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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Louisiana Pacific has completed a Vegetation Resources Inventory for Tree Farm Licence 55. The Phase 2 adjustment has been completed by Timberline Forest Inventory Consultants and this report details the methodology used.

The Phase 2 adjustment for the TFL 55 VRI was carried out using methods detailed in VRI Procedures and Standards for Data Analysis, Attribute Adjustment and Implementation of Adjustment in the Corporate database (MoF 2004).
Table I shows the weighted ratios that have been used to adjust each of the strata. The adjustments have only been applied to stands over the age 40 .

Table I Phase 2 Adjustment

| Stratum | Height | Age | Volume |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Balsam | 1.1151 | 0.8097 | 1.0677 |
| Cedar | 0.9827 | 1.0062 | 1.3673 |
| Hemlock | 0.9033 | 1.4425 | 1.2636 |
| Other | 0.9827 | 1.1917 | 1.3673 |
| Spruce | 0.9766 | 0.8164 | 1.0274 |

Table II shows the inventory statistics after the adjustment for stands over the age 40.
Table II Adjusted Inventory Statistics

| Strata | Area (ha) | Height <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | Age (yrs) | Site Index <br> $\mathbf{( m )}$ | Volume <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 3} \mathbf{~ m}^{\mathbf{3}} / \mathbf{h a}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Spruce | 8,972 | 31.2 | 180.0 | 16.1 | 364.1 |
| Balsam | 3,477 | 23.6 | 140.8 | 13.5 | 236.5 |
| Cedar | 4,583 | 34.7 | 275.1 | 16.1 | 661.3 |
| Hemlock | 5,801 | 28.0 | 248.4 | 11.2 | 478.7 |
| Other | 2,225 | 27.8 | 158.2 | 16.5 | 373.0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 5 , 0 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 5 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 8 . 1}$ |

Table III shows the percent change in the inventory statistics after the adjustment.
Table III Adjusted versus Unadjusted Inventory Statistics

| Strata | Area (ha) | Height <br> (m) | Age (yrs) | Site Index <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | Volume <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 3} \mathbf{~ m}^{\mathbf{3}} / \mathbf{h a}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Spruce | 8,972 | $-2.33 \%$ | $-17.08 \%$ | $8.67 \%$ | $-1.85 \%$ |
| Balsam | 3,477 | $11.49 \%$ | $-19.02 \%$ | $28.61 \%$ | $19.83 \%$ |
| Cedar | 4,583 | $-1.73 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $-1.76 \%$ | $33.69 \%$ |
| Hemlock | 5,801 | $-9.65 \%$ | $18.36 \%$ | $-23.93 \%$ | $11.79 \%$ |
| Other | 2,225 | $-1.24 \%$ | $33.32 \%$ | $-12.09 \%$ | $29.25 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 5 , 0 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 . 9 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 . 5 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 5 8 \%}$ |
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### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

Tree Farm Licence (TFL) 55 is located in the Selkirk Mountains between the Revelstoke Reservoir and Kinbasket Lake. The TFL is approximately 93,000 hectares with 45,000 hectares of productive forest and 19,782 hectares of timber harvesting landbase according to Management Plan No. 3 (Sterling Wood Group, June 2000). A comprehensive vegetation inventory commenced in 2002, based on a Chief Forester's recommendation in the 1996. Atticus Resources Consulting carried out the Phase 1 VRI, which was completed by 2002. Atticus also did the Phase 2 sampling plan and the Phase II ground sampling in the fall and early winter of 2002. This project compiles the ground sampling data and statistically adjusts the vegetation inventory. This project represents one of several projects that are being completed prior to Management Plan No. 4, which is due in April 2005.

### 1.1 Objectives

The main objective of the Phase 2 inventory adjustment is to statistically adjust Phase 1 inventory age, height and resulting VDYP generated volume. Age and height are estimated using air photos and contain an interpretation bias. Volume generated using VDYP contains a volume bias because, which result from:

1. VDYP estimates decay waste and breakage using FIZ and PSYU which are "averaged" values (note: this bias can be removed by calculating a volume adjust factor from the Net Volume Adjustment Factor sampling collected during the ground portion of Phase 2); and
2. Additional model error associated with taper equations, local fit and the many other variables used to estimate volume (note: these biases can be removed by adjusting the VDYP volume with the ground volumes).

### 2.0 DESCRIPTION OF THE DATA

This section details the vegetation resources inventory data set and the ground sample information used to perform the adjustments.

### 2.1 Vegetation Resources Inventory (VRI) Landbase

TFL 55 encompasses 92,700 hectares of land with 54,444 hectares classified vegetated treed. The remaining 38,000 hectares is broken down between vegetated non-treed and non-vegetated (See Table 1).

