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The existing Prince George South Commercial Vehicle Inspection Station is located on Highway 97 at the south approach to Prince George, in an industrial area at the south end of the Simon Fraser Bridge. Encroaching development, public pressure and increasing congestion around the scale have made it impractical for the scale to remain at its present location.

With the implementation of the 4-lane Cariboo Connector from Cache Creek to Prince George, there is the opportunity to build a new median scale in a new section of divided 4-lane highway about 20 km south of Prince George near Red Rock Road. The new scale location will reduce average commercial vehicle delay by several minutes per vehicle and may also be equipped with Weigh-in-motion (WIM) and Automatic Vehicle Identification (AVI) technology allowing safe legal trucks to bypass the weigh scale at highway speeds.

The project is consistent with federal objectives to improve efficiency of the National commercial vehicle network through the use of electronic clearance and roadside inspection and with the Provincial objectives to 4-lane the Cariboo Connector from Cache Creek to Prince George.

The project reduces congestion for through traffic at the approach to Prince George and the Simon Fraser Bridge as well as delays to commercial vehicles entering the scale. Safety benefits stem from reduced intersection accidents at the signalised intersection access to the scale, the 5 km of improved 4-lane highway in the vicinity of the new scale and from improved commercial vehicle compliance. Pavement benefits stem from improved targeting of overweight vehicles.

At a $10 \%$ discount rate, the present value of benefits is $\$ 11.6$ million without WIM/AVI and $\$ 14.3$ with WIM/AVI. The difference is the savings to trucks of 2 to 3 minutes and 0.5 to 1.0 litres of fuel per truck with the new scale.

Costs for the inspection station, acceleration/deceleration lanes and 5 km . of 4laning total $\$ 24.8$ million. A notional $\$ 500,000$ recoverable is used to reflect the value of the old site once it is abandoned.

The project returns a B/C ratio of 0.68 without $\mathrm{WIM} / \mathrm{AVI}$ and .75 with WIM/AVI at a 10\% discount rate and 1.17 and 1.26 at the Provincially recommended 6\% discount rate. The rationale for the project includes the broader economic development and equity goals for Northern BC, implementation of the National CVIS network and enhancing north/south trade routes, in this case between the lower 48 states and Alaska via Canada.
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## Benefit Cost Analysis <br> Highway 97 - Red Rock 4-Laning and Commercial Vehicle Inspection Station

## 1 Background

The existing Prince George South Weigh Scale is a bi-directional facility located within the City Limits at the intersection of Highway 97 and Railway Avenue (seg 1150, Iki 111.75) at the south end of the Simon Fraser Bridge. The proposal is to relocate the weigh scale up to 25 km further south on Highway 97 and add weigh in motion (WIM) and automatic vehicle Identification (AVI). There are several reasons:

Exhibit 1-1 General Location

- The existing scale is congested and during busy periods it must close to prevent traffic from backing up onto Highway 97.
- Public consultation has underlined the public pressure to relocate the scale from its current location.
- Commercial traffic is able to bypass the existing scales using city streets and bridges.
- Access into and through the existing scale is poor and left turns exiting the scale conflict with NB trucks entering the scale.
- The new location would be suitable for WIM/AVI which
 allows safe, legal trucks to bypass the scale and is eligible for Federal/Provincial cost sharing
- The existing station will have to close for operational reasons. A new inspection station is required to ensure continued commercial vehicle safety compliance.
- The new scale would be constructed concurrent with 4-laning the adjacent section of Highway 97 consistent with the Caribou Connector vision of a 4lane highway linking Cache Creek to Prince George.
- There is increasing land use competition from the adjacent CN rail yard.

Exhibit 1-2 Prince George South Weigh Scale


The user impacts of the relocation are expected to include reduced delay commercial vehicles, improved safety at the Railway Avenue intersection, improved commercial vehicle compliance, reduced commercial vehicle operating costs and improved safety on the new 4-lane section.

## 2 General Assumptions

### 2.1 Options

Several potential locations south of Prince George were reviewed and narrowed down to 4 candidates presented for public consultation. The four options were evaluated in a previous draft of this business case. Option 7 was identified by the previous business case and through public consultation as the preferred option. It is the least costly to construct and is the least environmentally and socially disruptive. All 4 options were of similar design and all returned virtually the same benefits. Option 7 has been developed to the detailed design and tendering stage and the cost estimates refined and is presented in this business case.

Option 7 is evaluated with and without weigh in motion (WIM) and Automatic Vehicle Identification (AVI). These technologies allow a portion of trucks to bypass the scale on the highway or on the in-scale bypass road. The inspection station is a median scale with full acceleration and deceleration lanes which allows inspectors to monitor both directions from a single location.

Exhibit 2-1 presents the general assumptions used for analysis. Safety assumptions are examined in section 3 . The proposed case assumes running speed increases of $10 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ in the analysis section.

## Exhibit 2-1 General Assumptions

|  | Existing | Option 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Segment | 1150 | 1150 |
| from LKI | 111.6 | 83.7 |
| to LKI | 111.8 | 88.7 |
| Length (km) | 0.20 | 5.0 |
| AADT 2006 (est'd) | 20,810 | 5,000 |
|  | $42-018$ |  |
|  | S. End |  |
|  | Simon |  |
| Compound Growth | Fraser Br. |  |
| Rate |  |  |
| \% Trucks | $1.0 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ |
| Truck Traffic (AADTT) | $5 \%$ | $21 \%$ |
| Lanes | 1040 | 1040 |
| Median | 2 | 4 |
| Shoulder | no | yes |
| Design Standard | 1.5 m | 2.5 m |
| Running Speed | RAU2 | RAD4 |
|  | $70 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ | $100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ |

### 2.2 Costs and Benefits

The benefits evaluated include:

- Reduction in accidents at the Railway Avenue/Highway 97 intersection where the existing scale traffic enters the scale at a signalized intersection
- Reduction in accidents on the new 5 km 4 -lane divided section associated with the scale site development
- Time and vehicle operating cost savings to motor carriers using the new inspection station
- Time savings to local industrial traffic accessing Railway Avenue
- Improved motor carrier compliance
- Reduced pavement damage

The costs evaluated include:

- Property, engineering and construction associated with site and 4-lane road network
- On-board units (OBU's) or transponders, which the motor carriers must purchase in order to make use of the proposed WIM/AVI facility.
- Potential recoverables from the disposition of the existing site.
- Operating and maintenance costs associated with the WIM/AVI and transponder operations
- Incremental pavement maintenance and resurfacing costs associated with a new 4-lane highway section

Permit and Fine revenue are not included in the analysis since, in the social context, these are considered transfers, not costs or benefits. Typically the fine and permit revenue is equal to or exceeds the scale operating costs.

### 2.3 Base Case Assumptions

In the event that the proposed CVIS and 5 km of highway upgrade do not proceed, some alternate "do-minimum" solution must still be pursued. This CVIS is at a key location in the Provincial Highway System, capturing traffic from both Highway 97 and Highway 16. Eliminating the station altogether is not an option.

The existing site is now within the urban Price George area and would have to be extensively reconfigured and additional industrial property purchased to make it compatible with a proposed 4 -laning project at this location. For planning purposes, this is considered to be the "do-minimum" option and would add an estimated $\$ 6$ million ( $\$ 2006$ ) to the cost of the proposed 4 laning on the south approach to Prince George. This cost is subtracted from the cost of the proposed relocation options to get the net cost of the project for benefit cost analysis. The do minimum option would make the scale operational at its current location but would have no significant benefit above the current facility and is the primary reason for the proposed relocation.

