



**Integrated Land
Management
Bureau**

Sea-to-Sky LRMP Implementation Progress Report (II)

(Status Date: January 15, 2010)



May 2010

FOREWORD

The Sea-to-Sky Land and Resource Management Plan (S2S LRMP) Implementation Progress Report (II) documents updates to implementation items that have changed and/or progressed between January 15th, 2009 and January 15th, 2010. This report should be reviewed concurrently with the Implementation Progress Report (I) completed in March 2009 (report can be found on the S2S LRMP BC Government website). Staff from the Integrated Land Management Bureau's (ILMB) South Coast Service Centre compiled the Implementation Progress Report (II) for the South Coast Management Committee and the Sea-to-Sky LRMP Plan Implementation Committee.

This report was developed in collaboration with the Sea-to-Sky LRMP Inter-agency Plan Implementation Team which is made up of representatives from the: Ministry of Environment (Vicki Haberl); Ministry of Forests and Range (Kevin Haberl); Integrated Land Management Bureau (Jennifer Karmona and Kelly Blacquiere); Ministry of Tourism, Culture and the Arts (Norbert Greinacher); and Ministry of Energy, Mines and Petroleum Resources (Bruce Northcote).

Any questions or comments with regard to the information contained in this document may be directed to:

Kelly Blacquiere, Land and Resource Specialist
Integrated Land Management Bureau
200 – 10428 153 Street
Surrey BC V3R 1E1
Telephone Number: (604) 586-4418
Fax Number: (604) 586-4434
Email: Kelly.Blacquiere@gov.bc.ca

Cover Photo by
Brad Kasselmann
www.coastphoto.com

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Background

The Sea-to-Sky Land and Resource Management Plan (S2S LRMP) provides direction for future planning and management of natural resources, and a framework to resolve land use issues; it was approved by the Government of British Columbia in April 2008. The LRMP is built upon the outcomes of government-to-government agreements between the Province and First Nations, and on recommendations put forward by a public planning forum representing a range of resource sectors.


The S2S LRMP recognized the importance of a formal monitoring program to assist with implementation (Section 6.2 of the Plan). The monitoring phase involves ongoing assessment of the progress towards the completion of LRMP-related projects. In March of 2009, the Inter-Agency Plan Implementation Team (IPIT) produced an Implementation Progress Report (IPR-1) showing the state of S2S LRMP Implementation with an effective date of January 15th, 2009.

Purpose

The following report is the second annual Implementation Progress Report (IPR-2) and has an effective date of January 15th 2010. The purpose of IPR-2 is to provide an update on the implementation items that have changed and/or progressed between January 15th, 2009 and January 15th, 2010. It does not report on all implementation items and should therefore be reviewed concurrently with IPR-1 which serves as a detailed baseline report. IPR-2 is intended to serve as an information tool to support discussion between the South Coast Management Committee, individual agencies and the Plan Implementation Committee on implementation priorities, challenges and emerging issues in the S2S LRMP planning area.

Status of S2S LRMP Implementation

The following bar graph shows a summary view of the implementation status for all 140 implementation items (effective date of January 15th, 2010).



As of January 15th, 2009 there were 138 implementation items that were not complete. By January 15th, 2010, 27 of those 138 implementation items have now been completed and 87 have shown progress (defined by a change from a change in “completion status”, e.g. Initiated to Midway).

Table of Contents

FOREWORD	II
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY.....	III
1.0 BACKGROUND AND INTRODUCTION.....	5
1.1 PURPOSE.....	5
1.2 ABOUT THIS DOCUMENT	5
2.0 IMPLEMENTATION STATUS SUMMARY	7
3.0 IMPLEMENTATION UPDATES	12
3.1 GENERAL UPDATES	12
(a) <i>Changes to “Estimated Completion Dates”</i>	12
(b) <i>Land Act tenures overlapping with Cultural Sites</i>	12
(c) <i>Wildlands - Land Act Section 17</i>	12
(d) <i>Wildlands – Environment and Land Use Act / S2S Wildland Area Order</i>	13
(e) <i>S2S Land Use Objectives Regulation Order – Items not being addressed</i>	13
(f) <i>S2S Land Use Objectives Regulation Order</i>	14
(g) <i>Mineral Tenures - Conditional Registration Reserves</i>	14
(h) <i>S2S Corridor Visual Management Plan</i>	14
3.2 SINGLE ITEM UPDATES	15
(a) <i>Projects</i>	15
(b) <i>Tasks</i>	25
(c) <i>Legal Practices</i>	29
4.0 CONCLUSION	51
4.1 MEASURE OF PROGRESS.....	51
4.2 CONSIDERATIONS, LIMITATIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	51
APPENDIX A – ACRONYMS	53

1.0 Background and Introduction

The Sea-to-Sky Land and Resource Management Plan (S2S LRMP) provides direction for future planning and management of natural resources, and a framework to resolve land use issues; it was approved by the Government of British Columbia in April 2008. The LRMP is built upon the outcomes of government-to-government agreements between the Province and First Nations, and on recommendations put forward by a public planning forum representing a range of resource sectors.

The S2S LRMP recognized the importance of a formal monitoring program to assist with implementation (Section 6.2 of the Plan). The monitoring phase involves ongoing assessment of the progress towards the completion of LRMP-related projects. Implementation and monitoring is primarily carried out by the Inter-Agency Plan Implementation Team (IPIT) which is a group of representatives from the Natural Resource Ministries: Forests and Range (MFR), Environment (MOE), Energy Mines and Petroleum Resources (MEMPR), Tourism Culture and the Arts (MTCA), Integrated Land Management Bureau (ILMB). As part of S2S LRMP monitoring, the IPIT is tasked with producing annual Implementation Progress Reports (IPR).

The first annual Implementation Progress Report (IPR-1) was completed in March 2009 and has a status date of January 15th, 2009. IPR-1 was a detailed look at the then current state of implementation; it has provided a baseline for future annual reports. IPR-1 was distributed to the South Coast Managers Committee for endorsement, and to the Plan Implementation Committee (PIC) (a group of representatives from Municipal Government, First Nations, and public stakeholders) for review and comment.

Monitoring began for the second annual Implementation Progress Report (IPR-2) in the winter of 2009/2010. The IPIT decided to develop IPR-2 as an update to the first report; only implementation items that have seen changes and/or progress between January 15th, 2009 and January 15th, 2010 will be reported on. These updates will be described in a less detailed manner (relative to IPR-1), resulting in a more succinct report. An overview of IPR-2 and how it differs from the first report can be found in Section 1.2 of this report.

1.1 Purpose

The purpose of this report is to provide an update on the implementation items that have changed and/or progressed between January 15th, 2009 and January 15th, 2010. The report is intended to serve as an information tool to support discussion between the South Coast Management Committee, individual agencies and the Plan Implementation Committee on implementation priorities, challenges and emerging issues in the S2S LRMP planning area. The report provides a systematic approach for tracking the progress of the plan's implementation Projects, Tasks and legal Practices.

1.2 About this Document

IPR-2 will report on the implementation status of legal Practices and will not include the monitoring of non-legal Practices. Non-legal Practices were not included in either of the annual monitoring reports because of their large number and the onerous resourcing burden that would be required to monitor or formally report on them.

IPR-2 was not intended to be as detailed as IPR-1 which included a comprehensive list of all items, detailed background information and a more in-depth analysis. This report was developed as an update to IPR-1; only items which changed or progressed between January 15th of 2009 and 2010 will be discussed. It is suggested that IPR-1 be used as a reference document while reviewing the following report.

The structure of this report is such that reporting on implementation items is ordered into three sections:

Section 2.0 - Implementation Status Summary

A summary table has been included for quick reference to implementation item completion status. The table will list all 140 Implementation items, their associated S2S LRMP sections, their associated resource values, the lead agency responsible for implementing each item, and, the completion status rating for January 15th 2009 and 2010.

Section 3.1 - General Updates

This section will include updated information pertaining to several implementation items grouped together. This information will be presented in the form of general comments and will include a status rating.

Section 3.2 - Single Item Updates


This section will include updated information pertaining to single implementation items. The information will appear in tables similar to those found in IPR-1. These updates will include a status rating (e.g. initiated, complete, etc.) and a description of the factors affecting implementation (e.g. changing scope, resource issues, etc.)

2.0 Implementation Status Summary


This section provides a summary of the implementation status for all 140 implementation items. The 140 items include:

- **Projects** (total of 22) – discrete activities such as more detailed area- / issue-specific studies or plans that help to meet S2S LRMP objectives.
- **Tasks** (total of 14) – miscellaneous activities or smaller/discrete projects that agencies undertake as part of plan implementation.
- **Legal Practices** (total of 104) – provide ongoing long-term management direction in a prescriptive or results-based manner for uses and activities on Crown land.

Figure 1 shows a summary of the implementation status as of January 15th, 2010.



For reference and comparison, Figure 1 can be compared to Figure 2 which was prepared one year previous (January 15th, 2009).



A comprehensive list of all 140 implementation items and the following details can be found in *Table 2 – Implementation Status Summary*:

ID# - The identification number given to each of the 140 implementation items (22 Projects, 14 Tasks, and 104 Legal Practices).

S2S LRMP Reference - Applicable section of S2S LRMP.

Resource Value – Resource value that the particular implementation item is addressing.

Lead Agency – Agency responsible for the implementation of the item.

Update (Item/General) – the nature of the update found in this report.

- “General” refers to a general update that applies to more than one implementation item. These updates can be found in Section 3.1 (General Updates) of this report.
- “Item” refers to a detailed update related to one particular implementation item. These updates can be found in Section 3.2 (Single Updates) of this report.