Table 1 TFL 55 Vegetation Netdown

| Landbase Description | Area (ha) | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Vegetated Treed | 54,444 | $59 \%$ |
| Vegetated Non-Treed | 32,884 | $35 \%$ |
| Non-Treed | 5,372 | $6 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{9 2 , 7 0 0}$ | $100 \%$ |

The vegetated treed inventory was further broken down into strata for ground sampling based upon species within the operable landbase. Of the 54,444 ha of vegetated treed land only 26,646 ha were within or touching the operability line. See Table 2.

Table 2 Broad Strata Summary

| Species | Area (ha) | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Spruce | 9,593 | $36.0 \%$ |
| Balsam | 3,725 | $14.0 \%$ |
| Cedar | 4,745 | $17.8 \%$ |
| Hemlock | 5,937 | $22.3 \%$ |
| Other | 2,646 | $9.9 \%$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{2 6 , 6 4 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

Once the strata were defined, the standards required that each of the strata be further separated in sub-strata, based on volume. However, in this case volume had not yet been assigned to the inventory file (assigned separately by the MSRM). In discussions between Atticus and the Ministry it was decided that the photo interpreted attribute basal area would be used for substratification. The target was less than 15 substrata overall with a maximum of three substrata (low to high basal area) per main species strata. (Atticus, 2003) Table 3 illustrates the final strata and sub-strata used.


Table 3 Final Strata, Sub-Strata Summary

| Strata | Sub-strata | Area (ha) | Percent | \# <br> Plots |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Spruce | Spruce1 | 2,730 | $28.5 \%$ | 9 |
|  | Spruce2 | 3,165 | $33.0 \%$ | 10 |
|  | Spruce3 | 3,699 | $38.5 \%$ | 12 |
| Total Spruce |  | $\mathbf{9 , 5 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 1}$ |
| Balsam | Balsam1 | 1,755 | $47.1 \%$ | 6 |
|  | Balsam2 | 1,969 | $52.9 \%$ | 6 |
| Total Balsam |  | $\mathbf{3 , 7 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ |
| Cedar | Cedar1 | 900 | $19.0 \%$ | 3 |
|  | Cedar2 | 1,778 | $37.5 \%$ | 6 |
|  | Cedar3 | 2,066 | $43.5 \%$ | 6 |
|  | Hemlock1 | $\mathbf{4 , 7 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5}$ |
|  | Hemlock2 | 2,108 | $23.1 \%$ | 4 |
|  | Hemlock3 | 2,458 | $41.4 \%$ | 8 |
| Total Other |  | $\mathbf{5 , 9 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 9}$ |
| Other | 2,646 | $100.0 \%$ | 8 |  |
| Grand TOTAL |  |  |  |  |

### 2.2 Unadjusted Inventory

The unadjusted inventory contained an average stand height of 29.4 meters, age of 199.9 years, site index of 15.8 meters, volume at 12.5 cm dbh utilization level of $368.8 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{ha}$ and volume at 17.5 cm of $358.5 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{ha}$. There is a difference in total area of 248 ha between Atticus' summaries and Timberline Forest Inventory Consultants summaries. This is due to recently harvested blocks that were "erased" from the inventory when the depletions were cut in by the Ministry. This will not affect the results of this analysis. The population was obtained by selecting only those vegetated treed stands that were within or touched the operability line and were greater than 40 years old. See Table 4.


Table 4 Unadjusted Inventory Statistics

| Strata | Area（ha） | Height <br> （m） | Age（yrs） | Site Index （m） | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \text { Volume } \\ 12.5 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{ha} \end{gathered}$ | Volume 17.5 <br> $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{ha}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Spruce | 8，972 | 32.0 | 217.1 | 14.8 | 371.0 | 362.0 |
| Balsam | 3，477 | 21.1 | 173.9 | 10.5 | 197.3 | 182.6 |
| Cedar | 4，583 | 35.3 | 275.1 | 16.4 | 494.6 | 488.8 |
| Hemlock | 5，801 | 31.0 | 209.9 | 14.7 | 428.2 | 417.0 |
| Other | 2，225 | 28.2 | 118.6 | 18.7 | 288.6 | 273.7 |
| Total | 25，058 | 30.5 | 211.3 | 14.8 | 375.4 | 365.2 |