### 2.4 WIM/AVI

Benefits of WIM/AVI (time, fuel, compliance, pavements etc.) depend on how many motor carriers have compatible transponders or other on-board units (OBU's) capable of communicating with the Automatic Vehicle Identification (AVI) system. The participation rate assumptions are presented in Exhibit 2-2.

The analysis assumes that the Prince George South Scale will be part of a broader network of inspection stations with Automatic Vehicle Identification (AVI) capability but the analysis considers only benefits and costs directly attributable to this station. Network level costs or benefits are not included in this analysis.

## Exhibit 2-2 WIMIAVI Participation Rate

| Participation Rate | Year | Trucks with <br> TRANSPONDER |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current Year <br> Startup Year <br> Intermediate Year <br> Horizon Year | 2006 | $0 \%$ |
| Equivalent Annual Growth (\%/yr) |  |  |
| 2008 | $50 \%$ |  |
| from | to | $60 \%$ |
| 2006 | 2008 | growth |
| 2008 | 2016 | $0 \%$ |
| 2016 | 2031 | $1.3 \%$ |

### 2.5 Traffic

Traffic volumes in Exhibit 2-3 are from counter 42-018 south of the Simon Fraser Bridge and next to the existing inspection station and permanent count station
P41-1 located 37 km south of the bridge and south of the bridge and 12 km south of the Option 7 location.

Exhibit 2-3 Historical and Projected AADT


## 3 Safety Performance

### 3.1 Railway Avenue/Highway 97 Intersection

The accident analysis uses data for 7 years from 1996 to 2002. The estimated existing accident rate at the Highway 97/Railway Avenue intersection where the trucks enter the existing scale is $0.28 \mathrm{a} / \mathrm{mev}$ which is above the Provincial average $0.17 \mathrm{a} / \mathrm{mev}$ for intersections of this service class. Removing traffic from the intersection will eliminate about 1.4 intersection accidents per year.

## Exhibit 3-1 Accident Rate at Railway Ave/Highway 97 Intersection

| Ave/Hwy 97$1996 \text { to } 2002$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Segment | 1150 |  |  |  |
| Start LKI | 111.60 |  |  |  |
| Finish LKI | 111.80 |  |  |  |
| Length (km) | 0.20 |  |  |  |
| Service Class | RAU2 |  |  |  |
| AADT Average | 19,905 |  |  |  |
| Years | 7 |  |  |  |
| Exposure (mev) | 51 |  |  |  |
|  | Fat | Inj | PDO | All |
| Intersection Accidents | 0 | 8 | 6 | 14 |
| Observed Rate (a/mev) | 0.000 | 0.16 | 0.12 | 0.28 |
| Severity | 0.0\% | 57.1\% | 42.9\% | 100.0\% |


| 1996 to 2002 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Predicted Base Case Accidents | Fat | Inj | PDO | All |
| Intersection Accidents |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 0.26 | 7.0 | 6.7 | 14.0 |
| Predicted Rate (a/mev) | 0.005 | 0.14 | 0.13 | 0.28 |
| Severity | 1.8\% | 50.1\% | 48.1\% | 100.0\% |


|  |  | 1996 to 2002 |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Proposed Case Accidents | Fat | Inj | PDO | All |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Accidents |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 0.1 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 4.3 |
| Predicted Rate (a/mev) | 0.002 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.08 |
| Severity | $1.8 \%$ | $50.1 \%$ | $48.1 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

### 3.2 Highway 97 at the New Scale Site

Safety benefits occur at the new sites where the existing 2 lane highway is reconstructed to a 4 lane divided cross section in the vicinity of the scale. The estimated existing accident rate on Highway 97 in the vicinity of the new inspection station is $0.32 \mathrm{a} / \mathrm{mvk}$ which is the same as the Provincial average for rural 2-lane sections with little or no access. Accident severity will be reduced but accident rate will decline only slightly if at all at the new location.

## Exhibit 3-2 Accident Rates at Proposed Scale Location

| 1996 to 2002 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Segment | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1150 \\ 88.00 \\ 100.91 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| Start LKI |  |  |  |  |
| Finish LKI |  |  |  |  |
| Length (km) | 12.91 |  |  |  |
| Service Class | RAU2 |  |  |  |
| AADT Average | 4,783 |  |  |  |
| Years | 7 |  |  |  |
| Exposure (mvk) | 157.8 |  |  |  |
|  | Fat | Inj | PDO | All |
| Accidents | 4 | 24 | 23 | 51 |
| Observed Rate (a/mev) | 0.025 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.32 |
| Observed Severity | 7.8\% | 47.1\% | 45.1\% | 100.0\% |
| Provincial Avg. Severity | 2.8\% | 49.5\% | 47.7\% | 100.0\% |


|  | 1996 to 2002 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Proposed Case Accidents | Fat | Inj | PDO | All |
| RAD4 |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 0.7 | 23.1 | 23.6 | 47.3 |
| Predicted Rate (a/mev) | 0.004 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.30 |
| Severity | $1.8 \%$ | $50.1 \%$ | $48.1 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

### 3.3 Safety Benefits of WIM/AVI

Safety benefits associated with the proposed WIM/AVI technology stem from two sources:

- Inspectors at an ECRI station have more time to focus on non-compliant operators or trucks
- There is likely to be a reduction in the number of non-compliant vehicles allowed to bypass a station during peak periods when queues were forming at the old station.

The potential safety benefits of preventing queues at inspection stations from backing up onto mainline traffic lanes are specifically excluded from the analysis. Queues should not be allowed to back up onto the mainline in the first place. Queuing vehicles onto a mainline will cause more accidents than are saved by inspecting them. This is generally recognized and inspectors at congested stations routinely close the station temporarily during peak periods until the queue clears.

Most of the research relating safety benefits to WIM/AVI implementation suggests there is little evidence of a direct safety benefit. There may be several reasons for this:

- The goal of WIM/AVI in many cases may not be increased safety. The goal may be to maintain the present level of safety without increasing staff to accommodate future traffic growth. While this may be true, this analysis takes the position that there are small savings in both safety and future staffing requirements.
- There is generally not a long history of this technology that can be traced in a before/after accident rate analysis to identify safety impacts.
- The benefit may be small enough that it is hidden by other background changes in the truck accident environment.
- The number of truck accidents with vehicle defects or other causal factors under the direct influence of commercial vehicle inspection programs is a limited sample size compared to the accident population at large.

The effect is unknown but there is likely a safety benefit to improved enforcement and compliance and so for analysis purposes a $0.5 \%$ reduction in truck accidents is assumed within the zone of influence of an inspection station for participating trucks.

In this case the zone of influence is assumed to be 263 k which is the half the length of primary highway between this scale and adjacent scales at Quesnel, Vanderhoof, Prince George North and Tete Jaune Cache.

The participation rate (carriers with transponders) is used as a proxy measure for the extent of the safety impact. Participating trucks are generally those with the best safety records. The real target for safety is actually the carriers who are not participating, but the greater the participation rate the greater the number of trucks that are known to be safe and also the more time inspectors can devote to carriers most likely to have safety violations.

The assignment of benefits, general assumptions and present value calculations are presented in Exhibit 3-3 and Exhibit 3-4.