Status – estimated completion status of a particular implementation item in the form of percentage. The status is rated according to the following:

Table 1: Completion Status Reference

Status	Abbreviation	Completion
Not Started	NS	0% - 5%
Initiated	I	6% - 30%
Midway	M	31% - 65%
Substantially Complete	SC	66% - 95%
Complete	C	96% - 100%

Table 2: Implementation Status Summary

ID#	S2S LRMP Reference	Resource Value	Lead Agency	Update (Item/General)	Status	
					2009	2010
PROJECTS						
1	4.1.2	Access	MFR	Item	SC	C
2	4.7.2	Wildfire Management	MFR	Item	M	SC
3	4.9.2	Wildlife - Bald Eagle	MOE	No Update	NS	NS
4	4.13.2	Wildlife - Grizzly Bear	MOE	No Update	M	M
5	4.13.4	Wildlife - Grizzly Bear	MOE	Item	NS	I
6	4.13.2	Wildlife - Grizzly Bear	MOE	No Update	M	M
7	4.16.2	Visual Quality	MFR	Item	M	SC
8	4.4.3	Recreation	MTCA	General	I	I
9	4.5.2	Riparian and Aquatic Habitats	MOE	Item	SC	SC
10	4.2.2.2	Cultural Heritage	MFR	Item	NS	NS
11	4.2.2.4	Cultural Heritage	ILMB	General	NS	C
12	4.2.2.5	Cultural Heritage	ILMB	General	NS	C

ID#	S2S LRMP Reference	Resource Value	Lead Agency	Update (Item/General)	Status	
					2009	2010
13	4.4.2	Recreation	MTCA	General	NS	NS
14	5.1.3.2.1	Recreation	MTCA	Item	NS	NS
15	4.8.3	Wildlife and Biodiversity	ILMB	Item	NS	C
16	5.1.3.2	All Resource Uses Permitted Zone - Cultural Management Areas	ILMB	General	NS	C
17	5.3.2	Conservancies	MOE	No Update	I	I
18	4.2.2.3 Appendix 4	Cultural Heritage	MTCA	No Update	I	I
19	Appendix 4	Cultural Heritage	MOE	No Update	NS	NS
20	4.8.1 Appendix 6	Wildlife and Biodiversity	MOE	Item	NS	NS
21	4.8.1 Appendix 6	Wildlife and Biodiversity	MOE	No Update	SC	SC
22	5.1.3.2	All Resource Uses Permitted Zone	MTCA	Item	NS	NS
TASKS						
23	4.4.2	Recreation	MTCA	Item	NS	NS
24	4.4.2	Recreation	MTCA	General	NS	NS
25	4.5.2	Riparian and Aquatic Habitats	MOE	Item	SC	C
26	4.5.2	Riparian and Aquatic Habitats	MOE	Item	NS	I
27	4.6.2	Water	MOE	No Update	NS	NS
28	4.6.2	Water	MOE	No Update	NS	NS
29	4.6.2	Water	MOE	No Update	NS	NS
30	4.6.2	Water	MOE	No Update	NS	NS
31	4.10.2 4.11.2 4.12.2	Wildlife - Deer, Moose, Goats	MTCA	General	NS	NS
32	4.12.2	Wildlife - Mountain Goats	MOE	No Update	I	I
33	4.12.2	Wildlife - Mountain Goats	MOE	Item	NS	I
34	4.13.2	Wildlife - Grizzly Bear	MOE	No Update	I	I
35	4.13.2	Wildlife - Grizzly Bear	MOE	No Update	I	I
36	5.2.4	Wildland (Mining/Tourism Permitted) Zones	ILMB	General	NS	C
LEGAL PRACTICES						
37	4.10.2	Wildlife - Deer	MOE	No Update	C	C
38	4.11.2	Wildlife - Moose	MOE	No Update	C	C
39	4.12.2	Wildlife - Mountain Goat	MOE	No Update	SC	SC
40	4.12.2	Wildlife - Mountain Goat	ILMB	No Update	NS	NS
41	4.12.2	Wildlife - Mountain Goat	ILMB	No Update	NS	NS
42	4.13.2	Wildlife - Grizzly Bear	MOE	Item	SC	SC

ID#	S2S LRMP Reference	Resource Value	Lead Agency	Update (Item/General)	Status	
					2009	2010
43	4.13.2	Wildlife - Grizzly Bear	MOE	No Update	I	I
44	4.13.2	Wildlife - Grizzly Bear	MOE	Item	I	I
45	4.13.2	Wildlife - Grizzly Bear	MOE	Item	SC	SC
46	4.13.2	Wildlife - Grizzly Bear	ILMB	No Update	NS	NS
47	4.2.2.1	Cultural Heritage	ILMB	General	M	SC
48	4.2.2.2	Cultural Heritage	ILMB	General	M	SC
49	4.2.2.2	Cultural Heritage	ILMB	No Update	M	M
50	4.2.2.2	Cultural Heritage	ILMB	No Update	M	M
51	4.2.2.2	Cultural Heritage	MEMPR	General	I	I
52	4.2.2.2	Cultural Heritage	MFR	Item	M	SC
53	4.2.2.3	Cultural Heritage	ILMB	General	M	SC
54	4.2.2.3	Cultural Heritage	MEMPR	General	I	I
55	4.2.2.3	Cultural Heritage	ILMB	General	M	SC
56	4.2.2.3	Cultural Heritage	ILMB	Item	I	SC
57	4.2.2.3	Cultural Heritage	ILMB	General	M	SC
58	4.2.2.4	Cultural Heritage	ILMB	Item/General	M	SC
59	4.2.2.4	Cultural Heritage	ILMB	Item	I	C
60	4.2.2.5	Cultural Heritage	ILMB	Item	M	C
61	4.2.2.5	Cultural Heritage	ILMB	Item/General	M	M
62	4.2.2.5	Cultural Heritage	MEMPR	General	I	I
63	4.2.2.5	Cultural Heritage	MEMPR	General	I	I
64	4.2.2.5	Cultural Heritage	ILMB	General	M	SC
65	4.2.2.5	Cultural Heritage	ILMB	General	M	C
66	4.2.2.5	Cultural Heritage	ILMB	Item	SC	C
67	4.5.2	Riparian and Aquatic Habitats	ILMB	General	M	SC
68	4.5.2	Riparian and Aquatic Habitats	ILMB	General	M	SC
69	4.5.3	LNLUPA Riparian Areas	ILMB	General	M	SC
70	4.5.3	LNLUPA Riparian Areas	ILMB	General	M	SC
71	4.5.3	LNLUPA Riparian Areas	ILMB	General	M	SC
72	4.7.2	Wildfire	ILMB	General	M	C
73	4.7.2	Wildfire	ILMB	General	M	C
74	4.7.2	Wildfire	ILMB	General	M	C
75	4.8.3	Wildlife and Biodiversity	ILMB	Item	NS	NS
76	4.8.3	Wildlife and Biodiversity	ILMB	Item	NS	C
77	4.8.3	Wildlife and Biodiversity	ILMB	General	M	C
78	4.8.3	Wildlife and Biodiversity	ILMB	General	M	SC

ID#	S2S LRMP Reference	Resource Value	Lead Agency	Update (Item/General)	Status	
					2009	2010
79	4.8.3	Wildlife and Biodiversity	ILMB	Item	NS	C
80	4.9.2	Wildlife - Bald Eagle	MOE	No Update	I	I
81	4.16.2	Visual Quality	MFR	General	I	I
82 to 84	5.1.2.2	Front-Country Area	MFR	General	I	I
85	5.1.2.3	Whistler Corridor	ILMB	General	M	C
86	5.1.3.2B-D	Cultural Management Areas	ILMB	General	M	SC
87	5.1.3.2E-G	Special cultural Management Areas	ILMB	General	M	C
88 to 91	5.1.3.2E-G	Special cultural Management Areas	ILMB	General	NS	C
92	5.1.3.2E-G	Special cultural Management Areas	MFR	Item	I	I
93	5.1.3.2E-G	Special cultural Management Areas	ILMB	General	NS	C
94	5.1.3.2E-G	Special cultural Management Areas	ILMB	General	M	SC
95	5.1.3.2E-G	Special cultural Management Areas	ILMB	Item	I	C
96	5.1.3.2H-I	Cultural Management Areas	ILMB	General	M	SC
97	5.1.3.2H-I	Cultural Management Areas	ILMB	Item	I	SC
98 to 104	5.2.2	Wildland (Mining/Tourism Permitted) Zones	ILMB	General	I	M
105	5.2.2	Wildland (Mining/Tourism Permitted) Zones	MEMPR	General	I	I
106 to 120	5.2.2	Wildland (Mining/Tourism Permitted) Zones	ILMB	Item	I	M
121	5.2.4.4	Wildland (Mining/Tourism Permitted) Zones	MEMPR	General	I	I
122	5.2.4.4	Wildland (Mining/Tourism Permitted) Zones	ILMB	General	I	M
123	5.3.2	Conservancies	MOE	Item	SC	C
124	5.3.2	Conservancies	MOE	Item	SC	C
125 to 127	5.3.2	Conservancies	MOE	Item	I	I
128 to 140	Appendix 7	Wildland (Mining/Tourism Permitted) Zones	ILMB	General	I	M

3.0 Implementation Updates

3.1 General Updates

General implementation updates were developed to address the situation where one implementation update applies to several items. This method of reporting provides a quick reference guide for updates on major implementation initiatives (e.g. S2S LRMP Land Use Objectives Regulation Order). The following section describes each general update, identifies the associated implementation items and shows the status rating.

(a) Changes to "Estimated Completion Dates"

ID # 8, 13, 24, 31

Status Rating: No change

Lead Agency: MTCA

General Update

The completion dates on these items have changed in the following way (see Table 2 for more details on each item):

ID #8 - Estimated completion date is now 2011.

ID #13 - Estimated completion date is now 2012.

ID #24 - Estimated completion date is now 2011.

ID #31 - Estimated completion date is now 2011.

(b) Land Act tenures overlapping with Cultural Sites

ID # 11, 12, 16, 36

Status Rating: Complete

Lead Agency: ILMB

General Update

These implementation items will be addressed through operational processes. Individual Land Act tenures that overlap with the Owl Creek Cultural Education Area, Squamish Nation Cultural and Village sites, Cultural Management Areas (Upper Soo, Birkenhead, Kakila), and, Cultural Wildlands (Upper Birkenhead, Owl Creek, Upper Soo) will be reviewed with First Nations prior to tenure replacement. First Nations receive annual batch referrals of all expiring tenures in their traditional territories that are eligible for replacement. Several months prior to tenure term expiry, First Nations will receive a file specific referral on that tenure.

(c) Wildlands - Land Act Section 17

ID # 98, 100-104, 107-120, 122, 128-140

Status Rating: Midway

Lead Agency: ILMB

General Update

Management directions to be implemented under the Land Act Section 17 have been identified for individual or groups of Wildlands. A proposed grouping of Wildlands have been identified for the purposes of Land Act Section 17 application and consequent designation. Draft FrontCounter BC application forms, maps and digital spatial data for the Section 17 applications are prepared. Information will be shared with IPIT regarding the implementation process of the Environment and Land Use Act (ELUA) Order and Land Act Section 17 tools. Consequent to the approval of the ELUA Order for the Wildlands, it is targeted that Section 17 designations will be established for all the Wildlands by the Fall of 2010 or earlier. Notation of Interests for the Wildlands will remain as an interim tool until the Section 17s are established.

