian reserves, inoperable forests, and other areas that are completely removed from the Timber Harvesting Land Base (THLB) and do not contribute to allowable annual cut for a specified area.

The percentage or amount (in hectares) of old growth forest to be retained within a specified area (i.e., by BEC subzone/variant in a landscape unit) as an alternative management approach from establishing spatial OGMAs. The amount of old growth forest present in forest stands may be noted by stand age using vegetation inventories, but patches of old growth are not delineated and mapped (FPB, 2012). Non-spatial is also referred to as aspatial.

The Province of B.C. defines old growth forest based on age. Minimum ages for old growth forests are greater than 250 years old in ecosystems with infrequent standinitiating disturbance (coastal, interior wet and moist climates, and dry, fire-maintained ecosystems; NDT 1, 2, 4) and greater than 140 years old in drier forests with frequent stand-initiating disturbance (NDT 3).

These age definitions are intended to capture forests dominated by old trees. Ecologically, old growth forests contain live and dead trees that vary by size, species, composition, and age class structure, which varies significantly by forest type and by BEC unit (Province of B.C., 1995). They are communities of trees, plants, fungi, animals, and microbes that have lived together long enough to develop complex, interconnected relationships (Old Growth Technical Advisory Panel, 2021). Old growth characteristics vary by ecosystem and tree species, and typically have more large trees with unique characteristics such as forked, dead, or broken tops, cavities, or large lateral branches, and more large standing dead trees (snags) and decomposing wood than younger forests (FLNRORD, 2017). Trees are large for the ecosystem, and the forest canopy is often layered with openings that allow light and encourage the growth of understory vegetation.
For the purposes of the CEF, the term "old growth forests" is used to describe these ecosystems more broadly (i.e., considering stand attributes), with the awareness that it includes the "old forest" age-based definition currently used in forest management practices.

Defined areas that contain (or are managed to attain) specific structural old growth forest attributes. These are delineated and mapped as fixed areas (FPB, 2012). An OGMA may be defined as a legal OGMA or a draft (non-legal) OGMA:

Legal OGMA- OGMAs that have been declared in an old growth Ministerial Order. Forest licensees must incorporate the legal OGMAs into Forest Stewardship Plans (FSPs).

Draft (non-legal) OGMA- OGMAs that have been mapped but not declared in an old growth order. Forest licensees may choose to incorporate the non-legal OGMAs into FSPs as a way of achieving the non-spatial order that is in effect in the management area where they operate (FPB, 2012).

Forest located on privately owned lands, including Private Managed Forest Lands, Indian Reserves, Federal lands and Treaty settlement lands. These forests are not subject to most provincial forest legislation, regulations, or policy.

## Protected/Reserved

 Forest:
## Recruitment:

## Seral Stage:

## Site Association/ Site Series:

## Site Index:

## Spatial Old Growth Management:

## Timber Harvesting Land Base (THLB):

## Timber Supply Review (TSR):

## Value(s):

Forest that is legally excluded from harvest (e.g., Parks and protected areas) that include young and old forest.

The act of identifying stands (either spatially or non-spatially) that do not currently meet the requisite old growth characteristics but are intended to develop those characteristics in the future. In some circumstances, recruitment areas can contribute to old growth targets in landscapes where there is not enough old growth forest to meet targets.

Represents the different stages in the sequence of forest development, from early to mid, mature, and old forests, including successional shifts in species composition and vegetation structure (e.g., see definitions for mature forest and old growth forest above). Stand age, as reported in the provincial Vegetation Resources Inventory, is used to estimate seral stage.

A site association can contain ecosystems from several different climates and therefore be variable in actual site conditions. Dividing the association into site series using subzones and variants produces site units that are climatically, and therefore usually edaphically (i.e., influenced by the soil), more uniform (Meidinger \& Pojar, 1991).

A measure of forest productivity relating to the height of main canopy of trees on at site at age 50 .

The process of identifying and delineating areas containing old growth forest attributes. Spatially identifying (i.e., mapping) these areas can lead to their designation as legal or non-legal OGMAs (FPB, 2012).

A spatial (mapped) estimate of the forested land area where timber harvesting is considered both acceptable and economically feasible given the objectives for all relevant forest values, existing timber quality, market values, and applicable technology. The THLB is derived from an assessment of forest management practices and assumptions described in a Timber Supply Review (TSR).

A process that evaluates all forests within a timber supply area for their contribution to the THLB. At the end of the TSR process, the Chief Forester determines an allowable annual cut (AAC) (i.e., the harvest volume appropriate for an area) based on the amount of timber that is forecast to be available for harvesting over a specified time and under a particular management regime.

The things that the people and government of British Columbia care about and see as important for assuring the integrity and well-being of the province's people and communities, economies, and ecological systems, defined in policy, legislation, or agreements with First Nations (Province of B.C., 2016).

## 1 INTRODUCTION

The Province of British Columbia (B.C.) is committed to sustainable resource management. As resource demands grow, we must be able to measure the effects of natural resource activities, large and small, on the values important to the people of British Columbia. To meet this need, the Province of B.C. (the Province) established a Cumulative Effects Framework (CEF) in 2014 to guide the assessment of cumulative effects across natural resource sectors and support the integration of assessment results in natural resource decision-making.

As part of the CEF, the Province carried out a provincial assessment of the current condition of several resource values of importance to British Columbians, using indicators for each value that illustrate the cumulative effects of natural resource activities on these identified values.

This report provides the results of the Old Growth Forest assessment conducted under the CEF for the Vancouver Island portion of the West Coast Region. The West Coast Region manages a total of 6.7 million hectares ${ }^{1}$ of Crown forest land, $38 \%$ of this area is on Vancouver Island, $51 \%$ in the Great Bear Rainforest, and 11\% on Haida Gwaii. The Great Bear Rainforest, Haida Gwaii and Clayoquot Sound are managed under an ecosystem-based management regime, while the Vancouver Island Land Use Plan (excepting Clayoquot Sound) is based on the provincial old growth management regime.

This current condition assessment interprets the current condition of old growth forest compared to legal orders and Biodiversity Guidebook targets for the Vancouver Island portion of the West Coast Natural Resource Region at a broad level. It includes a series of maps with interpretations, report trends and key drivers of patterns through an assessment of the following indicators:

- For landscape units that have spatial legal old growth management areas (OGMAs): The amount of productive forest contained within OGMAs and protected/reserved areas and the proportion of that area that is old growth forest.
- For landscape units that have non-spatial legal targets (including areas with draft OGMAs): The amount of old growth forest by landscape unit (LU) and biogeoclimatic (BEC) variant compared to the objectives for old growth in the Provincial Non-spatial Old Growth Order (PNOGO).
- The total amount of mature-plus-old forest by LU and BEC variant compared to the non-legal targets in the Biodiversity Guidebook.
- The amount of mature forest in the Special Management Zones (SMZ) defined in the Vancouver Island Land Use Plan (VILUP) compared to the objectives for those SMZs.
- The amount of old forest in Watershed planning units in Clayoquot Sound compared to targets.
- Total incursions in spatially defined Old Growth Management Areas (OGMAs)

This current condition assessment does not consider whether these objectives are effective at conserving old growth forest to maintain biodiversity. If current condition reports indicate that management may not be achieving legal old growth objectives, additional analysis and evaluation should occur. ${ }^{2}$

The intended audience for this report includes government natural resource staff and statutory decision makers who can use it to inform collaborative discussions with First Nations, natural resource industries, and community stakeholders to ensure that cumulative effects are identified and managed appropriately.

[^0]
## 2 WEST COAST REGION OVERVIEW

### 2.1 Land Base Description

The West Coast Region includes four distinct planning areas: Haida Gwaii, Great Bear Rainforest (GBR) (partially overlapping the Skeena Region), Clayoquot Sound and the remainder of Vancouver Island (including other small nearby islands) This report focuses on Vancouver Island, including Clayoquot Sound. The assessment area for Vancouver Island is presented in Figure 1. Summary information is provided for GBR and Haida Gwaii planning areas to provide an overall regional context of old growth management.

Vancouver Island covers about 3.35 million hectares and represents about $3.5 \%$ of the land area of B.C. A northwestsoutheast mountain range divides Vancouver Island into generally western windward and generally eastern leeward portions with different physiography. These mountains represent enduring features that will shape ecosystems in any climatic regime. Current average precipitation ranges from over 3500 mm on the western side of the island to less than 750mm near Victoria.


Figure 1. Map of Vancouver Island in the West Coast Region.

The West Coast Region overlaps with four Natural Resource Districts: South Island (DSI), Campbell River (DCR), North Island - Central Coast (DNI), and Haida Gwaii.

Timber supply areas (TSAs) overlap with natural resource districts: TSA 48 lies within both the DCR and DNI, TSA 44 overlaps DCR and DSI, TSA 38 is entirely within the DSI. Clayoquot Sound, Haida Gwaii, and the Great Bear Rain Forest are separate planning units.

Several large tree farm licenses (TFLs) cover large portions of Crown land throughout Vancouver Island, Haida Gwaii and the GBR. TFLs can include private land managed as part of the licenses. Most of the south-east of Vancouver Island is privately owned and most residents live on the east coast of the island, with over half concentrated in Victoria, Nanaimo and Campbell River. This assessment focuses on the Crown Forest Landbase (CFLB) on Vancouver Island, and covering nearly 2 million hectares, including Clayoquot Sound.

### 2.1.1 Biogeoclimatic Ecosystem Classifications on Vancouver Island

The western Coast and Mountain ecoprovince includes some of the wettest ecosystems in the province, dominated by the Coastal Western Hemlock (CWH) and Mountain Hemlock (MH) biogeoclimatic zones. The drier Georgia Depression ecoprovince includes Coastal Western Hemlock and Coastal Douglas Fir (CDF) BEC zones. The CDF zone, originally covering less than $5 \%$ of Vancouver Island, has been modified extensively by settlement, cultivation, and forest harvesting. The CWH covers more than $80 \%$ of Vancouver Island, from sea level to about 900 m elevation, and the MH lies on high slopes up to $1,800 \mathrm{~m}$ in elevation. BEC zones, subzones and variants and LUs for Vancouver Island are shown in Figure 2.


Figure 2. BEC subzone/variant by Landscape Unit on Vancouver Island.

### 2.2 Cumulative Effects on Vancouver Island

Natural disturbances and human activities have changed the landscape of Vancouver Island over time, impacting forests, and will continue to do so in the future. Cumulative effects from various sources may contribute to the loss, alteration, and fragmentation of old growth forests over time, potentially resulting in loss of habitat and reduction in overall ecological values of old growth forest. Conversely, changes to forest management policy may result in increased areas of old growth forest management.

Impacts to forest lands on Vancouver Island include private land ownership, Crown land conversion, other land tenures, forest harvesting and climate change, as discussed in detail below.

### 2.2.1 Private Land Ownership and Crown Land Conversion

A total of approximately 800,000 ha of forest land on Southeast Vancouver Island is private managed forest land; this includes 325,000 ha to the Esquimalt and Nanaimo Railway Company, which is known as the E\&N lands. This area of Vancouver Island's privately-owned agricultural, land-development and forest lands has limited opportunities for old growth management as they are exempt from Provincial land and forest management regimes. The continuing conversion of provincial Crown land to private holdings for municipal growth, industrial development and commercial tourism expansion reduces the amount of forest, including old growth, remaining on the land base as well as the amount available for recruitment to old growth.

### 2.2.2 Other Land Tenures

The management of old growth forest under Old Growth Orders only applies to tenure holders under FRPA. All other industries including mining, Land Act tenures, non-replaceable forest licenses and oil and gas activity are exempted from the legal orders for old growth. except where OGMAs have been designated under the OGAA. As such, old growth forest is subject to the impacts of multiple industries that are not legally required to manage for old-growth forest under legal orders.

### 2.2.3 Forest Harvesting

Old growth forests provide important ecological values and habitats, while being an economic driver generating provincial revenue and employment in the forest industry. As such, forest harvesting can impact the amount of old forest remaining on the landbase.

### 2.2.4 Climate Change

Current climate projections for this region suggest general warming in all seasons, with wetter winters and drier summers. Snowfall is projected to decrease considerably. Projected changes in extreme weather events may lead to increased risk of natural disturbances, with more severe winter storms influencing flooding, landslides and windthrow, and periods of relative summer drought for the drier southeast of Vancouver Island influencing fire hazard and drought stress. Increased temperatures may result in increased forest pest outbreaks.

In response to climate changes, ecosystems are projected to shift geographically with localized changes in species composition and structure. For example, the Coastal Douglas Fir dry forested ecosystems in the southern parts of Vancouver Island may shift northward and to higher elevations and may be replaced in their southern range by open forest and grassland ecosystems after severe fires and/or insect and disease outbreaks. Some species have experienced declines in some parts of their range due to drought (red cedar) and loss of winter snowpack (yellow cedar). Other climate-mediated impacts having uncertain or variable effects to ecosystem function include site productivity (linked to moisture inputs), adaptability and provenance of seed sources and competition from invasive species.

The interaction of climate-influenced changes to old growth forest from abiotic as well as biotic factors, is complex and has the potential to change disturbance regimes sufficiently to change the age at which a forest is considered old.

## 3 OLD GROWTH FOREST MANAGEMENT IN THE WEST COAST REGION

Several sources, including four large planning processes and five overarching legal Orders, guide old growth forest management in the West Coast Region (Figure 3). These planning processes and legal Orders that define targets for old growth management are described below.


Figure 3. Planning units in the West Coast Region.

### 3.1 Vancouver Island Summary Land Use Plan, Vancouver Island Land Use Plan Higher Level Order (VILUP) \& Special Management Zones

The Vancouver Island Summary Land Use Plan (Province of BC, 2000a) defines management zones and management intent for the entire island except for Clayoquot Sound. In 2000, the Vancouver Island Land Use Plan Higher Level Order (VILUP) (Province of BC, 2000b) legalized a portion of the objectives from the land use plan, including a mature forest target for special management zones.

The VILUP defines special management zones (SMZ) as areas with regionally significant values that require management tailored to "minimize development impacts" to stated values. VILUP provides specific direction for these SMZs but leaves development of old growth forest targets to subsequent landscape unit planning. In some cases, VILUP directs that the retention target is distributed across site series with emphasis on rare and under-represented ecosystems.

## VILUP Objective for SMZ 8, 13 and parts of zones 1, 3 and 11

> B.4. Maintain late-successional habitat elements and attributes of biodiversity in forested ecosystems with emphasis on regionally rare and underrepresented ecosystems, by retaining old seral forest at the site series/surrogate level of representation.

Although the VILUP includes an objective for old growth forests to be concentrated in SMZs ("the intent is for SMZs to become focal areas for the placement of old growth management areas required at the landscape unit scale"), this objective was not legalized. Hence no targets for old growth forest are defined for SMZs. In the LU planning process, OGMAs are concentrated in the SMZ portion of the LU as per the advice in the VILUP.

Mature forest targets are legally defined for SMZs within the VILUP. They apply to the entire SMZ, not by BEC variant. The VILUP includes "mature" forest targets ranging between "one quarter to one third" (i.e., 25 to $33 \%$ ) of forested area in designated SMZ:

## VILUP Objectives for SMZ 1 - 14 and 17-22

A.1. Sustain forest ecosystem structure and function in SMZs, by:
(a) creating or maintaining stand structures and forest attributes associated with mature ${ }^{1}$ and old ${ }^{2}$ forests, subject to the following:
i. the target for mature seral forest should range between one quarter to one third of the forested area of each SMZ ${ }^{3}$; ...
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### 3.2 Old Growth Targets: Provincial Non-Spatial Old Growth Order (PNOGO)

Subsequent to the Vancouver Island Summary Land Use Plan \& Vancouver Island Land Use Plan Higher Level Order, the PNOGO (Province of BC, 2004) provided legal targets for old growth forest by LU and BEC variant across the province, including Vancouver Island. These legal targets also apply to LU and BEC variants contained in SMZs. As SMZs are portions of LUs, the target applies to the LU/BEC combination which will overlap the SMZ. The PNOGO provides age definitions and non-spatial targets for BEC variants based on their natural disturbance regime and biodiversity emphasis option. The PNOGO old age definition and targets for the BEC variants occurring on Vancouver Island are presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Legal non-spatial targets and old age definition for Vancouver Island from the PNOGO.

|  | NDT* | BEC | Low BEO | Int BEO | High BEO |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | Old Age Definition

*NDT = Natural Disturbance Type

The PNOGO includes the option to reduce old forest retention in LUs with low BEO by up to $2 / 3$ and full targets do not need to be met for 240 years to avoid impacting timber supply. ${ }^{3}$ While the PNOGO does not include the reduced targets in the legal objective (some regions in B.C. include the reduced targets in legal orders objectives), the drawdown was considered in the designing and planning of OGMAs on Vancouver Island. Many low BEO LUs have more than $1 / 3$ of the old growth target established in OGMAs as there was no timber supply impact. This drawdown is not applied to intermediate or high BEOs.

Across the CFLB, outside Clayoquot Sound, 42\% of the CFLB on Vancouver Island is managed for low BEO, 48\% for Intermediate BEO and 9\% of the CFLB is managed for high BEO (Figure 4).
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Figure 4. Landscape Unit Biodiversity Emphasis Options on Vancouver Island.
The old growth forest targets used to guide the design of legal OGMA Orders and draft OGMAs originate from the PNOGO. Where there are legal OGMA Orders, the PNOGO is rescinded (i.e., not applicable), as the OGMA polygon is designed to meet the intent of PNOGO and the management of non-spatial PNOGO targets is no longer legally required.

The PNOGO remains the legal direction in LUs where there are no legal OGMAS. This includes LUs with non-legal (draft) OGMAs. Figure 5 identifies where old growth forest is managed by legal OGMAs or where the PNOGO is still the prevailing legal direction.


Figure 5. Application of the PNOGO across Vancouver Island. Beige areas show where the PNOGO remains as the legal direction for old forest retention. Green areas show where the PNOGO has been rescinded by legal OGMA establishment or the Clayoquot Sound.

### 3.3 Mature-plus-old policy targets: Biodiversity Guidebook

The PNOGO does not provide mature-plus-old retention targets, and there are no legal mature plus old targets in the West Coast Region. The Biodiversity Guidebook provides recommended targets for mature plus old (Table 2). These recommended targets are not legal but can be considered best available guidance for mature plus old retention.

The amount of mature-plus-old is reported against the Biodiversity Guidebook targets to provide information about the state of mature forest. As mature forest will become old forest over time, assessing the amount of mature forest is important to understand where forest is available to recruit towards old forest targets, particularly where old forests are currently poorly represented.