＊Area Weighted Averages

## 2．3 Ground Plot Data

Table 5 shows a summary of Inventory and ground data for each of the Phase 2 ground plots．
Table 5 Phase 2 Ground Plots Summary Table

| Phase 2 |  |  |  |  |  | Phase 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\underset{3}{5}$ | $\underset{0}{\infty}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\#}$ | ê | $\underset{3}{5}$ | $\stackrel{\text { N }}{N}$ | $\underset{0}{100}$ | $\underset{\rightarrow}{\underset{G}{x}}$ | é |  |  |
| 1 | Spruce1 | SXW | 33 | 11 | 17 | SX | BL | 25 | 6 | 0 | ICHvk1 | 1261 |
| 2 | Spruce1 | SXW | 28 | 8 | 3 | SX | CW | 24 | 6 | 0 | ICHvk1 | 1096 |
| 3 | Spruce1 | SX | 25 | 9 | 18 | SX | BL | 156 | 21 | 164 | ICHvk1 | 1349 |
| 4 | Spruce 1 | SE | 138 | 39 | 465 | SE | BL | 236 | 36 | 150 | ICHvk1 | ． |
| 5 | Spruce1 | SX | 165 | 31 | 378 | SE | BL | 246 | 35 | 421 | ICHvk1 | ． |
| 6 | Spruce 1 | SE | 154 | 42 | 252 | SE | BL | 271 | 40 | 449 | ICHvk1 |  |
| 7 | Spruce1 | SXW | 194 | 34 | 224 | SE | BL | 246 | 35 | 375 | ICHvk1 | ． |
| 8 | Spruce1 | SXW | 173 | 40 | 506 | SE | BL | 266 | 39 | 454 | ICHvk1 | 1232 |
| 9 | Spruce 1 | SXW | 150 | 36 | 398 | SE | CW | 206 | 33 | 440 | ICHvk1 |  |
| 10 | Spruce2 | BL | 182 | 20 | 366 | SE | BL | 146 | 25 | 298 | ESSFwc2 | ． |
| 11 | Spruce2 | HM | 325 | 26 | 369 | SE | BL | 246 | 28 | 310 | ESSFvc－ | 1641 |
| 12 | Spruce2 | SXW | 222 | 33 | 441 | SE | BL | 256 | 29 | 316 | ESSFvc－ |  |
| 13 | Spruce2 | BL | 221 | 29 | 379 | SE | BL | 226 | 28 | 340 | ESSFvc－ | 1721 |
| 17 | Spruce2 | SXW | 264 | 35 | 355 | SE | BL | 236 | 34 | 368 | ESSFvc－ | 1537 |
| 18 | Spruce2 | SE | 189 | 38 | 368 | SE |  | 186 | 38 | 470 | ICHvk1 | ． |
| 19 | Spruce2 | HM | 283 |  | 384 | SE | BL | 266 | 33 | 380 | ESSFvc－ | 1549 |
| 31 | Spruce3 | HW | 102 | 16 | 95 | SE | CW | 106 | 28 | 382 | ESSFvc－ | ． |
| 14 | Spruce2 | HM | 196 | 25 | 413 | SE | BL | 246 | 28 | 294 | ESSFvc－ | ． |
| 15 | Spruce2 | BL | 145 | 31 | 353 | SE | BL | 156 | 23 | 284 | ESSFvc－ | ． |
| 16 | Spruce2 | SXW | 213 | 41 | 267 | SE | BL | 266 | 35 | 392 | ICHvk1 | ． |
| 20 | Spruce3 | SE | 264 | 42 | 440 | SE | HW | 256 | 35 | 508 | ESSFvc－ | ． |