<u>(d) Wildlands – Environment and Land Use Act / S2S Wildland Area Order</u>	
ID # 98-101, 113, 120	
Status Rating: Midway	Lead Agency: ILMB
<u>General Update</u> <p>The inter-agency development and review of the draft S2S Wildland Area Order was completed in January 2010. Some other related activities completed this period include: the creation of an inter-agency Wildland Order Team; the boundary refinement of Wildland maps to the provincial mapping standard; the preliminary analysis of overlapping land interests of the refined Wildland areas; and the consultation with First Nations and stakeholders on the boundary refinements.</p> <p>ILMB plans to share the draft S2S Wildland Area Order with the affected First Nations (Squamish, Lil'wat, In-SHUCK-ch, Tsleil-Waututh, N'Quatqua, and Sto:lo) and interested stakeholders on the S2S Plan Implementation Committee (PIC) in the summer of 2010. A final S2S Wildland Area Order-in-Council package is scheduled to be presented to the Environment and Land Use Committee for endorsement sometime in the fall of 2010. Final designation is expected before the end of the 2010/11 fiscal year.</p> <p>There are no factors affecting the project work plan this period. However, there may be some factors that affect the project work plan next period like the 2010 Olympic Games and the need for further legal and inter-agency review of the draft Order.</p> <p>Forest Act part 13s are in place for the S2S Wildlands until October 31, 2010 as an interim protection measure. Notations of Interest are also in place as an interim protection measure as well.</p>	

<u>(e) S2S Land Use Objectives Regulation Order – Items not being addressed</u>	
ID # 57, 60, 65, 72, 73, 74, 77, 85, 87-91, 93	
Status Rating: Complete	Lead Agency: ILMB
<u>General Update</u> <p>These implementation items are not addressed in the current draft of the S2S Land Use Objectives Regulation (LUOR) Order as they are covered by other legal tools, or by existing policy direction which includes the S2S LRMP.</p>	

<u>(f) S2S Land Use Objectives Regulation Order</u> ID # 47, 48, 53, 55, 58, 61, 64, 67-71, 78, 86, 94, 96	
<i>Status Rating:</i> Substantially Complete	<i>Lead Agency:</i> ILMB
<u>General Update</u> <p>The inter-agency development and review of the draft S2S LUOR Order was completed in January 2010. This included the completion of spatial datasets, maps and a schedule that support the draft Order.</p> <p>ILMB plans to share the draft S2S LUOR Order with the affected First Nations (Squamish, Lil'wat, In-SHUCK-ch, Tsleil-Waututh, N'Quatqua, Musqueam and Sto:lo) in March 2010 and then with the S2S Plan Implementation Committee (PIC) for a brief review with affected/interested stakeholders in the summer of 2010. A 60-day public review and comment period for the S2S LUOR Order is scheduled for the end of the 2010 calendar year. It is estimated that the final Order and briefing package will be presented for final approval sometime in February 2011 with Order designation by the end of March 2011.</p> <p>The main factor affecting implementation has been the need for additional time. Extra-time has been required to address agency review comments and to demonstrate sensitivity to ongoing phase-2 Government-to-Government discussions with First Nations. The First Nation review and comment period may take some additional time next period if the phase 2 discussions area still ongoing.</p>	

<u>(g) Mineral Tenures - Conditional Registration Reserves</u> ID # 51, 54, 62, 63, 105, 121	
<i>Status Rating:</i> Initiated	<i>Lead Agency:</i> MEMPR
<u>General Update</u> <p>MEMPR has reviewed these implementation items and determined that the proper legal tool to address them cannot be found in Section 17 of the Mineral Tenures Act as previously thought. MEMPR is currently exploring alternatives to using Section 17. One such alternative is to implement an amendment to Section 22 of the Mineral Tenures Act in order to meet S2S LRMP objectives.</p>	

<u>(h) S2S Corridor Visual Management Plan</u> ID # 81, 82, 83, 84	
<i>Status Rating:</i> Initiated	<i>Lead Agency:</i> MFR
<u>General Update</u> <p>Sea to Sky Corridor Visual Management plan will recognize direction from the S2S LRMP. Resource challenges exist; staff who initiated the plan left MFR in the summer of 2008 and the positions have not been filled. Other MFR priorities have shifted this item lower in the priority list. Estimated completion date is 2012.</p>	

3.2 Single Item Updates

During the 2008/2009 implementation monitoring phase, the IPIT provided detailed information regarding each implementation item. The same reporting method was used for IPR-2, but only for updated implementation items (i.e. items that have changed and/or progressed since January 15th, 2009). The following section provides implementation information related to these updated single items (information provided in the Section 3.1 – *General Updates* will not be duplicated here). For convenience, they have been ordered according to their ID#.

(a) Projects

Resource Value: Access

Project ID# 1: Coordinated Access Management Plan (CAMP)

Lead: MFR

Description:

Access control points to be determined after consultation with government, First Nations and stakeholders.

Objective:

- To coordinate access requirements among multiple resource users in order to ensure adequate access to the landbase while minimizing impacts to other resource values (e.g., wildlife).

Implementation Direction:

- Undertake coordinated access management planning (CAMP) for the Plan Area.

Supporting Agencies	Project Timelines	Priority
MoE, MTCA, MEMPR	Start Date: 2006 Completion Date: May 2009	Medium

Deliverable

- Coordinated Access Management Plan (CAMP)

Progress Summary

Report	NS	I	M	SC	C	Comments
Progress Report-1 (Status date January 15, 2009)				X		Factors Affecting Implementation: <i>Changing scope:</i> (Low) <i>Resource Issues:</i> (Medium) <i>Additional Time Required:</i> (Medium) Activities completed this period: Draft report completed in August 2008 by consultant working for ILMB. Agency staff and managers have reviewed the draft and determined that some changes are necessary prior to completion. General comments: Agreement between MOE and MFR that key access points will be determined by April 15, 2009 and access controls, if required for wildlife management, will be implemented this spring regardless of the status of the CAMP document.
Progress Report-2 (Status date January 15, 2010)					X	Activities completed this period: Plan was completed in 2009 and approved by the South Coast managers Committee at their May 2009 meeting. General comments: Some concern at the 2009 PIC meeting that the CAMP as prepared fell short of the expectations of the group. From the minutes, "PIC members expressed concerns that the CAMP as it stands right now is not a truly coordinated access management plan and thus is not in line with the original intent and vision of the planning forum"

Resource Value: Wildfire Management
Project ID# 2: Integrated Fire Management Plan
Lead: MFR

Description: Plan that is consistent throughout municipalities and the regional district and parks. Includes approach to strategic fuels management to mitigate wildlife behaviour and best management practices for fuel management.

Objective:

- To minimize the wildfire risks to community, recreation, power transmission and transportation infrastructure, and natural resource values, while providing for enhanced ecological function.

Implementation Direction:

- Develop an Integrated Fire Management Plan for the Plan Area, including specific municipalities and provincial parks.

<u>Supporting Agencies</u>	<u>Project Timelines</u>	<u>Priority</u>
N/A	Start Date: 2006 Completion Date: April 30, 2010	High

Deliverable

- Integrated Fire Management Plan

Progress Summary

Report	NS	I	M	SC	C	Comments
Progress Report-1 (Status date January 15, 2009)			X			<p>Factors Affecting Implementation: <i>Changing scope:</i> (Medium) <i>Resource Issues:</i> (Medium)</p> <p>Activities completed this period: Determination of spatial analysis information required; identification of values; risk rating system initiated.</p> <p>General comments: Project is being led by MFR regional stewardship business area staff. S2S draft product is being used as a provincial template for Fire Management Plans.</p>
Progress Report-2 (Status date January 15, 2010)				X		<p>Factors Affecting Implementation: <i>Changing scope:</i> (Low) Staff reductions impacted the ability to make the maps fully digitally integrated. <i>Resource Issues:</i> (Medium) Staff reductions and budget constraints at MFR have impacted the timing of completion and the availability of hardware for digital implementation.</p> <p>Activities completed this period: A draft product was complete and in use by MFR staff and Wildfire Management Branch staff from spring 2009 on. The plan was resourced this fiscal to bring the next generation of Fire Plan to completion.</p> <p>Activities planned for next period: By late April 2010 we anticipate completion of the next generation plan.</p>

Resource Value: Wildlife – Grizzly Bear
Project ID#5: Grizzly Bear Recovery Planning
Lead: MoE

Objective:

- To manage for the recovery of grizzly bear populations within the areas identified on Map 8, with the goal of achieving long-term viability of bear populations in the Plan Area.

Implementation Direction:

- Complete a Recovery Plan for each of the four Grizzly Bear Population Units that overlap the Plan Area. Features of the recovery plan include maximum 5% reduction to productive forest land base as a result of recovery plan implementation. Squamish Nation to work with the Province on grizzly bear recovery planning within Squamish territory.

<u>Supporting Agencies</u>	<u>Project Timelines</u>	<u>Priority</u>
N/A	Start Date: Fall 2009 Completion Date: unknown	High

Deliverable

- Recovery Plans set objectives for each of the four grizzly bear populations and habitats.

Progress Summary

Report	NS	I	M	SC	C	Comments
Progress Report-1 (Status date January 15, 2009)	X					Factors Affecting Implementation: <i>Changing scope:</i> (Medium) Grizzly bear may receive lower provincial priority in 09/10 business plan. <i>Resource Issues:</i> (Low) Long and involved planning process. Activities completed this period: Some initial work setting preliminary objectives. General: Long and involved multi-stakeholder/agency process.
Progress Report-2 (Status date January 15, 2010)		X				Factors Affecting Implementation: <i>Changing scope:</i> (Medium) Grizzly Bear Management Planning (recovery planning) will encompass Access Management, and Grizzly Bear WHA's at this time. <i>Resource Issues:</i> (Medium) Limited resources available. <i>Additional Time Required:</i> (Low) Long and involved planning process. Activities completed this period: Locations have been selected for Access Management Plan, and are in process of being legally designated. 59 Grizzly Bear WHA's are in the process of being established.

Resource Value: Visual Quality
Project ID# 7: Visual Landscape Management Strategy
Lead: MFR

Description:

Builds on existing Visual Landscape Inventory and Visual Quality Objectives. Includes spatially defined scenic areas and associated visual quality objectives developed with input from public and First Nations.

Objective:

- To maintain an appropriate level of visual quality from viewpoints within designated scenic areas.

Implementation Direction:

- Prepare a Visual Landscape Management Strategy to establish visual quality objectives within established scenic areas, including scenic areas outside of the Frontcountry Area.

<u>Supporting Agencies</u>	<u>Project Timelines</u>	<u>Priority</u>
N/A	Start Date: 2006 Completion Date: 4 th Quarter 2010	Medium

Deliverable

- Visual Landscape Management Strategy

Progress Summary

Report	NS	I	M	SC	C	Comments
Progress Report-1 (Status date January 15, 2009)			X			Factors Affecting Implementation: <i>Resource Issues:</i> (Medium) Vacancies in key staff positions limit our ability to complete prior to 3 rd quarter. <i>Additional Time Required:</i> (Low) Activities completed this period: Review of timber supply impacts of draft objectives.
Progress Report-2 (Status date January 15, 2010)				X		Factors Affecting Implementation: <i>Resource Issues:</i> (High) Key staff vacancies have limited ability to focus on this project. <i>Additional Time Required:</i> (Medium) Other agency priorities have bumped visual management down the list. Activities completed this period: Analysis of options and development of recommendations to move to completion. Activities planned for next period: Consultation and negotiation with affected stakeholders, advertise, production of GAR Order.

Resource Value: Riparian and Aquatic Habitats
Project ID#9: Floodplain Management Plan
Lead: MoE

Description:

The management intent is to sustain healthy fish populations and aquatic ecosystems.

Objective:

- To maintain the functional integrity of floodplain ecosystems.

Measures/Indicators:

- Maximum area available for timber harvesting with each of five defined Floodplain Management Areas identified in the approved Sea-to-Sky Floodplain Management Plan.

Implementation Direction:

- Implement the approved Sea-to-Sky Floodplain Management Plan, as applicable to the Crown land portions of the floodplains of the following rivers: Upper Lillooet River, Green River, Soa River, Elaho River, and Squamish River.