Table 2. Targets for mature-plus-old forest from the Biodiversity Guidebook.

| NDT | BEC | Mature-plus-old <br> Age Definition | BDG Target for \% mature + old |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | CWH BEO | Int BEO | High BEO |  |  |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | MH | $>80$ | 18 | 36 | 54 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | CDF | $>120$ | 19 | 36 | 54 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | CWH | $>80$ | 17 | 34 | 51 |

### 3.4 Clayoquot Sound Scientific Panel \& Clayoquot Sound Land Use Order

The Clayoquot Sound Scientific Panel reports (Clayoquot Sound Scientific Panel, 1995) describe intent and provide recommendations for Clayoquot Sound, a region covering about 8\% of Vancouver Island. All these recommendations were subsequently legalized in the Clayoquot Sound Land Use Order (Ministry of Agriculture \& Lands, 2008).

The Clayoquot Sound Land Use Order includes objectives to manage old growth forest, defined as late successional forests older than 140 years, within Watershed Plans (rather than LUs). Watershed plans manage old growth through spatially defined watershed reserves and targets for retention by BEC site series, tree species and age class. Watershed Plans base old forest targets on the recommendations of the Clayoquot Sound Scientific Panel, designed to manage ecosystems sustainably, with a minimum of $40 \%$ old forest by watershed planning unit. Some old forest is included within defined watershed reserves (at least $20 \%$ of every ecosystem as classified by site series/dominant tree species/old age class, including forest between 200 and 600 years old). The remaining old forest may be inside or outside mapped reserves. Watershed level plans have been completed for 11 watersheds; the other two watersheds are within Strathcona Provincial Park.

### 3.5 Old Growth Management Areas

Landscape unit planning has delineated spatial OGMAs in the Vancouver Island portion of the West Coast Region that met the PNOGO targets for old retention at the time of legal establishment. Once established, the retention of the legal OGMAs becomes the legal requirement, rather than meeting the targets specified in the PNOGO. All spatial conservation designations with $100 \%$ harvest restriction (e.g., Parks, Ecological Reserves and some WHAs and UWRs) also contributed to meeting the legal objectives for old growth at the time of OGMA establishment. New designations after the OGMA plans were made legal are not incorporated into the OGMA plan and may result in additional old forest retention. These will be adjusted for in the next revision of the OGMA plan which are done periodically to reflect changes to the land base or underlying inventory information. The area and amount of old in new conservation designations was included in this assessment.

Some OGMAs are legally designated while others remain as non-legal. If non-legal OGMAs are included in an FSP resultant strategy to meet old growth objectives, they must be adhered to. It is the intent that most, though not all, nonlegal OGMAs will become legalized as planning processes are completed.

The OGMA amendment process requires that all removals from forestry activities are replaced with ecologically equivalent forest of similar age, structure, adjacency to OGMA and in the same BEC subzone variant. All amendments to legal OGMAs require District Manager approval. Specifications in the orders allow for limited boundary adjustments and permissible activities (including roads and salvage harvest) within OGMAs. These incursion levels are considered triggers for the required amendment process, not an accepted modification level for an OGMA. In some cases, required amendments can be waived if sufficient old forest exists in the OGMA plus other landscape level retention.

Watershed plan reserves in Clayoquot Sound, landscape reserves in the GBR and forest reserves in Haida Gwaii are somewhat analogous to OGMAs, although they are designed, following ecosystem principles, to address multiple values by ecosystem type. As they are designated for multiple values with different objectives than OGMA legal orders, this assessment does not examine incursions within any of these other reserve types.

### 3.6 Coast Land Use Decision and the Great Bear Rainforest Order

The 2006 Coast Land Use Decision included commitments to protect large areas of temperate rainforest and implement ecosystem-based management (EBM) in the combined area covered under the Central Coast Land and Resource Management Plan and the North Coast Land and Resource Management Plan. EBM objectives, including old growth representation and biodiversity objectives, were legalized in what is now called the GBR Order. The intent of biodiversity objectives is to represent the full range of habitat, structures and ecosystems across the land base to conserve biological diversity.

The GBR Order (FLNRO, 2016) includes objectives for managing old growth representation and retention, with minimum old levels always applying and old forest representation targets intended to be met through old forest retention and recruitment within 250 years. These objectives are applied at the BEC Variant and TEM site series group (SSG) level. Minimum old forest retention targets ensure that old forest in SSGs does not fall below 30\% of the range of natural variation, often considered a high-risk threshold for ecosystem integrity. Exceptions occur, mainly in the southern GBR, reflecting long harvest histories that have reduced the amount of old forest to levels below $30 \%$ in several SSGs. Long term old forest representation targets were established to work toward achieving ecological low risk levels of 70\% old forest by SSG in the future, again with a few exceptions in the south.

Old forest targets in the GBR Order are aspatial but are intended to be spatialized (mapped) in landscape reserves through a mandatory Landscape Reserve Design (LRD) process. Protected areas and other required hard reserves in the GBR serve as anchors for these reserves, covering 40\% of the GBR's forests. The remaining areas necessary to meet the old growth targets within the landscape reserves are identified through the LRD process that considers various EBM values in addition to old forest, including indigenous values and features, wildlife habitat, rare and endangered ecosystems, and good ecological reserve design. LRDs will increase the total protection and reserves to $85 \%$ of the forest area, to grow old over time.

### 3.7 Haida Gwaii Strategic Land Use Agreement and the Haida Gwaii Land Use Objectives Order

Like the Coast Land Use Decision in the GBR, the 2007 Haida Gwaii Strategic Land Use Agreement laid the foundation for large new areas of protection and the implementation of EBM on Haida Gwaii in the area covered under the Haida Gwaii / Queen Charlotte Islands Land Use Plan. EBM objectives, including old growth representation and biodiversity objectives, were legalized in the 2010 Haida Gwaii Land Use Objectives Order (HG LUOO).

Old forest targets for Haida Gwaii are applied by BEC variant and individual site series. Unlike in the GBR Order, there is just one set of targets in the HG LUOO. Old forest targets are based on the bioregion but in the order have been implemented by landscape unit for administrative ease and to ensure an even distribution of retention areas.

In the HG LUOO, minimum old forest (ecological representation) targets are expressed as both a percentage and area. These targets have also been largely spatialized in Forest Reserves, which also capture much of the marbled murrelet habitat required by the Order. Combined with parks, conservancies and other EBM reserves, 65\% of Haida Gwaii's productive forest land is protected or reserved.

# 4 CURRENT CONDITION ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY 

The Interim Assessment Protocol for Old Growth Forest in British Columbia (FLNRO, 2017) (the CEF Old Growth Protocol) provides a foundation for a provincially consistent approach to assessing the current condition of old growth forest in B.C. The methodology, data sources, assumptions and limitations for these assessments are provided in the CEF Old Growth Protocol and its appendices.

### 4.1 Assessment Indicators

The current condition of old growth forest in the Vancouver Island portion of the West Coast region was assessed using four indicators (Table 3).

Table 3. Old growth forest assessment indicators and questions applied to Vancouver Island, as per the CEF Old Growth Protocol.

| Indicator | Assessment Questions |
| :---: | :---: |
| Current Condition of Old Growth Retention |  |
| 1. Amount of Old Growth Forest | - What is the current amount (\%) of old forest in the spatial legal OGMAs and protected and reserved areas for LUs with established OGMAs? <br> - What is the current amount of old forest in the CFLB relative to targets (as defined in the PNOGO) by BEC subzone/variant within each LU managed under PNOGO? <br> - Which units are flagged for further consideration? <br> - What are some of the reasons for the current condition? |
| 2. Amount of Mature-Plus-Old Forest | - What is the current amount of mature-plus-old forest relative to non-legal targets (as defined in the Biodiversity Guidebook) by BEC subzone/variant within all LUs? <br> - Which units meet targets with mature-plus-old forest? <br> - Which are flagged for further consideration? <br> -What are some of the reasons for the current condition? |
| Incursions into Old Growth Management Areas (OGMAs) |  |
| Incursions into: <br> 3. Legal OGMAs <br> 4. Non-legal OGMAs | - Are there incursions in OGMAs? Do they exceed the Order threshold? <br> - What is the magnitude of incursion (ha)? <br> - What is the type of incursion? |

### 4.2 Assessment Units

Assessment units describing the current state of old growth forest are based on several standard input data layers from the BC Geographic Warehouse (BCGW) and intersected with the Crown Forested Land Base (CFLB) produced for this current condition report:

- BEC zone, subzone, and variant
- Landscape Units (LUs)
- Biodiversity Emphasis Options (BEOs)
- Natural Disturbance Type (NDT)

When available, regional datasets are incorporated to accommodate regionally specific legal Orders and variations to support current condition reporting. In the case of Vancouver Island, this included the Clayoquot Sound Land Use Order and the mature forest targets within the VILUP. See Appendix 3 for more details on the development of these assessment units.

The assessment uses color scales to illustrate the condition of the amount of old growth forest and amount of mature-plus-old forest indicators. Different color scales are used depending on if the assessment is against a legal target (yellow to green scale) or a Biodiversity Guidebook target (blue to green scale) (See Appendix 3).

### 4.3 Assumptions and Limitations

The assessment results included in this current condition report have been derived from publicly accessible data sources. The assessment uses data available from the BCGW and FAIB, supplemented with regional datasets where available, to inform the assessment. Provincial data layers were used to define The CFLB and the CE Consolidated Human Disturbance layers are provincial datasets developed for the CEF to provide a consistent basis for all CE value assessments. The CE Consolidated Disturbance Layer was intersected into the seral assessment for old forest, mature-plus-old forest, and the OGMA incursion assessment for a more accurate representation of human disturbance than using VRI alone.

An error in the process to convert road lines into polygons resulted in some road segments being missed in this assessment. As a result of this error, the amount of old or mature forest may be slightly overestimated where those road segments were not accounted for in the analysis. In other words, the full footprint of roads was not applied to reset the CFLB Old seral stand to age zero in those areas. An analysis of that error demonstrated for LUs managed with PNOGO, the overestimation in the amount of old or mature forest was generally below $1 \%$, and not greater than $1.25 \%$ in any LU. From that, it was concluded that impacts on the other analysis would be similarly small. These would not materially affect the results or conclusions of this report. Future updates to this report will include a corrected roads layer to ensure the entirety of roads in the CE integrated roads layer are incorporated into the analysis.

Limitations and assumptions for this assessment include:

- The VRI and ownership layer to develop the CFLB and data input layers to create the analysis units were extracted from the BCGW in November 2019.
- VRI data was available for most TFL's except where indicated on Figure 6.
- The provincial VRI dataset has known errors in age class definition, particularly in discriminating between age class 8 and 9 which may underestimate forests older than 250 years, especially if growing on low productivity sites or the productive wet ICH subzone variant units.
- The assessment uses coarse level CFLB using the ownership field in VRI (F-OWN) and VRI Forest Management Land Base (FMLB) definitions that is different from Timber Supply Review generated CFLB. CFLB is calculated by selecting "crown" land from F-OWN via the following ownership codes (51, 60, 61, 62C, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68U, 69C, 69U, 70C, 70N, 72B, $74 N, 75 N, 78,79 B$ ) from VRI and Forest Management Land Base (FOR_MGMT_LAND_BASE_IND = ' $Y$ '). "Non-spatial Old Growth Analysis Methodology" for details in the appendix **
- OGMA amendment policies are complex and vary across the province. The tracking of OGMA amendments and how the OGMA polygons many have changed over time was not considered in this assessment. This may influence the outcomes of the OGMA incursion assessment and require Region or District review.
- The assessment used current BEC (version 11) from the BCGW which may have changed since time of legal OGMA establishment.
- The Provincial CE Consolidated Disturbance Layer incorporates anthropogenic disturbances from forestry and nonforestry activities. Natural disturbances (i.e., wildfires, insects) were not considered as incursions or disturbance footprints in this assessment. The inclusion of forest fire data will be considered in the future once assumptions regarding fire severity and forest age reset has been determined.
- The OGMA incursion assessment attempted to identify any anthropogenic development that pre-dated the establishment of an OGMA and exclude it from the assessment. However, most human caused disturbance types do not have a date specified in the spatial data except for cutblocks. Cutblocks that were 20 years old or older and cutblocks that pre-dated OGMA establishment were excluded as incursions. Cutblocks that occurred after OGMA establishment, and all other disturbances regardless of when they took place, were considered an incursion in the assessment. Therefore, the analysis may over-represent OGMA incursions.
- Most OGMA plans on Vancouver Island have incorporated more detailed level data than the Provincial Inventory data. For example, licensee operability data, LiDAR, habitat suitability mapping for Species at Risk, known locations of rare plants, large trees, First Nation areas of interest; this means OGMA selection was done in consideration of significantly more information than is considered in this assessment.


### 4.3.1 Human Disturbance in CE Assessments

Various input datasets were compiled that contribute to defining human disturbance and the natural land base. The input data layers and assumptions or limitations associated with each to produce the consolidated human disturbance layer are described further in. Data sources included consolidated cutblocks from a variety of sources (FAIB, VRI, RESULTS, and imagery), consolidated roads (including tenured resource roads) and forest cover seral stage (VRI). Roads are treated differently by different CE values; therefore, they were provided as a separate layer in the analysis.

Human disturbance is also identified using the Baseline Thematic Mapping (BTM) satellite-based land classifications from the mid-1990s augmented with historical and current human disturbance footprints (excluding roads). Additional data was then used to update the BTM classifications in a hierarchical form so that more current and permanent human disturbances will overwrite previous disturbances to avoid double-counting an area. Human disturbance was categorized as current (in the last 20 years) or historical (20+ years ago). The final layer includes non-disturbed natural areas to provide $100 \%$ coverage of the province in the dataset.

Additional disturbances include footprint datasets related to mining and extraction, oil and gas, rail and infrastructure, power infrastructure, rights-of-way, urban areas, recreation, forest harvesting, and agriculture and land clearing. Oil and Gas Commission (OGC) related disturbances were updated to 2019 to include activities by that sector as well as nongeophysical disturbances such as pipelines and well sites. However, outside of the Northeast region of the province there have been no geophysical disturbances in B.C. related to oil and gas in recent years that generated a land footprint.

Efforts were made to align the data inputs with human disturbance methodology from other CE-related projects. At the time of the assessment there was no authoritative dataset of mine footprints, therefore a custom mine footprint dataset from BCGW was used to fill this information gap until a complete, authoritative dataset is released.

Crown land tenures were not included as this data does not capture disturbed areas within the tenure, and therefore activity footprints are often not available or are poorly represented, except for cutblocks. This is a major data gap and presents a challenge of either over- or under-representing disturbance footprints on Crown land. To address this, other inventory datasets (e.g., FAIB cutblocks, VRI) were leveraged to fill this data gap.

### 4.3.2 Crown Forest Land Base and Old Growth CE Assessment

This assessment uses the CFLB ${ }^{4}$ as the denominator to calculate whether targets are being achieved. The Cumulative Effects CFLB (CE CFLB) is developed at a very coarse scale to accommodate standardized reporting across the province.

The CFLB is the most challenging component of reporting old growth targets in a consistently measurable way over time, leading to challenges in consistency for old forest reporting over years and causing significant differences amongst regions. This is due to the absence of defined Provincial standards for the definition of CFLB specific to the purposes of management values that are not related to timber supply. The CFLB definition can change over time from updated thresholds about the type of forest included (e.g., site index, non-forested areas) and to deletions (e.g., for woodlots or First Nations treaty settlements, conversion to private land).

In this assessment, there is no differentiating between the Timber Harvesting Landbase (THLB) ${ }^{5}$ and non-contributing landbase. Reporting is completed for the total amount of old growth forest on the CFLB and includes all no harvest landbase designations like Parks and Protected Areas, Ungulate Winter Ranges, and Wildlife Habitat Areas.

The methodology to determine the CFLB for this assessment differs from what is completed through Timber Supply Reviews (TSRs). The main reason for this is that CEF assessments are designed to include all land use activities and disturbances to understand cumulative effects on old growth forest, whereas the objective in a TSR is to support an Annual Allowable Cut. The CE CFLB and a TSR generated CFLB will have distinct differences. The CE CFLB includes most area-based tenures (Tree Farm Licenses, Community Forests) in the old growth assessment as they contribute to the current state of old growth forest.

In this assessment, CFLB was calculated using the Forest Management Land Base (FMLB) from the 2019 VRI. It is understood that there are known errors in the classification of the VRI FMLB that will introduce some uncertainty into the CE CFLB. It is the role of a CE Assessment to identify these challenges and opportunities for improvements, relative to old-growth forest objectives. It is not within the scope of this CE assessment to address the systemic issues in the VRI or variations with CFLB definitions.
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## 5 ASSESSMENT RESULTS

The old growth CE assessment results include a series of maps and tabular summaries. Maps provide a high-level overview of results for each indicator. Each map is accompanied by a description of the indicator and interpretation, including regional commentary and tabular data, identifies potential contributing factors, describes limitations, and lists complementary projects that could clarify the current condition of old growth forest.

This current condition assessment provides information on the condition of an indicator relative to legal or BDG targets. Further investigation based on this assessment may be required by regional specialists and decision-makers to verify and determine potential mitigation or management responses. The results of this reporting should not be interpreted as noncompliance with legal orders.

### 5.1 Amount of Old Forest in OGMAs and Protected and Reserved Areas for Landscape Units with Legal OGMAs.

OGMAs are an implementation strategy used to meet legal targets for old growth retention. This assessment identifies how much old forest vs. non-old forest (i.e., recruitment) exists within OGMAs and protected and reserved areas. The Landscape Unit Planning Guide (1999) provides rules on how OGMAs were to be designed to mitigate impacts on timber supply. A co-location approach to establishing spatial OGMAs is required and consisted of counting suitable old forest in existing protected areas with 100\% harvest restriction (e.g., Parks, Ecological Reserves, wildlife habitat areas (WHAs), ungulate winter ranges (UWRs), and applying towards the target. The remaining target area is what would be defined in spatial OGMAs. This means OGMAs alone do not contain all the forest that contributes to meeting the target. If there is not enough old forest in a BEC subzone variant within a LU to meet the target, then the next oldest available forest (generally mature forest seral stage) is recruited considering all the existing conservation designations.

The selection order for OGMAs is as follows descending in age until the target amount is met:

- Old forest in the Non-Contributing (NC);
- Old forest in the Timber Harvesting Land Base (THLB);
- Mature forest in the NC;
- Mature Forest in the THLB;
- Immature forest in the NC;
- Immature forest in the THLB.


### 5.1.1 Old forest in OGMAs and Protected and Reserved Areas

Figure 6 shows that some areas have a low level of old forest in the spatial designations. This reflects that at the time of OGMA establishment there was little old forest available for OGMA selection.

