## BRITISH COLUMBIA FARM INDUSTRY REVIEW BOARD IN THE MATTER OF THE NATURAL PRODUCTS MARKETING (BC) ACT AND ALLEGATIONS OF UNLAWFUL ACTIVITY

REPLY TRANSCRIPT EXTRACT BOOK OF PROKAM ENTERPRISES LTD.

## **INDEX**

TAB	DECCRIPTON
IAD	DESCRIPTON
1.	2022-02-10 Cross-examination of Mr. Solymosi, p. 44(28-32)
2.	2022-04-01 Cross-examination of Mr. Guichon, p. 6(7) – p. 9(32)
3.	2022-02-03 Cross-examination of Mr. Dhillon, p. 13(29-39); p. 13(45) – p. 14(2)
4.	2022-02-09 Cross-examination of Mr. Solymosi, p. 17(6-29); p. 18(37-47); p. 20(34-42)
5.	2022-03-30 Cross-examination of Mr. Guichon, p. 125(11-27)
6.	2022-04-01 Cross-examination of Mr. Guichon, p. 6(15-34)
7.	2022-04-01 Cross-examination of Mr. Guichon, p. 6(15-34); p. 8(32) – p. 9(2); p. 18(18) – p. 19(17)
8.	Exhibit 1, p. 2345(8-13)
9.	2022-03-30 Cross-examination of Mr. Michell, p. 32(6-41)
10.	2022-03-30 Cross-examination of Mr. Michell, p. 35(14-30)
11.	Exhibit 1, p. 1984(20) - p. 1985(3)
12.	2022-03-30 Cross-examination of Mr. Michell, p. 30(31) – p. 31(20)
13.	2022-03-30 Cross-examination of Mr. Michell, p. 34(37) – 35(13)
14.	2022-03-30 Cross-examination of Mr. Michell, p. 35(31) – p. 36(3)
15.	2022-03-30 Cross-examination of Mr. Michell, p. 36(30-47)
16.	2022-03-30 Cross-examination of Mr. Michell, p. 47(43) – p. 48(11)
17.	2022-03-30 Cross-examination of Mr. Michell, p. 48(12-29); p. 49(23-36)
18.	2022-03-30 Cross-examination of Mr. Michell, p. 8(26) – p. 9(42); p. 10(8-29); p. 50(8-42); p. 55(21-24)
19.	2022-02-04 Direct examination of Mr. Dhillon, p. 44(34-45); p. 51(7-15)

20.	Exhibit 1, p. 2007(20-46)
21.	2022-02-03 Cross-examination of Mr. Dhillon, pp. 54(37) – 55(4); 55(34-42); p. 56(9) – 57(21); p. 70(9-20)
22.	2022-02-09 Cross-examination of Mr. Solymosi, p. 34(20-30); p. 47(4-22)
23.	2022-02-11 Cross-examination of Mr. Solymosi, p. 64(6-14); p. 67(9-14); p. 74(9-20)
24.	2022-02-11 Cross-examination of Mr. Solymosi, p. 70(25) – p. 73(39)
25.	2022-03-30 Cross-examination of Terry Michell, p. 3(42) – p. 4(8); p. 56(28-46); 59(22) – p. 63(18)
26.	2022-02-08 Direct examination of Mr. Gill, p. 20(14) – p. 21(23)
27.	2022-03-30 Cross-examination of Terry Michell, p. 63(19) – p. 64(33)
28.	2022-03-30 Cross-examination of Mr. Michell, p. 76(2) – p. 77(15)
29.	2022-02-08 Direct examination of Mr. Gill, p. 18(9) – p. 19(12)
30.	2022-03-30 Cross-examination of Mr. Michell, p. 79(44) – p. 85(9)
31.	2022-03-30 Cross-examination of Mr. Michell, p. 86(45) – p. 87(23); p. 100(31) – p. 102(24)
32.	Exhibit 1, p. 3641(43) – p. 3642(14); p. 3642(24-38); p. 3643(3-30); p. 3644(33-39)
33.	2022-03-30 Cross-examination of Mr. Michell, p. 88(17) – p. 92(31)
34.	2022-02-11 Cross-examination of Mr. Solymosi, p. 67(9) – p. 73(39)
35.	Exhibit 1, p. 3615(45) – p. 3616(14)
36.	2022-02-08 Direct examination of Mr. Gill, p. 44(30) – p. 45(34); p. 48(46) – p. 50(9)

imposed in the summer of 2017.
CNSL N. MITHA: Well, perhaps those

CNSL N. MITHA: Well, perhaps those questions can be asked and if somebody objects to the -- you know, you not having a foundation, then you can go back to laying the foundation but discussing economic theory in a vacuum seems to me goes pretty far beyond the terms of reference.