<u>Supporting Agencies</u>	<u>Project Timelines</u>	<u>Priority</u>
MFR, MTCA	Start Date: unknown Completion Date: June 2010	High

Deliverable

- Defined boundaries and Floodplain Management Plan

Progress Summary

Report	NS	I	M	SC	C	Comments
Progress Report-1 (Status date January 15, 2009)				X		Activities completed this period: Draft Floodplain Management Plan complete.
Progress Report-2 (Status date January 15, 2010)				X		Activities completed this period: The Floodplain Management Plan has been completed and is in the final stages of approval.

Resource Value: Cultural Heritage Values**Project ID#10: Forest Stewardship Program for Zone 3 (In-SHUCK-ch Mountain
(First Nations LUA)****Lead: MFR****Description:**

The In-SHUCK-ch Mountain cultural site is a special management area and is managed as four sub-zones. Sub-zones 3 and Zone 4 are zones of forest stewardship harvesting which are subject to further planning.

Objectives:

- To conserve and maintain the integrity of First Nations' cultural and heritage resources by managing physical disturbance in this zone.
- To maintain landscape connectivity by managing harvest regimes, planning distribution of cutblocks and linking old growth management and established wildlife areas where possible.

Implementation Direction:

- Zone 3 will be guided by a forest stewardship program that is developed collaboratively between the Province and First Nations.

<u>Supporting Agencies</u>	<u>Project Timelines</u>	<u>Priority</u>
N/A	Start Date: unknown Completion Date: unknown	Low

Deliverable

- Forest Stewardship Program for Zone 3 – In-SHUCK-ch Mountain

Progress Summary

Report	NS	I	M	SC	C	Comments
Progress Report-1 (Status date January 15, 2009)	X					Factors Affecting Implementation: <i>Changing Scope:</i> (High) Project deferred subject to ongoing negotiations related to the future land status of the In-SHUCK-ch Mountain zones.
Progress Report-2 (Status date January 15, 2010)	X					Factors Affecting Implementation: <i>Changing Scope:</i> (High) This project has been on hold due to anticipated treaty settlement items that will make this work unnecessary.

Resource Value: Recreation**Project ID#14: Callaghan Recreation Management Plan (First Nations LUA)****Lead: MTCA****Description:**

This project is linked to the Recreation Study for Callaghan Valley.

Objectives:

- Conserve the integrity of the First Nations' cultural and heritage resources, including cultural sites.
- Ensure that economic development activities are undertaken in a manner that is sensitive to First Nations' social, ceremonial and cultural uses.

Implementation Direction:

- Resource and economic development, including the exploration and development of minerals, aggregates, dimension stone, oil and gas and geothermal resources are permitted, subject to recognition and accommodation of First Nations environmental, social and cultural values.
- There will be no sale of Crown land.
- New recreation trails will not be established unless provided for in the Recreation Management Plan to be produced for the area.

<u>Supporting Agencies</u>	<u>Project Timelines</u>	<u>Priority</u>
N/A	Start Date: N/A Completion Date: 2012	Low

Deliverable

- Callaghan Recreation Management Plan

Progress Summary

Report	NS	I	M	SC	C	Comments
Progress Report-1 (Status date January 15, 2009)	X					Factors Affecting Implementation: <i>Changing Scope:</i> (High) <i>Resource Issues:</i> (High)
Progress Report-2 (Status date January 15, 2009)	X					Factors Affecting Implementation: <i>General Comments:</i> This implementation item is dependent on the completion of the <i>Land Use Planning Agreement for the Callaghan Valley and Cheakamus Area</i> between the Province and Squamish and Lil'wat First Nations.

Resource Value: Wildlife and Biodiversity**Project ID#15: Identification of Old Growth Areas (First Nations LUA)****Lead: MAL-ILMB****Description:**

The government-to-government agreement on land use planning between the Lil'wat Nation and the Province provides for the incremental protection of old-growth. The intent is to further conserve biodiversity and a range of non-timber values.

Objective:

- To maintain representative examples of old condition forested stands in culturally and ecologically important biogeoclimatic variants.
- To maintain habitat for old-growth dependent species.
- To maintain recruitment habitat for cultural cedar and other culturally important species.
- To maintain culturally and ecologically important ecosystems, including but not limited to riparian areas, red and blue listed species habitat, and traditional and cultural use sites.

Implementation Direction:

- New candidate areas will be identified and established to meet objectives for Old Growth Areas within Lil'wat Traditional Territory in a collaborative process between Lil'wat Nation and the Province. This process will include consultation with stakeholders.

Supporting Agencies	Project Timelines	Priority
First Nations, MFR, MoE	Start Date: Fall 2009 Completion Date: Winter 2010	Medium

Deliverable

- Identification of Old Growth Areas

Progress Summary

Report	NS	I	M	SC	C	Comments
Progress Report-1 (Status date January 15, 2009)	X					Factors Affecting Implementation: <i>Additional Time Required:</i> (Medium) Direction on old growth management is pending the outcome of discussions over Ure Creek with the Lil'wat Nation. Activities completed this period: Preliminary discussion on the Ure Creek unresolved area has occurred.
Progress Report-2 (Status date January 15, 2010)					X	Activities completed this period: Negotiations with Lil'wat Nation were concluded to resolve land use direction for Ure Creek. General Comments: The timeline in the Lil'wat LUPA has been amended as a result of the Ure Creek G2G negotiations - the target timeline is now open ended and the incremental OGMA planning will be completed at Lil'wat's discretion.

Resource Value: Wildlife and Biodiversity**Project ID#20: Sea-to-Sky Wildlife Advisory Committee (First Nations LUA)****Lead: MoE****Description:**

The Squamish Nation has identified and mapped Wildlife Focus Areas for five wildlife species: deer, moose, elk, mountain goat, and grizzly bear, as well as Fur Animal Reintroduction Areas. These areas were identified by Squamish Nation as important habitat areas, or as areas with special importance for Squamish Nation members for hunting or other cultural activities.

As part of the LRMP implementation, the Province will establish a multi-party Fish and Wildlife Advisory Committee to collaboratively share information and develop recommendations related to the management of fish and wildlife in the Sea-to-Sky Plan Area.

<u>Supporting Agencies</u>	<u>Project Timelines</u>	<u>Priority</u>
N/A	Start Date: unknown Completion Date: unknown	Medium

Deliverable

- Form a Wildlife Advisory Committee to address fish and wildlife-related issues in the plan area. The Committee will be charged with responsibilities related to the completion of other wildlife plans.

Progress Summary

Report	NS	I	M	SC	C	Comments
Progress Report-1 (Status date January 15, 2009)	X					Factors Affecting Implementation: <i>Resource Issues:</i> (High) Funding currently not available to initiate project.
Progress Report-2 (Status date January 15, 2010)	X					Factors Affecting Implementation: <i>Changing Scope:</i> (Medium) The fish and wildlife stakeholder consultation process is being reviewed by the division. <i>Resource Issues:</i> (Medium) Limited resources available.

Resource Value: All Resource Uses Permitted Zone
Project ID#22: Recreation Features Management (First Nations LUA)
Lead: MTCA

Description:

The In-SHUCK-ch Mountain Special Management Area is partly within this Cultural Management Area. Both the management direction for the Cultural Management Area and the direction set out in Section 4.2.2.2 apply to the portion of In-SHUCK-ch Mountain Special Management Area that is outside of Garibaldi Park.

The Province will undertake a process to attempt to reach agreement with In-SHUCK-ch Nation on recreation site management plans which incorporate In-SHUCK-ch Nation cultural values.

Objective:

- To maintain opportunities to access economic, recreation and conservation values.

Implementation Direction:

- Backcountry commercial and non-commercial recreation activities are permitted throughout.
- Motorized and non-motorized recreation access is permitted.

<u>Supporting Agencies</u>	<u>Project Timelines</u>	<u>Priority</u>
N/A	Start Date: unknown Completion Date: 2012	Medium

Deliverable

- Recreation site management plans for features identified by the In-SHUCK-ch Nation.

Progress Summary

Report	NS	I	M	SC	C	Comments
Progress Report-1 (Status date January 15, 2009)	X					Factors Affecting Implementation: <i>Resource Issues:</i> (Medium) This is ranked as moderate priority given other workload, strategic value and risk.
Progress Report-2 (Status date January 15, 2010)	X					Factors Affecting Implementation: <i>Changing Scope:</i> (Low) <i>Resource Issues:</i> (Medium) This is ranked as moderate priority given other workload, strategic value and risk.

(b) Tasks

Resource Value: Recreation

Task ID#23: Manage Carrying Capacity on the Sims-Princess Louisa Trail

Lead: MTCA

Description:

Management of carrying capacity on the Sims-Princess Louisa Trail to minimize environmental impacts and disruption to wildlife.

Objective:

- To manage recreational activities in a manner that avoids or minimizes impacts to other resource values, and other recreational user groups.

Area-specific direction:

- Manage carrying capacity on the Sims-Princess Louisa trail to minimize environmental impacts (e.g. garbage, human waste) and disruption to wildlife. A portion of this trail is within the Upper Sims Wildland Zone, which has a Wildlife emphasis.

<u>Supporting Agencies</u>	<u>Project Timelines</u>	<u>Priority</u>
N/A	Start Date: unknown Completion Date: 2011	Low

Deliverable

- Carrying capacity on the Sims-Princess Louisa Trail managed and environmental impacts and disruption to wildlife minimized.

Progress Summary

Report	NS	I	M	SC	C	Comments
Progress Report-1 (Status date January 15, 2009)	X					Factors Affecting Implementation: <i>Changing Scope:</i> (Low) <i>Resource Issues:</i> (High)
Progress Report-2 (Status date January 15, 2010)	X					Factors Affecting Implementation: <i>Changing Scope:</i> (Low) <i>Resource Issues:</i> (High) Operational dollars are required.

Resource Value: Riparian and Aquatic Habitats
Task ID#26: Fish population/trends for priority watersheds
Lead: MoE

Description:

The management intent is to sustain healthy fish populations and aquatic ecosystems.

Objective:

- To maintain functional riparian and aquatic habitat.

Implementation direction:

- Undertake watershed assessment and restoration, where necessary, to address the long-term and cumulative impacts of human activities on hydrology and fish, with a priority on areas having high fish values or watershed values. This includes the assessment of hydrological condition and channel stability.

<u>Supporting Agencies</u>	<u>Project Timelines</u>	<u>Priority</u>
N/A	Start Date: unknown Completion Date: unknown	Medium

Deliverable

- Report on current status of hydrological condition and fish populations and trends for priority watersheds (Upper Lillooet, Birkenhead, Mamquam, Sockeye, Phelix, Ashlu, Elaho).

Progress Summary

Report	NS	I	M	SC	C	Comments
Progress Report-1 (Status date January 15, 2009)	X					Factors Affecting Implementation: <i>Changing Scope:</i> (Medium) Task necessitates much more river management than we can deliver; not a business plan priority at this time although the conservation/recreation value of the task is appreciated. <i>Resource Issues:</i> (Medium) Staff and funding.
Progress Report-2 (Status date January 15, 2010)		X				Factors Affecting Implementation: <i>Resource Issues:</i> (Medium) Limited resources available. Activities Completed This Period: Fish inventory on Phelix Creek was completed in the fall of 2009.