Of 84 assessment units managed with legal OGMAs:

- $17 \%$ units have $<30 \%$ of the area in OGMA and Protected/Reserved Areas consisting of old forest
- $13 \%$ units have $30-50 \%$ of the area in OGMA and Protected/Reserved Areas consisting of old forest
- $25 \%$ units have $50-75 \%$ of the area in OGMA and Protected/Reserved Areas consisting of old forest
- $45 \%$ units have $75-100 \%$ of the area in OGMA and Protected/Reserved Areas consisting of old forest

The areas with $<30 \%$ old (colored yellow in Figure 6) have had a heavy disturbance history resulting in limited old being available for OGMA selection. For example, the Sayward LU, around Campbell River, had a large fire in 1938 resulting in much of the forest in the LU being less than old at the time the OGMA plan was done. Generally, the North part of the Island has a lower disturbance level than the South, so units with lower amounts of old (lighter green/yellow) are more common in the South Island. This same pattern occurs in the aspatial analysis (Section 5.2) with the South Island having more units with significant disturbance resulting in more use of recruitment to meet PNOGO targets. As well, similarly to the patterns for units managed under PNOGO, the dry variants (CWHxm1, CWHxm2) generally have lower amounts of old forest within OGMAs and protected/reserved areas compared to the moist and wet variants.


Figure 6. Percentage of OGMA and protected/reserved area that is old forest, by LU and BEC subzone variant.

### 5.1.2 Limitations

The legal Orders for OGMAs do not provide a historic record of the amount of CFLB that is old growth in the LU/BEC or in the OGMA the time of establishment. This information is contained in the OGMA plan that is not part of the legal order but was used to develop the legal OGMAs. This can present a challenge in comparing OGMAs to the current data layers (e.g., CLFB, BEC, VRI), as these may have changed since OGMA establishment. In some cases, there can be substantial changes to the area of CFLB by BEC due to new BEC line work or the overall CFLB has changed due to withdrawals (e.g., Private land withdrawn from a TFL that previously was part of the CFLB calculation.) Most changes are reductions in the CFLB due to jurisdictional changes.

### 5.2 Amount of Old Forest for Landscape Units Managed under PNOGO and Clayoquot Sound Land Use Order

This assessment determines the current amount of old forest (as defined in the Legal Orders) for landscape units managed under PNOGO in relation to the targets for old growth forest within each LU and BEC subzone variant, or, in the case of Clayoquot Sound within each Watershed Planning Unit.

The results for this assessment are reported by the total amount of old growth forest in the CFLB which includes all landbase designation like Parks and Protected Areas, and OGMAs.

The existing amount of old growth forest in the CFLB across Vancouver Island varies considerably. Figure 7 shows the current distribution of old and other seral stages across Vancouver Island and Figure 8 shows the percentage of old forest by Landscape unit/BEC variant. All private land was excluded from the assessment.

Much of the DSI and eastern portion of the DCR has been impacted by forest harvesting, development, and large natural disturbances resulting in greater amounts of early and mid-seral stages in these areas.


Figure 7. Current seral stage distribution on Vancouver Island. Old defined as $>250$ outside of Clayoquot Sound and >140 within Clayoquot Sound.


Figure 8. Amount of old forest in the CFLB. Outside of Clayoquot Sound, the percentage of old forest is calculated for each LU/BEC unit; within Clayoquot Sound it is calculated for each Watershed Planning Unit. Pink areas within Clayoquot Sound are areas not within the CFLB or not covered by Watershed Plans and therefore were not assessed.

### 5.2.1 Old Forest Relative to Targets

### 5.2.1.1 Assessment Results for Vancouver Island Outside of Clayoquot Sound

For the portion of Vancouver Island managed under PNOGO 67\% of assessment units, are meeting the targets with old forest Figure 9). This covers $88 \%$ of the CFLB managed under PNOGO.

Areas with less old growth than the target amounts lie in the southeast portion of the DSI and eastern portions of the DCR. This is largely due to a long history of forest harvesting because the landbase is highly operable, accessible, and close to mills. In the Sayward area in the DCR (north of Campbell River), the lack of old growth forest is a result of natural disturbances (forest fire and wind events) that occurred in the early 1900's, which converted a large portion of the forested area to younger seral stages (Figure 6). In the DSI, historical land use, crownland conversion to support development has also impacted patches of old growth forest based on the proximity and adjacency to private land. Even small areas where old growth is lost can be a large percentage of the overall CFLB for the unit and means old growth targets are not met (e.g., the CWHxm2 in the China LU has 141 ha of CFLB, with an old growth target of 13 ha and only 1 hectare of old growth available - see Appendix 2).

Very little old forest remains in the dry variants (CDFmm, CWHxm1 and CWHxm2) in any landscape units (see Appendix 2 for details). Private land dominates the LUs where these variants occur on Vancouver Island. Conversely, the moist and wet BEC subzone variants have enough old forest available to meet most or all the targets with old forest.

These areas with less than the target amount of old growth will require recruitment (i.e., retention of the oldest available stands to become old growth over time).


Figure 9. Amount of old forest in aspatially managed LUs compared to legal targets in PNOGO.

### 5.2.1.2 Assessment Results for Clayoquot Sound

As described in Section 3.4.4, old growth management in Clayoquot Sound is directed by the Clayoquot Sound Land Use Order. The criteria for old forest is to retain $40 \%$ of late successional forest ( $>140$ years) within each Watershed Planning Unit. ${ }^{6}$ Currently, all Watershed Planning Units have enough old growth forest to meet targets (Table 4).

[^4]Table 4. Amount and percent of old (>250 years), and percent of target met by Watershed Plan unit in Clayoquot Sound.

| Watershed Plan | CFLB Area (ha) | Target old (ha) | Existing Old (ha) | \% Old | \% of Target Met by old |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bedingfield | 10161 | 4064 | 7218 | $71.0 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 7 7 . 6 \%}$ |
| Bedwell-Ursus-Bulson | 18868 | 7547 | 15784 | $83.7 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 0 9 . 1 \%}$ |
| Clayoquot River | 6,269 | 2,508 | 6,017 | $96.0 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 3 9 . 9 \%}$ |
| Cypress | 22,859 | 9,144 | 14,844 | $64.9 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 6 2 . 3} \%$ |
| Flores Island | 14,697 | 5,879 | 14,209 | $96.7 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 4 1 . 7} \%$ |
| Fortune Channel | 9,914 | 3,966 | 5,554 | $56.0 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 4 0 . 1} \%$ |
| Hesquiaht | 22,161 | 8,864 | 18,782 | $84.8 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 1 1 . 9 \%}$ |
| Kennedy Lake | 20,788 | 8,315 | 8,886 | $42.7 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 0 6 . 9 \%}$ |
| Sydney-Pretty Girl | 18,725 | 7,490 | 17,288 | $92.3 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 3 0 . 8 \%}$ |
| Tofino-Tranquil | 9,143 | 3,657 | 6,579 | $72.0 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 7 9 . 9 \%}$ |
| Upper Kennedy | 17,041 | 6,816 | 12,336 | $72.4 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 8 1 . 0 \%}$ |



Figure 10. Percent of watershed plan unit target met with Old (>250yrs) in Clayoquot Sound.

### 5.2.2 Summary of the Amount of Old Forest Indicator

Currently, a third (33\%) of LU/BEC units managed under PNOGO do not meet targets with old growth and require recruitment of younger forests. Within Clayoquot Sound, all watershed planning units have enough old growth forest identified in watershed plans to meet targets.

### 5.3 Amount of Mature-Plus-Old Forest

This indicator assesses the amount of mature-plus-old forest as a percent of non-spatial targets from the Biodiversity Guidebook for retention by BEC subzone/variant within LUs. The indicator is non-spatial and unrelated to existing spatial designations on the land base such as OGMAs. To consistently evaluate current condition across the province, the CEF Old Growth Protocol applies a mature + old indicator as it remains an important seral stage to monitor over time. This indicator is not legally required to be managed on Vancouver Island.

As mature forest will generally become old growth forest over time, assessing the amount of mature forest is important to assess where forest is available to recruit towards old forest targets, particularly where recruitment is currently required to meet the targets. The amount of mature that will move through to old forest will vary by BEC Subzone due to natural disturbance as dry subzones will have more disturbance than wet subzones.

Within Vancouver Island, legal targets for mature forest are included in VILUP for special management zones only. They apply to the area of the SMZ, and not by BEC variant. There are no legal targets for mature-plus-old forest on Vancouver Island. Targets for mature-plus-old that are referenced in the Biodiversity Guidebook are used in this assessment. Mature-plus-old targets are incremental to old forest targets.


### 5.3.1 Total Mature-Plus-Old Forest Amount in the CFLB

Patterns of mature-plus-old forest resemble those for old growth forest, with high proportions in the northern part of the DNI and western part of the DCR and low proportions in the east and south parts of the DSI (Figure 10). As iterated above, much of the DSI and eastern portion of the DCR has been heavily impacted by forest harvesting and other development, resulting in lower amounts of old-plus-mature forests in these districts. Alternatively, there are greater amounts of mature-plus-old forest in the northern portion of the DNI and western portions of the DCR and DSI.


Figure 11. Current percent of mature-plus-old forest in the CFLB on Vancouver Island.

### 5.3.2 Mature-Plus-Old Forest Relative to Non-Legal Targets

The patterns for mature-plus-old forest relative to non-legal Biodiversity Guidebook targets closely matches that for old growth forest. On Vancouver Island outside Clayoquot Sound, $74 \%$ of assessment units currently have sufficient mature-plus-old forest to meet non-spatial BDG targets (Figure 11). Further investigation is required to understand the current state of mature forest as this represents opportunities for recruitment into old forest. Mature-plus-old forest was not assessed for Clayoquot Sound as there are no criteria for the management of this indicator.


Figure 12. Amount mature-plus-old forest currently on the land base compared to targets from the Biodiversity Guidebook. Clayoquot Sound was not included in the CE assessment for mature plus old as there are no criteria for the management of the indicator.

The amount of mature-plus-old forest (>80 for CWH and CDF; > 120 for MH ) relative to targets varies with ecosystem type. Dry and moist ecosystems are furthest from meeting mature plus old targets, with $48 \%$ of assessment units meeting targets (see Appendix 2). Only $18 \%$ of assessment units within the CDFmm have sufficient mature forest to meet targets, meaning that there is very little mature forest to recruit towards future old forest in this ecosystem. The CWHxm1 is in a better state for recruitment, with about half of the assessment units having sufficient mature forest to meet targets. Most units in the very wet and high elevation variants meet targets.

Implementation of legal targets for mature in the SMZ applies to the entire polygon and not by BEC subzone and variant (Figure 12). All SMZs except for Upper Qualicum contain more than the target amount (25\%) of mature-plus-old forest (Figure 12). Upper Qualicum contains 3\% mature-plus-old.


Figure 13. Percent of mature target met with mature-plus-old forest by SMZ.

### 5.3.3 Summary and Observations of Old and Mature Indicator

On Vancouver Island outside Clayoquot Sound, three-quarters of assessment units currently have sufficient mature-plusold forest to meet targets from the Biodiversity Guidebook. The amount of mature-plus-old forest relative to targets varies with ecosystem type. Dry and moist ecosystems are furthest from meeting targets, while most very wet and high elevation units meet targets.

Where recruitment is required to meet targets, selecting the oldest suitable mature forest is used for recruitment. In the CDFmm, however, very little mature forest remains. Hence it will be more than 160 years before the areas selected to meet target are mostly old forest. In the CWHxm1, more mature forest exists, leaving the potential to have most areas selected to meet target become old forest in less than 160 years.

### 5.4 Incursions into Legal and Non-Legal Old Growth Management Areas

This assessment compares the area of human caused disturbance (incursion) in OGMAs to any objectives or limits to allowable incursion levels specified in the OGMA Order or in policy. This assessment determines whether OGMAs are retained according to the objectives established in Orders or policy.

OGMA orders spatially define boundaries and may include objectives that define maximum allowable levels of disturbance. Incursions are defined as alterations to OGMAs caused by permitted activities, such as forestry cutblocks and roads, non-forestry-related activities (e.g., pipelines, oil and gas) and human use features such as recreation sites and trails. Developments or activities included as incursions are those that permanently alter the forested land base or that convert the forest cover to a young seral stage ( $<20$ years old).

On Vancouver Island, all incursions into legal OGMAs requires a replacement through an OGMA amendment process. The size of the incursion determines what OGMA amendment process is required. Vancouver Island has a variety of OGMA amendment approval processes based on trigger levels defined in the OGMA legal orders. Regardless of the amendment process, all OGMA incursions require replacements. As such, all incursions are identified in this current condition reporting.

This analysis shows the amount and type of incursions into OGMAs. Disturbance that was present at the time of establishment may show up as an incursion in this analysis because:

- Information on the data of disturbance (i.e., prior to or after OGMA establishment) is not available, so the disturbance is assumed to be an incursion
- Young forest was intentionally included in the OGMA to capture other long term non-OG values such as riparian habitat, connectivity, recreation values and large individual veteran trees.

In addition, incursions may have been replaced (i.e., there has been no net loss in OGMA area), but could still show up in this analysis for the following reasons:

- The OGMA boundary has been amended to include the replacement area, but not exclude the incursion.
- The replacement may be added to another OGMA nearby; and the incursion is not excluded from the original OGMA.
- Time lags in updates to the BCGW for reporting OGMA amendments.


### 5.4.1 Total Amount of Incursions into OGMAs

Vancouver Island has a combination of legal and non-legal OGMAs. Currently, 2,381 OGMAs have been established. The intent is that most, but not all, non-legal OGMAs will be legalized as planning processes are completed.

In this assessment, the total OGMA area and total incursions are summed by landscape unit.
Incursions that are less than 0.01 ha were counted as data slivers and removed from the assessment results.
There are 20 LUs with legal OGMAs on Vancouver Island. More than half of these LUs had less than 2\% of their total OGMA area incurred and no LUs had more than 5\% of their total OGMA area incurred.

There are 24 LUs with non-legal OGMAs. Half of these LUs had less than $2 \%$ of their total OGMA area incurred. Three LUs had more than 5\% incurred - Cowichan (6.0\%), Millstone (7.5\%) and Shawnigan (24.6\%).

Along with the total area of incursions, the sum of incursions of more than 5.0 ha or more than $5 \%$ of an individual OGMA area are presented in attempt to illustrate the magnitude of incursions based on the size of OGMA, (Table 5).

Four LUs with legal OGMAs had individual OGMAs with incursions greater than 5.0ha or $5 \%$ of that individual OGMA area, the sum of these incursions was less than $1 \%$ of the total OGMA area across the LU.

Three LUs with non-legal OGMAs had individual OGMAs with incursions greater than 5ha or $5 \%$ of the individual OGMA area; the sum of these incursions across the LU was less than $2 \%$ for 2 of those LUs and $17.5 \%$ for the third LU (Shawnigan).

Table 5. Percent and area of legal and non-legal OGMAs with incursion by landscape unit showing all incursions and incursions $>5.0$ ha or $>5 \%$ of the OGMA area.

| Landscape Unit | OGMA Total Area (ha) | Total area (ha) of incursions within OGMAs | Total area (ha) of incursions greater than 5ha or 5\% of OGMA area | Percent of OGMA area incurred | Percent of OGMA area with incursions $>5$ ha or 5\% of OGMA area |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Legal OGMAs |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adam-Eve | 6,313 | 37 | 0 | 0.59\% | 0.00\% |
| Caycuse | 2,393 | 52 | 0 | 2.16\% | 0.00\% |
| Gordon | 2,411 | 120 | 6 | 4.98\% | 0.26\% |
| Lower Nimpkish | 6,800 | 105 | 0 | 1.54\% | 0.00\% |
| Malcolm | 817 | 14 | 0 | 1.68\% | 0.00\% |
| Marble | 9,849 | 241 | 23 | 2.45\% | 0.23\% |
| Nahwitti | 2,261 | 55 | 0 | 2.45\% | 0.00\% |
| Naka | 2,036 | 18 | 0 | 0.87\% | 0.00\% |
| Nitinat | 2,522 | 40 | 0 | 1.58\% | 0.00\% |
| Salmon | 8,700 | 333 | 0 | 3.83\% | 0.00\% |
| San Josef | 10,842 | 267 | 35 | 2.46\% | 0.32\% |
| San Juan | 3,331 | 58 | 0 | 1.73\% | 0.00\% |
| Sayward | 7,962 | 341 | 11 | 4.29\% | 0.14\% |
| Shushartie | 1,580 | 17 | 0 | 1.10\% | 0.00\% |
| Sproat Lake | 2,485 | 30 | 0 | 1.21\% | 0.00\% |
| Tsitika | 2,774 | 21 | 0 | 0.74\% | 0.00\% |
| Tsulquate | 2,939 | 25 | 0 | 0.87\% | 0.00\% |
| Upper Nimpkish | 9,782 | 77 | 0 | 0.78\% | 0.00\% |
| Walbran | 1,125 | 14 | 0 | 1.21\% | 0.00\% |
| White | 8,431 | 100 | 0 | 1.19\% | 0.00\% |
| Non-Legal OGMAs |  |  |  |  |  |
| Artlish | 2,226 | 6 | 0 | 0.25\% | 0.00\% |
| Chemainus | 646 | 29 | 0 | 4.53\% | 0.00\% |
| Cous | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| Cowichan | 269 | 16 | 0 | 6.01\% | 0.00\% |
| Effingham | 2,363 | 51 | 0 | 2.15\% | 0.00\% |
| Englishman | 6 | 0 | 0 | 2.66\% | 0.00\% |
| Escalante | 303 | 4 | 0 | 1.31\% | 0.00\% |
| Henderson | 372 | 1 | 0 | 0.23\% | 0.00\% |
| Kashutl | 3,990 | 56 | 22 | 1.40\% | 0.56\% |
| Klaskish | 638 | 2 | 0 | 0.25\% | 0.00\% |
| Koksilah | 71 | 3 | 0 | 3.81\% | 0.00\% |
| Little Qualicum | 80 | 1 | 0 | 0.68\% | 0.00\% |


| Landscape Unit | OGMA Total Area (ha) | Total area (ha) of incursions within OGMAs | Total area (ha) of incursions greater than 5ha or 5\% of OGMA area | Percent of OGMA area incurred | Percent of OGMA area with incursions $>5$ ha or 5\% of OGMA area |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maggie | 533 | 13 | 0 | 2.51\% | 0.00\% |
| Metchosin | 39 | 0 | 0 | 0.05\% | 0.00\% |
| Millstone | 12 | 1 | 0 | 7.53\% | 0.00\% |
| Nahmint | 2,510 | 12 | 0 | 0.48\% | 0.00\% |
| Nanaimo | 160 | 4 | 0 | 2.51\% | 0.00\% |
| Nanoose | 26 | 0 | 0 | 1.08\% | 0.00\% |
| Rosewall | 588 | 15 | 0 | 2.61\% | 0.00\% |
| Shawnigan | 120 | 30 | 21 | 24.59\% | 17.52\% |
| Tahsish | 1,276 | 32 | 23 | 2.48\% | 1.81\% |
| Toquaht | 1,885 | 16 | 0 | 0.84\% | 0.00\% |

Roads account for the majority of the incursions in legal OGMAs (84.1\%), followed by cutblocks (7.8\%) (Figure 13). In nonlegal OGMAs cutblocks accounted for the majority of the disturbance (66.4\%), followed by roads (28.5\%) (Figure 14).