| Phase 2 |  |  |  |  |  | Phase 1 |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{(\pi)}{(1)}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathscr{3}$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\Delta o g}$ | $\underset{\exists}{ \pm}$ | é | $\mathscr{Z}$ | $\underset{N}{N}$ | $\underset{0}{1}$ | $\frac{\pi}{7}$ | e |  |  |
| 21 | Spruce3 | SX | 203 | 37 | 602 | SE | BL | 236 | 40 | 411 | ICHvk1 | . |
| 22 | Spruce3 | SXW | 264 |  | 372 | SE | BL | 306 | 34 | 391 | ESSFvc- | . |
| 23 | Spruce3 | BL | 140 | 25 | 313 | SE | BL | 206 | 30 | 385 | ICHvk1 | 1162 |
| 25 | Spruce3 | FDI | 106 | 25 | 244 | SE | PL | 106 | 28 | 326 | ICHvk1 | 1240 |
| 26 | Spruce3 | FDI | 91 | 30 | 230 | SE | PL | 106 | 28 | 326 | ICHvk1 | . |
| 27 | Spruce3 | SXW | 122 | 34 | 557 | SE | BL | 226 | 36 | 466 | ICHvk1 | . |
| 28 | Spruce3 | SXW | 139 | 28 | 335 | SE | HW | 276 | 35 | 433 | ICHvk1 |  |
| 29 | Spruce3 | SXW | 101 | 28 | 439 | SE | FDI | 106 | 28 | 320 | ESSFvc- |  |
| 30 | Spruce3 | FDI | 107 | 23 | 139 | SE | HW | 156 | 33 | 492 | ICHvk1 | . |
| 32 | Balsam1 | HM | 143 | 4 | 0 | BL |  | 36 | 4 | 0 | ESSFvc- | . |
| 42 | Balsam2 | BL | 160 | 24 | 269 | BL | SE | 156 | 26 | 266 | ESSFvv- | 1823 |
| 33 | Balsam1 | SXW | 21 | 5 | 0 | SX | BL | 146 | 23 | 208 | ICHvk1 | . |
| 34 | Balsam1 | BL | 87 | 18 | 55 | BL | SE | 96 | 16 | 119 | ESSFvc- | . |
| 35 | Balsam1 | BL | 86 | 18 | 177 | BL | SE | 106 | 14 | 87 | ESSFvc- | 1875 |
| 36 | Balsam1 | BL | 133 | 24 | 311 | BL | SE | 226 | 23 | 208 | ESSFvc- | . |
| 37 | Balsam1 | BL | 164 | 27 | 92 | BL | SE | 186 | 25 | 266 | ESSFvc- | 1878 |
| 38 | Balsam2 | BL | 197 | 20 | 203 | BL | SE | 176 | 25 | 190 | ESSFvc- | 1682 |
| 39 | Balsam2 | BL | 97 | 17 | 78 | BL | SE | 206 | 20 | 181 | ESSFvc- | 1999 |
| 40 | Balsam2 | BL | 168 | 24 | 240 | BL | SE | 236 | 28 | 291 | ESSFvc- | . |
| 41 | Balsam2 | SE | 62 | 22 | 175 | BL | HW | 116 | 10 | 30 | ESSFvc- | . |
| 43 | Balsam2 | BL | 166 | 25 | 526 | BL | SE | 156 | 26 | 266 | ESSFwc2 | 1400 |
| 44 | Cedar1 | SE | 123 | 32 | 280 | CW | AC | 86 | 20 | 152 | ICHvk1 |  |
| 45 | Cedar 1 | SXW | 83 | 21 | 52 | CW | SE | 106 | 22 | 232 | ICHwk1 | 722 |
| 46 | Cedar1 | CW |  |  | 1017 | CW | SE | 306 | 35 | 501 | ICHvk1 | 1115 |
| 47 | Cedar2 | HW | 142 | 30 | 247 | CW | HW | 206 | 33 | 385 | ICHvk1 | 960 |
| 49 | Cedar2 | HW | 251 | 30 | 353 | CW | HW | 256 | 33 | 452 | ICHvk1 | . |
| 50 | Cedar2 | CW | 354 | 33 | 734 | CW | HW | 306 | 37 | 535 | ICHvk1 | . |
| 51 | Cedar2 | CW | 179 | 38 | 1179 | CW | HW | 306 | 36 | 523 | ICHvk1 | 697 |
| 52 | Cedar2 | CW | 254 | 32 | 603 | CW | HW | 256 | 33 | 504 | ICHvk1 | 910 |
| 53 | Cedar3 | HW | 281 | 37 | 610 | CW | HW | 281 | 38 | 503 | ICHvk1 | . |
| 54 | Cedar3 | HW | 198 | 28 | 566 | CW | SE | 256 | 33 | 479 | ICHvk1 | 1062 |
| 55 | Cedar3 | CW | 213 | 38 | 301 | CW | HW | 256 | 35 | 544 | ICHvk1 | 744 |
| 56 | Cedar3 | CW | 404 | 32 | 801 | CW | HW | 256 | 35 | 530 | ICHvk1 | 1245 |
| 57 | Cedar3 | CW | 522 |  | 1121 | CW | HW | 331 | 39 | 550 | ICHvk1 | 1146 |
| 58 | Cedar3 | CW | 252 | 40 | 563 | CW | HW | 381 | 41 | 569 | ICHvk1 | 798 |
| 59 | Hemlock1 | FDI | 20 | 5 | 0 | HW | FDI | 26 | 8 | 1 | ICHvk1 | 756 |
| 61 | Hemlock1 | HM | 274 | 18 | 477 | HM | BL | 236 | 25 | 331 | ESSFvc- | 1852 |
| 62 | Hemlock1 | HM | 367 |  | 407 | HW | SE | 271 | 33 | 473 | ESSFvc- | 1670 |
| 63 | Hemlock2 | HW | 200 | 32 | 472 | HW | CW | 126 | 25 | 311 | ICHvk1 |  |
| 64 | Hemlock2 | HW | 298 |  | 287 | HW | SE | 236 | 32 | 494 | ICHvk1 | . |
| 65 | Hemlock2 | FDI | 107 | 28 | 433 | HW | CW | 106 | 29 | 341 | ICHvk1 | 1109 |
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| Phase 2 |  |  |  |  |  | Phase 1 |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\boxed{x}}{\circ}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathscr{y}$ | 品 | $\pm$ | 仓ٍ | $\mathscr{y}$ | $\underset{\sim}{5}$ | 品 | $\underset{7}{J}$ | é |  |  |
| 66 | Hemlock2 | HM | 257 | 27 | 439 | H | SE | 271 | 35 | 513 | ESSFvc－ |  |
| 67 | Hemlock2 | SXW | 63 | 21 | 226 | HW | CW | 136 | 33 | 409 | ICHvk1 |  |
| 68 | Hemlock2 | CW |  |  | 810 | HW | CW | 381 | 52 | 581 | ICHvk1 |  |
| 69 | Hemlock2 | CW | 301 | 30 | 701 | HW | CW | 206 | 30 | 375 | ICHvk1 |  |
| 70 | Hemlock3 | CW | 134 | 35 | 532 | HW | CW | 256 | 38 | 532 | ICHvk1 |  |
| 71 | Hemlock3 | CW |  |  | 386 | HW | CW | 186 | 32 | 466 | ICHvk1 | 856 |
| 72 | Hemlock3 | HW | 240 | 24 | 434 | HW | CW | 146 | 25 | 354 | ICHvk1 | 643 |
| 73 | Hemlock3 | CW | 584 | 41 | 237 | HW | CW | 306 | 37 | 523 | ICHvk1 |  |
| 74 | Hemlock3 | HW | 381 | 23 | 411 | HW | CW | 281 | 35 | 514 | ICHvk1 | 957 |
| 75 | Hemlock3 | HW | 281 | 37 | 573 | HW | CW | 236 | 34 | 474 | ICHvk1 | 860 |
| 76 | Hemlock3 | HW | 309 | 34 | 800 | HW | CW | 256 | 35 | 493 | ICHvk1 |  |
| 77 | Hemlock3 | HW | 186 | 42 | 1089 | HM | SE | 276 | 37 | 592 | ICHvk1 | 1326 |
| 79 | Other | AC | 44 | 22 | 81 | AC |  | 56 | 18 | 26 | ICHvk1 | 792 |
| 81 | Other | FDI | 112 | 27 | 434 | FDI | EP | 96 | 25 | 189 | ICHwk1 | 947 |
| 82 | Other | HW | 239 | 29 | 190 | FD | HW | 146 | 30 | 337 | ICHvk1 |  |
| 83 | Other | BL | 90 | 27 | 602 | FDI | SE | 106 | 28 | 360 | ESSFvc－ | 1491 |
| 84 | Other | HW | 237 | 22 | 516 | FD | HW | 146 | 32 | 369 | ICHvk1 |  |
| 85 | Other | CW | 244 |  | 669 | FDI | SE | 126 | 33 | 444 | ICHwk1 | 661 |