## Exhibit 3-3 Safety Assumptions Associated with WIMIAVI

| Weigh Scale Zone of safetyinfluence $(\mathrm{km})$ | 263 |
| ---: | :---: |
| Typical truck accident rate $(\mathrm{a} / \mathrm{mvk})$ | 0.7 |
| Assumed reduction due to better |  |
| enforcement | $0.50 \%$ |
|  |  |


| Perspective | Notes |  |  | Average Truck <br> Accident Cost |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Social |  |  |  |  |
| (Comprehensive cost) | Severity | Unit Cost | Distribution |  |
|  | Fatal | $\$ 5,693,954$ | $2.5 \%$ |  |
|  | Injury | $\$ 99,999$ | $35.5 \%$ |  |
|  | PDO | $\$ 7,342$ | $62 \%$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $100.0 \%$ | $\$ 182,401$ |

## Exhibit 3-4 Present Value of Accident Savings related to WIMIAVI

| Year | Transponde equipped trucks | Zone of influence (km) | Accidents Prevented | Annual Accident Cost Saving | Present Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2006 | 0 | 263 | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 2007 | 0 | 263 | 0.00 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 2008 | 132,615 | 263 | 0.12 | \$17,224 | \$15,329 |
| 2009 | 137,239 | 263 | 0.13 | \$17,825 | \$14,966 |
| 2010 | 141,927 | 263 | 0.13 | \$18,433 | \$14,601 |
| 2011 | 146,678 | 263 | 0.13 | \$19,050 | \$14,236 |
| 2012 | 151,494 | 263 | 0.14 | \$19,676 | \$13,871 |
| 2013 | 156,373 | 263 | 0.14 | \$20,310 | \$13,507 |
| 2014 | 161,316 | 263 | 0.15 | \$20,952 | \$13,145 |
| 2015 | 166,322 | 263 | 0.15 | \$21,602 | \$12,786 |
| 2016 | 171,393 | 263 | 0.16 | \$22,260 | \$12,430 |
| 2017 | 172,925 | 263 | 0.16 | \$22,459 | \$11,831 |
| 2018 | 174,456 | 263 | 0.16 | \$22,658 | \$11,260 |
| 2019 | 175,988 | 263 | 0.16 | \$22,857 | \$10,716 |
| 2020 | 177,520 | 263 | 0.16 | \$23,056 | \$10,198 |
| 2021 | 179,052 | 263 | 0.16 | \$23,255 | \$9,704 |
| 2022 | 180,584 | 263 | 0.17 | \$23,454 | \$9,233 |
| 2023 | 182,115 | 263 | 0.17 | \$23,653 | \$8,784 |
| 2024 | 183,647 | 263 | 0.17 | \$23,852 | \$8,356 |
| 2025 | 185,179 | 263 | 0.17 | \$24,051 | \$7,949 |
| 2026 | 186,711 | 263 | 0.17 | \$24,250 | \$7,561 |
| 2027 | 188,242 | 263 | 0.17 | \$24,449 | \$7,192 |
| 2028 | 189,774 | 263 | 0.17 | \$24,648 | \$6,840 |
| 2029 | 191,306 | 263 | 0.18 | \$24,847 | \$6,505 |
| 2030 | 192,838 | 263 | 0.18 | \$25,046 | \$6,186 |
| 2031 | 194,370 | 263 | 0.18 | \$25,245 | \$5,882 |
|  |  |  | Present Value (\$millions) |  | \$0.3 |

## 4 Truck Fuel Saving Benefits

The new scale location eliminates congestion fuel consumption costs associated with accessing the old site. If WIM/AVI is added, there is a further benefit since WIM/AVI allows safe, legal trucks to bypass a scale without pulling in for inspection. A truck that bypasses a scale consumes less fuel by eliminating the speed change cycle and idle time associated with stopping and moving up through a static weigh scale. A fuel consumption model was used to derive the fuel consumption for the base and proposed cases. The base case assumes trucks queue at the scale, move up and return to highway 97 through a signalized intersection with additional stopped delay varying by time period.

The proposed cases eliminate the signal delay costs. If WIM/AVI is added, there are further savings to in-scale bypass and mainline bypass traffic. The fuel consumption simulation assumes a 5-axle tractor/semi-trailer combination loaded to $27,000 \mathrm{~kg}$ GVW traveling 3.0 km . The $3.0-\mathrm{km}$ distance is the same in base and proposed case and is used to allow trucks to fully slow down and accelerate back up to the same speed as mainline bypass trucks would be traveling. Mainline bypasses are assumed to travel at $90 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$, in-scale bypasses are assumed to slow to $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ and scale traffic is assumed to stop and move up several times depending on whether it is peak or off-peak period.

The general assumptions are presented in Exhibit 4-1 and the Present Value Calculations are in Exhibit 4-2. An OBU is an On-Board Unit or transponder equipped truck. For analysis purposes it is assumed that all bypasses are mainline bypasses. There are no in-scale bypasses. The fuel saving to a mainline bypass truck is about 1.0 litre/bypass. The present value of fuel savings in excludes taxes as these are considered a transfer, not a cost.

## Exhibit 4-1 Fuel Consumption Assumptions

| Vehicle Distribution B | Base Case | No WIM/AVI | WIM/AVI |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Stops at Scale | In-Scale Bypasses | Mainline Bypasses |
| \% Stopping at Scale | 100\% | 90\% | 0\% | 5\% |
| \% In-scale bypass | 0\% | 10\% | 100\% | 0\% |
| \% Mainline bypass | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 95\% |
| Total (must equal 100\%) | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Mainline Speed (km/hr) | 60 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| Data for Vehicles stopping at the Scale $\quad$ Dwell Time at Scale (sec/truck) |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0 |
| Shoulder | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0 |
| Low | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0 |
| Average Queu Size at Scale |  |  |  |  |
| Peak | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Shoulder | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Low | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Signal Delay at Hwy 97 (sec/truck) |  |  |  |  |
| Peak | 60 |  |  |  |
| Shoulder | 30 |  |  |  |
| Low | 20 |  |  |  |
| Proportion of Daily Truck Traffic |  |  |  |  |
| Peak | 30\% | 30\% | 30\% | 30\% |
| Shoulder | 50\% | 50\% | 50\% | 50\% |
| Low | 20\% | 20\% | 20\% | 20\% |
| Average stopped time for vehicles using static scale (sec/truck) | 91.7 | 24.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Travel Time (sec/truck) |  |  |  |  |
| for stopping Traffic | 309.5 | 196.8 | 172.8 | 172.8 |
| for in-scale bypass traffic |  | 134.3 | 134.3 | 134.3 |
| for mainline bypass traffic |  | 116.8 | 116.8 | 116.8 |
| Weighted Average for selected vehicle distribution (Sec/truck) | 309.5 | 190.6 | 134.3 | 119.6 |
| Saving |  | 119 | 175 | 190 |
| Fuel Consumption (L/ruck) $\begin{array}{r}\text { for stopping Traffic } \\ \text { for in-scale bypass traffic } \\ \text { for mainline bypass traffic }\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2.176 | 1.777 | 1.547 | 1.547 |
|  |  | 1.297 | 1.297 | 1.297 |
|  |  | 1.161 | 1.161 | 1.161 |
| Weighted Average for selected vehicle distribution (L/truck) | 2.176 | 1.729 | 1.297 | 1.181 |
| Saving (L/truck) |  | 0.447 | 0.879 | 0.995 |
| Bulk Diesel Price Taxes (\% of total price) <br> Taxes (\$/L)  <br>  Net Cost (\$/L) <br> Total (\$/L)  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 45\% | 45\% | 45\% |
|  |  | \$0.41 | \$0.41 | \$0.41 |
|  |  | \$0.50 | \$0.50 | \$0.50 |
|  |  | \$0.90 | \$0.90 | \$0.90 |
| Fuel Cost Saving (\$/truck) <br> $\begin{array}{r}\text { Taxes } \\ \text { Net Cost } \\ \text { Total }\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | \$0.181 | \$0.356 | \$0.403 |
|  |  | \$0.221 | \$0.435 | \$0.493 |
|  |  | \$0.402 | \$0.791 | \$0.896 |

