## SPECIAL EDUCATION SERVICES

## Category Checklists – 2010



Physically Dependent - Multiple Needs	Student's Name
Level I funding allocation 1701 Code A This checklist should only be used in conjunction with Section E.6 of Special Education Service: A Manual of Policies Procedures and Guidelines (Nov. 2010)	PEN Date
To be eligible the following must be met	
Assessment documentation shows that the student is completely dependent on others for meeting all major daily living needs. The student requires assistance at <b>all times for each</b> of the following:    feeding; and   dressing; and   toileting; and   mobility; and   personal hygiene.	There must be documentation to support that the student has been appropriately assessed and identified by the school district or independent school authority as meeting the criteria of the special education category.
<ul> <li>There is documented evidence that</li> <li>A current IEP is in place, dated after September 30, previous school year.</li> <li>The IEP has individualized goals and measurable objectives, with adaptations and or modifications where appropriate, and strategies to meet these goals.</li> <li>The goals correspond to the category in which the student is identified.</li> <li>The services outlined in the IEP relate to the identified needs of the student.</li> <li>The student is receiving special education services to address the needs identified in the assessment documentation that are beyond those offered to the general student population and are proportionate to level of need.</li> <li>The student is being offered learning activities in accordance with the IEP.</li> <li>The IEP outlines methods for measuring progress in relation to the IEP goals.</li> <li>A parent was offered the opportunity to be consulted about preparation of the IEP.</li> </ul>	
Reduction in class size is not by itself a sufficient service.	

Deafblind	Student's Name
Level I funding allocation 1701 Code B This checklist should only be used in conjunction with Section E.7 of Special Education Service: A Manual of Policies Procedures and Guidelines (Nov. 2010)	PEN Date
To be eligible the following must be met	
<ul> <li>Medical documentation shows that:</li> <li>the student's vision is impaired (from partial sight to total blindness); and</li> <li>that the student's hearing is impaired (from moderate to profound hearing loss).</li> </ul>	There must be documentation to support that the student has been appropriately assessed and identified by the school district or independent school authority as meeting the criteria of the special education category.
There is documented evidence that  The degree of impairments, when compounded, results in significant communicative, educational, vocational, and social skills difficulties.	
<ul> <li>There is documented evidence that</li> <li>A current IEP is in place, dated after September 30, previous school year.</li> <li>The IEP has individualized goals and measurable objectives, with adaptations and or modifications where appropriate, and strategies to meet these goals.</li> <li>The goals correspond to the category in which the student is identified.</li> <li>The services outlined in the IEP relate to the identified needs of the student.</li> <li>The student is receiving special education services to address the needs identified in the assessment documentation that are beyond those offered to the general student population and are proportionate to level of need.</li> <li>The student is being offered learning activities in accordance with the IEP.</li> <li>The IEP outlines methods for measuring progress in relation to the IEP goals.</li> <li>A parent was offered the opportunity to be consulted about preparation of the IEP.</li> <li>Reduction in class size is not by itself a sufficient service.</li> </ul>	
Reduction in class size is not by itself a sufficient service.	

Moderate to Profound Intellectual Disability	Student's Name
Level 2 funding allocation	
1701 Code C This checklist should only be used in conjunction with Section E	
Special Education Service: A Manual of Policies Procedures and Guidelines (Nov. 2010)	Date
To be eligible the following must be met	
assessment documentation shows the student's intellect functioning is 3 or more standard deviations below the nan individually administered Level C assessment of inte functioning (SS ≤ 54); and	There must be documentation to support that
assessment documentation shows there are limitations degree in 2 or more adaptive skill areas (appropriate to student's age) on a norm referenced measure of adaptive behaviour.	of similar criteria of the special education category.
Note: every instrument has measurement error of approxima points, so a student may be identified with a moderate intelled disability with an overall cognitive score as high as 59 when significant deficits in adaptive functioning, but would not be in	ectual there are dentified
with a moderate intellectual disability if scores in two or more adaptive skill areas (appropriate to the student's age) are no similar level.	
There is documented evidence that	
A current IEP is in place, dated after September 30, pre school year.	vious
The IEP has individualized goals and measurable objec with adaptations and or modifications where appropriate strategies to meet these goals.	
The goals correspond to the category in which the stude identified.	ent is
The services outlined in the IEP relate to the identified not the student.	eeds of
The student is receiving special education services to at the needs identified in the assessment documentation the beyond those offered to the general student population proportionate to level of need.	nat are
The student is being offered learning activities in accord with the IEP.	ance
The IEP outlines methods for measuring progress in relative IEP goals.	ation to
A parent was offered the opportunity to be consulted ab preparation of the IEP.	out
Reduction in class size is not by itself a sufficient service.	