### 3.0 METHODS

The Phase 2 adjustment for the TFL 55 VRI was carried out using methods detailed in VRI Procedures and Standards for Data Analysis, Attribute Adjustment and Implementation of Adjustment in the Corporate database (MOF 2004).

### 3.1 Compilation and Net Volume Adjustment Factors

JS Thrower and Associates compiled the Phase 2 ground data and calculated the net volume adjustment factors (NVAF). There were 63 trees sampled, which were distributed as shown in Table 6.

Table 6 Sample size for NVAF

| Stratum | Sample Size |
| :---: | :---: |
| Dead | 4 |
| Immature | 10 |
| Mature-C | 12 |
| Mature-H | 12 |
| Mature-Others | 25 |

Note: The cedar and hemlock were combined for sampling and then post stratified.

### 3.2 Statistical Adjustment

The adjustment process was carried out following Section 4 of the VRI Procedures and Standards for Data Analysis, Attribute Adjustment and Implementation of Adjustment in the Corporate Database (MOF 2004).
The process involves first determining appropriate adjustment ratios for the age and height. Then using VDYP the adjusted volume is calculated. The adjusted volume is then compared to the Phase 2 ground volumes to determine and appropriate volume adjustment ratio.

### 3.3 Post Stratification

There was a considerable amount of 'gaming' done to find appropriate post stratification. Variables such as age, biogeoclimatic zones, height and site index were all considered for stratification. Through gaming it was found that none of the variables considered for post stratification improved the relationships.