- CNSL R. HRABINSKY: Mr. Chair, if I may too, I'm hearing my friend speak of what the Commission's objectives were, et cetera and I have approached this entire supervisory review on the basis that the terms of reference focus specifically on the -- Mr. Solymosi as general manager and the Commission members have been named as defendants. I have not been participating on behalf of the Commission to explain or justify steps that the Commission took generally. So I do not think it's appropriate for my learned friend to be questioning this witness on the basis that this is an examination of the Commission's policies or -- or rules.
- CNSL C. HUNTER: All right. Why don't I move to another area.
- Mr. Solymosi, the rules with respect to delivery allocation are set out in the general orders, correct?
- A Correct.
- Q And the Commission does not regulate planted acreage and does not prevent growers from overplanting to produce marketable product in excess of delivery allocation, correct?
- A Correct.
- There's no restriction at all on overplanting?
- A The -- that's where the principles of the general orders would come into play where you're expected to plant within a reasonable amount to ensure you can fill your delivery allocation and service the market that the -- has been approved and serviced by that agency over time.
- You gave evidence yesterday that from your perspective the purpose of price is to maximize return to growers. Do you recall that?
- A Purpose of price is to ensure that we regulate B.C. product marketed by B.C. agencies to get the best return for B.C. producers.
- Q Yes, but -- and the Commission's motto is "Growers working for Growers", correct?

Yes. Α And you aren't aware of any errors transcribing your evidence in the 2018 hearing? 4 Α I didn't see any, but maybe you'll take me to 5 something that I don't disagree with, but what 6 I've seen so far, it's okay. 7 Now, you gave evidence on Wednesday that your 8 main concern in the cease and desist orders was 9 orderly marketing; correct? 10 Α Yes. 11 And the relationship between the grower and the 12 agency, Prokam, and IVCA, was totally broken and 13 that was a threat to orderly marketing? 14 Α You were concerned that Prokam had planted far in 15 16 excess of its delivery allocation and that was a 17 threat to orderly marketing; correct? 18 Α No. 19 No? Q 20 Not planting -- not planting that many. Α 21 the threat was that they didn't come forward to 22 the commission with a marketing plan. 23 And that was IVCA that didn't come forward to the 24 commission with the marketing plan; correct? 25 IVCA and their board, yes. Α 26 It's the agency's responsibility to come to Q 27 the board, to the commission with a marketing 28 plan; correct? 29 Providing they have the information from the Α 30 grower, yes. 31 I'm going to suggest to you that Prokam was not 32 violating any rule in planting in excess of your 33 delivery allocation. Do you agree with me? 34 Α Yes. 35 I'm going to take you to the transcript, 36 page 2151 of Exhibit 1, line 44. 37 Can you make it bigger, please, Ms. Hunter. Α 38 Q I can try. Mr. Androsoff actually will be able 39 to do that, I think. There we go. Is that okay, 40 Mr. Guichon? 41 It's not bigger here. Α 42 Oh, it's not? Oh, okay. How about that. Q 43 that bigger? 44 That's starting to work. Α 45 Q Okay. 46 Α Yeah. That's big enough. I can see that, I

think. There we go.

those answers?

Great, thank you. Okay. Mr. Guichon, I'm just going to take you to a section of your evidence in 2018, page 2150 of the Exhibit 1, starting at 2 3 4 line 44: 5 6 Well, I'm not talking about the grower. 7 talking about the commission from the 8 commission's perspective. You're a 9 commissioner; you've been a commissioner for 10 more than 25 years. So from the commission's perspective, the commission 11 12 wants to enforce its rules. There's no rule 13 that a grower cannot plant in excess of 14 their delivery allocation; correct? 15 That's correct. Α 16 So in the circumstance that a grower plants 17 in excess of the delivery allocation, they 18 didn't do anything wrong vis-a-vis the 19 commission. The commission is not going to 20 enforce any rule against them because they 21 didn't violate a rule; right? 22 That's right. 23 24 Were you asked those questions, and did you give 25 those answers? 26 Yes. Α 27 And were they true? 28 Α 29 Going to take you to 2181, page 2181 in Exhibit 1, and line 2, continuing in the 30 31 evidence: 32 33 Okay. So the concern here was that you were 34 aware that Prokam had planted significantly 35 in excess of their delivery allocation? 36 Α Yes. 37 You weren't aware of anything else that they Q 38 had done that caused you concern at that 39 time; correct? 40 I don't believe, at that time, no. Α 41 All right. And there was nothing wrong with 42 them planting in excess of their delivery 43 allocation? 44 No, that's right. 45 46 Were you asked those questions, and did you give

46

47

Α

Q

That's correct.