Exhibit 4-2 Present Value of Fuel Saving Benefits
Life Cycle Costs
WIM/AVI Option

|  | Static Scale |  | In-Scale Bypasses |  | Mainline Bypasses |  | Mainline + In-Scale |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Trucks/yr | Value of <br> Fuel <br> Savings | In-Scale Bypasses/Yr | Value of Fuel Savings | Mainline Bypasses/Yr | Value of Fuel Savings | Value of Fuel Savings | Present Value |
| 2006 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 2007 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 2008 | 132,615 | \$53,302 | 53,046 | \$41,976 | 79,569 | \$71,263 | \$166,541 | \$137,637 |
| 2009 | 127,197 | \$51,124 | 53,557 | \$42,380 | 87,030 | \$77,945 | \$171,449 | \$128,812 |
| 2010 | 121,652 | \$48,895 | 54,067 | \$42,784 | 94,618 | \$84,741 | \$176,420 | \$120,497 |
| 2011 | 115,978 | \$46,615 | 54,578 | \$43,188 | 102,334 | \$91,651 | \$181,454 | \$112,669 |
| 2012 | 110,177 | \$44,283 | 55,089 | \$43,592 | 110,177 | \$98,676 | \$186,551 | \$105,303 |
| 2013 | 104,248 | \$41,900 | 55,599 | \$43,996 | 118,148 | \$105,815 | \$191,711 | \$98,378 |
| 2014 | 98,192 | \$39,466 | 56,110 | \$44,400 | 126,247 | \$113,068 | \$196,935 | \$91,871 |
| 2015 | 92,008 | \$36,980 | 56,620 | \$44,804 | 134,473 | \$120,436 | \$202,221 | \$85,761 |
| 2016 | 85,696 | \$34,444 | 57,131 | \$45,209 | 142,827 | \$127,918 | \$207,570 | \$80,027 |
| 2017 | 84,541 | \$33,979 | 55,720 | \$44,092 | 147,947 | \$132,503 | \$210,574 | \$73,805 |
| 2018 | 83,351 | \$33,501 | 54,275 | \$42,949 | 153,134 | \$137,148 | \$213,598 | \$68,059 |
| 2019 | 82,128 | \$33,009 | 52,796 | \$41,779 | 158,389 | \$141,855 | \$216,643 | \$62,754 |
| 2020 | 80,870 | \$32,504 | 51,284 | \$40,581 | 163,713 | \$146,623 | \$219,708 | \$57,856 |
| 2021 | 79,579 | \$31,985 | 49,737 | \$39,357 | 169,104 | \$151,452 | \$222,794 | \$53,335 |
| 2022 | 78,253 | \$31,452 | 48,156 | \$38,106 | 174,564 | \$156,342 | \$225,900 | \$49,162 |
| 2023 | 76,893 | \$30,905 | 46,541 | \$36,828 | 180,092 | \$161,292 | \$229,026 | \$45,312 |
| 2024 | 75,499 | \$30,345 | 44,892 | \$35,523 | 185,688 | \$166,304 | \$232,172 | \$41,758 |
| 2025 | 74,072 | \$29,771 | 43,208 | \$34,191 | 191,352 | \$171,377 | \$235,339 | \$38,480 |
| 2026 | 72,610 | \$29,184 | 41,491 | \$32,833 | 197,083 | \$176,510 | \$238,527 | \$35,455 |
| 2027 | 71,114 | \$28,582 | 39,740 | \$31,447 | 202,884 | \$181,705 | \$241,734 | \$32,666 |
| 2028 | 69,584 | \$27,968 | 37,955 | \$30,034 | 208,752 | \$186,960 | \$244,962 | \$30,093 |
| 2029 | 68,020 | \$27,339 | 36,136 | \$28,595 | 214,688 | \$192,277 | \$248,210 | \$27,720 |
| 2030 | 66,422 | \$26,697 | 34,282 | \$27,128 | 220,692 | \$197,654 | \$251,479 | \$25,532 |
| 2031 | 64,790 | \$26,041 | 32,395 | \$25,635 | 226,765 | \$203,093 | \$254,768 | \$23,514 |
| Total PV of Fuel Savings (\$millions) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1.63 |

Without WIM/AVI Option

| Trucks/yr | Value of <br> Fuel <br> Savings | Present <br> Value |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |
| 0 | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |
| 265,231 | $\$ 106,603$ | $\$ 88,102$ |
| 267,784 | $\$ 107,629$ | $\$ 80,863$ |
| 270,337 | $\$ 108,655$ | $\$ 74,213$ |
| 272,890 | $\$ 109,681$ | $\$ 68,103$ |
| 275,443 | $\$ 110,707$ | $\$ 62,491$ |
| 277,996 | $\$ 111,734$ | $\$ 57,337$ |
| 280,549 | $\$ 112,760$ | $\$ 52,603$ |
| 283,102 | $\$ 113,786$ | $\$ 48,256$ |
| 285,655 | $\$ 114,812$ | $\$ 44,265$ |
| 288,208 | $\$ 115,838$ | $\$ 40,601$ |
| 290,761 | $\$ 116,864$ | $\$ 37,236$ |
| 293,314 | $\$ 117,890$ | $\$ 34,149$ |
| 295,867 | $\$ 118,916$ | $\$ 31,314$ |
| 298,420 | $\$ 119,942$ | $\$ 28,713$ |
| 300,973 | $\$ 120,969$ | $\$ 26,326$ |
| 303,526 | $\$ 121,995$ | $\$ 24,136$ |
| 306,079 | $\$ 123,021$ | $\$ 22,126$ |
| 308,631 | $\$ 124,047$ | $\$ 20,283$ |
| 311,184 | $\$ 125,073$ | $\$ 18,591$ |
| 313,737 | $\$ 126,099$ | $\$ 17,040$ |
| 316,290 | $\$ 127,125$ | $\$ 15,617$ |
| 318,843 | $\$ 128,151$ | $\$ 14,312$ |
| 321,396 | $\$ 129,177$ | $\$ 13,115$ |
| 323,949 | $\$ 130,203$ | $\$ 12,017$ |

## 5 Truck Time Saving Benefits

Time savings to Carriers constitute the single largest benefit of a WIM/AVI system. The value of truck time includes the driver's wages plus wage burden and the fixed costs of truck ownership. The inventory cost of cargo is small in relation to these components and is omitted from this analysis. The cost items and time savings per truck are presented in Exhibit 5-1. Without WIM/AVI time savings are in the order of $\$ 2.35$ per truck. With WIM/AVI savings are about $\$ 3.50$ for each bypass. The time savings were calculated using the same vehicle simulation model used for fuel savings. The assumptions and present value calculations are presented in Exhibit 5-2.