Resource Value: Riparian and Aquatic Habitats**Task ID#25: Funding opportunities for fish habitat restoration and enhancement****Lead: MoE****Description:**

The management intent is to sustain healthy fish populations and aquatic ecosystems.

Objective:

- To maintain and restore fish stocks and fish habitat within the Plan Area with wild stocks, species of management concern, and recently extirpated stocks as a priority.

Implementation direction:

- As part of plan implementation, seek opportunities to pursue funding for habitat restoration and enhancement, and develop watershed priority plans that allocate mitigation and restoration funds to the locations and projects with the greatest potential for successful restoration.

Supporting Agencies	Project Timelines	Priority
N/A	Start Date: unknown Completion Date: on-going	High

Deliverable

- Identified opportunities for funding for fish habitat restoration and enhancement;
- Developed watershed priority plans to allocate mitigation and restoration funds to locations with greatest potential for success.

Progress Summary

Report	NS	I	M	SC	C	Comments
Progress Report-1 (Status date January 15, 2009)				X		Factors Affecting Implementation: <i>Changing Scope:</i> (Medium) Further work is dependent on outside funding sources. General Comments: Instream restoration work ongoing for past number of years and mostly focused on steelhead (South Coast Steelhead Recovery Program) although other fish species benefit as well; majority of work in the Cheakamus/Squamish River systems (Ashlu, Shovelnose and Brohm).
Progress Report-2 (Status date January 15, 2010)					X	

Resource Value: Wildlife – Mountain Goats**Task ID#33: Undertake measures to protect mountain goat populations from recreational use in East Howe Sound from October to April****Lead: MoE****Objective:**

- To maintain or enhance the availability of high-value mountain goat habitat across the Plan Area.

Area-specific direction:

- Undertake measures to minimize disturbance to vulnerable goat populations in East Howe Sound. This includes working with recreational groups to prevent motorized and non-motorized recreation use within or adjacent to mapped high-value mountain goat habitat from October 15 to April 15. This includes helicopter use, and trail and mountain bike use.

<u>Supporting Agencies</u>	<u>Project Timelines</u>	<u>Priority</u>
MTCA	Start Date: unknown Completion Date: unknown	Medium

Deliverable

- Measures to protect mountain goat populations from recreational use in East Howe Sound from October to April.

Progress Summary

Report	NS	I	M	SC	C	Comments
Progress Report-1 (Status date January 15, 2009)	X					Activities completed this period: Protection in place for FRPA (forestry activities) but needs to be applied to recreation and development.
Progress Report-2 (Status date January 15, 2010)		X				Factors Affecting Implementation: <i>Resource Issues:</i> (Medium) Dependant on external funding sources. Activities Completed This Period: Inventory and DNA study of mountain goats in the Mount Meagre complex which will have management implications for the majority of the Squamish Forest District.

(c) Legal Practices

Resource Value: Wildlife – Grizzly Bear						Practice ID # 42		Lead Agency: MoE	
LRMP Reference	Applicable Area	LRMP Objective				Description of Practice		Legal tool (s)	
4.13.2	Squamish Forest District	To manage for the recovery of grizzly bear populations within the areas identified on Map 8, with the goal of achieving long-term viability of bear populations in the Plan Area.				Reduction to the productive forest land base as a result of implementing Recovery Plans will not exceed 5%. Important grizzly bear habitats identified within designated wildlife habitat areas, Wildland Zones and Floodplain Management Areas will contribute.		GAR-WHA's and GWM's	
Progress Summary									
Start Date: Not indicated				Estimated completion date: Unknown				Agency Priority: High	
Report	NS	I	M	SC	C	Comments			
Progress Report-1 (Status date January 15, 2009)				X		Factors Affecting Implementation: <i>Resource Issues:</i> (High) Resources needed to complete full inventory. Activities Completed This Period: Approx. 100 WHA's identified and signed off in the TSA and TFL. A further 53 draft WHA's are ready for sign-off			
Progress Report-2 (Status date January 15,2010)				X		Factors Affecting Implementation: <i>Resource Issues:</i> (High) Resources needed to complete full inventory. Activities Completed This Period: Approximately 100 WHA’s have been identified and signed off in the TSA and TFL. A further 59 draft WHAs are ready for sign-off.			

Resource Value: Wildlife – Grizzly Bear						Practice ID # 44	Lead Agency: MOE (ILMB)	
LRMP Reference	Applicable Area		LRMP Objective				Description of Practice	Legal tool (s)
4.13.2	Squamish Forest District		To maintain the functional integrity of critical grizzly bear habitats within areas managed for grizzly bear recovery as shown on Map 8, including visual (security) and resting (bedding) cover. Target: functional area of critical grizzly bear habitat areas as identified in GB Recovery Plans (note 5% max timber supply impact).					GAR/LUOR
Progress Summary								
Start Date: Not indicated			Estimated completion date: unknown				Agency Priority: Medium	
Report	NS	I	M	SC	C	Comments		
Progress Report-1 (Status date January 15, 2009)		X				Factors Affecting Implementation: <i>Resource Issues:</i> Resources needed. Activities Completed This Period: Started with WHA sign-offs. General Comments: Further work required during the recovery planning process.		
Progress Report-2 (Status date January 15, 2010)		X				Factors Affecting Implementation: <i>Resource Issues:</i> Limited resources available. Activities Completed This Period: 59 draft WHA are in the process of being designated.		

Resource Value: Wildlife – Grizzly Bear						Practice ID # 45		Lead Agency: MOE (ILMB)	
LRMP Reference	Applicable Area		LRMP Objective				Description of Practice		Legal tool (s)
4.13.2	Confluence of Sigurd and Ashlu Creeks		To maintain the functional integrity of critical grizzly bear habitats within areas managed for grizzly bear recovery as shown on Map 8, including visual (security) and resting (bedding) cover. Target: functional area of critical grizzly bear habitat areas as identified in GB Recovery Plans (note 5% max timber supply impact).				Maintain riparian habitat and wildlife values, particularly opportunities for undisturbed foraging by grizzly bears and bald eagles on salmon, at the confluence of Ashlu and Sigurd Creeks.		GAR/WHA/Land purchase
Progress Summary									
Start Date: Not indicated			Estimated completion date: unknown				Agency Priority: High		
Report	NS	I	M	SC	C	Comments			
Progress Report-1 (Status date January 15,2009)				X		Activities Completed This Period: Lot 983 purchased by the province and added to the Tantalus Park. WHAs for grizzly, marbled murrelet mapped and established. Bald eagle areas identified and protected in the TFL management plan. Salmon enhancement ongoing.			
Progress Report-2 (Status date January 15, 2010)				X		Activities Completed This Period: Motorized access has been restricted for the protection of wildlife resources. General Comments: Lot 983 was purchased by the province in 2008, and added to Tantalus Park. WHA’s for grizzly, marbled murrelet were mapped and established. Bald eagle areas were identified and protected in the Tree Farm Licence management plan. Salmon enhancement is ongoing.			

Resource Value: Cultural Heritage						Practice ID # 52		Lead Agency: MFR	
LRMP Reference	Applicable Area		LRMP Objective				Description of Practice	Legal tool (s)	
4.2.2.2 (First Nations LUA)	In-SHUCK-ch Mtn - all zones		Protect the scenic quality of viewscales from communities on Lillooet lake.					GAR	
Progress Summary									
Start Date: 2008			Estimated completion date: 4th Quarter 2010				Agency Priority: Medium		
Report	NS	I	M	SC	C	Comments			
Progress Report- 1 (Status date January 15, 2009)			X			Factors Affecting Implementation: <i>Resource Issues:</i> (Low) Activities Completed This Period: Draft objectives reviewed and their timber impacts estimated. Estimation of impact of changes to the draft objectives.			
Progress Report -2 (Status date January 15, 2010)				X		Factors Affecting Implementation: <i>Resource Issues:</i> (High) Related to Implementation item #7; In-SHUCK-ch corridor Visual strategy. <i>Additional Time Required:</i> (Medium) Competing agency priorities. Activities Completed This Period: Analysis of options and development of recommendations. Activities planned for Next Period: Negotiation and completion.			

Resource Value: Cultural Heritage							Practice ID # 56			Lead Agency: ILMB		
LRMP Reference	Applicable Area		LRMP Objective					Description of Practice			Legal tool (s)	
4.2.2.3 (First Nations LUA)	Lil'wat Category A SGAs		To maintain resources that provide opportunities for social, ceremonial and cultural uses by First Nations; To maintain natural and aesthetic conditions that are conducive to spiritual and cultural uses; To provide for the continuation of cultural activities and traditional renewable resource harvesting activities; To enable other compatible uses, as appropriate to the zoning and management direction for each area.					No disposition of new Land Act tenures except for projects of provincial significance. Projects of provincial significance that cannot be practically relocated may be permitted in SGAs			Land Act s.16	
Progress Summary												
Start Date: April 2008				Estimated completion date: Fall 2010				Agency Priority: High				
Report	NS	I	M	SC	C	Comments						
Progress Report-1 (Status date January 15, 2009)		X				Factors Affecting Implementation: <i>Resource Issues:</i> (Low) Conversion from Notation of Interest (NOI) to Land Act Section16 (s.16) reserves only submitted in December 2008 due to human resource issues. Activities Completed This Period: Application for NOIs submitted for all Spirited Ground Areas in April 2008. NOIs created for all Spirited Ground Areas (SGAs) in Summer and Fall 2008. Application submitted for conversion of all category A SGAs to s.16 reserves on December 15, 2008.						
Progress Report-2 (Status date January 15, 2010)				X		Factors Affecting Implementation: <i>Resource Issues:</i> (Low) Application for conversion of NOIs submitted for all category A SGAs. Activities Completed This Period: Twenty three of the twenty six SGA NOIs were converted to s. 16 reserves.						

Resource Value: Cultural Heritage						Practice ID # 58	Lead Agency: ILMB		
LRMP Reference	Applicable Area		LRMP Objective				Description of Practice	Legal tool (s)	
4.2.2.4 (First Nations LUA)	Owl Creek		<ul style="list-style-type: none">• To protect and enhance opportunities for First Nations cultural education as it relates to the land and natural resources.• To maintain natural conditions that are conducive to spiritual and cultural inspiration.• To protect and maintain social, ceremonial and cultural uses by First Nations.• To protect and enhance the integrity of First Nations cultural and heritage resources, including A7x7Ulnecw (Spirited Ground) Areas.• To maintain important wildlife, ecological and natural backcountry/wilderness values.• To focus economic opportunities on First Nations learning and community development.					Land Act s.17 and Land Act s.93.4 - LUOR Order	
Progress Summary									
Start Date: LUOR : May 2008 ; Land Act s.17 :December 12, 2008									
Estimated completion date: LUOR: October 2009 Land Act s.17: End of March 2009						Agency Priority: High			
Report	NS	I	M	SC	C	Comments			
Progress Report-1 (Status date January 15, 2009)			X			Factors Affecting Implementation: <i>Resource Issues:</i> (Low) Application did not get submitted until December 2008 due to human resource issues. <i>Additional Time Required:</i> (Low) LUOR: agency reps have high workloads and competing priorities which can affect the speed of LUOR development, consultation and review work. Activities Completed This Period: LUOR: (May 2008) Established a S2S Legal Objectives Working Group that determined what S2S LRMP and FN LUA items would need a legal objective for implementation; (Dec 2008) Sent out preliminary legal objectives for legal and agency review. Land Act s.17: Application for s. 17 reserve submitted to FCBC on December 12, 2008; Application Accepted by FrontCounter BC December 17, 2008; FCBC sent application for statusing by status technician.			
Progress Report-2 (Status date January 15, 2010)					X	Activities Completed This Period: Established a Section 17 Designated Use Reserve for Owl Creek Cultural Education Area.			