And what the commission was concerned about

was IVCA hadn't provided an appropriate

I did. Again, I'll stress that there's nothing 2 wrong with the planting, but I said earlier and 3 at that hearing that they had to come with a 4 marketing plan; that's the big thing, the 5 marketing plan to the agency to sell that crop because it's well in excess what they planted. 7 And, yes, I did say, there's nothing wrong with 8 that, but coupled with that has to be a marketing 9 plan to come before the commission, and they 10 never did so. 11 And that's IVCA that's to submit the marketing 12 plan, the agency; correct? 13 Α Well, them along with their grower that's done 14 this, and I believe the grower was on the board 15 at the time. 16 Continuing at Exhibit 1, 2227, line 5: 17 18 All right. So there were complaints by 19 other BCfresh growers about Prokam's 20 planting in excess of delivery allocation? 21 Yes. Α 22 And that's -- that's what's animating the concern that's being expressed? 23 24 Well, that's -- that would be only 25 growers -- the only growers that are in the 26 area. I mean, the whole, I mean, that's 27 80 percent of the area as BCfresh growers, 28 so, yes, it would be those growers. 29 Yes, but that's the concern that's animating Q 30 this issue coming back to the commission 31 table; correct? 32 Α The BCfresh growers do not care about the 33 extra acreage being planted providing there 34 is a marketing plan for it. 35 All right. 36 Α BCfresh growers themselves may plant a few 37 extra acres here and there, but there's a 38 marketing plan in place for it. Orderly 39 marketing, I should stress. 40 All right. And so what -- what -- what the Q 41 growers, the other growers were concerned 42 about was orderly marketing. It wasn't about Prokam planting in excess of delivery 43 44 allocation?

1		marketing plan; correct?
2		A Yeah. That was that was a concern.
3 4		Q Yes, but the commission couldn't have been concerned that about Prokam planting in
5		excess of delivery allocation?
6		A Within reason, but those numbers are pretty
7		big, and I think what the BCfresh growers
8		are thinking, if one person can go out and
9		plant double or triple of delivery
10		allocation, why can't we all do it.
11		Q All right.
12		A And
13 14		Q So that's what the growers might have been
15		thinking? A Yes.
16		Q But the commission was presumably thinking,
17		are any rules being broken; correct?
18		A Yes. And there was no rule broken as far as
19		planting, but it's common sense you if
20		every grower every grower did what Prokam
21		did, it would be a blood bath and the market
22		would be finished.
23		Q But that that again is not there's no
24 25		concern that the commission had, at that time, that Prokam was breaking any rules?
26		A Not breaking rules, no.
27		A NOT DIEAKING TUTES, NO.
28		Were you asked those questions, and did you give
29		those answers?
30	A	Yes.
31	Q	And were they true?
32	A	Yes.
33	Q	You were a commissioner in 1993 and 2021;
34 35	7\	correct?
36	A Q	Yes. And the commission has produced some signed
37	Q	conflict of interest undertakings, and I want to
38		take you to those. And this is Exhibit 30 at
39		page 12. Mr. Guichon, do you see commission
40		member of disclosure of membership and other
41		organizations that you prepared?
42	A	Yes.
43	Q	And do you see your signature here at the bottom,
44	7\	April 5th, 2013?
45 46	A Q	Yes. And you've disclosed the other organizations that
47	¥	you have membership in including that you're the
1 /		you have membership in including that you le the

46

47

Α

crop year?

accountable to all licensed producers of BC grown regulated vegetables for the appropriate use of 3 delivery allocation and the core values on which 4 delivery allocation is established. You see 5 that? 6 Yes, I do. Α 7 And then it talks about delivery allocation and I just want to go to one of the paragraphs in this 8 9 letter which discusses ... so we're at page 849 10 and the heading is "Operating In Noncompliance 11 with 2016-2017 Crop Year." "Over 2016-2017 crop 12 year Prokam's potato shipments were significantly 13 greater than its assigned delivery allocation." 14 See that? 15 Yes, I do. Α 16 It says -- and then further down it says: 17 18 Shipments were nine times Prokam's delivery 19 allocation entitlement in period A and seven 20 times it's entitlement in period B. 21 22 Α Yes. 23 Q Right. And then it sets out here on the chart on 24 what the actual shipments were versus the 25 delivery allocation. 26 Α Okay. 27 Q See that? Yes, I do. 28 Α 29 And would you agree with the commission's 30 analysis here is that in fact the delivery 31 allocation was greater than -- the shipments were 32 greater than the delivery allocation? They were. Like I said, I'm a grower and if my 33 Α 34 agency requires potatoes above my DA and they 35 have no avenue of getting those potatoes, it's 36 also called gap billing. So if the agency is in 37 need of potatoes and they wanted me to grow them, 38 they had no other source of getting them, so I 39 was directed to do so, so I did so. 40 So we'll come back to that but again Q All right. 41 what I'm trying to get at, then, is how many tons did you ship in period A in this year, in this 42 43 crop year, 2016/2017? Do you know? 44 Α I do not know.