Figure 14. Amount and type of anthropogenic disturbances in Legal OGMAs.


Figure 15. Amount and type of anthropogenic disturbances in Non-Legal OGMAs.

### 5.4.2 Summary of OGMA Incursion Indicator

In all LUs with legal OGMAs, the total area of OGMA incursion was $5 \%$ or less of the total OGMA area across the LU, with most being under 2\%. Large incursions (5ha or 5\% of an individual OGMA) occur in OGMAs in four LUs and make up less than $1 \%$ of the OGMA area across the LU.

In LUs with non-legal OGMAs all but three had less than 5\% of the total OGMA area across the LU incurred; of the remaining three LUs, one had 6\%, one had 7.5\% and one had $24.6 \%$ of its total OGMA area incurred. Large incursions (5ha or 5\% of an individual OGMA) occur in three LUs and make up less than $2 \%$ of the total OGMA area across the LU in two of those LUs, and $17.5 \%$ of the total OGMA area in the third.

Roads account for most of the incurred area in legal OGMAs (84.1\%), followed by cutblocks (7.8\%). In non-legal OGMAs cutblocks accounted for most of the incurred area (66.4\%), followed by roads (28.5\%)

All incursions are required to be replaced on Vancouver Island unless sufficient area exists elsewhere in the landscape unit (or in excess OGMAs) to meet retention targets. Further analysis is required to determine if the incursions identified in this GIS analysis reflect what has occurred on the ground. For example, whether those incursions have been replaced but are still retained within the boundary of the OGMA and thus showing up in this analysis or are the result of time-lags in data updates.

### 5.4.3 Limitations

Non-legal OGMAs are managed by forest licensees. Government data on non-legal OGMA amendments is often substantially out of date as licensees are not always required to submit incursions to non-legal OGMAs to the government. In some Districts, changes to draft OGMAs are submitted to the District as part of an FSP commitment. Many of the incursions showing up in non-legal OGMAs may be amendments made by licensees during their management that are not yet added to the dataset.

In this assessment, all incursions (i.e., disturbance footprints) were included regardless of when they occurred (e.g., prior to or after the OGMA was established), except for cutblocks. All cutblocks that were 20 years old or older, or that pre-dated the establishment of the OGMA were removed. Removal of all other incursion types that pre-date an OGMAs establishment could not be completed as the date for disturbance was absent in the available data. As a result, disturbance may show up in this analysis as an OGMA incursion where this incursion may have been known and considered acceptable at the time of OGMA delineation. In addition, road widths applied in the assessment were not verified on the ground and instead represent a best estimation based on the available information and input from Ministry staff. Due to the variation in accuracy of spatial road data (e.g., roads represented in the data that were not built on the ground, varying road widths based on local terrain), OGMA incursions due to roads may be a result of incorrect data rather than actual incursions into the OGMA.

An initial review of incursions by regional staff suggests that amendments are being made to replace OGMA area, however there is a time lag for these amendments to show up in the OGMA data set. This analysis is useful to check for non-forest sector OGMA changes, as those sectors are not required to amend and replace OGMA area. Currently on Vancouver Island there have been very few of these incursions by non-forest sector activities and they have been reported to the Region via lands referrals replacement OGMA has been found for these incursions. Recently timber theft has impacted OGMAs and other protected areas. These incursions are typically too small to show up as a change in the inventory but have removed very ecologically valuable large diameter trees.

The Landscape Unit Planning Guide provided the direction for OGMA delineation. Assessing how OGMAs were designed and implemented as per provincial policy/guidance was outside the scope of this assessment.

## 6 CONCLUSION \& OPPORTUNITIES

The amount of old growth forest available for retention on the land base varies with forest ecosystem type and proximity to human settlement. The dry forest ecosystems located on the south of Vancouver Island, which has experienced the most development impacts and is mostly private land, have the least old growth forest available. The area on eastern Vancouver Island around Campbell River and Sayward have low amounts of old growth due to a long history of forest harvesting and historical natural disturbance.

In Clayoquot Sound, where the land base is managed under the 2008 Clayoquot Sound Land Use Order, informed by the Clayoquot Sounds Scientific panel, all Watershed Planning Units have enough old forest to meet targets.

The analysis of incursions into OGMAs provides an initial assessment of the type and amount of incursion that may have occurred, and that require further examination. Initial reviews of this information suggest that OGMA incursions are being replaced but that there is a time lag in updating the OGMA data layer, highlighting the need for improved data and information to ensure transparency in OGMA replacement and amendments.

The following opportunities related to old growth management are identified for consideration on Vancouver Island (outside of Clayoquot sound):

- Ensure that appropriate recruitment strategies are in place, particularly for assessment units that have less old forest than target amounts,
- Improve the currency and accuracy of the spatial OGMA layer to ensure accurate analysis of incursions, and transparent reporting of OGMA condition.
- Pursue opportunities to use LiDAR data in OGMA establishment to increase the accuracy of old forest identification.
- Improve the currency and accuracy of disturbance data (e.g., resource roads data) to improve the accuracy of this analysis.
- Review existing spatial OGMAs to assess if the initial design process was adequate in capturing Old Forest values and amend the process if necessary.
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## Appendix 2 - Tabular Results

### 8.1 Amount of Old Forest in OGMAs and Protected and Reserved Areas for Landscape Units with Legal OGMAs

Table 6. Colour Scale Legend for Percent of CFLB in OGMA and Protected/Reserved that is Old Forest.

| Gradient Scale for Old Forest in OGMAs |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| and Protected and Reserved Areas | \% of OGMA and Protected and <br> Reserved that is Old Forest |
|  | $0-30 \%$ |
|  | $30-50 \%$ |
|  | $50-75 \%$ |
|  | $75-100 \%$ |

Table 7. Percentage of CFLB in OGMA and Protected/Reserved that is old forest by Landscape Unit and BEC Variant.

| Landscape Unit | BEC <br> Variant | CFLB Area (ha) | CFLB in Old Forest (ha) | \% of CFLB in OGMA and P/R | Old Forest in OGMA and P/R (ha) | \% of remaining Old Forest in Assessment Unit that is in OGMA and P/R | \% of OGMA and $P / R$ that is old |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gordon | CWHxm2 | 270 | 0 | 8\% | 0 | 0\% | 0\% |
| Nitinat | CWHmm1 | 199 | 14 | 4\% | 0 | 0\% | 0\% |
| Nitinat | CWHxm2 | 2 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0\% |
| San Juan | CWHmm 2 | 310 | 118 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0\% |
| Sayward | CWHxm1 | 5,038 | 32 | 10\% | 6 | 19\% | 1\% |
| Sproat Lake | CWHxm1 | 1,255 | 20 | 20\% | 6 | 32\% | 3\% |
| San Josef | MHmm1 | 58 | 2 | 88\% | 1 | 71\% | 3\% |
| Gordon | CWHvh1 | 57 | 0 | 8\% | 0 | 100\% | 5\% |
| Gordon | CWHmm1 | 4,761 | 111 | 7\% | 40 | 36\% | 11\% |
| San Juan | CWHvh1 | 193 | 28 | 64\% | 18 | 65\% | 15\% |
| Sayward | CWHxm2 | 33,569 | 1,129 | 13\% | 738 | 65\% | 17\% |
| Caycuse | CWHxm2 | 1,154 | 31 | 11\% | 23 | 73\% | 19\% |
| Gordon | MHmm1 | 454 | 237 | 39\% | 38 | 16\% | 21\% |
| Salmon | CWHxm2 | 15,356 | 624 | 14\% | 453 | 73\% | 21\% |
| Caycuse | MHmm1 | 152 | 45 | 36\% | 19 | 41\% | 34\% |
| Lower Nimpkish | CWHxm2 | 18,178 | 1,727 | 10\% | 692 | 40\% | 37\% |
| Malcolm | CWHvm1 | 2,636 | 534 | 31\% | 309 | 58\% | 38\% |
| Sproat Lake | CWHvm1 | 486 | 103 | 14\% | 25 | 25\% | 38\% |
| San Juan | CWHmm1 | 4,625 | 601 | 13\% | 224 | 37\% | 38\% |
| Sayward | CWHmm1 | 8,286 | 1,223 | 10\% | 332 | 27\% | 40\% |
| Gordon | CWHvm1 | 11,709 | 1,891 | 24\% | 1,130 | 60\% | 40\% |
| San Josef | CWHvm2 | 3,233 | 754 | 21\% | 276 | 37\% | 41\% |
| Gordon | CWHvm2 | 4,094 | 1,208 | 23\% | 440 | 36\% | 46\% |
| Caycuse | CWHmm1 | 4,949 | 276 | 9\% | 214 | 77\% | 48\% |
| Marble | MHmm1 | 3,264 | 1,510 | 39\% | 614 | 41\% | 48\% |
| Caycuse | CWHmm 2 | 1,323 | 54 | 6\% | 41 | 76\% | 51\% |


| Landscape Unit | BEC <br> Variant | CFLB Area (ha) | CFLB in Old Forest (ha) | \% of CFLB in OGMA and P/R | Old Forest in OGMA and P/R (ha) | \% of remaining Old Forest in Assessment Unit that is in OGMA and P/R | \% of OGMA and $P / R$ that is old |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Marble | CWHvm1 | 27,670 | 3,558 | 14\% | 2,049 | 58\% | 52\% |
| Nitinat | MHmm1 | 471 | 199 | 22\% | 55 | 28\% | 53\% |
| San Josef | CWHvm1 | 36,617 | 5,629 | 11\% | 2,110 | 37\% | 53\% |
| Nitinat | CWHvm2 | 4,646 | 1,438 | 18\% | 466 | 32\% | 57\% |
| San Juan | CWHvm1 | 15,166 | 2,532 | 14\% | 1,256 | 50\% | 59\% |
| Sproat Lake | MHmm1 | 2,430 | 1,003 | 11\% | 161 | 16\% | 60\% |
| Naka | CWHvm1 | 5,049 | 1,907 | 14\% | 445 | 23\% | 61\% |
| Marble | CWHvm2 | 9,560 | 3,443 | 17\% | 1,030 | 30\% | 64\% |
| Caycuse | CWHvm2 | 4,193 | 997 | 14\% | 382 | 38\% | 65\% |
| Sproat Lake | CWHvm2 | 5,755 | 2,778 | 11\% | 417 | 15\% | 65\% |
| San Juan | CWHvm2 | 5,339 | 1,987 | 15\% | 542 | 27\% | 67\% |
| Sproat Lake | CWHxm2 | 4,562 | 542 | 12\% | 357 | 66\% | 67\% |
| Sayward | CWHmm2 | 4,429 | 1,750 | 9\% | 258 | 15\% | 68\% |
| Lower Nimpkish | CWHvm1 | 23,500 | 4,725 | 9\% | 1,446 | 31\% | 69\% |
| Sproat Lake | CWHmm1 | 7,381 | 2,249 | 10\% | 522 | 23\% | 70\% |
| Caycuse | CWHvm1 | 9,107 | 2,038 | 13\% | 852 | 42\% | 70\% |
| Naka | CWHvm2 | 4,284 | 2,547 | 12\% | 370 | 15\% | 72\% |
| Upper Nimpkish | CWHxm2 | 24,011 | 2,803 | 11\% | 2,033 | 73\% | 74\% |
| San Josef | CWHvh1 | 40,468 | 21,561 | 44\% | 13,063 | 61\% | 74\% |
| Tsitika | CWHvm1 | 10,246 | 3,679 | 18\% | 1,373 | 37\% | 75\% |
| Nitinat | CWHmm2 | 322 | 56 | 16\% | 40 | 71\% | 75\% |
| Nitinat | CWHvm1 | 36,743 | 9,927 | 25\% | 6,947 | 70\% | 76\% |
| San Juan | MHmm1 | 735 | 495 | 20\% | 111 | 22\% | 76\% |
| Salmon | CWHmm1 | 29,685 | 5,847 | 14\% | 3,206 | 55\% | 77\% |
| Salmon | CWHvm1 | 1,323 | 545 | 31\% | 322 | 59\% | 79\% |
| Naka | MHmm1 | 2,826 | 2,284 | 21\% | 483 | 21\% | 83\% |
| White | CWHmm1 | 719 | 170 | 14\% | 83 | 49\% | 83\% |
| Adam-Eve | CWHvm1 | 30,262 | 5,608 | 10\% | 2,523 | 45\% | 83\% |
| Sproat Lake | CWHmm2 | 2,510 | 959 | 12\% | 250 | 26\% | 83\% |
| Walbran | CWHvm2 | 4,341 | 2,856 | 51\% | 1,843 | 65\% | 84\% |
| Nahwitti | CWHvh1 | 25,565 | 19,289 | 16\% | 3,531 | 18\% | 85\% |
| Lower Nimpkish | CWHvm2 | 13,632 | 7,682 | 11\% | 1,325 | 17\% | 86\% |
| Walbran | CWHvm1 | 24,407 | 14,982 | 56\% | 11,659 | 78\% | 86\% |
| Walbran | MHmm1 | 113 | 46 | 19\% | 18 | 39\% | 86\% |
| Upper Nimpkish | CWHvm1 | 22,136 | 7,623 | 20\% | 3,802 | 50\% | 87\% |
| Tsulquate | CWHvh1 | 8,166 | 6,409 | 16\% | 1,154 | 18\% | 87\% |
| White | CWHmm2 | 540 | 274 | 16\% | 75 | 27\% | 87\% |
| Tsitika | CWHvm2 | 8,129 | 5,150 | 8\% | 578 | 11\% | 87\% |
| Nahwitti | CWHvm1 | 2,133 | 887 | 7\% | 136 | 15\% | 88\% |
| Salmon | CWHvm2 | 1,018 | 521 | 13\% | 117 | 22\% | 88\% |
| Adam-Eve | CWHvm2 | 18,276 | 9,700 | 16\% | 2,550 | 26\% | 89\% |


| Landscape Unit | BEC Variant | CFLB Area (ha) | CFLB in Old Forest (ha) | \% of CFLB in OGMA and P/R | Old Forest in OGMA and P/R (ha) | \% of remaining Old Forest in Assessment Unit that is in OGMA and P/R | \% of OGMA and $P / R$ that is old |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tsitika | MHmm1 | 5,033 | 4,070 | 8\% | 348 | 9\% | 89\% |
| Walbran | CWHvh1 | 1,907 | 1,696 | 99\% | 1,669 | 98\% | 89\% |
| White | CWHvm1 | 15,585 | 4,454 | 22\% | 2,992 | 67\% | 89\% |
| White | CWHxm2 | 1,465 | 216 | 15\% | 197 | 91\% | 90\% |
| Upper Nimpkish | CWHvm2 | 26,053 | 13,733 | 16\% | 3,712 | 27\% | 91\% |
| Shushartie | CWHvh1 | 13,983 | 12,031 | 33\% | 4,263 | 35\% | 92\% |
| Gordon | CWHmm2 | 704 | 275 | 9\% | 60 | 22\% | 93\% |
| Adam-Eve | MHmm1 | 9,555 | 7,200 | 13\% | 1,174 | 16\% | 93\% |
| Tsulquate | CWHvm1 | 11,655 | 6,861 | 14\% | 1,487 | 22\% | 94\% |
| Upper Nimpkish | MHmm1 | 18,527 | 14,856 | 18\% | 3,051 | 21\% | 94\% |
| Nitinat | CWHvh1 | 2,820 | 2,514 | 82\% | 2,186 | 87\% | 94\% |
| Lower Nimpkish | MHmm1 | 7,377 | 5,760 | 13\% | 906 | 16\% | 95\% |
| Sayward | MHmm1 | 1,558 | 1,256 | 21\% | 316 | 25\% | 95\% |
| White | CWHvm2 | 8,856 | 5,090 | 25\% | 2,089 | 41\% | 95\% |
| Salmon | CWHmm2 | 13,806 | 5,558 | 24\% | 3,169 | 57\% | 95\% |
| Salmon | MHmm1 | 8,146 | 6,437 | 45\% | 3,577 | 56\% | 98\% |
| White | MHmm1 | 4,701 | 3,853 | 37\% | 1,725 | 45\% | 99\% |

### 8.2 Amount of Old Forest for Landscape Units Managed under PNOGO

Table 8. Colour Scale for interpreting the status of current condition relative to the legal targets for old forest.

| Gradient Scale for Old Growth Forest Indicator: Legal Targets | Indicator Condition Interpretation | Current Condition Status (\% of Old Forest) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Below Target | 0-30\% |
|  | Below Target | 30-50\% |
|  | Below Target | 50-75\% |
|  | Below Target | $75-100 \%$ |
|  | Target Met | $100-110 \%$ |
|  | Above Target | 110-125\% |
|  | Above Target | 125+\% |

Table 9. Comparison of PNOGO targets to amount of old forest available for the target.