Physical Disability / Chronic Health Impairment	Student's Name
Level 2 funding allocation 1701 Code D	PEN
This checklist should only be used in conjunction with Section E.8 of <u>Special Education Service: A Manual of Policies Procedures and Guidelines (Nov. 2010)</u>	Date
To be eligible the following must be met	
There is documentation of a medical diagnosis in <b>one or more</b> of the following areas:	There is evidence of a medical diagnosis of
<ul> <li>nervous system impairment that impacts movement or mobility; and/or</li> <li>musculoskeletal condition; and/or</li> <li>chronic health impairment that seriously impacts students' education and achievement.</li> </ul>	Note: For a diagnosis of a complex developmental behaviour condition including FASD, it must be made by either the  CDBC Network, or BCAAN Network, or  a qualified medical specialist (paediatrician, psychiatrist, neurologist or a medical professional specializing in developmental disorders) in consultation with a multi-disciplinary team of specialists (i.e. registered psychologist, speech/language pathologist, occupational therapist, physical therapist).
Assessment documentation shows that	There must be documentation to support that the student has been appropriately assessed and identified by the
<ul> <li>This student's functioning and education is significantly affected by his/her physical disability or chronic health impairment and/or</li> <li>The student with complex developmental behaviour conditions, including FASD, exhibits an array of complex needs in two or more domains which significantly impact the student's education and achievement.</li> </ul>	school district or independent school authority as meeting the criteria of the special education category.  Students with mental illness should be reported in the behaviour category that matches their needs.  Assessment documentation and other information should indicate that the extent and impact of the medical condition is such that there is a need for significant support services.  For students with complex developmental behaviour conditions, assessment documentation must address an array of complex needs and identify two or more of the following domains as being significantly impacted:  academic/intellectual functioning (development, learning consistent)
	learning, cognition*)  social-emotional functioning (behaviour, mental health, social skills, peer relations*)  self determination/independence (adaptive skills, safety, daily living skills*)  physical functioning (neuro-motor functioning, motor skills, self care*)  communication (receptive and expressive language, speech intelligibility/impairments*)  * cross-reference to terminology used in the CDBC
Page 1	Framework

Page 2 of 2		
There is documented evidence that		
A current IEP is in place, dated previous school year.	after September 30,	
The IEP has individualized goa objectives, with adaptations and where appropriate, and strateging goals.	d or modifications	
The goals correspond to the ca student is identified.	tegory in which the	
The services outlined in the IEF identified needs of the student.	P relate to the	
The student is receiving specia to address the needs identified documentation that are beyond general student population and level of need.	in the assessment those offered to the	
The student is being offered lead accordance with the IEP.	arning activities in	
The IEP outlines methods for melation to the IEP goals.	neasuring progress in	
A parent was offered the oppor about preparation of the IEP.	tunity to be consulted	
Reduction in class size is not by itse	elf a sufficient service.	