And what was your delivery allocation for that

I'm not sure what it was but the demand for IVCA

exceeded my delivery allocation and that is 2 allowed I was told by my agency. 3 Leaving it aside whether it's allowed or not the 4 letter contained an appendix B; okay? 5 Α 6 Which sets out what the delivery allocation was 7 and the total potatoes. So it looks like on this 8 appendix you can see the delivery allocations? 9 Α M'mm-hmm. 10 So it look like a delivery allocation for periods 11 A, B -- categories A, B, C and D was 130 and your 12 total shipped was 827; is that correct? 13 Α I believe so. 14 And the same thing -- sorry. Your delivery allocation I guess is 827 and your total potatoes 15 16 shipped is 2135. Does that make sense? 17 Α Okay. 18 Does that make sense to you? 19 Α I don't know the exact numbers but it might be 20 right. 21 Okay. All right. All right. Going to go back 22 to the decision. And I want to take you to a couple of paragraphs. I think I'm making the 23 24 same mistake I did earlier. Sorry about that. 25 Hang on a second. My apologies. Okay. 26 about that. I want to take you to paragraph 26 27 of this decision, sir? 28 Okay. Α 29 And you can see it says: 30 31 On the evidence there's no dispute that 32 Prokam grew Kennebec potatoes without DA. Mr. Dhillon confirmed that IVCA president, 33 34 Mr. Michell, wanted to make sure that if 35 there was a gap in production due to 36 inconsistent quality, IVCA could fill the 37 gap. 38 39 Do you see that? 40 Α Yes, I do. 41 Paragraph 27 says: 42 43 Mr. Dhillon, either in his role as the 44 principal of Prokam or as a director of 45 IVCA, did not seek approval from the 46 commission before producing or shipping

regulated product not covered by or in

letter that addresses the need to hold Prokam and to prices at IVCA accountable to all licenced producers ...

et cetera.

Now, I want to understand -- we -- we're now at -- we've gone through April and May where there's been discussions, as you've indicated, on the various topics that we've seen. There's been discussion of the pricing policy and the fact that it'll be approved at the next Commission meeting, and then you send out this email, and you also attach a letter, which I'll take you to, and it says "subject, 2017/06/13 letter to Prokam and IVCA." So why on June 14th -- what prompted the sending of this letter, or this email and the attached letter?

- A What prompted was that we knew that IVCA had growth ambitions. We had been asking for a marketing business plan from them, and we never received one. I looked at historical information that was part of that April growth ambition information that we brought forward at that meeting, looking at shipments over the past year on -- shipments versus [indiscernible] allocation. We saw that there was growth and we saw there were ambitions, and we needed a marketing plan about where this product was placed -- being placed into the market.
- Q In any event, in this email you talk about various points, and I've highlighted a portion where you talk about delivery allocation being one of two critical components of orderly marketing that are essential [indiscernible] and the other is minimum price.
- A Correct.
- Q And you then say:

Over the past couple of years, there has been considerable between storage [indiscernible] agencies, producers and commissioners about orderly marketing and tools that are being used to manage the system. The importance to enforce delivery allocation at an industry level has also been well documented as an outcome of the supervisory review on Vancouver Island that

47

Α

1 was completed in January. 2 3 Is that accurate? Is that what the sentiment 4 was? 5 Correct. Α 6 All right. And then you attached to that the Q 7 letter dated June 14th, right? 8 Α Correct. 9 Q [Indiscernible] Bob Dhillon and the principal of 10 Prokam and Mr. Brian Meyer, as representative of 11 Island Vegetable Cooperative Association, as the 12 general manager, right? 13 Correct. Α 14 Okay. And the letter starts out by saying you --15 there's a need to hold Prokam and IVCA 16 accountable, correct? 17 Yes. Α 18 And then the third paragraph, you talk about 19 delivery allocation being one of two critical 20 components. 21 And I'm not going to take you through the 22 letter in detail. You talk about a number of 23 topics. You set out that Prokam -- I'm at page 24 849, that Prokam shipments were significantly 25 greater than its assigned delivery allocation, 26 and that shipments were nine times forecast 27 delivery allocation. How did you have all of 28 this information? How did you know this? 29 Well, we collect shipment information, and that 30 is entered into BCVMC database. Delivery 31 allocation, as everyone knows, is based on a 32 five-year rolling average of shipments, and that 33 is earned delivery allocation -- a compilation of 34 earned delivery allocation, and you can have rent 35 and delivery allocation, which is different. 36 that's how we knew. 37 All right. In any event, at the -- near the Q 38 bottom of page 849, you say: 39 40 No marketing plan was submitted by IVCA 41 requesting improved growth and a shift of 42 potato production into A and B periods. 43 This behaviour is a non-compliance. 44 45 And that -- the underlining is your underlining

originally, correct?

Correct.