| Landscape Unit | BEC <br> Variant | CFLB <br> Area (ha) | Immature Forest in CFLB (ha) | Mature Forest in CFLC (ha) | Old Forest in CFLB (ha) | OF Target (ha) | \% of target in Old | \% of target in Recruitment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Burman | CWHxm2 | 16 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 100\% |
| Chemainus | CDFmm | 188 | 153 | 35 | 0 | 17 | 0\% | 100\% |
| Chemainus | CWHmm 2 | 1,395 | 1,370 | 25 | 0 | 126 | 0\% | 100\% |
| Chemainus | CWHxm1 | 3,545 | 2,565 | 980 | 0 | 319 | 0\% | 100\% |
| Chemainus | CWHxm2 | 2,525 | 2,236 | 289 | 0 | 227 | 0\% | 100\% |
| Chemainus | MHmm1 | 24 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0\% | 100\% |
| Cowichan | CDFmm | 784 | 698 | 85 | 0 | 24 | 0\% | 100\% |
| Cowichan | CWHxm1 | 4,612 | 3,462 | 1,150 | 0 | 138 | 0\% | 100\% |
| Cowichan | MHmm1 | 25 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0\% | 100\% |
| Englishman | CDFmm | 355 | 321 | 34 | 0 | 32 | 0\% | 100\% |
| Englishman | CWHxm1 | 114 | 35 | 79 | 0 | 10 | 0\% | 100\% |
| French Creek | CDFmm | 350 | 323 | 27 | 0 | 11 | 0\% | 100\% |
| French Creek | CWHxm1 | 60 | 58 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0\% | 100\% |
| French Creek | CWHxm2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 100\% |
| Holberg | MHmm1 | 152 | 16 | 135 | 0 | 10 | 0\% | 100\% |
| Kleeptee | CWHvh1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 100\% |
| Koksilah | CDFmm | 119 | 116 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0\% | 100\% |
| Little Qualicum | CWHxm1 | 444 | 343 | 101 | 0 | 40 | 0\% | 100\% |
| Millstone | CDFmm | 755 | 438 | 317 | 0 | 23 | 0\% | 100\% |
| Millstone | CWHmm 2 | 40 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0\% | 100\% |
| Millstone | CWHxm1 | 65 | 64 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0\% | 100\% |
| Millstone | CWHxm2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 100\% |
| Nanaimo | CDFmm | 869 | 622 | 248 | 0 | 78 | 0\% | 100\% |
| Nanaimo | CWHxm2 | 671 | 654 | 17 | 0 | 60 | 0\% | 100\% |
| Nanoose | CDFmm | 289 | 276 | 14 | 0 | 9 | 0\% | 100\% |
| Nanoose | CWHxm1 | 294 | 242 | 52 | 0 | 9 | 0\% | 100\% |
| Oyster | CWHxm1 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 100\% |
| Puntledge | CWHxm1 | 101 | 48 | 53 | 0 | 3 | 0\% | 100\% |


| Landscape Unit | BEC Variant | CFLB <br> Area (ha) | Immature Forest in CFLB (ha) | Mature Forest in CFLC (ha) | Old Forest in CFLB (ha) | OF <br> Target <br> (ha) | \% of target in Old | \% of target in Recruitment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Quinsam | CWHxm1 | 487 | 416 | 71 | 0 | 15 | 0\% | 100\% |
| Shawnigan | CDFmm | 601 | 427 | 175 | 0 | 18 | 0\% | 100\% |
| Shawnigan | CWHxm2 | 80 | 76 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0\% | 100\% |
| Simms | CWHxm1 | 11 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0\% | 100\% |
| Somass | CWHxm1 | 440 | 282 | 159 | 0 | 13 | 0\% | 100\% |
| Sooke | CWHxm1 | 46 | 42 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0\% | 100\% |
| Trent | CWHxm1 | 188 | 136 | 52 | 0 | 6 | 0\% | 100\% |
| Tsolum | CWHxm1 | 136 | 64 | 72 | 0 | 4 | 0\% | 100\% |
| Rosewall | CDFmm | 1,288 | 1,025 | 263 | 0 | 116 | 0\% | 100\% |
| Rosewall | CWHxm1 | 4,142 | 3,061 | 1,079 | 1 | 373 | 0\% | 100\% |
| Somass | CWHxm2 | 1,308 | 875 | 433 | 0 | 39 | 0\% | 100\% |
| Quadra | CWHxm1 | 1,403 | 578 | 824 | 1 | 126 | 0\% | 100\% |
| Great Central | CWHxm1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1\% | 99\% |
| Sooke | CWHxm2 | 772 | 253 | 519 | 0 | 23 | 1\% | 99\% |
| Nanaimo | CWHxm1 | 1,697 | 1,413 | 282 | 3 | 153 | 2\% | 98\% |
| Nahmint | CWHxm2 | 56 | 21 | 35 | 0 | 7 | 3\% | 97\% |
| Little Qualicum | CWHmm2 | 332 | 331 | 1 | 1 | 30 | 3\% | 97\% |
| China | CWHxm2 | 141 | 120 | 20 | 1 | 13 | 5\% | 95\% |
| Koksilah | CWHxm1 | 887 | 697 | 188 | 2 | 27 | 8\% | 92\% |
| Cowichan | CWHxm2 | 2,208 | 1,712 | 490 | 6 | 66 | 9\% | 91\% |
| Rosewall | CWHxm2 | 3,441 | 3,255 | 151 | 34 | 310 | 11\% | 89\% |
| Quadra | CWHxm2 | 9,491 | 4,612 | 4,754 | 125 | 854 | 15\% | 85\% |
| Quadra | CWHmm1 | 1,289 | 1,076 | 196 | 17 | 116 | 15\% | 85\% |
| Little Qualicum | CDFmm | 994 | 805 | 175 | 14 | 89 | 16\% | 84\% |
| Shawnigan | CWHxm1 | 1,020 | 764 | 250 | 6 | 31 | 19\% | 81\% |
| Koksilah | CWHxm2 | 640 | 610 | 26 | 4 | 19 | 21\% | 79\% |
| Nahmint | CWHmm1 | 8 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 21\% | 79\% |
| Kleeptee | MHmm1 | 1,163 | 76 | 1,069 | 19 | 74 | 25\% | 75\% |
| Cowichan | CWHmm2 | 220 | 209 | 10 | 2 | 7 | 26\% | 74\% |
| Bonanza | CWHxm2 | 105 | 102 | 0 | 3 | 9 | 28\% | 72\% |
| Kleeptee | CWHxm2 | 681 | 243 | 432 | 6 | 20 | 29\% | 71\% |
| Kleeptee | CWHvm2 | 3,167 | 1,007 | 2,117 | 43 | 137 | 31\% | 69\% |
| Tlupana | CWHvh1 | 1,452 | 606 | 767 | 79 | 189 | 42\% | 58\% |
| Tahsis | CWHvh1 | 211 | 53 | 153 | 4 | 9 | 49\% | 51\% |
| Koksilah | CWHmm2 | 37 | 36 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 52\% | 48\% |
| Tlupana | CWHvm1 | 19,534 | 10,401 | 7,474 | 1,658 | 2539 | 65\% | 35\% |
| Tugwell | CWHxm2 | 1,635 | 1,413 | 188 | 34 | 49 | 69\% | 31\% |
| Kleeptee | CWHvm1 | 4,548 | 3,125 | 1,278 | 145 | 197 | 74\% | 26\% |
| Nahmint | MHmm1 | 1,624 | 294 | 944 | 385 | 455 | 85\% | 15\% |
| Cowichan | CWHvm1 | 152 | 139 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 87\% | 13\% |
| Gold | CWHxm2 | 6,268 | 3,248 | 2,252 | 768 | 815 | 94\% | 6\% |
| Corrigan | CWHvm1 | 10,229 | 8,409 | 565 | 1,255 | 1330 | 94\% | 6\% |
| Bonanza | CWHvm1 | 15,514 | 12,776 | 754 | 1,985 | 2017 | 98\% | 2\% |


| Landscape Unit | BEC <br> Variant | CFLB <br> Area (ha) | Immature Forest in CFLB (ha) | Mature Forest in CFLC (ha) | Old Forest in CFLB (ha) |  | \% of target in Old | \% of target in Recruitment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Great Central | CWHxm2 | 8,869 | 6,994 | 1,075 | 800 | 798 | 100\% | 0\% |
| Corrigan | CWHxm2 | 3,856 | 2,941 | 520 | 395 | 347 | 114\% | 0\% |
| Upper Nimpkish | CWHxm2 | 23,829 | 20,238 | 1,030 | 2,561 | 2145 | 119\% | 0\% |
| Gold | CWHvm1 | 16,498 | 10,521 | 2,164 | 3,813 | 3135 | 122\% | 0\% |
| Ash | CWHxm2 | 96 | 71 | 14 | 11 | 9 | 130\% | 0\% |
| Rosewall | CWHmm2 | 709 | 622 | 2 | 85 | 64 | 133\% | 0\% |
| China | CWHmm 2 | 336 | 273 | 22 | 41 | 30 | 136\% | 0\% |
| China | MHmm1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 142\% | 0\% |
| Artlish | CWHvh1 | 481 | 228 | 163 | 90 | 63 | 144\% | 0\% |
| Kaouk | CWHvh1 | 2,880 | 1,147 | 1,168 | 565 | 374 | 151\% | 0\% |
| Nahmint | CWHvm2 | 5,584 | 1,253 | 2,656 | 1,675 | 1061 | 158\% | 0\% |
| Cous | CWHmm 2 | 1,605 | 1,147 | 382 | 76 | 48 | 159\% | 0\% |
| Tlupana | MHmm1 | 2,115 | 252 | 1,215 | 648 | 402 | 161\% | 0\% |
| Tlupana | CWHvm2 | 7,758 | 1,805 | 4,305 | 1,648 | 1009 | 163\% | 0\% |
| Nahmint | CWHvm1 | 8,274 | 3,433 | 2,244 | 2,597 | 1572 | 165\% | 0\% |
| Holberg | CWHvm1 | 26,717 | 18,071 | 6,674 | 1,972 | 1158 | 170\% | 0\% |
| Corrigan | CWHmm2 | 430 | 262 | 99 | 68 | 39 | 177\% | 0\% |
| Klanawa | CWHvm1 | 23,383 | 16,487 | 706 | 6,190 | 3040 | 204\% | 0\% |
| Cous | CWHxm2 | 2,436 | 1,832 | 451 | 153 | 73 | 209\% | 0\% |
| Klaskish | CWHvm1 | 1,520 | 720 | 175 | 625 | 289 | 216\% | 0\% |
| Gold | CWHvm2 | 20,451 | 7,360 | 4,342 | 8,748 | 3886 | 225\% | 0\% |
| Little Qualicum | CWHxm2 | 1,105 | 801 | 80 | 224 | 99 | 225\% | 0\% |
| Gold | MHmm1 | 15,011 | 2,182 | 2,998 | 9,832 | 4203 | 234\% | 0\% |
| Upper Nimpkish | CWHvm1 | 22,095 | 14,023 | 929 | 7,144 | 2872 | 249\% | 0\% |
| Kaouk | CWHvm 1 | 13,539 | 6,344 | 2,620 | 4,575 | 1760 | 260\% | 0\% |
| Keogh | CWHvm1 | 24,133 | 18,208 | 3,129 | 2,796 | 1046 | 267\% | 0\% |
| Nootka | CWHvm1 | 31,691 | 17,998 | 2,508 | 11,184 | 4120 | 271\% | 0\% |
| Holberg | CWHvm2 | 1,428 | 689 | 570 | 169 | 62 | 273\% | 0\% |
| Effingham | MHmm1 | 324 | 40 | 111 | 173 | 62 | 281\% | 0\% |
| Klaskish | CWHvh1 | 15,384 | 4,753 | 2,385 | 8,246 | 2923 | 282\% | 0\% |
| Bonanza | CWHvm2 | 15,462 | 7,787 | 1,830 | 5,844 | 2010 | 291\% | 0\% |
| Corrigan | MHmm1 | 683 | 148 | 151 | 384 | 130 | 296\% | 0\% |
| Bonanza | MHmm1 | 8,476 | 1,042 | 2,535 | 4,898 | 1610 | 304\% | 0\% |
| Cous | CWHmm1 | 6,133 | 5,094 | 471 | 568 | 184 | 309\% | 0\% |
| Klaskish | MHmm1 | 14 | 0 | 1 | 13 | 4 | 325\% | 0\% |
| Klanawa | CWHvm2 | 1,456 | 564 | 271 | 621 | 189 | 328\% | 0\% |
| Klaskish | CWHvm2 | 908 | 136 | 196 | 577 | 173 | 334\% | 0\% |
| Artlish | CWHvm1 | 7,927 | 3,669 | 789 | 3,469 | 1030 | 337\% | 0\% |
| Mahatta | CWHvm1 | 21,820 | 16,701 | 1,936 | 3,183 | 946 | 337\% | 0\% |
| Rosewall | MHmm1 | 241 | 81 | 5 | 155 | 46 | 338\% | 0\% |
| Great Central | MHmm1 | 3,135 | 584 | 503 | 2,048 | 596 | 344\% | 0\% |
| Effingham | CWHvm1 | 12,688 | 4,724 | 2,148 | 5,816 | 1649 | 353\% | 0\% |
| Corrigan | CWHvm2 | 2,347 | 856 | 414 | 1,077 | 305 | 353\% | 0\% |


| Landscape Unit | BEC <br> Variant | CFLB <br> Area (ha) | Immature Forest in CFLB (ha) | Mature Forest in CFLC (ha) | Old Forest in CFLB (ha) | OF Target (ha) | \% of <br> target <br> in Old |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Toquaht | MHmm1 | 365 | 39 | 78 | 247 | 69 | 357\% | 0\% |
| Toquaht | CWHvh1 | 821 | 426 | 8 | 388 | 107 | 363\% | 0\% |
| Tahsish | CWHvm1 | 13,781 | 5,818 | 1,389 | 6,573 | 1791 | 367\% | 0\% |
| Nanaimo | CWHmm2 | 162 | 104 | 2 | 55 | 15 | 379\% | 0\% |
| Nootka | CWHvh1 | 14,128 | 5,352 | 1,782 | 6,994 | 1837 | 381\% | 0\% |
| Upper Nimpkish | CWHvm2 | 26,045 | 11,881 | 819 | 13,345 | 3386 | 394\% | 0\% |
| Tahsish | CWHvh1 | 889 | 78 | 353 | 458 | 116 | 396\% | 0\% |
| Escalante | CWHvh1 | 2,612 | 1,051 | 1,111 | 450 | 113 | 397\% | 0\% |
| Nootka | CWHvm2 | 3,236 | 1,173 | 332 | 1,731 | 421 | 411\% | 0\% |
| Nanaimo | MHmm1 | 286 | 12 | 47 | 227 | 54 | 417\% | 0\% |
| Upper Nimpkish | MHmm1 | 18,527 | 3,416 | 363 | 14,748 | 3520 | 419\% | 0\% |
| Kaouk | MHmm1 | 761 | 51 | 97 | 613 | 145 | 424\% | 0\% |
| Tahsish | MHmm1 | 1,685 | 75 | 245 | 1,365 | 320 | 426\% | 0\% |
| Tugwell | CWHmm1 | 348 | 303 | 0 | 45 | 10 | 430\% | 0\% |
| Tugwell | CWHvm1 | 2,352 | 1,810 | 104 | 439 | 102 | 430\% | 0\% |
| Effingham | CWHvh1 | 2,270 | 727 | 257 | 1,285 | 295 | 436\% | 0\% |
| Artlish | MHmm1 | 992 | 69 | 99 | 824 | 189 | 437\% | 0\% |
| Puntledge | CWHxm2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 454\% | 0\% |
| Ash | MHmm1 | 2,452 | 163 | 150 | 2,140 | 466 | 459\% | 0\% |
| Escalante | CWHvm1 | 7,964 | 5,071 | 1,296 | 1,597 | 345 | 463\% | 0\% |
| Maggie | CWHvm1 | 5,635 | 4,073 | 388 | 1,174 | 244 | 481\% | 0\% |
| Toquaht | CWHvm1 | 8,750 | 2,588 | 685 | 5,477 | 1137 | 482\% | 0\% |
| Mahatta | CWHvh1 | 12,076 | 8,194 | 1,347 | 2,535 | 523 | 484\% | 0\% |
| Kaouk | CWHvm2 | 4,425 | 712 | 906 | 2,807 | 575 | 488\% | 0\% |
| Cameron | MHmm1 | 36 | 0 | 1 | 34 | 7 | 504\% | 0\% |
| Gold | CWHmm1 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 536\% | 0\% |
| Great Central | CWHmm1 | 7,631 | 2,707 | 1,223 | 3,701 | 687 | 539\% | 0\% |
| Maggie | CWHvm2 | 218 | 163 | 3 | 52 | 9 | 547\% | 0\% |
| Effingham | CWHvm2 | 3,847 | 318 | 788 | 2,741 | 500 | 548\% | 0\% |
| Artlish | CWHvm2 | 4,181 | 657 | 523 | 3,001 | 544 | 552\% | 0\% |
| Neroutsos | CWHvm1 | 15,597 | 9,742 | 2,086 | 3,768 | 676 | 558\% | 0\% |
| Sarita | CWHvm1 | 22,767 | 16,960 | 289 | 5,519 | 987 | 559\% | 0\% |
| Toquaht | CWHvm2 | 3,235 | 301 | 574 | 2,360 | 421 | 561\% | 0\% |
| Tahsish | CWHvm2 | 9,033 | 1,631 | 634 | 6,767 | 1174 | 576\% | 0\% |
| Tahsis | CWHvm1 | 19,976 | 10,624 | 4,260 | 5,092 | 866 | 588\% | 0\% |
| Loss | CWHvm1 | 12,182 | 8,366 | 648 | 3,168 | 528 | 600\% | 0\% |
| Maggie | CWHvh1 | 2,172 | 1,281 | 312 | 579 | 94 | 615\% | 0\% |
| Kashutl | CWHvh1 | 7,943 | 4,373 | 1,423 | 2,146 | 344 | 623\% | 0\% |
| Ash | CWHmm1 | 3,877 | 1,446 | 234 | 2,197 | 349 | 630\% | 0\% |
| Keogh | CWHvm2 | 4,799 | 3,344 | 119 | 1,336 | 208 | 642\% | 0\% |
| Eliza | CWHvm1 | 22,311 | 11,951 | 3,944 | 6,415 | 967 | 664\% | 0\% |
| Klanawa | CWHvh1 | 876 | 32 | 54 | 790 | 114 | 694\% | 0\% |
| Cous | MHmm1 | 361 | 48 | 153 | 161 | 23 | 702\% | 0\% |