Vis	sual Impairment	Student's Name
170 This	vel 2 funding allocation 11 Code E 2 checklist should only be used in conjunction with Section E.9 2 checklist should only be used in Conjunction with Section E.9 3 checklist should only be used in Conjunction with Section E.9 3 checklist should only be used in Conjunction with Section E.9 3 checklist should only be used in Conjunction with Section E.9 3 checklist should only be used in Conjunction with Section E.9 3 checklist should only be used in Conjunction with Section E.9 4 checklist should only be used in Conjunction with Section E.9 5 checklist should only be used in Conjunction with Section E.9 5 checklist should only be used in Conjunction with Section E.9 5 checklist should only be used in Conjunction with Section E.9 5 checklist should only be used in Conjunction with Section E.9 6 checklist should only be used in Conjunction with Section E.9 6 checklist should only be used in Conjunction with Section E.9 6 checklist should only be used in Conjunction with Section E.9 6 checklist should only be used in Conjunction with Section E.9 6 checklist should only be used in Conjunction with Section E.9 6 checklist should only be used in Conjunction with Section E.9 6 checklist should only be used in Conjunction with Section E.9 6 checklist should only be used in Conjunction with Section E.9 6 checklist should only be used in Conjunction with Section E.9 6 checklist should only be used in Conjunction with Section E.9 7 checklist should only be used in Conjunction with Section E.9 7 checklist should only be used in Conjunction with Section E.9 7 checklist should only be used in Conjunction with Section E.9 7 checklist should only be used in Conjunction with Section E.9 7 checklist should only be used in Conjunction with Section E.9 7 checklist should only be used in Conjunction with Section E.9 7 checklist should only be used in Conjunction with Section E.9 7 checklist should only be used in Conjunction with Section E.9 7 checklist should only be used in Conjunction with Section E.9 7 checklist should only be	PEN Date
To	be eligible the following must be met	
orth Chil	ocumented report from an ophthalmologist, optometrist, optist or the Visually Impaired Program at the BC dren's Hospital describes the student's vision impairment one of the following:  a visual acuity of 6/21 (20/70) or less in the better eye after correction; or	There must be documentation to support that the student has been appropriately assessed and identified by the school district or independent school authority as meeting the criteria of the special education category.
	a visual field of 20 degrees or less; <b>or</b> Any progressive eye disease with a prognosis of becoming one of the above within a few years; <b>or</b>	This category does not include students described as having visual perceptual difficulties.
	A visual problem or related visual stamina that is not correctable that results in the student functioning as if his/her visual acuity is limited to 6/21 (20/70) or less.	
The	re is documented evidence that	
	A current IEP is in place, dated after September 30, previous school year.	
	The IEP has individualized goals and measurable objectives, with adaptations and or modifications where appropriate, and strategies to meet these goals.	
	The goals correspond to the category in which the student is identified.	
	The services outlined in the IEP relate to the identified needs of the student.	
	The student is receiving special education services to address the needs identified in the assessment documentation that are beyond those offered to the general student population and are proportionate to level of need.	
	The student is being offered learning activities in accordance with the IEP.	
	The IEP outlines methods for measuring progress in relation to the IEP goals.	
	A parent was offered the opportunity to be consulted about preparation of the IEP.	
Rec	fuction in class size is not by itself a sufficient service.	
A q	ualified teacher of the visually impaired provides the vices.	

De	eaf or Hard of Hearing	Student's Name
<i>D</i> (	ar or riara or ricaring	
	vel 2 funding allocation	
1701 Code F		PEN
	s checklist should only be used in conjunction with Section O of Special Education Service: A Manual of Policies	_
	cedures and Guidelines (Nov. 2010)	Date
То	be eligible the following must be met	
Ass	essment documentation shows that	There must be documentation to support
	The student has a medically diagnosed significant bilateral	that the student has been appropriately
	hearing loss, a unilateral loss with significant speech/language delay, or a cochlear implant (typically	assessed and identified by the school district or independent school authority as meeting the
	documented in a report from a health professional such as	criteria of the special education category.
_	an audiologist).	Criteria for reporting of Unilateral
	Assessment information indicates that the student has	Hearing Loss:
	substantial educational difficulty due to the hearing loss.	There is an annual assessment;
		<ul> <li>The unilateral hearing loss is moderate to profound; and,</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>The student has educationally</li> </ul>
		significant problems directly attributable
		to the loss.
	ere is documented evidence that:  A current IEP is in place, dated after September 30,	
_	previous school year.	
	The IEP has individualized goals and measurable	
	objectives, with adaptations and or modifications where	
	appropriate, and strategies to meet these goals.	
_	The goals correspond to the category in which the student is identified.	
	The services outlined in the IEP relate to the identified	
_	needs of the student.	
	The student is receiving special education services to address the needs identified in the assessment	
	documentation that are beyond those offered to the	
	general student population and are proportionate to level	
	of need.	
Ч	The student is being offered learning activities in accordance with the IEP.	
	The IEP outlines methods for measuring progress in	
	relation to the IEP goals.	
Ц	A parent was offered the opportunity to be consulted	
	about preparation of the IEP.	
Red	duction in class size is not by itself a sufficient service.	
	ualified teacher of the deaf and hard of hearing provides services.	

## Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) Student's Name Level 2 funding allocation 1701 Code G PEN \_\_\_\_\_ This checklist should only be used in conjunction with Section E.11 of Special Education Service: A Manual of Policies Date Procedures and Guidelines (Nov. 2010) To be eligible the following must be met There must be documentation to support that the student has been Documentation of a diagnosis of ASD made by appropriately appropriately assessed and identified by the school district or qualified professionals: independent school authority as meeting the criteria of the special BC Autism Assessment Network (BCAAN). or education category. a paediatrician, psychiatrist or registered psychologist whose assessment meets Standards and Guidelines\* Documentation must meet one of the following conditions for under and adopted BCAAN policy changes (Sept. 2006). age six at the time of assessment: **Note:** Students who are diagnosed with any of the cluster of Diagnosis of autism from a qualified specialist prior to Jan. 1, disabilities referred to as "pervasive development disorders" should 2004 (completed prior to the introduction of the new Standards\* now be identified in the ASD funding category. in Jan. 1, 2004) that will, in many but not all cases, include information from various professionals of different disciplines. Clinical diagnoses and assessment by a qualified specialist There is documented evidence that... completed after Jan. 1, 2004 in keeping with the new provincial A current IEP is in place, dated after September 30, Standards\*. The assessment must include and integrate information from multiple sources and various professionals previous school year. from different disciplines. ☐ The IEP has individualized goals and measurable objectives, with adaptations and or modifications where Documentation must meet the following conditions for over age six appropriate, and strategies to meet these goals. at the time of assessment: The goals correspond to the category in which the student is identified. For students over age six who are identified in the category for the first time, school boards must ensure that a qualified The services outlined in the IEP relate to the identified specialist conducts a clinical diagnostic assessment. The needs of the student. assessment must integrate information from multiple sources The student is receiving special education services to covering development and presenting concerns; must include a address the needs identified in the assessment mental status examination; must review community records and prior assessments; and must include consultation with documentation that are beyond those offered to the professionals from other disciplines where deemed appropriate. general student population and are proportionate to level Standards for diagnosis and assessment of students age six of need. and over are reflected in the Sept. 2006 BCAAN policy ☐ The student is being offered learning activities in changes. accordance with the IEP. "Grandfathering" provisions: ☐ The IEP outlines methods for measuring progress in relation to the IEP goals. Students of any age who were identified by school boards in the A parent was offered the opportunity to be consulted Autism category in the 2005/06 school year will remain eligible for about preparation of the IEP. continued placement in this category, provided a previous documented diagnosis of ASD was made by an appropriately qualified professional, a current IEP remains in place and the Reduction in class size is not by itself a sufficient service. student continues to receive ongoing special education services. Such students will be "grandfathered" on the basis that they were identified in the autism category at or before 2005/06, consistent with Ministry of Education requirements for that school year. All students with a documented diagnosis of ASD made by a qualified professional (registered psychologist, pediatrician, neurologist or psychiatrist) prior to January 1, 2004 should be deemed eligible. \* Standards and Guidelines for the Assessment and Diagnosis of Young Child with Autism Spectrum Disorder in British Columbia http://www.phsa.ca/HealthPro/Autism/default.htm