Resource Value: Cultural Heritage						Practice ID # 59		Lead Agency: ILMB	
LRMP Reference	Applicable Area		LRMP Objective				Description of Practice		Legal tool (s)
4.2.2.4 (First Nations LUA)	Owl Creek		<ul style="list-style-type: none">• To protect and enhance opportunities for First Nations cultural education as it relates to the land and natural resources.• To maintain natural conditions that are conducive to spiritual and cultural inspiration.• To protect and maintain social, ceremonial and cultural uses by First Nations.• To protect and enhance the integrity of First Nations cultural and heritage resources, including A7x7ulmew (Spirited Ground) Areas.• To maintain important wildlife, ecological and natural backcountry/wilderness values.• To focus economic opportunities on First Nations learning and community development.				Leases for cabins or any other similar structures, and any licenses of occupation which include authorization for such structures, are not permitted, unless for First Nations cultural purposes or commercial purposes consistent with cultural uses.		Land Act s.17
Progress Summary									
Start Date: December 12, 2008			Estimated completion date: End of March 2009				Agency Priority: High		
Report	NS	I	M	SC	C	Comments			
Progress Report-1 (Status date January 15, 2009)		X				Factors Affecting Implementation: <i>Resource Issues:</i> (Low) Application did not get submitted until December 2008 due to human resource issues. Activities Completed This Period: Application for s. 17 reserve submitted to FCBC on December 12, 2008; Application Accepted by FrontCounter BC December 17, 2008; FCBC sent application for statusing by status technician.			
Progress Report-2 (Status date January 15, 2010)					X	Activities Completed This Period: Established a Section 17 Designated Use Reserve for Owl Creek Cultural Education Area.			

Resource Value: Cultural Heritage		Practice ID # 60		Lead Agency: ILMB		
LRMP Reference	Applicable Area	LRMP Objective	Description of Practice	Legal tool (s)		
4.2.2.5 (First Nations LUA)	SN cultural sites	To preserve and maintain resources that provide opportunities for social, ceremonial and cultural uses by First Nations; To protect and maintain the integrity of FN cultural and heritage resources, including sacred sites; To limit backcountry recreation use.	New road construction is not permitted for early exploration. If no feasible alternative is available, road access will be considered, subject to consultation with First Nations, and if appropriate, accommodation. Subsurface resource exploration and development activities should conserve the integrity of First Nation cultural and heritage values.	Land Act S.16s & 17s and Land Act S.93.4 -LUOR Order		
Progress Summary						
Start Date: LUOR: May 2008 ; Land Act 16s & 17s: Throughout 2007 Estimated completion date: LUOR: October 2009 ; Land Act 16s & 17s: April 2009						
Agency Priority: High						
Report	NS	I	M	SC	C	Comments
Progress Report-1 (Status date January 15, 2009)			X			Factors Affecting Implementation: <i>Changing Scope:</i> (Low) Two of the former cultural sites will become village sites (Elaho-Squamish Confluence and Shovel Nose Creek cultural sites) and their boundaries will be expanded. We have recently received new spatial information for an additional village site. <i>Resource Issues:</i> (Low) Four of the cultural sites were not converted to s.17 map reserves with the other sites due to human resource limitations. <i>Additional Time Required:</i> (Low) LUOR: agency reps have high workloads and competing priorities which can affect the speed of LUOR development, consultation and review work. Activities Completed This Period: LUOR: (May 2008) Established a S2S Legal Objectives Working Group that determined what S2S LRMP and FN LUA items would need a legal objective for implementation; (Dec 2008) Sent out preliminary legal objectives for legal and agency review. Land Act 16s & 17s: Applied to create NOIs over all cultural sites. Applied to covert NOIs to s.16 and 17 reserves. Converted 17 of the 21 cultural sites. Applied to convert four outstanding NOIs to s.17 reserves. General Comments: Land Act 16s & 17s: The details of the above mentioned changes (from cultural sites to village sites) are still being discussed and clarified.
Progress Report-2 (Status date January 15, 2010)					X	Activities Completed This Period: Converted four Notations of Interests to Section 17 designated use reserves.

Resource Value: Cultural Heritage		Practice ID # 61					Lead Agency: ILMB	
LRMP Reference	Applicable Area	LRMP Objective					Description of Practice	Legal tool (s)
4.2.2.5 (First Nations LUA)	SN village sites	To preserve archaeological, cultural and heritage resources; To enable other compatible uses, as appropriate to the social, cultural and ceremonial values of the sites.						Land Act s.16 and Land Act s.93.4 -LUOR Order
Progress Summary								
Start Date: LUOR: May 2008 ; Land Act s.16: Throughout 2007				Estimated completion date: unknown				
Agency Priority: High								
Report	NS	I	M	SC	C	Comments		
Progress Report-1 (Status date January 15, 2009)			X			Factors Affecting Implementation: <i>Changing Scope:</i> (Low) Two of the former cultural sites will become village sites (Elaho-Squamish Confluence and Shovel Nose Creek cultural sites) and their boundaries will be expanded. We have recently received new spatial information for an additional village site. <i>Additional Time Required:</i> (Low) LUOR: agency reps have high workloads and competing priorities which can affect the speed of LUOR development, consultation and review work. Activities Completed This Period: LUOR: (May 2008) Established a S2S Legal Objectives Working Group that determined what S2S LRMP and FN LUA items would need a legal objective for implementation; (Dec 2008) Sent out preliminary legal objectives for legal and agency review. Land Act s.16: Applied to create NOIs over the two cultural sites that will become village sites. Have been waiting to receive further mapping and management direction from Squamish Nation regarding village sites. Recently received this information. General Comments: Land Act s.16: The details of the above mentioned changes (from cultural sites to village sites) are still being discussed and clarified.		
Progress Report-2 (Status date January 15, 2010)			X			Factors Affecting Implementation: <i>Changing Scope:</i> (Medium) New boundaries have been received for the three Village Sites. <i>Additional Time Required:</i> (Medium) Due to the change in Village Site boundaries.		

Resource Value: Cultural Heritage						Practice ID # 66		Lead Agency: ILMB	
LRMP Reference	Applicable Area		LRMP Objective				Description of Practice		Legal tool (s)
4.2.2.5 (First Nations LUA)	SN cultural and village sites		Cultural Sites: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• To preserve and maintain resources that provide opportunities for social, ceremonial and cultural uses by First Nations.• To protect and maintain the integrity of the First Nations cultural and heritage resources, including sacred sites.• To limit commercial backcountry recreation use. Village Sites: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• To preserve archaeological, cultural and heritage resources.• To enable other compatible uses, as appropriate to the social, cultural and ceremonial values of the sites.				No new allocations of Crown land tenures within cultural and village sites. Projects of provincial significance that cannot be practically relocated may be permitted subject to consultation/ accommodation		Land Act s.16 & 17
Progress Summary									
Start Date: Throughout 2007			Estimated completion date: April 2009				Agency Priority: High		
Report	NS	I	M	SC	C	Comments			
Progress Report-1 (Status date January 15, 2009)				X		Factors Affecting Implementation: <i>Changing Scope:</i> (Low) Two of the former cultural sites will become village sites (Elaho-Squamish Confluence and Shovel Nose Creek cultural sites) and their boundaries will be expanded. We have recently received new spatial information for an additional village site. <i>Resource Issues:</i> (Low) Four of the cultural sites were not converted to s.17 map reserves with the other sites due to human resource limitations. Activities Completed This Period: Applied to create NOIs over all cultural sites. Applied to covert NOIs to s.16 and 17 reserves. Converted 17 of the 21 cultural sites. Applied to convert four outstanding NOIs to s.17 reserves. General Comments: Land Act 16s & 17s: The details of the above mentioned changes (from cultural sites to village sites) are still being discussed and clarified.			
Progress Report-2 (Status date January 15, 2010)					X	General Comments: This implementation items is a repeat of Items #60 and #61 and will not be reported on from this date forward.			

Resource Value: Wildlife and Biodiversity						Practice ID # 75	Lead Agency: ILMB	
LRMP Reference	Applicable Area	LRMP Objective					Description of Practice	Legal tool (s)
4.8.3 (First Nations LUA)	Old Growth Areas	To maintain representative examples of old condition forested stands in culturally and ecologically important biogeoclimatic variants.					Review of existing approved and draft OGMA's to ensure as much overlap with other objectives as possible.	Land Act s.93.4 / LUOR
Progress Summary								
Start Date: Fall 2009			Estimated completion date: unknown				Agency Priority: Medium	
Report	NS	I	M	SC	C	Comments		
Progress Report-1 (Status date January 15, 2009)	X					Factors Affecting Implementation: <i>Additional Time Required:</i> (Medium) Direction on old growth management is pending the outcome of discussions over Ure Creek with the Lil'wat Nation. Activities Completed This Period: Preliminary discussion on the Ure Creek unresolved area has occurred.		
Progress Report-2 (Status date January 15, 2010)	X					Factors Affecting Implementation: <i>Resource Issues:</i> (High) Project has not been resourced yet. General Comments: By April 2010 we are expecting the Minister of Agriculture and Lands to have delegated his authority to amend OGMA's under sec. 93.4 of the Land Act to MFR District Managers. This is expected to provide increased flexibility to complete this project.		

Resource Value: Wildlife and Biodiversity						Practice ID # 76		Lead Agency: ILMB	
LRMP Reference	Applicable Area	LRMP Objective				Description of Practice	Legal tool (s)		
4.8.3 (First Nations LUA)	Old Growth Areas	To maintain habitat for old-growth dependent species.					Land Act s.93.4 / LUOR		
Progress Summary									
Start Date: Fall 2009			Estimated completion date: Fall 2009				Agency Priority: Medium		
Report	NS	I	M	SC	C	Comments			
Progress Report-1 (Status date January 15, 2009)	X					Factors Affecting Implementation: <i>Additional Time Required:</i> (Medium) Direction on old growth management is pending the outcome of discussions over Ure Creek with the Lil'wat Nation. Activities Completed This Period: Preliminary discussion on the Ure Creek unresolved area has occurred.			
Progress Report-2 (Status date January 15, 2010)					X	General Comments: 12 Landscape Units (LU) are legally established and the remaining 9 LUs have draft OGMA's identified. Although not legally established, there are approved non-spatial provincial old growth objectives that apply to all draft landscape units in the Squamish forest District under the Non-Spatial Old Growth Order, June 30, 2004. Recommendations: No “new” objectives need to be established in order to maintain habitat for old growth dependent species in draft LUs. The current non-spatial old growth objectives serve this purpose.			