No post stratification was done except that Cedar and Douglas-fir have been combined for the volume and height adjustments. There were only 5 plots in Douglas-fir stands and they were very similar to the cedar stands in terms of volumes and heights. For the age adjustment they have been adjusted separately because they are distinctly different (i.e. age adjustment for cedar is 1.006 and Douglas-fir is 1.443). Sam Otukol of the Ministry of Forests stated that it is preferable to use the same strata for age, height and volume adjustments; however he agreed that this was an exception.

### 3.4 Calculating Adjustment Factors

The method used for adjustment factors is the ratio of means (ROM) for all three adjustments; specifically age, height and volume. The observations were weighted appropriately because the selection probabilities were unequal amongst the sub strata.
timberline
Foxest Inventory Consultants

### 4.0 ADJUSTMENT RESULTS

### 4.1 Net Volume Adjustment Factors

The NVAF ratios are shown on Table 7.
Table 7 NVAF Ratios and Sampling Error

| Stratum | Sample <br> Size | Avg. NVAF <br> Volume (m3) | Avg. VRI <br> Volume (m3) | NVAF <br> Ratio | 95\% Sampling <br> Error (Absolute) | CV |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Dead | 4 | 2.9613 | 2.1418 | 1.291 | 0.604 | 29.4 |
| Immature | 10 | 0.2881 | 0.2919 | 0.987 | 0.063 | 8.9 |
| Mature-C | 12 | 6.7724 | 7.6007 | 0.858 | 0.138 | 25.2 |
| Mature-H | 12 | 2.0007 | 1.9594 | 1.049 | 0.111 | 16.7 |
| Mature-Others | 25 | 3.8241 | 3.6243 | 1.021 | 0.064 | 15.1 |

The NVAF factors have been approved by Will Smith. It was suggested that LP Canada consider destructively sampling about eight more tree to bring the sampling error in cedar and hemlock to $10 \%$. Using the original strata, which combined cedar and hemlock, the sampling error was less than $10 \%$. However, the difference in NVAF between cedar and hemlock ( 6.7724 versus 2.0007 ) was too large to ignore, so the strata was split.

### 4.2 Age Adjustment

Table 8 shows the weighted ratio of means for the age adjustment for each of the strata.
Table 8 Age Adjustment Ratios

| Stratum | Age Adjustment Ratio |
| :--- | :---: |
| Balsam | 0.8097 |
| Cedar | 1.0062 |
| Douglas-fir | 1.4425 |
| Hemlock | 1.1917 |
| Spruce | 0.8164 |

The method used for weighting the adjustment ratios has been shown in Table 9.

Table 9 Determining Area weighted Adjustment Ratio for Age (Spruce Stratum)

| Phase 2 Sample | Substratum |  | Age |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \# of } \\ & \text { plots } \end{aligned}$ | Weight | Ratio ** | Ratio * area |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Name | area | Phase 2 | Phase 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | Spruce 1 | 2730.1 | 150 | 206 | 7 | 390 | 0.73 | 283.99 |
| 4 |  |  | 138 | 236 |  | 390 | 0.58 | 228.06 |
| 5 |  |  | 165 | 246 |  | 390 | 0.67 | 261.59 |
| 7 |  |  | 194 | 246 |  | 390 | 0.79 | 307.57 |
| 8 |  |  | 173 | 266 |  | 390 | 0.65 | 253.66 |
| 6 |  |  | 154 | 271 |  | 390 | 0.57 | 221.63 |
| 3 |  |  | 25 | 156 |  | 390 | 0.16 | 62.50 |
| 10 | Spruce 2 | 3164.7 | 182 | 146 | 10 | 316 | 1.25 | 394.50 |
| 15 |  |  | 145 | 156 |  | 316 | 0.93 | 294.15 |
| 18 |  |  | 189 | 186 |  | 316 | 1.02 | 321.57 |
| 13 |  |  | 221 | 226 |  | 316 | 0.98 | 309.47 |
| 17 |  |  | 264 | 236 |  | 316 | 1.12 | 354.02 |
| 11 |  |  | 325 | 246 |  | 316 | 1.32 | 418.10 |
| 14 |  |  | 196 | 246 |  | 316 | 0.80 | 252.15 |
| 12 |  |  | 222 | 256 |  | 316 | 0.87 | 274.44 |
| 19 |  |  | 283 | 266 |  | 316 | 1.06 | 336.70 |
| 16 |  |  | 213 | 266 |  | 316 | 0.80 | 253.41 |
| 31 | Spruce3 | 3698.6 | 102 | 106 | 11 | 336 | 0.96 | 323.55 |
| 25 |  |  | 106 | 106 |  | 336 | 1.00 | 336.24 |
| 26 |  |  | 91 | 106 |  | 336 | 0.86 | 288.66 |
| 29 |  |  | 101 | 106 |  | 336 | 0.95 | 320.38 |
| 30 |  |  | 107 | 156 |  | 336 | 0.69 | 230.62 |
| 23 |  |  | 140 | 206 |  | 336 | 0.68 | 228.51 |
| 27 |  |  | 122 | 226 |  | 336 | 0.54 | 181.51 |
| 21 |  |  | 203 | 236 |  | 336 | 0.86 | 289.22 |
| 20 |  |  | 264 | 256 |  | 336 | 1.03 | 346.74 |
| 28 |  |  | 139 | 276 |  | 336 | 0.50 | 169.34 |
| 22 |  |  | 264 | 306 |  | 336 | 0.86 | 290.09 |
| Accumulative sum |  |  |  |  |  | 9593 |  | 7832.366766 |
| Weighted Adjustment ratio ( 7832.3668 / 9593 ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.816432836 |