Students Requiring Intensive Behaviour Intervention or Students with Serious Mental Illness	Student's Name
1701 Code H	PEN
To be eligible the following must be met	
□ a behavioural assessment and/or □ a mental health assessment  The behaviour or mental health assessment indicates evidence of one or both of the following: □ antisocial, extremely disruptive behaviour in most other environments and consistently/ persistently over time; and/or □ severe mental illness diagnosed by a mental health professional	There must be documentation to support that the student has been appropriately assessed and dentified by the school district or independent school authority as meeting the criteria of the special education category.  ☐ Functional behavioural assessment, for example, the Behaviour Disorders Instructiona Support Planning Tool, and/or  ☐ Other assessments by medical professionals or teams of professionals, and/or  ☐ Norm referenced assessment, i.e., Behaviour Assessment System for Children (BASC), Connors' Rating Scale, Achenbach Child Behavior Checklist, etc.
There is documented evidence that indicates  The behaviour  places student or others at serious risk and/or  interferes with his or her academic progress and that of other students.	
<ul> <li>There is documented evidence that</li> <li>A current IEP is in place, dated after September 30, previous school year.</li> <li>The IEP has individualized goals and measurable objectives, with adaptations and or modifications where appropriate, and strategies to meet these goals.</li> <li>The goals correspond to the category in which the student is identified.</li> <li>The student is receiving special education services to address the needs identified in the assessment documentation that are beyond those offered to the general student population and are proportionate to level of need.</li> <li>The student is being offered learning activities in accordance with the IEP.</li> <li>The IEP outlines methods for measuring progress in relation to the IEP goals.</li> <li>A parent was offered the opportunity to be consulted about preparation of the IEP.</li> </ul>	

St	Page 2 of 2 udents Requiring Intensive Behaviour Intervention or	Student's Name
	udents with Serious Mental Illness (Cont'd)	·
	rel 3 funding allocation	PEN
This	checklist should only be used in conjunction with Section E.5 of <u>Special Education</u> vice: A Manual of Policies Procedures and Guidelines (Nov. 2010)	Date
The	re is documented evidence that indicates	
	The settings in which the behaviour is persistent over time.  The district or independent school authority has exhausted resources/ capacity to manage.  Planning is coordinated, across-agency and community (integrated case management/ wraparound).	
Doc	umentation of services shows that	
	The services outlined in the IEP relate to the identified needs of the student.	
	There is evidence that one or more of the following special education services are provided:	
	direct intervention in the classroom to promote behavioural change or emotional support as per IEP; and/or	
	placement in a program designed to promote behaviour change/implement IEP; and/or	
	ongoing, individual social skills training and/or instruction in behaviour/learning strategies.	
	luction in class size (or placement in an alternate program or learning ironment) is not by itself a sufficient service to meet the criteria.	

n <i>n</i> :	ld Intellectual Disabilities	Student's Name
wind intellectual Disabilities		Stadent's Name
170 This <u>Spe</u>	dent base funding allocation 1 Code K checklist should only be used in conjunction with Section E.2 of cial Education Service: A Manual of Policies Procedures and delines (Nov. 2010)	PEN Date
Tol	pe eligible the following must be met	
	assessment documentation shows the student's intellectual functioning is 2 or more standard deviations below the mean on an individually administered Level C assessment of intellectual functioning (SS $\leq$ 70); <b>and</b> assessment documentation shows there are limitations of similar degree in 2 or more adaptive skill areas (appropriate to	There must be documentation to support that the student has been appropriately assessed and identified by the school district or independent school authority as meeting the criteria of the special education category.
poin disa are with two	similar degree in 2 or more adaptive skill areas (appropriate to the student's age) on a norm referenced measure of adaptive behaviour.  e: every instrument has measurement error of approximately 5 ats, so a student may be identified with a mild intellectual bility with an overall cognitive score as high as 75 when there significant deficits in adaptive functioning. Similarly, a student a cognitive score below 70 but no significant impairments in or more adaptive skill areas (appropriate to the student's age) ld not be identified.	
<b>-</b> .		
_	re is documented evidence that A current IEP is in place, dated after September 30, previous school year.	
	The IEP has individualized goals and measurable objectives, with adaptations and or modifications where appropriate, and strategies to meet these goals.	
	The goals correspond to the category in which the student is identified.	
	The student is receiving special education services to address the needs identified in the assessment documentation. These services are outlined in the IEP and relate to the identified needs of the student.	
	The student is being offered learning activities in accordance with the IEP.	
	The IEP outlines methods for measuring progress in relation to the IEP goals.	
	A parent was offered the opportunity to be consulted about preparation of the IEP.	
Red	luction in class size is not by itself a sufficient service.	