Resource Value: Wildlife and Biodiversity						Practice ID # 79		Lead Agency: ILMB	
LRMP Reference	Applicable Area	LRMP Objective				Description of Practice		Legal tool (s)	
4.8.3 (First Nations LUA)	Old Growth Areas	To maintain representative examples of old condition forested stands in culturally and ecologically important biogeoclimatic variants.				Maintain representative examples of old condition forest stands - equivalent to 1000 ha of contributing THLB/ 2000ha of forested landbase overall as per LNLUPA.		Land Act s.93.4 / LUOR	
Progress Summary									
Start Date: Fall 2009			Estimated completion date: Fall 2009				Agency Priority: Medium		
Report	NS	I	M	SC	C	Comments			
Progress Report-1 (Status date January 15, 2009)	X					Factors Affecting Implementation: <i>Additional Time Required:</i> (Medium) Direction on old growth management is pending the outcome of discussions over Ure Creek with the Lil'wat Nation. Activities Completed This Period: Preliminary discussion on the Ure Creek unresolved area has occurred.			
Progress Report-2 (Status date January 15, 2010)					X	Activities Completed This Period: 575 ha (of the 1000 ha) from the contributing land base was used to help offset the THLB impacts resulting from the establishment of the Ure Creek (Mkwál'ts) Conservancy – paper contribution only.			

Resource Value: Special Cultural Management Areas						Practice ID # 92		Lead Agency: MAL - ILMB	
LRMP Reference	Applicable Area	LRMP Objective				Description of Practice		Legal tool (s)	
5.1.3.2E - G (First Nations LUA)	U Elaho, Sims Ck, Westside Squamish	Special Cultural Management Areas allow some forestry activities and will be permitted subject to strict forest management practice guidelines that fully protect the Squamish Nation cultural values and ecological integrity of these areas.				Forestry activities in these Cultural Management Areas will be managed to a Retention Visual Quality Objective (VQO). Retention VQO means human caused alterations within scenic areas are visible but not evident from viewpoints located along the Squamish and Elaho Forest Service Roads.		GAR	
Progress Summary									
Start Date: 2006			Estimated completion date: 2012				Agency Priority: Low		
Report	NS	I	M	SC	C	Comments			
Progress Report-1 (Status date January 15, 2009)		X				Factors Affecting Implementation: <i>Resource Issues:</i> (Medium) As per S2S/07/I - vacancies in key staff positions impacting ability to focus resources on this project. <i>Additional Time Required:</i> (Low) Activities Completed This Period: Review of timber supply impacts of draft objectives. General Comments: This report is also for implementation ID's 81, 82, 83 and 84, which will all be managed as part of the same GAR order.			
Progress Report-2 (Status date January 15, 2010)		X				Factors Affecting Implementation: <i>Resource Issues:</i> (High) As Squamish First Nation manages the forestry activities in these areas, the risk of activities outside the LUA direction is very low. This work will be completed as part of the Sea to Sky Corridor Visual Management plan.			

Resource Value: Special Cultural Management Areas			Practice ID # 95			Lead Agency: ILMB		
LRMP Reference	Applicable Area	LRMP Objective	Description of Practice			Legal tool (s)		
5.1.3.2E - G (First Nations LUA	Westside Squamish SCMA	Special Cultural Management Areas allow some forestry activities and will be permitted subject to strict forest management practice guidelines that fully protect the Squamish Nation cultural values and ecological integrity of these areas.	Within the Estétiwilh / Westside Squamish River Special Cultural Management Area, existing commercial motorized recreation uses are permitted. Expansion of existing or new commercial motorized recreation tenures are prohibited.			Section 17 Land Act reserve		
Progress Summary								
Start Date: December 12, 2008			Estimated completion date: End of March 2009			Agency Priority: High		
Report	NS	I	M	SC	C	Comments		
Progress Report-1 (Status date January 15, 2009)		X				Factors Affecting Implementation: <i>Resource Issues:</i> (Low) Application did not get submitted to FCBC before December 2008 due to human resource issues. Activities Completed This Period: Application for s.17 Land Act reserve submitted to FCBC on December 12, 2008. FCBC accepted the application December 17, 2008. FCBC sent application for statusing by status technician.		
Progress Report-2 (Status date January 15, 2010)					X	Activities Completed This Period: Established the Section 17 reserve over this area.		

Resource Value: Cultural Management Areas						Practice ID # 97		Lead Agency: ILMB	
LRMP Reference	Applicable Area		LRMP Objective				Description of Practice	Legal tool (s)	
5.1.3.2H - I (First Nations LUA)	Callaghan		<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Conserve the integrity of the First Nations cultural and heritage resources, including cultural sites;• Ensure that economic development activities are undertaken in a manner that is sensitive to First Nations’ social, ceremonial and culture.				There will be no sale of Crown land.	S. 17 Land Act Reserve	
Progress Summary									
Start Date: December 12, 2008			Estimated completion date: End of January 2010				Agency Priority: High		
Report	NS	I	M	SC	C	Comments			
Progress Report-1 (Status date January 15, 2009)		X				Factors Affecting Implementation: <i>Resource Issues:</i> (Low) Application did not get submitted to FCBC until December 2008 due to human resource issues. Activities Completed This Period: Application for s.17 Land Act reserve submitted to FCBC on December 12, 2008. FCBC accepted the application December 16, 2008. FCBC sent application for statusing by status technician.			
Progress Report-2 (Status date January 15,2010)				X		Activities Completed This Period: The majority of the work to establish this reserve is complete. All that remains is the paper work to legally designate the reserve. This will be completed by the end of January 2010.			

Resource Value: Wildland (Mining/Tourism Permitted) Zones						Practice ID # 106	Lead Agency: ILMB	
LRMP Reference	Applicable Area			LRMP Objective		Description of Practice	Legal tool (s)	
5.2.2 (First Nations LUA)	Blanca/Skwxwú7mes h-úlh Snewáyelh and Tricouni Lakes/ Skwxwú7mesh-úlh Snewáyelh Cultural Training Areas			To retain the remote character of the Zone and associated high quality of wilderness experience for First Nations cultural uses and commercial and non-commercial backcountry recreation.		Exploration for minerals, aggregates, dimension stone, oil and gas and geothermal resources must use low impact methods such as foot and aerial access during early stages of exploration. No new road construction is permitted for forestry or early exploration but if no feasible alternative is available road access for subsurface resource development may be considered, subject to consultation with the Squamish Nation, and if required, accommodation.	Environment and Land Use Act Wildlands Order Interim Measures: Notation of Interests, Mineral Tenure Act Sec 17 Conditional Registration Reserve	
Practice ID # 106: Progress Summary								
Start Date : ELUA Order- Jan 2009; NOIs: June 2007 MTA s.17s: N/A						Estimated completion date : unknown		Agency Priority: High
Report	NS	I	M	SC	C	Comments		
Progress Report-1 (Status date January 15, 2009)		X				Factors Affecting Implementation: <i>Changing Scope:</i> (Low) ELUA order: The ELU Act has some additional process requirements to achieve final approval (i.e. the endorsement by the Environment and Land Use Committee). <i>Additional Time Required:</i> (High) ELUA Order: It took from May to Dec 2008 to determine/ confirm the most appropriate legal tool to implement the S2S Wildlands. As a result, the S2S Wildlands Order project work could not begin until Jan 2009, except for some preliminary mapping work. Start-up efforts for the S2S Wildlands Order project have been slowed due to the workload of ILMB staff on other priority S2S LRMP implementation projects in early 2009. MTA s. 17s: Additional time is required to determine if s. 17 reserves should be established in wildlands or if the ELUA order will be sufficient. Activities Completed This Period: NOIs: Established for all Wildlands. This process was completed in April 2008. ELUA Order: (Dec 2008) ILMB begins preliminary mapping work such as the development of draft Wildland maps. (Jan 2009) ILMB initiates project team formation and the development of a S2S Wildlands Order project charter and workplan (Jan 2009) ILMB-LIM develops a contract for specialized mapping work.		
Progress Report-2 (Status date January 15, 2010)			X			Factors Affecting Implementation: <i>Additional Time Required:</i> (High) It was determined that Section 17 Land Act reserves will also be required in addition to the ELUA order. Work has been initiated to address boundary conflicts with other designations, status the areas, and describe the designated use for each area (what land use tenures are allowed and not allowed). Activities Completed This Period: The application for Section 17s has been submitted to FCBC and accepted. The same shape files are being used as what is being provided for the ELUA order so there are no overlaps. A table has been provided that explains the designated use for each area, including what is allowed and no allowed for each area.		

Resource Value: Conservancies					Practice ID # 123					Lead Agency: MoE				
LRMP Reference		Applicable Area			LRMP Objective						Description of Practice		Legal tool (s)	
5.3.2 (First Nations LUA)		Squamish Forest District			To preserve and maintain resources that provides for First Nations social, ceremonial and cultural uses. To protect and enhance the integrity of First Nations cultural and heritage resources, including sacred sites. To protect and maintain the biological diversity and natural environments within the zone, including wildlife habitat values and low-elevation riparian ecosystems. To maintain appropriate levels of low-intensity backcountry recreational and tourism use, in order to maintain First Nations cultural and heritage values. To increase opportunities for First Nations participation in commercial recreation and tourism enterprises.						Establish Conservancies to protect First Nations cultural values.		Protected Areas of British Columbia Act	
Practice ID # 123: Progress Summary														
Start Date : October 2007					Estimated completion date : March 2010					Agency Priority: High				
Report		NS	I	M	SC	C	Comments							
Progress Report-1 (Status date January 15, 2009)					X		Factors Affecting Implementation: <i>Additional Time Required:</i> (Medium) The Callaghan and Upper Soo Conservancies are expected to be brought into force by regulation this Spring. Activities Completed This Period: Nine conservancies received Royal Assent through Bill 38 in 2008. Seven have been fully established. Callaghan and Soo have yet to be brought into force by Regulation through an Order in Council.							
Progress Report-2 (Status date January 15, 2010)						X	Activities Completed This Period: Nine conservancies received Royal Assent through Bill 38 in 2008. Seven were fully established in 2008, and the Callaghan and Soo were brought into force by Regulation through an Order in Council in 2009. An agreement was reached with Lil'wat Nation regarding the unresolved Ure Creek area in December 2009. The Ure Creek watershed will be designated as a conservancy (Mkwál'ts) in spring 2010.							

