Metadata List for Salt Dilution Methods

Instrumentation					
Sensor ID:	Make:	Model:		Serial:	Metadata
Temperature Compensation	Non-Linear (25°C) Linear (25°C)				
EC Sensor Resolution	EC _T measurements < EC _T measurements >	,		ion: μS/cm ion: μS/cm	
EC Sensor Calibration	Standard:	·		ion Date < 6 months	
Temperature Verification	Sensor Reading		-	erified < 6 months	

Tracer Data				
Maker:	Brand:	Lot #:	Metadata	
Tracer Composition	Are salt tracers of food grade	e quality?	Y□ / N□	
Tracer Weight	Codio recornity camprated (< 1 year):			
	Scale Calibration Date:			
	Measured to %			
	+/ g			
Tracer Volume (Relative Concentration)	Measured with	rated to +/-		
	ml			

Field Procedures – Channel Reach Properties						
Stream:		Stage (m):	Date:	Metadata		
Mixing Reach Properties	Pictures: ———————————————————————————————————					
	Is there a lack of additing groundwater) within meduscribe:	Y□ / N□				
	Channel properties that turbulence at the stage Describe:	Y□ / N□				
	Channel does not cont circulating streamflow? Describe:	Y 🗆 / N 🗆				
	Channel does not cont affect the storage and Describe:	Y□ / N□				
	lateral mixing?	nstrictions or other mixir	-	Y□ / N□		
Injection Point Properties	t Pictures: ———————————————————————————————————					
	promotes lateral mixing Description:	d above a feature (e.g.,	· 	Y□ / N□		
Measurement Point Properties and Sensor						
Placement - Slug Injection	Were all measurement eddies, recirculating flo	points (sensors) locate bw, and aeration?	d in areas lacking back	Y□ / N□		

	m from Point of Injection (estimate) (probe 1) m from Point of Injection (estimate) (probe 2) m from Point of Injection (estimate) (probe 3)	
	Was complete mixing confirmed and mixing length > 7 wetted channel widths?	Y 🗆 / N 🗆
	Average Reach Widthm Wetted Channel Width Equivalentm Description:	
Measurement Point Properties and Sensor	Pictures:	
Placement - Constant Rate	Were all measurement points located in areas lacking back eddies, recirculating flow, and aeration?	Y□ / N□
	Describe all locations measured and approximate distances from injection point	
	Were each of these points measured at least 3 times to confirm a stable exceedance level over background? If no, describe why	Y 🗆 / N 🗆
	Average Reach Widthm Wetted Channel Width Equivalentm	

Field Procedures	Run #			
Stream:		Stage:	Date:	Metadata
Tracer Dose: General	No permissions or permits were required?			Y□ / N□
	No sensitive species were present?		Y□ / N□	
	Was dosing designed to be below BC Water Quality guidelines for chloride for most sensitive designated use?			Y□ / N□
Tracer Dose: Mass	Estimated Flow	m	³/sec	
Balance	Estimated Flow(2	²) m ³	³/sec	
	Dose Ratio Mass Injected	k	g/m³/sec g	