## Exhibit 5-1 Time Savings Assumptions

|  | (\$/hr) | (\$/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Driver Payroll Cost (\$/hr) |  | \$34.93 |
| Truck Fixed Costs | Yr 2006 |  |
| Tractor Depreciation | \$14.06 |  |
| Tractor Licenses | \$1.70 |  |
| Trailer Depreciation | \$4.19 |  |
| Trailer Licenses | \$0.02 |  |
| Administration | \$16.18 |  |
| Subtotal Truck |  | \$36.16 |
| Total Time Cost for Truck \& Driver |  | \$71.09 |

Time (Sec/truck)
Average Time Saving (sec/truck)
Value of time saving (\$/truck)

| Base | Proposed Cases |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Without WIM/AVI | With WIM/AVI |  |
| Static <br> Scale | Static <br> Scale | In-Scale Bypass | Mainline Bypass |
| 309.5 | 190.6 | 134.3 | 119.6 |
|  | 118.9 | 175.1 | 189.9 |
|  | \$2.35 | \$3.46 | \$3.75 |

Exhibit 5-2 Present Value of Time Savings

With WIM/AVI Equipped Scale

| Static Scale |  |  | In-Scale Bypasses |  | Mainline Bypasses |  | All Trucks |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { In-Scale } \\ \text { Bypasses/ } \\ \text { Yr } \end{array}$ | Value of Time Savings | In-Scale Bypasses/Yr | Value of Time Savings | Mainline Bypasses/Yr | Value of Time Savings | Value of Time Savings | Present <br> Value |
| 2,006 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 2,007 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 2,008 | 132,615 | \$311,375 | 53,046 | \$183,445 | 75,591 | \$283,443 | \$778,263 | \$643,192 |
| 2,009 | 127,197 | \$298,654 | 53,557 | \$185,210 | 82,678 | \$310,019 | \$793,883 | \$596,456 |
| 2,010 | 121,652 | \$285,633 | 54,067 | \$186,976 | 89,887 | \$337,049 | \$809,658 | \$553,007 |
| 2,011 | 115,978 | \$272,312 | 54,578 | \$188,742 | 97,217 | \$364,534 | \$825,588 | \$512,625 |
| 2,012 | 110,177 | \$258,691 | 55,089 | \$190,508 | 104,668 | \$392,474 | \$841,673 | \$475,103 |
| 2,013 | 104,248 | \$244,771 | 55,599 | \$192,273 | 112,241 | \$420,869 | \$857,913 | \$440,245 |
| 2,014 | 98,192 | \$230,551 | 56,110 | \$194,039 | 119,935 | \$449,719 | \$874,308 | \$407,871 |
| 2,015 | 92,008 | \$216,031 | 56,620 | \$195,805 | 127,750 | \$479,023 | \$890,858 | \$377,811 |
| 2,016 | 85,696 | \$201,211 | 57,131 | \$197,571 | 135,686 | \$508,782 | \$907,563 | \$349,905 |
| 2,017 | 84,541 | \$198,498 | 55,720 | \$192,692 | 140,549 | \$527,017 | \$918,208 | \$321,826 |
| 2,018 | 83,351 | \$195,705 | 54,275 | \$187,695 | 145,477 | \$545,496 | \$928,896 | \$295,975 |
| 2,019 | 82,128 | \$192,833 | 52,796 | \$182,581 | 150,470 | \$564,217 | \$939,630 | \$272,177 |
| 2,020 | 80,870 | \$189,880 | 51,284 | \$177,349 | 155,527 | \$583,180 | \$950,409 | \$250,272 |
| 2,021 | 79,579 | \$186,847 | 49,737 | \$171,999 | 160,649 | \$602,386 | \$961,232 | \$230,111 |
| 2,022 | 78,253 | \$183,734 | 48,156 | \$166,532 | 165,836 | \$621,835 | \$972,101 | \$211,557 |
| 2,023 | 76,893 | \$180,542 | 46,541 | \$160,947 | 171,087 | \$641,526 | \$983,014 | \$194,484 |
| 2,024 | 75,499 | \$177,269 | 44,892 | \$155,244 | 176,403 | \$661,459 | \$993,972 | \$178,775 |
| 2,025 | 74,072 | \$173,917 | 43,208 | \$149,424 | 181,784 | \$681,635 | \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# | \$164,321 |
| 2,026 | 72,610 | \$170,484 | 41,491 | \$143,485 | 187,229 | \$702,053 | \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# | \$151,025 |
| 2,027 | 71,114 | \$166,972 | 39,740 | \$137,429 | 192,739 | \$722,715 | \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# | \$138,795 |
| 2,028 | 69,584 | \$163,380 | 37,955 | \$131,256 | 198,314 | \$743,618 | \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# | \$127,545 |
| 2,029 | 68,020 | \$159,708 | 36,136 | \$124,964 | 203,953 | \$764,764 | \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# | \$117,199 |
| 2,030 | 66,422 | \$155,956 | 34,282 | \$118,555 | 209,658 | \$786,153 | \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# | \$107,684 |
| 2,031 | 64,790 | \$152,124 | 32,395 | \$112,028 | 215,426 | \$807,784 | \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# | \$98,935 |
| Total PV of Time Savings (\$millions) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$7.22 |

Without WIM/AVI Equipped Scale

| Year | Scale <br> Traffic/yr | Value of <br> Time <br> Savings | Present <br> Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2,006 | 260,125 | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |
| 2,007 | 262,678 | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |
| 2,008 | 265,231 | $\$ 622,751$ | $\$ 514,670$ |
| 2,009 | 267,784 | $\$ 628,745$ | $\$ 472,385$ |
| 2,010 | 270,337 | $\$ 634,739$ | $\$ 433,535$ |
| 2,011 | 272,890 | $\$ 640,733$ | $\$ 397,845$ |
| 2,012 | 275,443 | $\$ 646,728$ | $\$ 365,061$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| 2,013 | 277,996 | $\$ 652,722$ | $\$ 334,950$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| 2,014 | 280,549 | $\$ 658,716$ | $\$ 307,296$ |
| 2,015 | 283,102 | $\$ 664,710$ | $\$ 281,902$ |
| 2,016 | 285,655 | $\$ 670,705$ | $\$ 258,586$ |
| 2,017 | 288,208 | $\$ 676,699$ | $\$ 237,179$ |
| 2,018 | 290,761 | $\$ 682,693$ | $\$ 217,527$ |
| 2,019 | 293,314 | $\$ 688,688$ | $\$ 199,488$ |
| 2,020 | 295,867 | $\$ 694,682$ | $\$ 182,931$ |
| 2,021 | 298,420 | $\$ 700,676$ | $\$ 167,736$ |
| 2,022 | 300,973 | $\$ 706,670$ | $\$ 153,792$ |
| 2,023 | 303,526 | $\$ 712,665$ | $\$ 140,997$ |
| 2,024 | 306,079 | $\$ 718,659$ | $\$ 129,257$ |
| 2,025 | 308,631 | $\$ 724,653$ | $\$ 118,487$ |
| 2,026 | 311,184 | $\$ 730,647$ | $\$ 108,606$ |
| 2,027 | 313,737 | $\$ 736,642$ | $\$ 99,543$ |
| 2,028 | 316,290 | $\$ 742,636$ | $\$ 91,230$ |
| 2,029 | 318,843 | $\$ 748,630$ | $\$ 83,606$ |
| 2,030 | 321,396 | $\$ 754,624$ | $\$ 76,614$ |
| 2,031 | 323,949 | $\$ 760,619$ | $\$ 70,202$ |

## 6 Pavement Life Benefits

Pavements are generally designed for a fixed number of "Load Equivalency Factors" (LEF's). This is a term used in pavement design to denote the design number of standard axle loadings. If a truck is overloaded, the number of LEF's generated by this truck increases exponentially (to the power of 2.5). With repeated traffic, this has the effect of shortening pavement life and imposing a "Build Sooner" cost for pavement overlays.

The pavement benefits assigned to a WIM/AVI system are assessed as a proportion of the potential savings based on the participation rate. The potential savings are assessed by first defining a base and proposed case. With lower enforcement conditions where scales are open intermittently or there are unenforced scale bypass routes available, it is assumed that 5\% of trucks are overloaded by $15 \%$ of their registered gross vehicle weight. In a higher enforcement condition, it is assumed that WIM/AVI allows inspection staff to better target habitual offenders and bring the proportion of overloaded trucks down to $1.5 \%$ with a $10 \%$ overload within the zone of influence of the inspection station. In a slightly lower enforcement environment without WIM/AVI at the new station, pavement benefits are assumed to be $40 \%$ lower for analysis purposes.