| Landscape Unit | $\begin{gathered} \text { BEC } \\ \text { Variant } \end{gathered}$ | CFLB <br> Area (ha) | Immature Forest in CFLB (ha) | Mature Forest in CFLC (ha) | Old Forest in CFLB (ha) | OF Target (ha) | \% of target in Old | \% of target in Recruitment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cous | CWHvm2 | 2,695 | 1,403 | 467 | 824 | 117 | 706\% | 0\% |
| Great Central | CWHmm 2 | 8,517 | 2,179 | 871 | 5,467 | 767 | 713\% | 0\% |
| Cameron | CWHxm2 | 301 | 99 | 0 | 202 | 27 | 747\% | 0\% |
| Eliza | CWHvh1 | 6,826 | 3,580 | 1,005 | 2,241 | 296 | 758\% | 0\% |
| Gold | CWHmm2 | 109 | 0 | 0 | 109 | 14 | 769\% | 0\% |
| Sarita | CWHvm2 | 2,339 | 1,416 | 119 | 804 | 101 | 794\% | 0\% |
| Holberg | CWHvh1 | 3,839 | 2,312 | 177 | 1,350 | 166 | 812\% | 0\% |
| Keogh | MHmm1 | 1,137 | 443 | 70 | 623 | 72 | 866\% | 0\% |
| Ash | CWHmm2 | 4,996 | 765 | 298 | 3,933 | 450 | 875\% | 0\% |
| Henderson | CWHvm1 | 17,091 | 8,410 | 2,173 | 6,508 | 741 | 879\% | 0\% |
| Escalante | CWHvm2 | 3,479 | 1,071 | 1,081 | 1,327 | 151 | 880\% | 0\% |
| Burman | CWHvm1 | 13,423 | 4,508 | 3,713 | 5,202 | 582 | 894\% | 0\% |
| Henderson | CWHmm1 | 67 | 13 | 35 | 19 | 2 | 938\% | 0\% |
| Zeballos | CWHvm1 | 7,215 | 4,068 | 138 | 3,009 | 313 | 963\% | 0\% |
| Barkley Sound Islands | CWHvm1 | 685 | 354 | 45 | 286 | 30 | 964\% | 0\% |
| Tugwell | CWHvm2 | 1,625 | 894 | 52 | 679 | 70 | 964\% | 0\% |
| Effingham | CWHmm1 | 94 | 0 | 12 | 81 | 8 | 965\% | 0\% |
| Loss | CWHvh1 | 1,037 | 577 | 23 | 437 | 45 | 972\% | 0\% |
| Burman | MHmm1 | 4,359 | 593 | 1,031 | 2,735 | 276 | 991\% | 0\% |
| Mahatta | CWHvm2 | 6,782 | 2,949 | 868 | 2,965 | 294 | 1009\% | 0\% |
| Henderson | MHmm1 | 322 | 59 | 53 | 209 | 20 | 1025\% | 0\% |
| Mahatta | MHmm1 | 346 | 19 | 102 | 225 | 22 | 1029\% | 0\% |
| Loss | MHmm1 | 559 | 166 | 27 | 366 | 35 | 1033\% | 0\% |
| Kashutl | CWHvm1 | 22,878 | 9,868 | 2,477 | 10,533 | 991 | 1062\% | 0\% |
| Henderson | CWHvm2 | 5,158 | 1,315 | 1,366 | 2,477 | 224 | 1108\% | 0\% |
| Loss | CWHvm2 | 5,443 | 2,056 | 669 | 2,718 | 236 | 1152\% | 0\% |
| Tahsis | MHmm1 | 2,567 | 192 | 498 | 1,877 | 163 | 1155\% | 0\% |
| Upper Campbell | CWHxm2 | 1,025 | 654 | 2 | 369 | 31 | 1201\% | 0\% |
| Eliza | CWHvm2 | 3,913 | 855 | 1,001 | 2,057 | 170 | 1213\% | 0\% |
| Buttle | MHmm1 | 7,136 | 1,037 | 554 | 5,544 | 452 | 1227\% | 0\% |
| Neroutsos | MHmm1 | 77 | 1 | 15 | 61 | 5 | 1250\% | 0\% |
| Puntledge | MHmm1 | 1,482 | 111 | 182 | 1,188 | 94 | 1266\% | 0\% |
| Neroutsos | CWHvm2 | 5,123 | 1,402 | 871 | 2,850 | 222 | 1284\% | 0\% |
| Eliza | MHmm1 | 118 | 12 | 8 | 98 | 8 | 1309\% | 0\% |
| Sarita | CWHvh1 | 3,685 | 1,109 | 453 | 2,123 | 160 | 1329\% | 0\% |
| Kashutl | MHmm1 | 382 | 19 | 40 | 324 | 24 | 1337\% | 0\% |
| Tugwell | MHmm1 | 106 | 16 | 0 | 90 | 7 | 1343\% | 0\% |
| Zeballos | MHmm1 | 1,903 | 234 | 48 | 1,621 | 121 | 1345\% | 0\% |
| Tugwell | CWHmm2 | 224 | 131 | 2 | 91 | 7 | 1354\% | 0\% |
| Tahsis | CWHvm2 | 8,613 | 1,670 | 1,699 | 5,245 | 373 | 1405\% | 0\% |
| Barkley Sound Islands | CWHvh1 | 2,866 | 504 | 567 | 1,795 | 124 | 1445\% | 0\% |


| Landscape Unit | BEC Variant | CFLB <br> Area (ha) | Immature Forest in CFLB (ha) | Mature Forest in CFLC (ha) | Old Forest in CFLB (ha) | OF Target (ha) | \% of target in Old |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Burman | CWHvm2 | 8,472 | 1,065 | 1,979 | 5,428 | 367 | 1479\% | 0\% |
| Nigei | CWHvh1 | 7,039 | 1,484 | 1,028 | 4,527 | 305 | 1484\% | 0\% |
| Upper Campbell | MHmm1 | 2,360 | 74 | 0 | 2,285 | 149 | 1529\% | 0\% |
| Brooks | CWHvh1 | 12,569 | 68 | 4,019 | 8,482 | 545 | 1557\% | 0\% |
| Oyster | MHmm1 | 304 | 0 | 0 | 304 | 19 | 1579\% | 0\% |
| Escalante | MHmm1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1579\% | 0\% |
| Upper Campbell | CWHvm1 | 1,537 | 369 | 61 | 1,108 | 67 | 1664\% | 0\% |
| Zeballos | CWHvm2 | 4,910 | 1,106 | 137 | 3,667 | 213 | 1724\% | 0\% |
| Kashutl | CWHvm2 | 8,003 | 750 | 892 | 6,361 | 347 | 1834\% | 0\% |
| Nasparti | CWHvm1 | 1,029 | 65 | 109 | 854 | 45 | 1916\% | 0\% |
| Upper Campbell | CWHvm2 | 1,000 | 16 | 136 | 847 | 43 | 1956\% | 0\% |
| Nasparti | CWHvh1 | 12,037 | 284 | 1,509 | 10,244 | 522 | 1964\% | 0\% |
| Nasparti | CWHvm2 | 946 | 0 | 102 | 843 | 41 | 2058\% | 0\% |
| Buttle | CWHxm2 | 8,358 | 2,114 | 225 | 6,019 | 251 | 2401\% | 0\% |
| Buttle | CWHmm2 | 10,834 | 1,257 | 1,137 | 8,441 | 325 | 2597\% | 0\% |
| Buttle | CWHmm1 | 3,223 | 202 | 466 | 2,555 | 97 | 2643\% | 0\% |
| Puntledge | CWHmm1 | 1,372 | 117 | 108 | 1,147 | 41 | 2785\% | 0\% |
| Upper Campbell | CWHmm1 | 1,206 | 51 | 112 | 1,044 | 36 | 2885\% | 0\% |
| Puntledge | CWHmm2 | 1,670 | 45 | 178 | 1,447 | 50 | 2889\% | 0\% |
| Upper Campbell | CWHmm2 | 3,318 | 73 | 67 | 3,178 | 100 | 3193\% | 0\% |
| Oyster | CWHmm2 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 3333\% | 0\% |

### 8.3 Mature-Plus-Old Forest Relative to Non-Legal Targets

Table 10. Colour Scale for interpreting the status of current condition relative to the policy targets for mature-plus-old forest.

| Gradient Scale for Mature-plus- | Indicator Condition | Current Condition Status (\% of Old <br> or Mature-plus-Old Forest) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Below Target | 0 to $30 \%$ |
|  | Below Target | 30 to $<50 \%$ |
|  | Below Target | 50 to $<75 \%$ |
|  | Below Target | 75 to $<100 \%$ |
|  | Target Met | 100 to $<110 \%$ |
|  | Above Target | 110 to $<125 \%$ |
|  | Above Target | $\geq 125 \%$ |

Table 11. Comparison of Biodiversity Guidebook targets to the amount of mature-plus-old forest available for the target by assessment unit (landscape unit and biogeoclimatic variant).

| Landscape Unit | BEC Variant | CFLB Area (ha) | M + O Forest Target (ha) | M + 0 Total (ha) | \% of policy target met by Mature+Old |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chemainus | MHmm1 | 24 | 9 | 0 | 0\% |
| Cowichan | MHmm1 | 25 | 5 | 0 | 0\% |
| French Creek | CWHxm2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
| Millstone | CWHmm 2 | 40 | 7 | 0 | 0\% |
| Nitinat | CWHxm 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0\% |
| Oyster | CWHxm1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0\% |
| Gordon | CWHxm2 | 270 | 92 | 1 | 1\% |
| Little Qualicum | CWHmm2 | 332 | 113 | 1 | 1\% |
| Chemainus | CWHmm2 | 1,395 | 474 | 25 | 5\% |
| Nanaimo | CWHxm2 | 671 | 228 | 17 | 7\% |
| Bonanza | CWHxm 2 | 105 | 36 | 3 | 7\% |
| Gordon | CWHmm1 | 4,761 | 1,619 | 144 | 9\% |
| Millstone | CWHxm1 | 64 | 11 | 1 | 9\% |
| Koksilah | CDFmm | 116 | 20 | 3 | 14\% |
| Rosewall | CWHxm2 | 3,441 | 1,170 | 186 | 16\% |
| Caycuse | CWHmm1 | 4,948 | 1,682 | 299 | 18\% |
| Caycuse | CWHmm 2 | 1,324 | 450 | 88 | 20\% |
| Nitinat | CWHmm1 | 199 | 68 | 14 | 20\% |
| Caycuse | CWHxm2 | 1,154 | 392 | 80 | 20\% |
| Koksilah | CWHmm 2 | 37 | 6 | 1 | 21\% |
| Shawnigan | CWHxm 2 | 80 | 14 | 3 | 23\% |
| French Creek | CWHxm1 | 61 | 10 | 3 | 26\% |
| Nanoose | CDFmm | 286 | 49 | 13 | 28\% |
| Englishman | CDFmm | 355 | 121 | 34 | 28\% |
| Koksilah | CWHxm2 | 639 | 109 | 31 | 28\% |
| Cowichan | CWHmm2 | 220 | 37 | 12 | 31\% |
| White | CWHxm2 | 1,465 | 747 | 250 | 33\% |


| Landscape Unit | BEC Variant | CFLB Area (ha) | M + O Forest Target (ha) | M + O Total (ha) | \% of policy target met by Mature+Old |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chemainus | CWHxm2 | 2,525 | 859 | 290 | 34\% |
| Rosewall | CWHmm2 | 708 | 241 | 87 | 36\% |
| China | CWHxm2 | 141 | 48 | 21 | 43\% |
| French Creek | CDFmm | 351 | 60 | 27 | 46\% |
| Sooke | CWHxm1 | 46 | 8 | 4 | 46\% |
| Sproat Lake | CWHxm2 | 4,561 | 1,551 | 713 | 46\% |
| Upper Nimpkish | CWHxm2 | 24,007 | 8,162 | 3,758 | 46\% |
| Cowichan | CWHvm1 | 152 | 27 | 13 | 47\% |
| Quadra | CWHmm1 | 1,289 | 438 | 213 | 49\% |
| Bonanza | CWHvm1 | 15,513 | 5,585 | 2,740 | 49\% |
| Nanaimo | CWHxm1 | 1,698 | 577 | 285 | 49\% |
| Corrigan | CWHvm1 | 10,229 | 3,682 | 1,822 | 49\% |
| White | CWHmm1 | 718 | 366 | 189 | 51\% |
| Nitinat | CWHmm 2 | 322 | 109 | 57 | 52\% |
| Sproat Lake | CWHxm1 | 1,256 | 427 | 225 | 53\% |
| Marble | CWHvm1 | 27,671 | 9,961 | 5,471 | 55\% |
| Chemainus | CDFmm | 183 | 62 | 34 | 55\% |
| China | CWHmm 2 | 337 | 115 | 64 | 56\% |
| Little Qualicum | CDFmm | 992 | 337 | 190 | 56\% |
| White | CWHvm1 | 15,585 | 8,416 | 4,933 | 59\% |
| Rosewall | CDFmm | 1,285 | 437 | 264 | 60\% |
| Sayward | CWHxm1 | 5,038 | 1,713 | 1,064 | 62\% |
| Great Central | CWHxm2 | 8,868 | 3,015 | 1,877 | 62\% |
| Salmon | CWHxm2 | 15,362 | 2,612 | 1,631 | 62\% |
| Cowichan | CDFmm | 778 | 132 | 84 | 64\% |
| San Juan | CWHmm1 | 4,624 | 1,572 | 1,020 | 65\% |
| Gold | cWHvm1 | 16,498 | 8,909 | 5,981 | 67\% |
| Little Qualicum | CWHxm1 | 443 | 151 | 102 | 67\% |
| San Juan | cWHvm1 | 15,166 | 5,460 | 3,779 | 69\% |
| Corrigan | CWHxm2 | 3,856 | 1,311 | 917 | 70\% |
| Sayward | CWHxm2 | 33,566 | 11,412 | 8,413 | 74\% |
| Gordon | CWHvm1 | 11,708 | 4,215 | 3,167 | 75\% |
| Tugwell | CWHmm1 | 348 | 59 | 45 | 76\% |
| Rosewall | CWHxm1 | 4,137 | 1,406 | 1,082 | 77\% |
| Ash | CWHxm2 | 96 | 32 | 25 | 78\% |
| Sayward | CWHmm1 | 8,286 | 2,817 | 2,204 | 78\% |
| Caycuse | CWHvm1 | 9,107 | 3,278 | 2,598 | 79\% |
| Tugwell | CWHxm2 | 1,634 | 278 | 222 | 80\% |
| Little Qualicum | CWHxm2 | 1,107 | 376 | 306 | 81\% |
| Chemainus | CWHxm1 | 3,544 | 1,205 | 981 | 81\% |
| Klanawa | CWHvm1 | 23,886 | 8,419 | 6,911 | 82\% |
| Nanaimo | CDFmm | 874 | 297 | 247 | 83\% |
| China | MHmm1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 85\% |


| Landscape Unit | BEC Variant | CFLB Area (ha) | M + O Forest Target (ha) | M + O Total (ha) | \% of policy target met by Mature+Old |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Quinsam | CWHxm1 | 487 | 83 | 71 | 86\% |
| Tsitika | CWHvm1 | 10,246 | 5,533 | 4,795 | 87\% |
| San Josef | CWHvm1 | 36,619 | 13,183 | 11,954 | 91\% |
| Caycuse | CWHvm2 | 4,193 | 1,510 | 1,376 | 91\% |
| Gold | CWHxm2 | 6,267 | 3,196 | 3,022 | 95\% |
| Klaskish | CWHvm1 | 1,520 | 821 | 802 | 98\% |
| Nitinat | cWHvm1 | 36,742 | 13,227 | 13,360 | 101\% |
| Upper Nimpkish | CWHvm1 | 22,136 | 7,969 | 8,114 | 102\% |
| White | CWHmm2 | 540 | 275 | 287 | 104\% |
| Nanaimo | CWHmm 2 | 162 | 55 | 57 | 105\% |
| Nanoose | CWHxm1 | 295 | 50 | 53 | 105\% |
| Great Central | CWHxm1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 108\% |
| Nahmint | CWHvm1 | 8,272 | 4,467 | 4,843 | 108\% |
| Sproat Lake | CWHmm1 | 7,379 | 2,509 | 2,801 | 112\% |
| Millstone | CWHxm2 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 112\% |
| Corrigan | CWHmm2 | 430 | 146 | 168 | 115\% |
| White | CWHvm2 | 8,854 | 4,781 | 5,508 | 115\% |
| Gordon | CWHvm2 | 4,094 | 1,474 | 1,737 | 118\% |
| Adam-Eve | CWHvm1 | 30,264 | 5,447 | 6,442 | 118\% |
| Marble | CWHvm2 | 9,561 | 3,442 | 4,076 | 118\% |
| Gold | CWHvm2 | 20,452 | 11,044 | 13,097 | 119\% |
| Nootka | CWHvm1 | 31,691 | 11,409 | 13,699 | 120\% |
| Tsitika | CWHvm2 | 8,130 | 4,390 | 5,382 | 123\% |
| Nahmint | CWHxm2 | 56 | 29 | 35 | 123\% |
| Koksilah | CWHxm1 | 886 | 151 | 190 | 126\% |
| Salmon | CWHmm1 | 29,685 | 5,046 | 6,413 | 127\% |
| Gordon | CWHmm2 | 704 | 240 | 305 | 127\% |
| Klaskish | CWHvh1 | 15,385 | 8,308 | 10,634 | 128\% |
| Tugwell | CWHvm1 | 2,352 | 423 | 543 | 128\% |
| Tlupana | CWHvm1 | 19,538 | 7,034 | 9,150 | 130\% |
| Mahatta | CWHvm1 | 21,821 | 3,928 | 5,124 | 130\% |
| Cowichan | CWHxm2 | 2,205 | 375 | 497 | 132\% |
| Toquaht | CWHvh1 | 819 | 295 | 396 | 134\% |
| Gold | CWHmm1 | 8 | 4 | 5 | 136\% |
| Keogh | CWHvm1 | 24,129 | 4,343 | 5,929 | 137\% |
| San Juan | CWHvm2 | 5,338 | 1,922 | 2,636 | 137\% |
| Sayward | CWHmm2 | 4,429 | 1,506 | 2,074 | 138\% |
| Bonanza | CWHvm2 | 15,462 | 5,566 | 7,677 | 138\% |
| Nitinat | cWHvm2 | 4,646 | 1,672 | 2,342 | 140\% |
| Maggie | cWHvm2 | 217 | 39 | 55 | 141\% |
| Sarita | CWHvm1 | 22,764 | 4,097 | 5,816 | 142\% |
| Nahmint | cWHvm2 | 5,584 | 3,015 | 4,333 | 144\% |
| Sproat Lake | CWHvm1 | 486 | 175 | 253 | 145\% |