Resource Value: Conservancies					Practice ID # 124		Lead Agency: MoE	
LRMP Reference	Applicable Area		LRMP Objective				Description of Practice	Legal tool (s)
5.3.2 (First Nations LUA)	Squamish Forest District		To preserve and maintain resources that provides for First Nations social, ceremonial and cultural uses. To protect and enhance the integrity of First Nations cultural and heritage resources, including sacred sites. To protect and maintain the biological diversity and natural environments within the zone, including wildlife habitat values and low-elevation riparian ecosystems. To maintain appropriate levels of low-intensity backcountry recreational and tourism use, in order to maintain First Nations cultural and heritage values. To increase opportunities for First Nations participation in commercial recreation and tourism enterprises.				Designate conservancies as areas where logging, mineral exploration and development, and hydroelectric power generation are not permitted.	Protected Areas of British Columbia Act
Practice ID # 124: Progress Summary								
Start Date : October 2007			Estimated completion date : March 2010				Agency Priority: High	
Report	NS	I	M	SC	C	Comments		
Progress Report-1 (Status date January 15, 2009)				X		Factors Affecting Implementation: <i>Additional Time Required:</i> (Medium) The Callaghan and Upper Soo Conservancies are expected to be brought into force by regulation this Spring. Activities Completed This Period: Nine conservancies received Royal Assent through Bill 38 in 2008. Seven have been fully established. Callaghan and Soo have yet to be brought into force by Regulation through an Order in Council.		
Progress Report-2 (Status date January 15, 2010)					X	Activities Completed This Period: Nine conservancies received Royal Assent through Bill 38 in 2008. Seven were fully established in 2008, and the Callaghan and Soo were brought into force by Regulation through an Order in Council in 2009. An agreement was reached with Lil'wat Nation regarding the unresolved Ure Creek area in December 2009. The Ure Creek watershed will be designated as a conservancy (Mkwál'ts) in spring 2010.		

Resource Value: Conservancies				Practice ID # 125			Lead Agency: MoE		
LRMP Reference	Applicable Area		LRMP Objective				Description of Practice		Legal tool (s)
5.3.2 (First Nations LUA)	Squamish Forest District		To preserve and maintain resources that provide for First Nations social, ceremonial and cultural uses. To protect and enhance the integrity of First Nations cultural and heritage resources, including sacred sites. To protect and maintain the biological diversity and natural environments within the zone, including wildlife habitat values and low-elevation riparian ecosystems. To maintain appropriate levels of low-intensity backcountry recreational and tourism use, in order to maintain First Nations cultural and heritage values. To increase opportunities for First Nations participation in commercial recreation and tourism enterprises.				Roads are not permitted within Conservancies.		The conservancies are designated and managed under the Park Act. The management plan determines whether or not roads will be permitted within parks and conservancies. This is then enforced under the Park Act.
Practice ID # 125: Progress Summary									
Start Date : January 2009				Estimated completion date : March 2011				Agency Priority: High	
Report	NS	I	M	SC	C	Comments			
Progress Report-1 (Status date January 15, 2009)		X				Factors Affecting Implementation: <i>Resource Issues:</i> (High) Management planning for new conservancies will require a collaborative effort between First Nations and the Province (MOE). Resource issues affect both parties. Activities Completed This Period: Conservancies have been established. Management plans for two conservancies has commenced. The management planning process will address the question of road access within the conservancies.			
Progress Report-2 (Status date January 15, 2010)		X				Factors Affecting Implementation: <i>Resource Issues:</i> (Medium) Management planning for new conservancies will require a collaborative effort between First Nations and the Province (MOE). Resource issues affect both parties. <i>Additional Time Required:</i> (Medium) Activities Completed This Period: Conservancies have been established. Draft Management Plans for two conservancies have been completed (Sigurd Creek, Upper Rogers). The Management Plans address the question of road access within the conservancies.			

Resource Value: Conservancies						Practice ID # 126		Lead Agency: MoE	
LRMP Reference	Applicable Area		LRMP Objective				Description of Practice	Legal tool (s)	
5.3.2 (First Nations LUA)	Squamish Forest District		To preserve and maintain resources that provide for First Nations social, ceremonial and cultural uses. To protect and enhance the integrity of First Nations cultural and heritage resources, including sacred sites. To protect and maintain the biological diversity and natural environments within the zone, including wildlife habitat values and low-elevation riparian ecosystems. To maintain appropriate levels of low-intensity backcountry recreational and tourism use, in order to maintain First Nations cultural and heritage values. To increase opportunities for First Nations participation in commercial recreation and tourism enterprises.				Commercial lodges are not permitted within conservancies except for cultural purposes.	The conservancies are designated and managed under the Park Act. The management plan determines whether or not lodges and other major infrastructure will be permitted within parks and conservancies. This is then enforced under the Park Act.	
Practice ID # 126: Progress Summary									
Start Date : January 2009			Estimated completion date : March 2011				Agency Priority: High		
Report	NS	I	M	SC	C	Comments			
Progress Report-1 (Status date January 15, 2009)		X				Factors Affecting Implementation: <i>Resource Issues:</i> (High) Management planning for new conservancies will require a collaborative effort between First Nations and the Province (MOE). Resource issues affect both parties. Activities Completed This Period: Conservancies have been established. Management plans for two conservancies has commenced. The management planning process will address the question of infrastructure such as fixed-roof accommodation, lodges, or economic opportunities to be considered within the conservancies.			
Progress Report-2 (Status date January 15, 2010)		X				Factors Affecting Implementation: <i>Resource Issues:</i> (Medium) Management planning for new conservancies will require a collaborative effort between First Nations and the Province (MOE). Resource issues affect both parties. Activities Completed This Period: Nine conservancies have been established and one more is proposed. Draft Management Plans for two conservancies have been completed (Sigurd Creek, Upper Rogers). The management plans address the question of appropriateness of a lodge within the conservancies.			

Resource Value: Conservancies				Practice ID # 127			Lead Agency: MoE		
LRMP Reference	Applicable Area	LRMP Objective					Description of Practice	Legal tool (s)	
5.3.2 (First Nations LUA)	Squamish Forest District	To preserve and maintain resources that provides for First Nations social, ceremonial and cultural uses. To protect and enhance the integrity of First Nations cultural and heritage resources, including sacred sites. To protect and maintain the biological diversity and natural environments within the zone, including wildlife habitat values and low-elevation riparian ecosystems. To maintain appropriate levels of low-intensity backcountry recreational and tourism use, in order to maintain First Nations cultural and heritage values. To increase opportunities for First Nations participation in commercial recreation and tourism enterprises.					Motorized access and use is not permitted within conservancies except for pre-existing uses.	The conservancies are designated and managed under the Park Act. The management plan determines whether or not motorized use will be permitted within parks and conservancies. This is then enforced under the Park Act.	
Practice ID # 127: Progress Summary									
Start Date : January 2009			Estimated completion date : March 2011				Agency Priority: High		
Report	NS	I	M	SC	C	Comments			
Progress Report-1 (Status date January 15, 2009)		X				Factors Affecting Implementation: <i>Resource Issues:</i> (High) Management planning for new conservancies will require a collaborative effort between First Nations and the Province (MOE). Resource issues affect both parties. Activities Completed This Period: Conservancies have been established. Management plans for two conservancies has commenced. The management planning process will address the question of motorized access and use within the conservancies.			
Progress Report-2 (Status date January 15, 2010)		X				Factors Affecting Implementation: <i>Resource Issues:</i> (Medium) Management planning for new conservancies will require a collaborative effort between First Nations and the Province (MOE). Resource issues affect both parties. <i>Additional Time Required:</i> (Medium) Activities Completed This Period: Nine conservancies have been established and one more is proposed. Draft Management Plans for two conservancies have been completed (Sigurd Creek, Upper rogers). The management plans address the question of motorized access within the conservancies.			

4.0 Conclusion

4.1 Measure of Progress

As of January 15th, 2010, 55 of the 140 implementation items (39%) are either substantially complete or complete (as defined by a completion status of 66 – 100%). This is an increase from the 12 items (9%) substantially complete or complete one year previous (see *Table 3*). A total of 29 implementation items have been completed as of January 15th, 2010.

Table 3: Measure of Progress from January 15th, 2009 to January 15th, 2010

	% of Implementation Items Substantially Complete or Complete (66% – 100% Completion)		% of Implementation Items that have progressed during the year from January 15 th 2009 to 2010*
	2009	2010	
Projects	14% (3 of 22 Projects)	41% (9 of 22 Projects)	36%
Tasks	7% (1 of 14 Tasks)	14% (2 of 14 Tasks)	29%
Legal Practices	8% (8 of 104 Legal Practices)	42% (44 of 104 Legal Practices)	74%

* As measured by any positive change in “completion status” (e.g., Initiated to Midway).

The following implementation items remain outstanding and have less than 66% completion:

- 13 Projects
- 12 Tasks
- 60 Legal Practices

As of January 15th, 2009 there were 138 implementation items that were not complete. As of January 15th, 2010, 27 of those 138 implementation items have now been completed and 87 have shown progress (defined by a change from a change in “completion status”, e.g., Initiated to Midway).

The factors affecting implementation include varying degrees of resource issues, additional time required, and changing scope. Due to a less rigorous reporting structure (relative to IPR-1), no clear trend of which factor had most influence was evident in this report.

4.2 Considerations, Limitations and Recommendations

As was the case in the IPR-1, Legal Practices make up almost 75% of the monitored implementation items but they are associated with only a small number of legal tools (i.e. Wildlands ELUA Order, Land Act 93.4, S2S LUOR Order, etc.). As such, the reported progress on LRMP implementation is heavily influenced by the status of a small number of regulatory implementation processes for Legal Practices. This should be considered while interpreting the results of this report.

As previously mentioned, more details regarding the factors affecting implementation can be found in IPR-1. The decision to trim the level of detail in this report means that IPR-1 and IPR-2 cannot be

directly compared beyond the completion status rating. In turn, this has led to a general overview of implementation status but not a thorough analysis of the factors affecting implementation.

Should resources and interest exist to develop a third annual Implementation Progress Report, the following recommendations may be considered:

- The next report should omit all completed items as there is no need to continue reporting them.
- A revised monitoring form should be created to pull more information from agencies regarding the progress of implementation. While developing the revised monitoring form and report, particular consideration should be given to the reporting of items that have progressed little or not at all since the S2S LRMP was approved.

Appendix A – Acronyms

CAMP – Coordinated Access Management Plan

ELUA – Environment and Land Use Act

ELUC – Environment and Land Use Committee

FCBC – Front Counter British Columbia

FN LUA – First Nations Land Use Agreement

FRPA – Forest and Range Practices Act

FSR – Forest Service Road

G2G – Government-to-Government

ILMB – Integrated Land Management Bureau

IPIT – Inter-Agency Plan Implementation Team

IPR - Implementation Progress Report

LIM – Land Information and Management

LRMP – Land and Resource Management Plan

LU – Landscape Unit

LUOR – Land Use Objectives Regulation

MAL-ILMB – Ministry of Agriculture – Integrated Land Management Bureau

MEMPR – Ministry of Energy, Mines and Petroleum Resources

MOE – Ministry of Environment

MFR –Ministry of Forests and Range

MP – Management Plan

MTCA – Ministry of Tourism Culture and Arts

NOI – Notation of Interest

OGMA – Old Growth Management Area

RSBC – Revised Statutes of British Columbia

SGA – Spirited Ground Areas

S. 16/17 – Land Act Section 16/17

S2S – Sea-to-Sky

THLB – Timber Harvestable Land Base

VQO – Visual Quality Objectives

WMA – Wildlife Management Area