General assumptions and potential cost savings are presented in Exhibit 6-1 and Present Value calculations in Exhibit 6-2

The detailed Load Equivalency Factor calculations depend on the truck axle configuration and load distribution. These calculations have been modeled separately for this report but are not presented here.

## Exhibit 6-1 Pavement Cost Savings Assumptions

|  | Base Case | Proposed <br> Case |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Overloaded Trucks <br> Magnitude of Overload | $5.0 \%$ |  |
| $15 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ |  |
| Load Equivalency Factor (LEF) | 1.96 | 1.75 |
| Pavement Life (yrs) | 15.0 | 16.8 |
| Typical Overlay Cost (\$/2-In-km) | $\$ 60,000$ | $\$ 60,000$ |
| Equivalent Annual Cost (\$/2-ln- <br> km/yr) | $\$ 4,000$ | $\$ 3,572$ |
| Costs Saving due to reduced <br> Overloading (\$/2-In-km/yr) |  | $\$ 428$ |
| Zone of Influence/scale (km/scale) |  | 263 |
| Potential pavement cost saving/yr |  |  |
| With WIM/AVI |  | $\$ 112,333$ |
| Without WIM/AVI |  | $\$ 67,400$ |

## Exhibit 6-2 Present Value of Pavement Cost Savings

| Year | Participation <br> Rate | Annual <br> pavement cost <br> saving/scale | Total Cost | Present Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2006 | $0 \%$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |
| 2007 | $0 \%$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |
| 2008 | $50 \%$ | $\$ 56,167$ | $\$ 56,167$ | $\$ 46,419$ |
| 2009 | $53 \%$ | $\$ 58,975$ | $\$ 58,975$ | $\$ 44,309$ |
| 2010 | $55 \%$ | $\$ 61,783$ | $\$ 61,783$ | $\$ 42,199$ |
| 2011 | $58 \%$ | $\$ 64,591$ | $\$ 64,591$ | $\$ 40,106$ |
| 2012 | $60 \%$ | $\$ 67,400$ | $\$ 67,400$ | $\$ 38,045$ |
| 2013 | $63 \%$ | $\$ 70,208$ | $\$ 70,208$ | $\$ 36,028$ |
| 2014 | $65 \%$ | $\$ 73,016$ | $\$ 73,016$ | $\$ 34,063$ |
| 2015 | $68 \%$ | $\$ 75,825$ | $\$ 75,825$ | $\$ 32,157$ |
| 2016 | $70 \%$ | $\$ 78,633$ | $\$ 78,633$ | $\$ 30,316$ |
| 2017 | $71 \%$ | $\$ 79,382$ | $\$ 79,382$ | $\$ 27,823$ |
| 2018 | $71 \%$ | $\$ 80,131$ | $\$ 80,131$ | $\$ 25,532$ |
| 2019 | $72 \%$ | $\$ 80,880$ | $\$ 80,880$ | $\$ 23,428$ |
| 2020 | $73 \%$ | $\$ 81,629$ | $\$ 81,629$ | $\$ 21,495$ |
| 2021 | $73 \%$ | $\$ 82,378$ | $\$ 82,378$ | $\$ 19,721$ |
| 2022 | $74 \%$ | $\$ 83,126$ | $\$ 83,126$ | $\$ 18,091$ |
| 2023 | $75 \%$ | $\$ 83,875$ | $\$ 83,875$ | $\$ 16,594$ |
| 2024 | $75 \%$ | $\$ 84,624$ | $\$ 84,624$ | $\$ 15,220$ |
| 2025 | $76 \%$ | $\$ 85,373$ | $\$ 85,373$ | $\$ 13,959$ |
| 2026 | $77 \%$ | $\$ 86,122$ | $\$ 86,122$ | $\$ 12,801$ |
| 2027 | $77 \%$ | $\$ 86,871$ | $\$ 86,871$ | $\$ 11,739$ |
| 2028 | $78 \%$ | $\$ 87,620$ | $\$ 87,620$ | $\$ 10,764$ |
| 2029 | $79 \%$ | $\$ 88,369$ | $\$ 88,369$ | $\$ 9,869$ |
| 2030 | $79 \%$ | $\$ 89,118$ | $\$ 89,118$ | $\$ 9,048$ |
| 2031 | $80 \%$ | $\$ 89,866$ | $\$ 89,866$ | $\$ 8,294$ |
|  |  |  | Total | PV |
|  |  | $\$ m i l l i o n s)$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | With WIM/AVI | $\$ 0,59$ |
|  |  |  | Without WIM/AVI | $\$ 0.35$ |

## 7 Financial Account

### 7.1 Highway and Site Construction

Exhibit 7-1 presents the capital cost assumptions (Dec 2006 estimate) used for analysis. There is and additional line item for recoverables. This is a notional amount included to account for disposition of the existing scale site. The revenue would not accrue to this project but is a recoverable from the general revenue perspective and reflects the value of the resource.

## Exhibit 7-1 Project Costs

|  | Option 7 |
| ---: | :---: |
| Engineering \& Mgmt Reserve | $\$ 1,150,000$ |
| + Land | $\$ 2,000,000$ |
| + Construction | $\$ 21,660,000$ |
| - Recoverables | $\$ 300,000$ |
| $=$ Total | $\$ 24,510,000$ |

### 7.2 Transponders

In order for a compliant vehicle to bypass a scale it must be carrying a transponder which identifies the vehicle to the inspection station and receives a bypass signal back form the station. The cost assumptions for transponders are presented In Exhibit 7-2 below. The failure rate is used to determine the cost of replacements over the analysis period.

## Exhibit 7-2 Transponder Assumptions

Transponder at cost (\$/unit)
Registration and Handling (\$/unit)

Transponder failure rate/yr

| $\operatorname{Cost}$ | $\$ 45$ |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | $\$ 10$ |
|  | $\$ 55$ |
|  |  |

The present value of transponder costs is calculated in Exhibit 7-3 and assumes a rapid take-up during the initial 5 years of the benefit period and slowing during the latter years, reaching a plateau where $50 \%$ of trucks entering the scales are equipped with a transponder. The unit cost of transponders is assumed to decline $2 \%$ each year to reflect improved technology and production.