1 agency -- how an agency should be acting as an 2 agent of a Commission. I -- I had discussions 3 with Alf on this, and as a result of those 4 discussions, we issued a letter. 5 In response to this letter? Q 6 Α Correct. 7 0 All right. I'll get to that in a minute. First 8 I want to take you to the response, because this 9 letter was also written to BCFIRB, and you've 10 seen the BCFIRB response of July 20th? 11 you had a chance to see --12 Α Yes. 13 Q -- this letter? 14 Yes, I'm just reading through it again here. Α 15 Q Okay. 16 Okay. Α 17 You didn't have anything to do with this letter, Q 18 right? 19 Α No. 20 All right. And now I'm going to get to your Q 21 response letter, which is at 931. So this is the 22 letter written August 14th, 2017. Is this the 23 response you're referring to? 24 Α Correct. 25 Q All right. And you say to -- you write to Mr. 26 Michell and Ms. [indiscernible], who were the 27 authors of the letter, right? 28 Α Correct. 29 You write to them, saying thank you for your 30 letter. You talk about significant amount of 31 time being spent discussing principles, general 32 order and policies, correct? 33 Α Correct 34 All right. And you say: 35 36 The Commission has two requests that support 37 its response to immediate issues. Number one, your attendance at the next Commission 38 meeting September 6th to discuss IVCA's 39 40 marketing plan. 41 42 Correct. Α 43 And number 2: 44 45 The circulation of your July 10th letter, 46 with all storage crop agencies to provide

the agencies an opportunity to comment.

43

44

45

46

47

Α

Kennebec potatoes in BC? 2 No, not -- for Kennebec potatoes? Α 3 0 Yes? 4 Α Oh, I don't know what the percentage would be, 5 but it's less than -- might be 35 or 40 percent. I'm just guessing. I don't have the numbers in 7 front of me. 8 But it's a significant percentage of the delivery Q 9 allocation for Kennebec potatoes; right? 10 Α Yes. 11 So would it be fair to say that the comments you 12 were making at this meeting was really to protect 13 your own self-interest so that Prokam wouldn't 14 get more delivery allocation at the cost of your 15 family or your organization getting less? 16 Α No. All of his sales that you just had on your chart there were not Kennebec potatoes. They 17 18 were all reds, whites, and yellows. And I have 19 no problem with anybody gaining quota if it's 20 done properly. But to not come with a marketing 21 plan and ship 400 percent -- I'm pretty sure I 22 saw 470 percent there on that chart, without a 23 marketing plan, I and the rest of the growers 24 panels, whatever, you know, there's a process to be done. Bring your -- come to the commission 25 26 with your planning intentions or your marketing 27 plan and do it. 28 Well, let met put it to you this way, 29 Mr. Guichon, so you have an opportunity to answer 30 the allegation. You knew that Mr. Dhillon was 31 not going to rent you his land in 2016 or 2017. 32 You weren't happy about that. You found out that 33 he was planting more than his delivery allocation 34 and you found out that he was shipping more than 35 his delivery allocation. And so really, the 36 comment you made at this meeting and potentially 37 the other meeting we just saw in April, was 38 really aimed because of some personal unhappiness 39 or call it animosity you had towards Mr. Dhillon 40 and Prokam and that was the reason you were 41 trying to block Prokam from getting further

delivery allocation; isn't that the case?

That's not true at all. The fact that we didn't

me at all or my family at all. We lose acres

every year. We go and either find them or not.

20 acres on our 900-acre potato farm is nothing.

get 20 acres from Mr. Dhillon, that didn't bother

Yes. Α 2 And you aren't aware of any errors transcribing 3 your evidence in the 2018 hearing? 4 Α I didn't see any, but maybe you'll take me to 5 something that I don't disagree with, but what 6 I've seen so far, it's okay. 7 Now, you gave evidence on Wednesday that your 8 main concern in the cease and desist orders was 9 orderly marketing; correct? 10 Α Yes. 11 And the relationship between the grower and the 12 agency, Prokam, and IVCA, was totally broken and 13 that was a threat to orderly marketing? 14 Α 15 You were concerned that Prokam had planted far in 16 excess of its delivery allocation and that was a 17 threat to orderly marketing; correct? 18 Α No. 19 No? Q 20 Not planting -- not planting that many. Α 21 the threat was that they didn't come forward to 22 the commission with a marketing plan. 23 And that was IVCA that didn't come forward to the 24 commission with the marketing plan; correct? 25 IVCA and their board, yes. Α 26 It's the agency's responsibility to come to Q 27 the board, to the commission with a marketing 28 plan; correct? 29 Providing they have the information from the Α 30 grower, yes. 31 I'm going to suggest to you that Prokam was not 32 violating any rule in planting in excess of your 33 delivery allocation. Do you agree with me? 34 Α Yes. 35 Q I'm going to take you to the transcript, 36 page 2151 of Exhibit 1, line 44. 37 Can you make it bigger, please, Ms. Hunter. Α 38 Q I can try. Mr. Androsoff actually will be able 39 to do that, I think. There we go. Is that okay, 40 Mr. Guichon? 41 It's not bigger here. Α 42 Oh, it's not? Oh, okay. How about that. Q 43 that bigger? 44 That's starting to work. Α 45 Q Okay. 46 Α Yeah. That's big enough. I can see that, I 47 think. There we go.