| Landscape Unit | BEC Variant | CFLB Area (ha) | M + O Forest Target (ha) | M + O Total (ha) | \% of policy target met by Mature+Old |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Artlish | CWHvh1 | 481 | 173 | 253 | 146\% |
| Nahwitti | CWHvm1 | 2,132 | 768 | 1,125 | 147\% |
| Cowichan | CWHxm1 | 4,614 | 784 | 1,152 | 147\% |
| Kaouk | CWHvm1 | 13,540 | 4,874 | 7,198 | 148\% |
| Shawnigan | CWHxm1 | 1,023 | 174 | 257 | 148\% |
| Artlish | CWHvm1 | 7,926 | 2,853 | 4,260 | 149\% |
| San Josef | CWHvm2 | 3,234 | 1,164 | 1,744 | 150\% |
| Upper Nimpkish | cWHvm2 | 26,058 | 9,381 | 14,179 | 151\% |
| Quadra | CWHxm2 | 9,492 | 3,227 | 4,885 | 151\% |
| Marble | MHmm 1 | 3,264 | 1,175 | 1,780 | 151\% |
| Nahmint | MHmm1 | 1,623 | 877 | 1,329 | 152\% |
| Maggie | CWHvm1 | 5,635 | 1,014 | 1,562 | 154\% |
| White | MHmm1 | 4,699 | 2,537 | 3,921 | 155\% |
| San Juan | CWHmm 2 | 310 | 105 | 165 | 157\% |
| Klaskish | cWHvm2 | 908 | 491 | 773 | 158\% |
| Gold | MHmm1 | 15,011 | 8,106 | 12,832 | 158\% |
| Tahsish | cWHvm1 | 13,780 | 4,961 | 7,967 | 161\% |
| Sproat Lake | CWHmm2 | 2,510 | 853 | 1,372 | 161\% |
| Tlupana | CWHvh1 | 1,452 | 523 | 847 | 162\% |
| Tsitika | MHmm1 | 5,033 | 2,718 | 4,453 | 164\% |
| Trent | CWHxm1 | 187 | 32 | 52 | 165\% |
| Nahmint | CWHmm1 | 8 | 4 | 7 | 166\% |
| Kaouk | CWHvh1 | 2,880 | 1,037 | 1,733 | 167\% |
| Keogh | cWHvm2 | 4,798 | 864 | 1,457 | 169\% |
| Klanawa | CWHvm2 | 1,456 | 524 | 893 | 170\% |
| Shawnigan | CDFmm | 598 | 102 | 174 | 171\% |
| Gordon | CWHvh1 | 57 | 20 | 35 | 172\% |
| Shushartie | CWHvh1 | 13,983 | 7,551 | 12,984 | 172\% |
| Nootka | CWHvh1 | 14,127 | 5,086 | 8,778 | 173\% |
| Quadra | CWHxm1 | 1,404 | 477 | 827 | 173\% |
| Kleeptee | CWHvm1 | 4,548 | 819 | 1,425 | 174\% |
| Loss | CWHvm1 | 12,182 | 2,193 | 3,821 | 174\% |
| Effingham | CWHvm1 | 12,688 | 4,568 | 7,973 | 175\% |
| Corrigan | cWHvm2 | 2,347 | 845 | 1,492 | 177\% |
| Nootka | cWHvm2 | 3,237 | 1,165 | 2,064 | 177\% |
| Mahatta | CWHvh1 | 12,076 | 2,174 | 3,884 | 179\% |
| Holberg | cWHvm1 | 26,717 | 4,809 | 8,655 | 180\% |
| Caycuse | MHmm1 | 152 | 55 | 100 | 182\% |
| Lower Nimpkish | CWHxm2 | 18,183 | 3,091 | 5,637 | 182\% |
| Rosewall | MHmm1 | 241 | 87 | 160 | 184\% |
| Ash | CWHmm1 | 3,877 | 1,318 | 2,432 | 185\% |
| Klaskish | MHmm1 | 14 | 8 | 14 | 185\% |
| Lower Nimpkish | CWHvm1 | 23,503 | 4,231 | 7,950 | 188\% |


| Landscape Unit | BEC Variant | CFLB Area (ha) | M + O Forest Target (ha) | M + O Total (ha) | \% of policy target met by Mature+Old |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Effingham | CWHvh1 | 2,267 | 816 | 1,543 | 189\% |
| Great Central | CWHmm1 | 7,631 | 2,595 | 4,925 | 190\% |
| Sproat Lake | CWHvm2 | 5,755 | 2,072 | 3,935 | 190\% |
| Somass | CWHxm2 | 1,343 | 228 | 434 | 190\% |
| Walbran | CWHvm1 | 24,407 | 8,787 | 17,077 | 194\% |
| Tsulquate | CWHvm1 | 11,654 | 4,196 | 8,207 | 196\% |
| Toquaht | CWHvm1 | 8,750 | 3,150 | 6,167 | 196\% |
| Gold | CWHmm2 | 109 | 56 | 109 | 196\% |
| Cameron | CWHxm2 | 301 | 102 | 202 | 198\% |
| San Josef | CWHvh1 | 40,470 | 14,569 | 29,443 | 202\% |
| Escalante | cWHvm1 | 7,964 | 1,434 | 2,898 | 202\% |
| Englishman | CWHxm1 | 114 | 39 | 79 | 205\% |
| Neroutsos | cWHvm1 | 15,597 | 2,808 | 5,858 | 209\% |
| San Juan | CWHvh1 | 192 | 69 | 146 | 211\% |
| Nitinat | MHmm1 | 471 | 169 | 360 | 212\% |
| Somass | CWHxm1 | 441 | 75 | 159 | 213\% |
| Upper Campbell | CWHxm2 | 1,024 | 174 | 371 | 213\% |
| Tlupana | cWHvm2 | 7,759 | 2,793 | 5,956 | 213\% |
| Corrigan | MHmm1 | 683 | 246 | 535 | 218\% |
| Great Central | CWHmm 2 | 8,518 | 2,896 | 6,341 | 219\% |
| Sarita | cWHvm2 | 2,339 | 421 | 925 | 220\% |
| Holberg | CWHvh1 | 3,838 | 691 | 1,528 | 221\% |
| Great Central | MHmm 1 | 3,134 | 1,128 | 2,550 | 226\% |
| Upper Nimpkish | MHmm1 | 18,527 | 6,670 | 15,115 | 227\% |
| Tahsish | CWHvm2 | 9,032 | 3,252 | 7,403 | 228\% |
| Maggie | CWHvh1 | 2,169 | 390 | 890 | 228\% |
| Sproat Lake | MHmm1 | 2,430 | 875 | 2,002 | 229\% |
| Nahwitti | CWHvh1 | 25,563 | 9,203 | 21,390 | 232\% |
| Kaouk | cWHvm2 | 4,426 | 1,593 | 3,714 | 233\% |
| Artlish | CWHvm2 | 4,182 | 1,505 | 3,526 | 234\% |
| Walbran | cWHvm2 | 4,341 | 1,563 | 3,690 | 236\% |
| Simms | CWHxm1 | 11 | 2 | 4 | 238\% |
| Sayward | MHmm1 | 1,558 | 561 | 1,340 | 239\% |
| Millstone | CDFmm | 770 | 131 | 317 | 242\% |
| Zeballos | CWHvm1 | 7,215 | 1,299 | 3,149 | 242\% |
| Walbran | MHmm 1 | 113 | 41 | 99 | 244\% |
| Bonanza | MHmm1 | 8,476 | 3,051 | 7,435 | 244\% |
| Effingham | MHmm1 | 324 | 117 | 284 | 244\% |
| Tlupana | MHmm 1 | 2,114 | 761 | 1,863 | 245\% |
| Tugwell | CWHmm2 | 224 | 38 | 94 | 246\% |
| Loss | CWHvh1 | 1,036 | 187 | 460 | 247\% |
| Toquaht | MHmm1 | 364 | 131 | 325 | 248\% |
| Ash | cWHmm2 | 4,996 | 1,698 | 4,232 | 249\% |


| Landscape Unit | BEC Variant | CFLB Area (ha) | M + O Forest Target (ha) | M + O Total (ha) | \% of policy target met by Mature+Old |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KashutI | CWHvh1 | 7,939 | 1,429 | 3,569 | 250\% |
| Tugwell | CWHvm2 | 1,625 | 292 | 732 | 250\% |
| Tsulquate | CWHvh1 | 8,165 | 2,940 | 7,370 | 251\% |
| San Juan | MHmm1 | 735 | 265 | 664 | 251\% |
| Toquaht | CWHvm2 | 3,235 | 1,165 | 2,934 | 252\% |
| Tahsish | CWHvh1 | 889 | 320 | 811 | 253\% |
| Effingham | CWHvm2 | 3,848 | 1,385 | 3,529 | 255\% |
| Gordon | MHmm1 | 454 | 164 | 418 | 256\% |
| Eliza | CWHvm1 | 22,312 | 4,016 | 10,369 | 258\% |
| Artlish | MHmm 1 | 992 | 357 | 923 | 258\% |
| Malcolm | CWHvm1 | 2,633 | 474 | 1,226 | 259\% |
| Kaouk | MHmm1 | 761 | 274 | 710 | 259\% |
| Ash | MHmm 1 | 2,452 | 883 | 2,290 | 259\% |
| San Josef | MHmm1 | 58 | 21 | 54 | 260\% |
| Tahsis | CWHvm1 | 19,978 | 3,596 | 9,359 | 260\% |
| Nitinat | CWHvh1 | 2,821 | 1,015 | 2,657 | 262\% |
| Salmon | CWHmm 2 | 13,806 | 2,347 | 6,166 | 263\% |
| Eliza | CWHvh1 | 6,827 | 1,229 | 3,249 | 264\% |
| Tahsish | MHmm1 | 1,684 | 606 | 1,610 | 265\% |
| Puntledge | CWHxm2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 266\% |
| Nanaimo | MHmm1 | 286 | 103 | 274 | 266\% |
| Klanawa | CWHvh1 | 876 | 315 | 845 | 268\% |
| Barkley Sound Islands | CWHvm1 | 685 | 123 | 331 | 269\% |
| Walbran | CWHvh1 | 1,906 | 686 | 1,906 | 278\% |
| Cameron | MHmm 1 | 36 | 13 | 36 | 278\% |
| Naka | CWHvm1 | 5,050 | 909 | 2,561 | 282\% |
| Henderson | CWHvm1 | 17,084 | 3,075 | 8,691 | 283\% |
| Holberg | CWHvm2 | 1,428 | 257 | 739 | 288\% |
| Salmon | CWHvm1 | 1,323 | 238 | 698 | 293\% |
| Effingham | CWHmm1 | 93 | 32 | 93 | 294\% |
| Adam-Eve | CWHvm2 | 18,277 | 3,290 | 9,970 | 303\% |
| Salmon | CWHvm2 | 1,018 | 183 | 565 | 308\% |
| Puntledge | CWHxm1 | 101 | 17 | 53 | 309\% |
| Tsolum | CWHxm1 | 135 | 23 | 72 | 314\% |
| Mahatta | CWHvm2 | 6,782 | 1,221 | 3,836 | 314\% |
| Kashutl | CWHvm1 | 22,879 | 4,118 | 13,016 | 316\% |
| Keogh | MHmm1 | 1,136 | 216 | 693 | 321\% |
| Lower Nimpkish | CWHvm2 | 13,636 | 2,454 | 8,052 | 328\% |
| Escalante | CWHvh1 | 2,612 | 470 | 1,563 | 333\% |
| Loss | CWHvm2 | 5,443 | 980 | 3,392 | 346\% |
| Burman | CWHvm1 | 13,430 | 2,417 | 8,918 | 369\% |
| Loss | MHmm1 | 559 | 106 | 395 | 372\% |


| Landscape Unit | BEC Variant | CFLB Area (ha) | M + O Forest Target (ha) | $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{O}$ Total (ha) | \% of policy target met by Mature+Old |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Naka | CWHvm2 | 4,284 | 771 | 2,883 | 374\% |
| Kleeptee | CWHxm2 | 681 | 116 | 438 | 378\% |
| Kleeptee | CWHvm2 | 3,168 | 570 | 2,161 | 379\% |
| Escalante | CWHvm2 | 3,479 | 626 | 2,409 | 385\% |
| Adam-Eve | MHmm1 | 9,555 | 1,815 | 7,032 | 387\% |
| Sarita | CWHvh1 | 3,681 | 663 | 2,575 | 389\% |
| Sooke | CWHxm2 | 770 | 131 | 519 | 396\% |
| Neroutsos | CWHvm2 | 5,123 | 922 | 3,721 | 404\% |
| Henderson | CWHvm2 | 5,157 | 928 | 3,845 | 414\% |
| Tahsis | CWHvh1 | 211 | 38 | 158 | 416\% |
| Lower Nimpkish | MHmm1 | 7,379 | 1,402 | 5,829 | 416\% |
| Salmon | MHmm 1 | 8,147 | 1,548 | 6,519 | 421\% |
| Upper Campbell | CWHvm1 | 1,537 | 277 | 1,169 | 422\% |
| Henderson | MHmm 1 | 321 | 61 | 262 | 429\% |
| Zeballos | CWHvm2 | 4,911 | 884 | 3,805 | 430\% |
| Eliza | CWHvm2 | 3,913 | 704 | 3,059 | 434\% |
| Nigei | CWHvh1 | 7,036 | 1,267 | 5,553 | 438\% |
| Buttle | CWHxm2 | 8,358 | 1,421 | 6,246 | 440\% |
| Tahsis | CWHvm2 | 8,614 | 1,551 | 6,945 | 448\% |
| Tugwell | MHmm1 | 106 | 20 | 91 | 449\% |
| Buttle | MHmm 1 | 7,134 | 1,355 | 6,097 | 450\% |
| Burman | MHmm 1 | 4,358 | 828 | 3,765 | 455\% |
| Barkley Sound Islands | CWHvh1 | 2,860 | 515 | 2,357 | 458\% |
| Zeballos | MHmm1 | 1,903 | 362 | 1,669 | 461\% |
| Naka | MHmm 1 | 2,826 | 537 | 2,519 | 469\% |
| Holberg | MHmm1 | 152 | 29 | 135 | 470\% |
| Eliza | MHmm1 | 118 | 22 | 106 | 472\% |
| Henderson | CWHmm1 | 67 | 11 | 54 | 476\% |
| Burman | CWHvm2 | 8,471 | 1,525 | 7,408 | 486\% |
| Puntledge | MHmm1 | 1,482 | 282 | 1,371 | 487\% |
| Tahsis | MHmm1 | 2,567 | 488 | 2,375 | 487\% |
| Kleeptee | MHmm1 | 1,163 | 221 | 1,088 | 492\% |
| Mahatta | MHmm1 | 345 | 66 | 327 | 498\% |
| Kashutl | MHmm1 | 382 | 73 | 363 | 500\% |
| Kashutl | CWHvm2 | 8,003 | 1,440 | 7,254 | 504\% |
| Upper Campbell | MHmm1 | 2,360 | 448 | 2,285 | 510\% |
| Buttle | CWHmm 2 | 10,835 | 1,842 | 9,578 | 520\% |
| Nasparti | CWHvm1 | 1,029 | 185 | 964 | 520\% |
| Neroutsos | MHmm1 | 77 | 15 | 76 | 522\% |
| Oyster | MHmm1 | 304 | 58 | 304 | 526\% |
| Escalante | MHmm1 | 6 | 1 | 6 | 526\% |
| Puntledge | CWHmm1 | 1,372 | 233 | 1,255 | 538\% |


| Landscape Unit | BEC Variant | CFLB Area (ha) | M + O Forest <br> Target (ha) | $\mathbf{M}+\mathbf{O}$ Total (ha) | \% of policy <br> target met by <br> Mature+Old |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nasparti | CWHvh1 | 12,037 | 2,167 | 11,753 |  |
| Upper Campbell | CWHvm2 | 1,000 | 180 | 984 |  |
| Buttle | CWHmm1 | 3,223 | 548 | 3,021 |  |
| Brooks | CWHvh1 | 12,569 | 2,262 | 12,501 |  |
| Nasparti | CWHvm2 | 945 | 170 | 945 |  |
| Kleeptee | CWHvh1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | $547 \%$ |
| Upper Campbell | CWHmm1 | 1,206 | 205 | 1,156 |  |
| Puntledge | CWHmm2 | 1,670 | 284 | 1,626 |  |
| Upper Campbell | CWHmm2 | 3,318 | 564 | 3,245 | $551 \%$ |
| Burman | CWHxm2 | 16 | 3 | 16 | $553 \%$ |
| Oyster | CWHmm2 | 9 | 2 | $575 \%$ |  |

### 8.4 Area of Old Forest by BEC Variant and Watershed within Clayoquot Sound

Table 12. Area of old forest by BEC variant and watershed within Clayoquot Sound. This table is included to provide information on how old forest (>140 years) retention occurs across BEC variants within Watershed Planning Units. Targets for retention are not applied at this level, they are applied for the whole of the Watershed Planning Unit, those results are shown in Table 4.

| BEC Variant | Watershed Plan Unit | CFLB (ha) | Target old (ha) | Old (ha) | \% Old |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CWHvh1 | Bedingfield | 2823 | 1129 | 1924 | 68.1\% |
| CWHvh1 | Bedwell-Ursus-Bulson | 202 | 81 | 133 | 66.0\% |
| CWHvh1 | Cypre | 6016 | 2407 | 3375 | 56.1\% |
| CWHvh1 | Flores Island | 7623 | 3049 | 7295 | 95.7\% |
| CWHvh1 | Fortune Channel | 4511 | 1804 | 2045 | 45.3\% |
| CWHvh1 | Hesquiaht | 11587 | 4635 | 10826 | 93.4\% |
| CWHvh1 | Kennedy Lake | 9609 | 3843 | 2765 | 28.8\% |
| CWHvh1 | Sydney-Pretty Girl | 220 | 88 | 167 | 75.9\% |
| CWHvh1 | Tofino-Tranquil | 26* | 10 | 2 | 6.6\% |
| CWHvm1 | Bedingfield | 5618 | 2247 | 3774 | 67.2\% |
| CWHvm1 | Bedwell-Ursus-Bulson | 10492 | 4197 | 8745 | 83.3\% |
| CWHvm1 | Clayoquot River | 4023 | 1609 | 3912 | 97.2\% |
| CWHvm1 | Cypre | 10401 | 4160 | 6143 | 59.1\% |
| CWHvm1 | Flores Island | 6331 | 2532 | 6171 | 97.5\% |
| CWHvm1 | Fortune Channel | 4157 | 1663 | 2552 | 61.4\% |
| CWHvm1 | Hesquiaht | 9485 | 3794 | 7075 | 74.6\% |
| CWHvm1 | Kennedy Lake | 8988 | 3595 | 4768 | 53.1\% |
| CWHvm1 | Sydney-Pretty Girl | 15985 | 6394 | 14690 | 91.9\% |
| CWHvm1 | Tofino-Tranquil | 5696 | 2278 | 3729 | 65.5\% |
| CWHvm1 | Upper Kennedy | 9432 | 3773 | 6390 | 67.8\% |
| CWHvm2 | Bedingfield | 1550 | 620 | 1357 | 87.5\% |
| CWHvm2 | Bedwell-Ursus-Bulson | 6292 | 2517 | 5703 | 90.6\% |
| CWHvm2 | Clayoquot River | 2072 | 829 | 1969 | 95.0\% |
| CWHvm2 | Cypre | 5474 | 2190 | 4556 | 83.2\% |
| CWHvm2 | Flores Island | 744 | 298 | 743 | 99.9\% |
| CWHvm2 | Fortune Channel | 1204 | 482 | 914 | 75.9\% |
| CWHvm2 | Hesquiaht | 1088 | 435 | 881 | 81.0\% |
| CWHvm2 | Kennedy Lake | 2053 | 821 | 1235 | 60.2\% |
| CWHvm2 | Sydney-Pretty Girl | 2362 | 945 | 2284 | 96.7\% |
| CWHvm2 | Tofino-Tranquil | 2864 | 1146 | 2458 | 85.8\% |
| CWHvm2 | Upper Kennedy | 6200 | 2480 | 5170 | 83.4\% |
| MHmm1 | Bedingfield | 170 | 68 | 165 | 96.9\% |
| MHmm1 | Bedwell-Ursus-Bulson | 1882 | 753 | 1202 | 63.9\% |
| MHmm1 | Clayoquot River | 175 | 70 | 136 | 77.9\% |
| MHmm1 | Cypre | 960 | 384 | 770 | 80.2\% |
| MHmm1 | Fortune Channel | 43* | 17 | 43 | 100.0\% |
| MHmm1 | Kennedy Lake | 138 | 55 | 118 | 85.1\% |
| MHmm1 | Sydney-Pretty Girl | 159 | 63 | 147 | 92.9\% |
| MHmm1 | Tofino-Tranquil | 557 | 223 | 391 | 70.1\% |
| MHmm1 | Upper Kennedy | 1388 | 555 | 775 | 55.9\% |
| Total |  | 170,626 | 68,250 | 127,498 |  |

[^5]
## Appendix 3 - Assessment Data Assumptions, Scripting and Methodology

The CEF Old Growth Protocol conceptual model for reporting current condition of old growth forest is based on completing analysis for:

- Non-spatial assessment for old and old + mature forest in each analysis unit (Landscape Unit, BEC subzone/variant, Natural Disturbance Type) relative to Regional, Provincial legal order targets and Provincial Policy Targets
- The amount of incursions into OGMA based on OGMA legal order objectives.