Tracer Dose: Relative	Batch #	
Concentration – Salt in	Estimated Flow m³/sec	
Solution	Estimated Flow(2) m³/sec	
	Solution Concentrationkgs / L	
	Dose RatioL/m³/sec	
	Volume Injected:L	
Tracer Dose: Relative	Batch #	
Concentration – Constant Rate	Estimated Flow m³/sec	
Constant Nate	Estimated Flow2 m³/sec	
	DoseL/m³/sec	
	Solution Concentrationkgs / L	
	Injected Rate:ml/s	
Derivation of k Constant	In Situ □	
for Relative Concentration Methods	Were separate <i>k</i> constants developed per EC sensor, per injection solution batch?	Y□ / N□
	Site Specific (automatic salt injection) □	
	Were site-specific <i>k</i> constants developed per EC sensor, per site that were confirmed > 2 times (i.e., start and end of	Y□ / N□
	injection solution batch)?	
	,	
Derivation of CF_T	In Situ □	
•		
Constant for Mass Balance Method	Were separate CF_T constants developed per EC sensor?	
Constant for Mass		
Constant for Mass	Were separate CF_T constants developed per EC sensor?	Y□ / N□
Constant for Mass	Were separate CF_T constants developed per EC sensor? Site Specific \square Were site-specific CF_T constants developed per EC sensor,	Y / N
Constant for Mass	Were separate CF_T constants developed per EC sensor? Site Specific \square Were site-specific CF_T constants developed per EC sensor,	Y / N
Constant for Mass	Were separate CF_T constants developed per EC sensor? Site Specific \square Were site-specific CF_T constants developed per EC sensor, per site that were confirmed > 5 times?	Y
Constant for Mass	Were separate CF_T constants developed per EC sensor? Site Specific \square Were site-specific CF_T constants developed per EC sensor, per site that were confirmed > 5 times? Lab Derived: \square Were all EC sensors recently calibrated (< 6 months) and demonstrated to produce a value that was close to the lab-	Y / N
Constant for Mass	Were separate CF_T constants developed per EC sensor? Site Specific \square Were site-specific CF_T constants developed per EC sensor, per site that were confirmed > 5 times? Lab Derived: \square Were all EC sensors recently calibrated (< 6 months) and	Y
Constant for Mass Balance Method	Were separate CF_T constants developed per EC sensor? Site Specific \square Were site-specific CF_T constants developed per EC sensor, per site that were confirmed > 5 times? Lab Derived: \square Were all EC sensors recently calibrated (< 6 months) and demonstrated to produce a value that was close to the lab-derived CF_T ?	Y
Constant for Mass	Were separate CF_T constants developed per EC sensor? Site Specific	Y
Constant for Mass Balance Method	Were separate CF_T constants developed per EC sensor? Site Specific \square Were site-specific CF_T constants developed per EC sensor, per site that were confirmed > 5 times? Lab Derived: \square Were all EC sensors recently calibrated (< 6 months) and demonstrated to produce a value that was close to the lab-derived CF_T ? Manual: every secs Automatic Data Logging: sec	Y
Constant for Mass Balance Method	Were separate CF_T constants developed per EC sensor? Site Specific	Y / N
Constant for Mass Balance Method	Were separate CF_T constants developed per EC sensor? Site Specific \square Were site-specific CF_T constants developed per EC sensor, per site that were confirmed > 5 times? Lab Derived: \square Were all EC sensors recently calibrated (< 6 months) and demonstrated to produce a value that was close to the lab-derived CF_T ? Manual: every secs Automatic Data Logging: sec	Y
Constant for Mass Balance Method	Were separate CF_T constants developed per EC sensor? Site Specific	Y
Constant for Mass Balance Method Sampling Interval	Were separate CF_T constants developed per EC sensor? Site Specific	Y
Constant for Mass Balance Method Sampling Interval EC _T Measurements	Were separate CF_T constants developed per EC sensor? Site Specific	Y

Measurement Sensor(s) Placement	See Channel Reach Properties form	
Shifting Background/ Variable Background/Exceedance over Background	Water Level Start: m Start EC_{BG} μ S/cm Water Level End: m End EC_{BG} μ S/cm Level Change m/hr EC_{BG} Change μ S/cm Max. Exceedance over Background μ S/cm	
	Was the <i>EC_{BG}</i> steady? If no, list range: µS/cm to µS/cm	Y□ / N□

Data Calculations and Assessment					
Stream:		Stage:	Date:		Metadata
Data Spikes, Errors and Outliers	Were more than 5 measurements or 0.5% of the data set adjusted? If yes, describe			Y□ / N□	
Shape of Break- through Curve	Image/ File Name:				
Shifting Background		a shifting <i>EC_{BG}</i> applied?		- 	Y□ / N□
Variable Background: Breakthrough Curve Detection and Separation	breakthrough curve d	d to define the start and ue to a variable EC_{BG} ?		-	Y□ / N□
Difference between EC Probes per Runs	Probe 1 Probe 3	Probes used per trace m³/sec, Probe 2 m³/sec, Probe 4 etween sensors, per rur	m ³		Y
Number of Salt Runs per Discharge Measurement	Run 1 Run 3	ms used per derived disc m³/sec, Run 2 m³/sec, Run 4 etween the runs > 7%?	r	m³/sec	Y