* Weight is the area in each substratum divided by the number of plots in that substratum.
* Ratio is Phase 2 age divided by Phase 1 age.

A regression was used to analyse the relationship between the Phase 1 and Phase 2 inventory age (See Figure 1).




Figure 1 Phase 1 versus Phase 2 age
There were several tests done to see if there differences between the Phase 1 and Phase 2 ages. Table 10 shows the ratio of means and the difference of means, both weighted and non-weighted for each of the strata. The table also includes the result of a series of $t$-test used to see if the differences were significant.

Table 10 Statistics for each of the strata (species) for age adjustment

| Species | Balsam | Cedar | Fd | Hemlock | Spruce |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted Ratio Adjustment | 0.8097 | 1.0062 | 1.4425 | 1.1917 | 0.8164 |
| Unweighted Ratio Adjustment | 0.8114 | 1.003 | 1.4425 | 1.1834 | 0.8295 |
| Weighted Difference Adjustment | -34 | -2 | 60 | 45 | -41 |
| Unweighted Difference Adjustment | -34 | -2 | 60 | 43 | -38 |
| Are they Significantly | Ratio different than 1 | Yes | No | No | No |
| Different (0.95) | Means | Yes | No | No | No |
| Weighted Means (0.90) | Weighted Means | Yes | No | No | No |
| Wes |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 10 shows that we can be $90 \%$ confident that the means are significantly different for balsam, Douglas-fir, hemlock and spruce. For cedar the Phase 1 and Phase 2 ages are on average the same.

### 4.3 Height Adjustment

Table 11 shows the weighted ratio of means for the height adjustment for each of the strata.
Table 11 Height Adjustment Ratios

| Stratum | Age Adjustment Ratio |
| :--- | :---: |
| Balsam | 1.1151 |
| Cedar/Douglas-fir | 0.9827 |
| Hemlock | 0.9033 |
| Spruce | 0.9766 |

The method used for the weighted adjustment is the same as that used for the age adjustment (See Table 9).

A regression was used to analyse the relationship between the Phase 1 and Phase 2 inventory height (See Figure 2).


Figure 2 Phase 1 versus Phase 2 height
There were several tests done to see if there differences between the Phase 1 and Phase 2 heights. Table 12 shows the ratio of means and the difference of means, both weighted and non-weighted for each of the strata. The table also includes the result of a series of t-test used to see if the differences were significant.

Table 12 Statistics for each of the strata (species) for height adjustment

| Species | Balsam | Cedar/Fd | Hemlock | Spruce |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Weighted Ratio Adjustment | 1.1151 | 0.9827 | 0.9033 | 0.9766 |
| Unweighted Ratio Adjustment | 1.1126 | 0.9866 | 0.9396 | 0.9754 |
| Weighted Difference Adjustment | 0.8 | -1.1 | -2.9 | -0.7 |
| Unweighted Difference Adjustment | 0.6 | -0.9 | -2.0 | -0.8 |
| Are they <br> Significantly <br> Different $(0.90)$ | Ratio different than 1 | No | No | No |
|  | Means | Weighted Means | No | No |

Figure 3 shows that there is no statistical evidence that there are any differences between the heights of the Phase 1 and Phase 2 ground heights. Although there are no significant differences shown between the interpreted heights and the ground heights the Ministry of Forests (MoF) Resource Inventory Committee (RIC) still wants the heights to be adjusted. While I disagree with this in concept, I respect the Ministries intent to have consistency across the province.

### 4.4 Volume Adjustment

Using the adjusted age and height as inputs a new volume was calculated with VDYP. This new volume is compared to Phase 2 volume to determine the volume adjustment factor, which is simply a ratio of means between the Phase 2 and adjusted Phase 1 volume (See Table 13).