Exhibit 7-3 Transponder Life Cycle Cost Assumptions

| Number of Transponders |  |  |  |  |  | Capital Cost |  | Replacements Cost |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Trucks/yr through scale(s) | Transponder Usage | Transponders | New Transponders each year | Unit Cost | Total Cost for New transponders | Present Value for new units | Replacements for failed transponders | Total Cost for replacements | Present Value of replacements |
| 2006 | 260,125 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | \$55.00 | \$0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 2007 | 262,678 | 0\% | 0 | 0 | \$53.90 | \$0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 2008 | 265,231 | 50\% | 2,210 | 2,210 | \$52.82 | \$116,750 | \$96,488 | 88 | \$4,670 | \$3,860 |
| 2009 | 267,784 | 53\% | 2,343 | 133 | \$51.77 | \$6,877 | \$5,167 | 94 | \$4,852 | \$3,645 |
| 2010 | 270,337 | 55\% | 2,478 | 135 | \$50.73 | \$6,848 | \$4,677 | 99 | \$5,029 | \$3,435 |
| 2011 | 272,890 | 58\% | 2,615 | 137 | \$49.72 | \$6,816 | \$4,232 | 105 | \$5,201 | \$3,229 |
| 2012 | 275,443 | 60\% | 2,754 | 139 | \$48.72 | \$6,784 | \$3,829 | 110 | \$5,368 | \$3,030 |
| 2013 | 277,996 | 63\% | 2,896 | 141 | \$47.75 | \$6,750 | \$3,464 | 116 | \$5,531 | \$2,838 |
| 2014 | 280,549 | 65\% | 3,039 | 143 | \$46.79 | \$6,714 | \$3,132 | 122 | \$5,689 | \$2,654 |
| 2015 | 283,102 | 68\% | 3,185 | 146 | \$45.86 | \$6,677 | \$2,832 | 127 | \$5,842 | \$2,478 |
| 2016 | 285,655 | 70\% | 3,333 | 148 | \$44.94 | \$6,639 | \$2,560 | 133 | \$5,991 | \$2,310 |
| 2017 | 288,208 | 71\% | 3,394 | 62 | \$44.04 | \$2,722 | \$954 | 136 | \$5,980 | \$2,096 |
| 2018 | 290,761 | 71\% | 3,457 | 62 | \$43.16 | \$2,692 | \$858 | 138 | \$5,968 | \$1,902 |
| 2019 | 293,314 | 72\% | 3,520 | 63 | \$42.30 | \$2,662 | \$771 | 141 | \$5,955 | \$1,725 |
| 2020 | 295,867 | 73\% | 3,583 | 64 | \$41.45 | \$2,632 | \$693 | 143 | \$5,941 | \$1,564 |
| 2021 | 298,420 | 73\% | 3,647 | 64 | \$40.62 | \$2,603 | \$623 | 146 | \$5,926 | \$1,419 |
| 2022 | 300,973 | 74\% | 3,712 | 65 | \$39.81 | \$2,573 | \$560 | 148 | \$5,911 | \$1,286 |
| 2023 | 303,526 | 75\% | 3,777 | 65 | \$39.01 | \$2,544 | \$503 | 151 | \$5,894 | \$1,166 |
| 2024 | 306,079 | 75\% | 3,843 | 66 | \$38.23 | \$2,515 | \$452 | 154 | \$5,877 | \$1,057 |
| 2025 | 308,631 | 76\% | 3,909 | 66 | \$37.47 | \$2,486 | \$406 | 156 | \$5,859 | \$958 |
| 2026 | 311,184 | 77\% | 3,976 | 67 | \$36.72 | \$2,457 | \$365 | 159 | \$5,840 | \$868 |
| 2027 | 313,737 | 77\% | 4,044 | 67 | \$35.98 | \$2,428 | \$328 | 162 | \$5,820 | \$787 |
| 2028 | 316,290 | 78\% | 4,112 | 68 | \$35.26 | \$2,400 | \$295 | 164 | \$5,800 | \$713 |
| 2029 | 318,843 | 79\% | 4,180 | 69 | \$34.56 | \$2,371 | \$265 | 167 | \$5,779 | \$645 |
| 2030 | 321,396 | 79\% | 4,250 | 69 | \$33.87 | \$2,343 | \$238 | 170 | \$5,757 | \$584 |
| 2031 | 323,949 | 80\% | 4,319 | 70 | \$33.19 | \$2,315 | \$214 | 173 | \$5,734 | \$529 |
| Total PV (millions(\$millions) |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$0.13 | Total PV (\$millions) |  | \$0.04 |

### 7.3 Auditing

The auditing role includes quality control checks on the system and confirming safety ratings and credentials for each fleet enrolled in the program at registration and on an annual basis thereafter. The general assumptions are presented in Exhibit 7-4 and the present value calculations in Exhibit 7-5
Exhibit 7-4 Auditing Cost Assumptions

| National <br> Average <br> Fleet Size | $\%$ of <br> Fleets $^{1}$ | Hrs/fleet <br> Audit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $62.2 \%$ | 0.3 |
| 4 | $5.6 \%$ | 0.5 |
| 7 | $15.5 \%$ | 0.7 |
| 15 | $10.0 \%$ | 1.2 |
| 35 | $4.7 \%$ | 2.3 |
| 75 | $1.1 \%$ | 4.6 |
| 100 | $0.9 \%$ | 6.1 |
| 6.8 | Weighted <br> Average | 0.66 |


| 6.8 | Average Fleet Size |
| :---: | :--- |
| $100 \%$ | Annual Fleet Sampling rate |
| 0.66 | Average fleet Audit time (hrs/audit) |
| $\$ 20.00$ | Audit Wage (\$/hr) |
| $125 \%$ | Overhead and wage burden |
| $\$ 45$ | Charge rate (\$/hr) |
| $\$ 30$ | Cost/fleet audit |

[^0]
## Exhibit 7-5 Present Value of Auditing Costs

|  |  | Fleet <br> Audit <br> S | Audit Cost | Present <br> Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2006 | TRANSPONDERS | 0 | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| 2007 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\$ 0$ |
| 2008 | 2,210 | 323 | 9,563 | $\$ 7,903$ |
| 2009 | 2,343 | 343 | 10,138 | $\$ 7,617$ |
| 2010 | 2,478 | 362 | 10,722 | $\$ 7,323$ |
| 2011 | 2,615 | 382 | 11,315 | $\$ 7,026$ |
| 2012 | 2,754 | 403 | 11,917 | $\$ 6,727$ |
| 2013 | 2,896 | 423 | 12,529 | $\$ 6,429$ |
| 2014 | 3,039 | 444 | 13,150 | $\$ 6,134$ |
| 2015 | 3,185 | 466 | 13,780 | $\$ 5,844$ |
| 2016 | 3,333 | 487 | 14,419 | $\$ 5,559$ |
| 2017 | 3,394 | 496 | 14,686 | $\$ 5,148$ |
| 2018 | 3,457 | 506 | 14,956 | $\$ 4,766$ |
| 2019 | 3,520 | 515 | 15,229 | $\$ 4,411$ |
| 2020 | 3,583 | 524 | 15,503 | $\$ 4,083$ |
| 2021 | 3,647 | 533 | 15,781 | $\$ 3,778$ |
| 2022 | 3,712 | 543 | 16,060 | $\$ 3,495$ |
| 2023 | 3,777 | 552 | 16,342 | $\$ 3,233$ |
| 2024 | 3,843 | 562 | 16,627 | $\$ 2,991$ |
| 2025 | 3,909 | 572 | 16,914 | $\$ 2,766$ |
| 2026 | 3,976 | 582 | 17,204 | $\$ 2,557$ |
| 2027 | 4,044 | 591 | 17,496 | $\$ 2,364$ |
| 2028 | 4,112 | 601 | 17,790 | $\$ 2,185$ |
| 2029 | 4,180 | 611 | 18,087 | $\$ 2,020$ |
| 2030 | 4,250 | 621 | 18,386 | $\$ 1,867$ |
| 2031 | 4,319 | 632 | 18,688 | $\$ 1,725$ |
|  |  |  |  | $(\$ m i l l i o n s)$ |

## 8 Advancement of Federal and Provincial Transportation Strategies

Linkages to Federal initiatives include:

| Federal Strategy |
| :--- |
| - Strategic Highway Infrastructure |
| -Crogram <br> Canada Strategic Infrastructure <br> Fund |

- Border Infrastructure Fund
- Asia Pacific Gateway and Corridor Initiative
- Greenhouse Gas Reduction (tonnes/yr)
- Transport Canada, in partnership with the provinces and territories, is considering the establishment of a national Commercial Vehicle Operations (CVO) network.