## Non-spatial Old Growth Seral Assessment: Input Datasets

The aspatial analysis is done using the following input datasets:

| Name | Source | Date |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vegetation Resource Inventory (VRI) | WHSE_FOREST_VEGETATION.VEG_COMP_LYR_R1_POLY | Feb 2020 |
| Biogeoclimatic Ecosystem Classification | WHSE_FOREST_VEGETATION.BEC_BIOGEOCLIMATIC_POLY | Feb 2020 |
| Generalized Ownership | WHSE_FOREST_VEGETATION.F_OWN (2019 vintage updated with new <br> ownership coding) | Feb 2020 |
| Landscape Unit Boundaries | WHSE_LAND_USE_PLANNING.RMP_LANDSCAPE_UNIT_SVW | Feb 2020 |
| Human Disturbance | Custom dataset created for the Provincial Cumulative Effects Framework | Dec 2019 |
| Legal Land Use Planning | WHSE_LAND_USE_PLANNING.RMP_PLAN_LEGAL_POLY_SVW | Feb 2020 |
| Non-Legal Land Use Planning | WHSE_LAND_USE_PLANNING.RMP_PLAN_NON_LEGAL_POLY_SVW | Feb 2020 |
| Tree Farm Licenses | FAIB data | Aug 2019 |

The analysis is done one Landscape Unit at a time and by the following components:

1. Determination of Crown Forest Lands Base
2. Determination of Seral Stage
3. Calculation of Percentage Old and Percentage Mature plus Old
4. Comparison of existing percentages to Legal Orders and Biodiversity Guidebook targets

## Cumulative Effects Crown Forested Landbase (CE CFLB) Definition:

The CFLB is defined as provincial Crown land with forest cover managed for timber supply or other forest management objectives. This layer includes all Crown forested land, area-based tenures like Tree Farm Licenses, , Community Forests and First Nations Woodland Tenures, all forested portions of provincial parks, protected areas, ecological reserves and Federal Parks. The CE CFLB is purposely different from a TSR CFLB due to the specific analysis objectives and questions associated to current condition reporting, and the challenges associated from Regional variability applied in developing TSR CFLB definitions. This Regional and Provincial inconsistency with the TSR CFLB makes it difficult to accommodate a standardized analysis approach required in CE reporting for old growth.

The seral stage and current condition assessment of old growth and mature + old forest retention was done on the Crown Forested Land Base (CFLB) only and not further classified by the Timber Harvesting Landbase (THLB) or Noncontributing Landbase (NC). CFLB is determined using information from the Vegetation Resource Inventory (VRI) and the Generalized Forest Cover Ownership (FOWN). VRI is used to determine the Forest Management Land Base (FMLB) and FOWN is used to determine Crown land.

Crown Land from FOWN was customized to create 4 types of ownership: 1) Crown _Forest, 2) Protected, 3) Federal, 4) Private

| Crown_Forest |
| :--- |
| 62C: Crown - Forest Management |
| Unit |
| 68U: Forest Recreation Reserve |
| 69C: Crown - Community Watershed |
| 69U: Misc Reserves, Watershed |
| Reserves, Misc Reserves (Caribou) |
| 70C: Crown - Active Timber Licence |
| in TFL |
| 70N: Crown - Active Timber Licence |
| in TSA |
| 72B: Crown - Schedule B Land TFL |
| 74N: Crown - Timber Alienated |
| Watershed |
| 75N: Crown - Christmas Tree Permit |
| 78: Crown - First Nations Woodland |
| Licence |
| 79B: Crown - Community Forest, |
| Schedule B |


| Protected | Federal | Private |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 60: Crown Conservancy Area, | 50: Federal Reserve | 40: Private |
| Ecological Reserve, Protected | 51: National Park | 41: Treaty Lands |
| Area, Provincial Park | 52: Indian Reserve |  |
| 61: Crown UREP Reserves | 53: Military Reserve |  |
| 63: Crown BMTA (biodiversity, | 54: Dominion Government |  |
| mining, tourism areas) |  |  |
| 64:Crown SFMA (special | Block |  |
| management forest area) |  |  |
| 65: Crown WMA (wildlife |  |  |
| management area) |  |  |
| 66: Crown RA (recreation area) |  |  |
| 67: Crown HS (heritage site) |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

For this assessment the categories of "Crown_Forest" and "Protected" were treated as being Crown Land. "Federal" and "Private" categories were excluded from the analysis. Provincial and Federal Parks are included in the CFLB whereas IR, Military Reserves are not. Some of the larger parks such as Entiako, Robson Valley, Strathcona, Wells Gray or Yoho National Park cover entire landscape units. These landscape units would not be assigned a BEO which means there is no old growth target for old and mature + old to compare against for in seral assessment results. These Landscape Units would be assigned as No Target in assessment mapping and reporting results.?

## Non-Spatial Old Growth Analysis Methodology

Union Datasets: The input datasets are clipped by landscape unit and then unioned to create the analysis units.

1. Calculate Cumulative Effects Crown Forest Land Base (CE CFLB): The CE CFLB is determined by ownership type and Forest Management Land Base from the VRI. CFLB is calculated by selecting "crown" land (OWN in ( $51,60,61,62 C, 63,64$, $65,66,67,68 \mathrm{U}, 69 \mathrm{C}, 69 \mathrm{U}, 70 \mathrm{C}, 70 \mathrm{~N}, 72 \mathrm{~B}, 74 \mathrm{~N}, 75 \mathrm{~N}, 78,79 \mathrm{~B}$ ) within the Forest Management Land Base (FOR_MGMT_LAND_ BASE_IND = 'Y').
2. Adjust for Human Disturbance: Where the CEF disturbance layer indicates the land has been disturbed the forest age is revised to 0 . This includes buffering of roads and other linear features (e.g. fire guards and recreation trails).
3. Calculate Seral stage for Old, Mature, Mid and Early: Seral Stage is determined by comparing the forest age in the VRI to the thresholds which are defined in the Legal Orders and Biodiversity Guidebook by Natural Disturbance Type and by BEC zone. For example, in NDT1 CWH in a Low BEO Landscape Unit has:
-Early Seral 0-39
-Mid Seral 40-80
-Mature Seral 81-250
-Old Seral > 250
-Old Growth Target 13\%
-Old + Mature Target 18\%

[^6]4. Results Calculation: The total CFLB area of each seral stage by BEC subzone is calculated. The percentage of old and old plus mature in CFLB is then calculated. The area of old required to meet the target is calculated based on the summed CFLB area and the percentage target.
5. Current Condition Calculation Status: The Old Status and Old plus Mature Status are calculated by comparing the existing percentages to the target percentages in the CFLB. The existing percentage old and old plus mature is then compared to the targets defined in the Legal Order and the Biodiversity Guidebook and assigned a category for current condition. These categories are based on the Percent of target met with Old Forest (and Old +Mature) by LU and BEC subzone/variant:

- 0-30\% of target met
- 30-50\% of target met
- 50-75\% of target met
- 75-100\% of target met
- 100-110\% of target met
- 110-125\% of target met
- Over $125 \%$ of target met
- No target


## Vancouver Island Specific Data Assumptions:

## Vancouver Island Land Use Plan Special Management Zones (VILUP SMZ)

VILUP designated Special Management Zones with mature targets of $25 \%-33 \%$ with no old growth target. The SMZ's boundaries do not always follow landscape unit boundaries. Based on Regional guidance derived from legal advice and TSR assumptions, a $25 \%$ target for mature+old No this is not required just the one by LU/BEC delete the SMZ assessment was used in the CE assessment. Even though the SMZ overlaps Landscape Units and BEC subzones, the mature target is assigned to the entire SMZ polygon. Therefore, it is possible for a piece of land to meet a target based on the LU analysis but not meet the target based on the SMZ analysis.

## Clayoquot Sound

The Clayoquot Sound Watershed Plans are a special case. Old growth targets of $40 \%$ are set for all Clayoquot Sound Watershed Plans. There are no mature plus old targets. The following table outlines the Clayoquot Sound Watershed Plans used in this CCR:

| Names |
| :--- |
| Bedingfield Watershed Plan |
| Bedwell-Ursus-Bulson Watershed Plan |
| Clayoquot River Watershed Plan |
| Cypre Watershed Plan |
| Flores Island Watershed Plan |
| Fortune Channel Watershed Plan |
| Hesquiaht Watershed Plan |
| Kennedy Lake Watershed Plan |
| Sydney-Pretty Girl Watershed Plan |
| Tofino-Tranquil (Onadsilth-Eekseuklis) Watershed Plan |
| Upper Kennedy Watershed Plan |

## Tree Farm Licenses and Private Land

There are portions of Vancouver Island that have no VRI data because they are Tree Farm Licences (TFLs) or private land. To accommodate this data gap, the best available information for TFLs from the Forest Analysis Inventory Branch (FAIB) was used.

## Spatial Old Growth Management Area (OGMA) Analysis and Methodology

The OGMA analysis used the following data layers:

| Name | Source | Date | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Legal OGMA | WHSE_LAND_USE_PLANNING.RMP_OGMA_ LEGAL_CURRENT_SVW | Feb 2020 |  |
| Non-legal OGMA | WHSE_LAND_USE_PLANNING.RMP_OGMA_ NON_LEGAL_CURRENT_SVW | Feb 2020 |  |
| Winter Ungulate Range | WHSE_WILDLIFE_MANAGEMENT.WCP_ UNGULATE_WINTER_RANGE_SP | Nov 2021 | A mapped area of habitat associated with species-specific management requirements. |
| Human Disturbance |  | Dec 2019 | Custom dataset created for the Provincial Cumulative Effects Framework. |

The OGMA incursion assessment uses the seral stage assessment CFLB resultant data set as does the Aspatial target assessment described below. All assessments are based on the Cumulative Effects Crown Forested Landbase (CE CFLB) as described above.

## Analysis and Methodology

Union Datasets: The input datasets are clipped by landscape unit and then unioned to create the analysis units.

1. Calculate Cumulative Effects Crown Forest Land Base (CE CFLB): The CE CFLB is determined by ownership type and Forest Management Land Base from the VRI. CFLB is calculated by selecting "crown" land (OWN in (51, 60, 61, 62, 63, $64,65,66,67,68,69,70,72,74,75,78,79,80$ ) within the Forest Management Land Base (FOR_MGMT_LAND_BASE_ IND $=$ ' $Y^{\prime}$ ) and selecting from Ownership using the above coding.
2. Adjust for Human Disturbance: Where the CEF disturbance layer indicates the land has been disturbed the forest age is revised to 0 . This includes buffering of roads and other linear features (e.g., fire guards and recreation trails).
3. Calculate Seral stage for Old, Mature, Mid and Early: Seral Stage is determined by comparing the forest age in the VRI to the thresholds which are defined in the Legal Orders and Biodiversity Guidebook by Natural Disturbance Type and by BEC zone. For example, in NDT1 CWH in a Low BEO Landscape Unit has:
-Early Seral 0-39
-Mid Seral 40-80
-Mature Seral 81-250
-Old Seral > 250
-Old Growth Target 13\%
-Old + Mature Target 18\%
4. Results Calculation: The total CFLB area of each seral stage by BEC subzone is calculated. The percentage of old and old plus mature in CFLB is then calculated. The area of old required to meet the target is calculated based on the summed CFLB area and the percentage target.
5. Add Vancouver Island Reserves: Region data representing reserves such as Old Growth Management Areas (OGMAs), Ungulate Winter Ranges (UWR), Wildlife Habitat Areas (WHA) and Coastal Douglas Fir moist maritime (CDFmm) were added by intersecting.
6. Calculate Summary Tables: the augmented resultant was exported to MS Access where a series of queries were developed and run on the resultant to summarize Landscape Units' Old Growth targets performance.

## OGMA Incursions

OGMAs have been legally established in parts of the province, exist in a "non-legal"/DRAFT status in other parts of the province and in some places do not exist at all. The spatial old growth analysis is an assessment of incursions into OGMA's from human disturbance (forestry and non-forestry related) as compared to OGMA Legal Orders. This spatial analysis examined both legal and non-legal/DRAFT OGMAs.

Orders legally establishing OGMAs vary considerably across the province. Some orders have a fixed incursion limits (either hectare amounts or percentage of OGMA area), others have variable incursion limits based on the size of the OGMA and others require that all incursions are replaced with ecologically equivalent areas (therefore no incursion threshold). Non-legal OGMAs generally do not have set incursion limits, although in some parts of the province, incursion limits exist for non-legal OGMAs (i.e., Merrit, Okanagan Regional Guidance for non-legal OGMA's). All these variations in incursion limits were considered in this analysis.

For the Vancouver Island OGMA Incursion Assessment, there was a zero tolerance for incursions as all incursions into an OGMA require a replacement (as required by Legal Orders). If there was an incursion detected in the OGMA assessment, it was reported.

## Definition of Incursion

Incursions included all types of development found in the Cumulative Effects Consolidated Development Layer: roads, forest cut blocks, urban, oil \& gas including seismic lines, mines, fire guards and other industrial.

For the OGMA incursion analysis, only "current" incursions were considered. This means that cut blocks and seismic lines from the CE development layer that were more than 20 years old or pre-date the legal establishment of the OGMA not used in assessment reporting.

The OGMA incursion assessment did not consider natural disturbance from wildfires or insect outbreaks due to the lack of updated and reliable inventories in the BCGW. Future assessments should attempt to include natural disturbance data if the information is accessible and improved.

## Known Issues

1) Many OGMAs in the province were established with pre-existing development (roads, pipelines, seismic lines, trails, cutblocks) within the polygon under the assumption they are no longer considered a disturbance. Much of this disturbance data lacks dates of when the disturbance occurred and remove from the OGMA. for the sake of this assessment, these incursions (except for cutblocks and seismic lines) were included in the total incursion reporting. This results in significantly skewed outcomes of the OGMA incursion analysis. Future OGMA incursion assessment should attempt to differentiate incursion disturbances between pre and post OGMA establishment.
2) The 2020 version of BEC (version 11) from the BCGW was used in the assessment, which has been updated since OGMAs were originally established. This has resulted in OGMA's being reported in incorrect or new BECs (e.g., alpine.)

[^0]:    1 This does not include the 800,000 hectares of private managed forest land located mainly on the southeast side of Vancouver Island.
    ${ }^{2}$ This current condition assessment reports on the total amount of Crown Forested Landbase without differentiating between the non-contributing landbase and timber harvesting landbase.

[^1]:    1 The mature seral forest is defined as generally 80 to 120 years old or older, depending on species and site conditions. The structure of mature seral forests generally includes canopies that vary vertically or horizontally, or both. The age and structure of the mature seral stage will vary significantly by forest type and from one biogeoclimatic zone to another.
    2 The old seral forest is defined as generally greater than 250 years old, containing live and dead (downed and standing) trees of various sizes, including large diameter trees, and of various tree species, including broad-leaved trees. The structure of old seral forests varies significantly by forest type and from one biogeoclimatic zone to another.
    3 Mature seral targets will be established through landscape unit planning.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ Implementation of the Biodiversity Guidebook was limited to a 4\% impact on Provincial timber supply as outlined in the 1996 Forest Practices Code Timber Supply Analysis. The old growth targets in the Biodiversity Guidebook (Ministry of Forests \& Ministry of Environment, Land and Parks, 1995) were a negotiated outcome that deviated from expected natural conditions.

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ The CFLB is the forested area of the TSA that the provincial government manages for a variety of natural resources values. This excludes non-forested areas (e.g. water, rock, ice), non-productive forest (e.g. alpine areas, areas with very low productivity), and non-commercial forest (e.g. shrub/brush areas). The CFLB does include federally protected areas (e.g. National Parks) because of their contribution to biodiversity.
    5 The Forest Analysis Inventory Branch of FLNRORD defines the THLB for the Province. It is defined as an estimate of the land area where timber harvesting is considered both acceptable and economically feasible, given the objectives for all relevant forest values, existing timber quality, market values and applicable technology. The THLB is derived from the data, forest management practices and assumptions described in a Timber Supply Review (TSR). It is a theoretical, strategic-level estimate used for timber supply analysis and could include areas that may never be harvested or may exclude areas that will be harvested. The Non-contributing Land Base is the difference between the CFLB and THLB and is the landbase that does not contribute to the Allowable Annual Cut (AAC) but does contribute to seral stage and old growth targets. The non-contributing landbase includes parks, riparian reserves, inoperable forest, and other areas 100\% removed from the THLB.

[^4]:    ${ }^{6}$ As described in Figure 2.1 and Table 2.1 within the document Watershed Planning in Clayoquot Sound: Volume 1 Principles and Process (Clayoquot Sound Technical Planning Committee, 2006).

[^5]:    *Results for very small units (<100ha) can be misleading as they can be greatly influenced by the degree of accuracy in defining the BEC variant or Watershed Panning Unit boundaries. These units are included here for completeness in to show total areas for the Watershed Planning Units.

[^6]:    7 It is recommended that for the Landscape Units that are designated entirely as a Park, future old growth current condition reporting should default to High BEO as to include these LU's in seral assessment reporting.