Table 13 Volume Adjustment Ratios

| Stratum | Volume Adjustment Ratio |
| :--- | :---: |
| Balsam | 1.0677 |
| Cedar/Douglas-fir | 1.3673 |
| Hemlock | 1.2636 |
| Spruce | 1.0274 |

There were $t$-tests done to test if the ratios were significantly different than 1 , which is another way of testing if the means are statistically different. The Cedar/Douglas-fir and Hemlock strata proved to be significantly different, but the spruce and balsam strata were not.

### 5.0 SUMMARY

### 5.1 Standard Error

Table 14 shows the standard error for each of the strata, which were calculated by Sam Otukol of the Ministry of Forests. As per ministry standards, the standard error was calculated using the weighted ratios for the volume adjustment. The standard error for height and ages was determined without including the weighting.

Table 14 Standard Error

| Stratum | Height | Age | Volume |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Balsam | 22.9 | 27.9 | 47.5 |
| Cedar | 7.4 | 21.2 | 26.7 |
| Hemlock | 10.4 | 18.6 | 22.1 |
| Other | 22.3 | 36.7 | 48.1 |
| Spruce | 6.7 | 11.3 | 16.0 |
| All strata | $\mathbf{4 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 6}$ |

### 5.2 Adjustment Summary

Table 15 shows the weighted adjustments that have been applied to the inventory.
Table 15 Adjustment

| Stratum | Height | Age | Volume |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Balsam | 1.1151 | 0.8097 | 1.0677 |
| Cedar | 0.9827 | 1.0062 | 1.3673 |
| Hemlock | 0.9033 | 1.4425 | 1.2636 |
| Other | 0.9827 | 1.1917 | 1.3673 |
| Spruce | 0.9766 | 0.8164 | 1.0274 |

The adjustments have been applied to all stands in the strata that are over 40 years old.

### 5.3 Adjusted Inventory

Table 16 shows the inventory statistics after the adjustment for stands over the age 40.
Table 16 Adjusted Inventory Statistics

| Strata | Area (ha) | Height <br> (m) | Age (yrs) | Site Index <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | Volume <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 3} \mathbf{~ m}^{\mathbf{3}} / \mathbf{h a}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Spruce | 8,972 | 31.2 | 180.0 | 16.1 | 364.1 |
| Balsam | 3,477 | 23.6 | 140.8 | 13.5 | 236.5 |
| Cedar | 4,583 | 34.7 | 275.1 | 16.1 | 661.3 |
| Hemlock | 5,801 | 28.0 | 248.4 | 11.2 | 478.7 |
| Other | 2,225 | 27.8 | 158.2 | 16.5 | 373.0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 5 , 0 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 5 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 8 . 1}$ |

Table 17 shows the percent change in the inventory statistics after the adjustment.
Table 17 Adjusted versus Unadjusted Inventory Statistics

| Strata | Area (ha) | Height <br> (m) | Age (yrs) | Site Index <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | Volume <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 3} \mathbf{~ m}^{\mathbf{3}} / \mathbf{h a}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Spruce | 8,972 | $-2.33 \%$ | $-17.08 \%$ | $8.67 \%$ | $-1.85 \%$ |
| Balsam | 3,477 | $11.49 \%$ | $-19.02 \%$ | $28.61 \%$ | $19.83 \%$ |
| Cedar | 4,583 | $-1.73 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $-1.76 \%$ | $33.69 \%$ |
| Hemlock | 5,801 | $-9.65 \%$ | $18.36 \%$ | $-23.93 \%$ | $11.79 \%$ |
| Other | 2,225 | $-1.24 \%$ | $33.32 \%$ | $-12.09 \%$ | $29.25 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 5 , 0 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 . 9 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 . 5 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 5 8 \%}$ |

### 6.0 DISCUSSION

This section addresses the impact of the volume adjustment.

### 6.1 Age, Height, and Site Index

On average the age, height, and site index have remained almost unchanged. Height decreased 1.9 $\%$, age decreased $1.54 \%$ and site index increased $0.14 \%$. The adjustments applied to specific stratum were much more significant but on average the changes were minimal.

The site index is an indirect adjustment in that it is recalculated using VDYP following the age and height adjustment.

### 6.2 Volume Adjustment

The net increase in the total volume of the inventory is $13.58 \%$. There is a slight decrease in spruce, but a significant increase for all other species. The largest volume increase is found in the Cedar and Douglas-fir stands, where there are increases in the magnitude of $30 \%$.

### 6.3 Implications

The increase in volume from the Phase 2 VRI adjustment is expected to introduce upward pressure on the short and mid term timber supply of TFL 55.
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