## Highway 97 - Red Rock CVIS and 4Laning

Supports resource development in Northern BC, is a major north south trade route on the National Highway System, adheres to TAC guidelines, improves highway safety
This is part of the Highway 97 North/South Trade Route from the US to Alaska

- No direct impact
- Carbon Dioxide, 318
- Nitrogen Oxide, 10
- Hydrocarbons, 14
- Annual Saving (tonnes/yr), 342
- This project with AVI/WIM Supports the Federal objectives to improve efficiency in the National CV Network. This can be considered part of a National Network, not an individual station.

In the Provincial context, this project is consistent with the vision for the 4-lane Cariboo Connector from Cache Creek to Prince George. It also addresses one of the highest volume locations on the corridor. Linkages to Provincial Plans include:

## Provincial Strategy

- Cariboo Connector
- Mountain Pine Beetle Strategy Includes $\$ 90$ million for rehabilitation of highways impacted by increased logging traffic carrying beetle-killed timber
- Safety and Mobility


## Highway 97 - Red Rock CVIS and 4Laning

- Lies within the Cariboo Connector and supports this vision
- Highway 97 between Prince George and Cache Creek is heavily impacted by Pine Beetle forest products traffic. Many of the major mills are located in and around Prince George
- Supports both objectives


## 9 Benefit Cost Analysis

### 9.1 Results

The benefit cost analysis is summarized in Exhibit 9-1 for Option 7 which was the preferred option identified from public consultation and from a lowest cost perspective. The other options previously analysed had similar benefits but higher costs and social and environmental impacts and are not repeated here.

Benefits stem primarily from reduced congestion around the existing scale site. The single largest benefit is time savings to commercial vehicles with a present value of $\$ 5.4$ million without WIM/AVI and $\$ 7.0$ million with WIM/AVI using the Federal 10\% discount rate. In the case of the Prince George South Scale, a transponder equipped truck bypassing the scale saves about $\$ 3.75$ per bypass since they no longer have to queue at the scales or the lights on Railway Avenue to enter or exit the scales.

Time savings also accrue to automobiles accrue from a reduction in signal delay at Railway Avenue after the truck turning movements area removed from the intersection. On Highway 97 in the vicinity of the new scale, there are minor time savings associated with the higher operating speeds for automobiles on the 4lane cross section.

Accident Cost Savings with a present value of $\$ 2.4$ million stem form a reduction in accidents at the Railway Avenue intersection where the minor street volume will decline as the scale is moved. There is also a safety benefit from a reduction in accident severity in the vicinity of the new scale by upgrading 5 km from a 2 lane to a 4-lane divided cross section.

Vehicle operating cost savings are dominated by fuel savings to participating transponder equipped trucks which bypass the scale. Fuel savings are close to 1 litre per bypass truck achieved by avoiding the excess fuel consumption associated with the scale queue, signal delay and acceleration back up to ambient speed. Other fuel savings are also achieved by the reduced signal delay at the Railway Avenue intersection.

Overall benefits exceeding $\$ 11$ million are very good and the project is consistent with federal goals of improving efficiency of the commercial vehicle network and north/south trade routes and Provincial goals of 4-laning the Cariboo Connector between Cache Creek and Prince George.

## Exhibit 9-1 Benefit Cost Analysis Prince George South Weigh Scale

| Option | Median Scale and 5 km of 4-Lane | Add Wim/AVI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COSTS |  |  |
| Capital Costs: Property <br> Engineering <br> Construction <br> WIM/AVI <br>  Transponders <br>  Subtract Recoverables <br> Subtract "Do-Minimum" Cost  <br> Total Capital Costs  | $\begin{gathered} \$ 2.0 \\ \$ 1.2 \\ \$ 21.7 \\ \$ 0.0 \\ \$ 0.0 \\ \$ 0.5 \\ \$ 6.0 \\ \$ 18.3 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 2.0 \\ \$ 1.2 \\ \$ 21.7 \\ \$ 1.5 \\ \$ 0.1 \\ \$ 0.5 \\ \$ 6.0 \\ \$ 19.9 \end{gathered}$ |
| Operating and Maintenance (Present Value): <br> WIM/AVI <br> Auditing <br> Replacement Transponders Incremental Hwy Mtce. Incremental Resurfacing Cost Total O\&M Equivalent Annual Amount | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 0.0 \\ \$ 0.0 \\ \$ 0.0 \\ \$ 0.1 \\ \$ 0.0 \\ \$ 0.1 \\ \$ 0.01 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 0.2 \\ \$ 0.1 \\ \$ 0.0 \\ \$ 0.1 \\ -\$ 0.04 \\ \$ 0.4 \\ \$ 0.04 \end{gathered}$ |
| Salvage (Present Value) | \$1.8 | \$1.8 |
| Present Value of Costs | \$16.6 | \$18.5 |
| BENEFITS |  |  |
| Travel Time <br> Inspection Stn. Delay Reduction Reduced Intersection Delay Divided 4-lane Subtotal | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 5.5 \\ & \$ 1.6 \\ & \$ 1.1 \\ & \$ 8.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 7.2 \\ & \$ 1.6 \\ & \$ 1.1 \\ & \$ 9.9 \end{aligned}$ |
| Vehicle Operating Costs <br> Truck Fuel at Inspection Stn. Reduced Intersection Delay Auto Fuel on Divided 4-lane Subtotal | \$0.5 <br> \$0.1 <br> -\$0.4 <br> \$0.3 | $\begin{gathered} \$ 0.9 \\ \$ 0.1 \\ -\$ 0.4 \\ \$ 0.6 \end{gathered}$ |
| Safety <br> Improved Compliance Intersection Accidents Divided 4-lane Subtotal | \$0.2 <br> \$0.4 <br> \$1.8 <br> \$2.4 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 0.3 \\ & \$ 0.4 \\ & \$ 1.8 \\ & \$ 2.5 \end{aligned}$ |
| Other <br> Pavement Life Inspection Station Staffing Subtotal | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 0.4 \\ & \$ 0.1 \\ & \$ 0.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 0.6 \\ & \$ 0.2 \\ & \$ 0.8 \end{aligned}$ |
| Present Value of Benefits | \$11.3 | \$13.9 |
| Benefit/Cost Ratio | 0.68 | 0.75 |
| Net Present Value | -\$5.3 | -\$4.6 |

### 9.2 Sensitivity Analysis

This is intended to reflect the impact of alternate assumptions on the results of the analysis. In this case the NPV is positive at the Provincially recommended 6\% discount rate in Exhibit 9-2

## Exhibit 9-2 Sensitivity Analysis

|  | $10 \%$ <br> Discount <br> Rate | $6 \%$ <br> Discount <br> Rate | $8 \%$ <br> Discount <br> Rate | +25\% <br> Construc- <br> tion Cost | +10\% <br> Construc- <br> tion Cost | Traffic <br> Growt <br> $h 1.5 \%$ | Traffic <br> Growt <br> $h$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $0.5 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Net Present Value (millions \$)

| No <br> WIM/AVI | -5.3 | 2.4 | -2.1 | -9.9 | -7.1 | -5.0 | -5.6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| With <br> WIM/AVI | -4.6 | 4.2 | -1.0 | -9.6 | -6.6 | -4.3 | -5.0 |

B/C Ratio

| No <br> WIM/AVI | 0.68 | 1.17 | .86 | .53 | .61 | .70 | .67 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| With <br> WIM/AVI | 0.75 | 1.26 | .95 | .59 | .68 | .77 | .73 |

Other risks are minimal. The project has community support. The cost estimates are based on a 100\% design submission and the project is expected to be complete by August 2008.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ R.A. Barton, "Profile of Private Trucking" presented to the Private Motor Truck Council of Canada, 1997 Annual General Meeting and Conference, Sept 9, 1997 Toronto Airport Hilton.