Gifted	Student's Name	
Ontou		
Student base funding allocation		
<b>1701 Code P</b> This checklist should only be used in conjunction with Section E.4 of	PEN	
Special Education Service: A Manual of Policies Procedures and	Date	
Guidelines (Nov. 2010)	Date	
To be eligible the following must be met		
Assessment documentation indicates the student meets the		
criteria of exceptionally high capability with respect to:	There must be documentation to support that the student has been appropriately assessed and	
□ intellect, or □ creativity, or	identified by the school district or independent	
skills associated with a specific discipline.	school authority as meeting the criteria of the special education category.	
As evidenced by several (2 or more) of the following:  teacher observations including anecdotal records, checklists		
and inventories; and/or		
□ records of student achievement including assignments,		
portfolios, grades and outstanding talents, interests and accomplishments; and/or		
nominations by educators, parents, peers and/or self; <b>and/or</b>		
□ interview of parents and students; <b>and/or</b>		
formal assessments to Level C of cognitive ability,		
achievement, aptitude and creativity, etc.		
Assessment documentation shows that		
assessment and identification has used multiple criteria.		
<ul> <li>assessment has collected information from a variety of sources.</li> </ul>		
The section of the se		
There is documented evidence that  A current IEP is in place, dated after September 30, previous		
school year.		
☐ The IEP has individualized goals and measurable objectives,		
with adaptations and or modifications where appropriate, and strategies to meet these goals.		
☐ The goals correspond to the category in which the student is		
identified.		
The student is being offered learning activities in accordance with the IEP.		
☐ The IEP outlines methods for measuring progress in relation		
to the IEP goals.		
<ul> <li>A parent was offered the opportunity to be consulted about preparation of the IEP.</li> </ul>		
Reduction in class size is not by itself a sufficient service.		

1		
Learning Disabilities	Student's Name	
Student base funding allocation 1701 Code Q This checklist should only be used in conjunction with Section E.3 of Special Education Service: A Manual of Policies Procedures and Guidelines (Nov. 2010)	PEN Date	
To be eligible the following must be met	There must be documentation to support that the student has been appropriately assessed and identified by the school district or independent school authority as meeting the criteria of the special education category.	
<ul> <li>assessment documentation shows persistent difficulties in the acquisition of pre-academic and/or academic skills such as recognition of letters and numbers in the early primary years or acquisition of reading, written language, and/or numeracy, in spite of appropriate opportunities to learn; and</li> <li>assessment documentation shows average to above average cognitive ability; and</li> <li>assessment documentation shows weaknesses in cognitive processing that contribute to persistent difficulties with learning</li> </ul>	One or more academic difficulties relative to expected ability, and identified in documentation:  Word Recognition, and/or Reading Comprehension, and/or Spelling, and/or Written expression, and/or Mathematical computations, and/or Problem Solving	
	Various achievement instruments can be used to document difficulty.	
There is documented evidence that  Average or above ability, demonstrated either by a cognitive assessment or by average or better performance on a norm referenced test of achievement that measures the student's ability to reason, such as mathematical problem-solving, reading comprehension, written expression and listening comprehension. Average ability is demonstrated by scores at or above one standard deviation below the norm.  Significant weakness exists in one or more of the cognitive processes.  Assessments of cognitive processes must utilize norm-referenced instruments; if other forms of assessment are used, they must be accompanied by a clear rationale for why the alternate assessment is appropriate in identifying the student's processing disorder.  Assessment documents indicate that the difficulties being experienced are not primarily* due to sensory deficits, lack of opportunity to learn, cultural or linguistic differences, or social/emotional/physical health issues.	Measures used as evidence of cognitive functioning:  Full scale score, or other norm referenced estimate of ability with rationale for not using full scale IQ.  Verbal IQ or Performance IQ score  Test of non-verbal cognitive ability score  Norm-reference test(s) of academic achievement in listening comprehension, reading comprehension, mathematics problem solving, or written expression.  Significant weakness in one or more cognitive processes, relative to overall functioning:  Perception  Memory  Attention  Language processing  Visual-spatial processing  Planning and decision making  Phonological processing  Processing speed  Auditory processing  Examples of evidence of other primary sources of difficulty addressed in assessment documentation (✓ as appropriate):  Culture and language differences of the home  Consistency of schooling and attendance  Vision and hearing screening results  Medical factors regarding social/emotional/physical	
	health  Other	
Page 1 of 2	_ 500	