Yes. Α 2 And you aren't aware of any errors transcribing 3 your evidence in the 2018 hearing? 4 Α I didn't see any, but maybe you'll take me to 5 something that I don't disagree with, but what 6 I've seen so far, it's okay. 7 Now, you gave evidence on Wednesday that your 8 main concern in the cease and desist orders was 9 orderly marketing; correct? 10 Α Yes. 11 And the relationship between the grower and the 12 agency, Prokam, and IVCA, was totally broken and 13 that was a threat to orderly marketing? 14 Α 15 You were concerned that Prokam had planted far in 16 excess of its delivery allocation and that was a 17 threat to orderly marketing; correct? 18 Α No. 19 No? Q 20 Not planting -- not planting that many. Α 21 the threat was that they didn't come forward to 22 the commission with a marketing plan. 23 And that was IVCA that didn't come forward to the 24 commission with the marketing plan; correct? 25 IVCA and their board, yes. Α 26 It's the agency's responsibility to come to Q 27 the board, to the commission with a marketing 28 plan; correct? 29 Providing they have the information from the Α 30 grower, yes. 31 I'm going to suggest to you that Prokam was not 32 violating any rule in planting in excess of your 33 delivery allocation. Do you agree with me? 34 Α Yes. 35 Q I'm going to take you to the transcript, 36 page 2151 of Exhibit 1, line 44. 37 Can you make it bigger, please, Ms. Hunter. Α 38 Q I can try. Mr. Androsoff actually will be able 39 to do that, I think. There we go. Is that okay, 40 Mr. Guichon? 41 It's not bigger here. Α 42 Oh, it's not? Oh, okay. How about that. Q 43 that bigger? 44 That's starting to work. Α 45 Q Okay. 46 Α Yeah. That's big enough. I can see that, I 47 think. There we go.

45

46

47

I did. Again, I'll stress that there's nothing wrong with the planting, but I said earlier and at that hearing that they had to come with a marketing plan; that's the big thing, the 5 marketing plan to the agency to sell that crop because it's well in excess what they planted. 7 And, yes, I did say, there's nothing wrong with 8 that, but coupled with that has to be a marketing 9 plan to come before the commission, and they 10 never did so. 11 And that's IVCA that's to submit the marketing 12 plan, the agency; correct? 13 Α Well, them along with their grower that's done 14 this, and I believe the grower was on the board 15 at the time. 16 Continuing at Exhibit 1, 2227, line 5: Q 17 18 All right. So there were complaints by 19 other BCfresh growers about Prokam's 20 planting in excess of delivery allocation? 21 Yes. Α 22 And that's -- that's what's animating the Q concern that's being expressed? 23 24 Well, that's -- that would be only 25 growers -- the only growers that are in the 26 area. I mean, the whole, I mean, that's 27 80 percent of the area as BCfresh growers, 28 so, yes, it would be those growers. 29 Yes, but that's the concern that's animating Q 30 this issue coming back to the commission 31 table; correct? 32 Α The BCfresh growers do not care about the 33 extra acreage being planted providing there 34 is a marketing plan for it. 35 All right. 36 Α BCfresh growers themselves may plant a few 37 extra acres here and there, but there's a 38 marketing plan in place for it. Orderly 39 marketing, I should stress. 40 All right. And so what -- what -- what the Q 41 growers, the other growers were concerned 42 about was orderly marketing. It wasn't

allocation?

That's correct.

Α

Q

about Prokam planting in excess of delivery

And what the commission was concerned about

was IVCA hadn't provided an appropriate

marketing plan; correct? 2 Yeah. That was -- that was a concern. Α 3 Q Yes, but the commission couldn't have been 4 concerned that about Prokam planting in 5 excess of delivery allocation? 6 Within reason, but those numbers are pretty Α 7 big, and I think what the BCfresh growers 8 are thinking, if one person can go out and 9 plant double or triple of delivery 10 allocation, why can't we all do it. 11 Q All right. 12 Α And --13 So that's what the growers might have been Q 14 thinking? 15 Α Yes. 16 Q But the commission was presumably thinking, 17 are any rules being broken; correct? 18 Yes. And there was no rule broken as far as 19 planting, but it's common sense you -- if 20 every grower -- every grower did what Prokam 21 did, it would be a blood bath and the market 22 would be finished. 23 Q But that -- that again is not -- there's no 24 concern that the commission had, at that 25 time, that Prokam was breaking any rules? 26 Not breaking rules, no. Α 27 28 Were you asked those questions, and did you give 29 those answers? 30 Yes. Α 31 0 And were they true? 32 Α 33 You were a commissioner in 1993 and 2021; 34 correct? 35 Yes. Α 36 And the commission has produced some signed 37 conflict of interest undertakings, and I want to 38 take you to those. And this is Exhibit 30 at 39 page 12. Mr. Guichon, do you see commission 40 member of disclosure of membership and other 41 organizations that you prepared? 42 Α Yes. 43 And do you see your signature here at the bottom, 44 April 5th, 2013? 45 Α Yes. 46 And you've disclosed the other organizations that Q 47 you have membership in including that you're the

- A No. The majority of the acreage was BCfresh, but not growers.

  The vast majority of the growers in your district
  - Q The vast majority of the growers in your district in the lower mainland are BCfresh growers; correct?
  - A Yes. But not all for potatoes. They're growers that grow other crops too. There's -- in BCfresh, there's, you know, five growers that represent probably 85 percent of the acreage, so grower-wise, there would be more growers in the rest of the -- more potato growers or as many potato growers in the rest of the province than there is at BCfresh. The only thing is that BCfresh producers are quite a bit larger.
  - Q Going to take you back into the transcript from 2018, Exhibit 1, page 2173, starting at line 9:
    - Q There are a bunch of different actors with different roles in the industry. And Mr. Dhillon is a producer. There's no prohibition on planting in excess of his delivery allocation, and what you're articulating is that your concern that IVCA or someone else is going to market in excess in a way that's going to affect orderly marketing. And what I'm asking is, why is it that the commission is focusing on Mr. Dhillon? Why isn't the commission focusing on IVCA and the risk that IVCA is going to regulate, is going to market in a way that's improper?
    - Α The IVCA was asked two years prior to that to bring forward a marketing plan when Mr. Dhillon started producing potatoes. never came. We still never seen one. And the plan that was talked about in this room in the past -- the last two days, that plan was not a planting plan. That plan was -that was their application to reapply for agency status. And in that plan, they indicated that they wanted to grow more crop, but there was no specifics, like, they didn't say X amount of potatoes, X amount of anything. So we've been asked after IVCA in the grower group there to come to the commission with a plan each spring. We haven't seen it yet.

1 2	Q	All right. I'm asking you through that you have articulated a concern about IVCA's
3		compliance and its compliance with the
4		direction of the commission to follow a
5 6		marketing plan that was appropriate?
	A	Yes.
7	Q	That's not what's being raised here.
8 9		There's no suggestion the commission is
10		going to speak to IVCA here about that problem. What is suggested is the issue of
11		Mr. Dhillon and his planting will be
12		discussed.
13	A	Mr. Dhillon was on their board.
14	Q	Well, but, is he planting in his capacity as
15		an IVCA board member? He's planting as a
16		grower, isn't he?
17	A	That's correct, yes.
18	Q	Yeah. All right. It just seems that
19 20		there's quite a lot of attention on Mr. Dhillon and his planting when we've
21		agreed that there's nothing wrong with that,
22		and I'm curious as to why it is that the
23		commission is repeatedly having this issue
24		arise. I haven't seen reference in these
25		minutes to any other grower being discussed
26	_	in the same way.
27	A	Okay. I'll you know what? I will
28 29	0	address that. All right.
30	Q A	There's a group of growers sitting behind us
31	2.1	that have been farming for 70 and 80 and 60
32		years and have delivery allocations the same
33		as Mr. Dhillon or smaller. The average farm
34		in the lower mainland, potato farm, is about
35		120 acres. Mr. Dhillon is 120. The first
36 37		and the second year, he went to 380,
38		according to him, last year. You know what? It's time that the market was shared.
39		Nobody walks in three years and does the
40		kind of stuff he has done, and he's planted
41		the product; that's good. And it has been
42		marketed at whether it's below minimum price
43		or not, that's the allegations, and it's not
44		a very happy crowd out there. I can tell
45 46	$\circ$	you that. Well, I've gotten that sense over the course
47	Q	of the couple of days we've been here. That
<b>1</b> /		or one couple of days we ve been here. That

9 John Newell and Andre Solymosi (for Respondent) In chief by Mr. Hrabinsky

how do you -- how do you validate that? You need to put it in front of other agencies that are in the same marketplace, right? And so this was -- this next step is to share this information with all agencies and have some discussion around whether or not there is a market for this extra product.