Page 2 of 2				
Learning Disabilities (cont'd)		Student's Name		
Student base funding allocation 1701 Code Q This checklist should only be used in conjunction with Section E.3 of Special Education Service: A Manual of Policies Procedures and Guidelines (Nov. 2010)		PEN Date		
There is documented evidence that(cont'd)				
	The assessment integrates information from a number of sources (two or more).	Examples of documentation:  Information from family about health social/emotional status and developmental history  Information from professionals about health social/emotional status and developmental history  Information from classroom teacher(s) about classroom performance  Information from learning assistance/resource teacher about student performance  Information from other professionals about strengths and weaknesses  Relevant academic history  Other		
	re is documented evidence that A current IEP is in place, dated after September 30, previous	Examples of strategies:		
	school year.  The IEP has individualized goals and measurable objectives, with adaptations and or modifications where appropriate, and strategies to meet these goals.	☐ Intense, direct instruction ☐ Skill-building instruction ☐ Instruction in learning and compensatory		
	The goals correspond to the category in which the student is identified.	strategies  Skill building in self advocacy		
	The student is receiving special education services to address the needs identified in the assessment documentation. These services are outlined in the IEP and relate to the identified needs of the student.	<ul> <li>Adaptations to instruction and assessment</li> <li>Adaptations to learning resources, technology</li> <li>Social skills training, etc.</li> </ul>		
	The student is being offered learning activities in accordance with the IEP.			
	The IEP outlines methods for measuring progress in relation to the IEP goals.			
	A parent was offered the opportunity to be consulted about preparation of the IEP.			
Red	duction in class size is not by itself a sufficient service.			

Students Requiring Behaviour Support or Students with Mental Illness		Student's Name
Student base funding allocation 1701 Code R		PEN
This checklist should only be used in conjunction with Section E.5 of <u>Special</u> <u>Education Service: A Manual of Policies Procedures and Guidelines (Nov. 2010)</u>		Date
To be eligible the following must be met		
aggueration hyperation	nent documentation from different sources provides evidence of one of the following: ression (of a physical, emotional, or sexual nature) and/or eractivity; and/or ative or undesirable internalized psychological states such as iety, stress related disorders, and depression; and/or aviours related to social problems such as delinquency, substance se, child abuse, or neglect; and/or aviours related to other disabling conditions, such as thought orders or neurological or physiological conditions.	There must be documentation to support that the student has been appropriately assessed and identified by the school district or independent school authority as meeting the criteria of the special education category.  Functional behavioural assessment, for example, the Behaviour Disorders Instructional Support Planning Tool, and/or  Other assessments by medical professionals or teams of professionals, and/or  Norm referenced assessment, i.e., Behaviour Assessment System for Children (BASC), Connors' Rating Scale, Achenbach Child Behavior Checklist, etc.
The Beh one The thro  There is A cu year  The adal mee	documented evidence that shows If frequency or severity of the behaviours has a very disruptive effect. It frequency or severity of the behaviours has a very disruptive effect. It frequency or severity of the behaviours has a very disruptive effect. It frequency or severity of the behaviours has a very disruptive effect. It frequency or severity of the behaviours has a very disruptive effect. It frequency or severity of the behaviours has a very disruptive effect. It frequency or severity of the behaviours has a very disruptive effect. It frequency or severity of the severy of the severy of the behaviours has a very disruptive effect. It frequency or severity of the behaviours has a very disruptive effect. It frequency or severity of the behaviours has a very disruptive effect. It frequency or severity of the behaviours has a very disruptive effect. It frequency or severity of the behaviours has a very disruptive effect. It frequency of time, in more than a very disruptive effect. It frequency of time, in more than setting, in more than	
need outling The IEP. The goal A part of the	ds identified in the assessment documentation. These services are ined in the IEP and relate to the identified needs of the student. student is being offered learning activities in accordance with the .  IEP outlines methods for measuring progress in relation to the IEP	