MR HRABINSKY: Okay And would you be looking for

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38 39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

- MR. HRABINSKY: Okay. And would you be looking for that information from growers or just from the agencies?
- MR. SOLYMOSI: I'd be looking for it just from the agencies because they're responsible for marketing.
- MR. HRABINSKY: All right. Let's turn to page nine fifty, please. This appears to be an e-mail dated March 24. Can you describe what this describe is?
- MR. SOLYMOSI: Okay, so finally, finally, you know, after sending a couple e-mails out in February, I sent an e-mail out in -- in March, we finally got all the information gathered together. I had some feedback from some agencies saying this is highly confidential information, I don't want it shared with anyone. So, I decided that the next step would be let's -- let's just bring everyone together and this was the outcome of that. title here is "Storage crop agency meeting April 5th", morning, 9:30 a.m. start time, location Delta Town & Country. And I can just read it out loud here. "I would like to hold a meeting of the storage crop agencies to discuss delivery allocation and agency growth ambitions. The best option would be to hold it in the morning of the AGM. The meeting will be kept small and include all storage crop agency managers and one or two grower representatives per agency, the BCVMC chair, and myself. purpose of this meeting is to initiate discussion on each agency's forecast versus delivery allocation, validate growth ambitions, and determine the next steps to be recommended to the Commission." [as read]
- MR. HRABINSKY: And did -- did that meeting, in fact, take place?
- MR. SOLYMOSI: It -- it did, yes.
- MR. HRABINSKY: And did it occur on the 5th of April --

- IVCA only had two potato growers; is that right? Hothi Farms and Galey Farms? This would be in 3 2013. 4 Α Hothi Farms and Galey, yes. That would sound 5 correct. 6 And do you recall that in 2014 and 2015, 7 Mr. Pollock tried to sell Mr. Hothi's potatoes to 8 Thomas Fresh and retailers, but the quality was 9 inconsistent? 10 Α Yes. And do you recall having discussions with 11 12 Mr. Pollock in 2014 about the possibility of 13 adding Prokam as a grower to grow cabbage and 14 potatoes for IVCA? 15 Α Yeah. I would say, there's discussion on that, 16 I'm not sure about cabbage, but it would 17 have been potatoes. 18 Am I right that Mr. Pollock saw an unmet need for 19 IVCA to market potatoes to its customers because 20 of the quality issues with Mr. Hothi's potatoes? 21 Α That was discussed. There was discussion around 22 that. Okay. And that's something that Mr. Pollock said 23 Q 24 to you at the time? 25 Α Yes. I would -- I would -- let's see --26 Or is that something that --Q 27 I think possibly had more to do with some quota 28 being sold. 29 Q Okay. 30 Α Three Star or Hothi to Mr. Dhillon. 31 Q And I'll get to that in a few minutes. 32 Α Okay. Yeah. 33 I'm just asking, right now, about what led to 34 discussions between Mr. Pollock and Mr. Dhillon 35 and yourself about the possibility of Prokam 36 joining IVCA. And I'm suggesting to you that 37 it's because either Mr. Pollock or you or perhaps 38 both of you saw an opportunity and some unmet 39 need for IVCA to market potatoes to its current
- A I would say, that's correct.

Q Okay. Now, is the addition of a new grower something that would be typically voted on by IVCA's board? Or is that a decision that you as president and the general manager can make?

customer that could be grown by Prokam.

- 46 A No. That would be a director's decision.
- Q Okay. And I take it, then, that such a vote was

planting in 2016 was something that you, 2 Mr. Pollock, and Mr. Dhillon would have 3 discussed; correct? 4 I think the early planting was a limited amount, 5 and, yeah. There would have been discussion, 6 yes. 7 And I'm not going to ask you for specific amounts 8 or numbers or anything like that. All I'm asking 9 is that when it came time to plan for the 10 2016/2017 growing season and determine what 11 Prokam would be planting, there was a discussion 12 that took place between the three of you? 13 Yes. Α 14 So Thomas Fresh was an IVCA customer that 15 Mr. Pollock was selling to you before Prokam was 16 growing potatoes for IVCA; is that correct? 17 Α Yes, yes. Not just potatoes, but leeks and other 18 products, yes. 19 Q And it was Mr. Pollock who had the relationship 20 with Thomas Fresh, not Mr. Dhillon; correct? 21 When it came to sales, yes. Α 22 And it would have been Mr. Pollock and you, based 0 23 on information that you received from Mr. 24 Pollock, who knew the extent of the market 25 opportunities for Prokam's potatoes; correct? 26 Yes. We would have discussed the -- that he had Α 27 potatoes, but I'm not sure entirely whether we 28 knew how many acres or tons we were going to be 29 producing, it would be just some potatoes for 30 sale. 31 Q In 2016, you and Mr. Pollock asked Mr. Dhillon to 32 plant potatoes in excess of Prokam's delivery 33 allocation; correct? 34 Α I would think that there was some discussion on 35 my behalf on a very low percentage of over and 36 above his DA. 37 Okay. Am I right that you and Mr. Pollock --38 well, certainly you anyway. I know you can't 39 speak for the operation of Mr. Pollock's mind, 40 but am I right that you understood that the sales 41 of potatoes to Thomas Fresh in Calgary and 42 Saskatoon would be a new market? I would understand that, yes. 43 Α

And do you have a recollection of understanding

selling to Thomas Fresh on the prairies would

replace potatoes that Thomas Fresh would

at the time that the potatoes that IVCA would be

44

45

46

124
Tom Pollock (for Appellants)
In chief by Ms. Hunter

decision of theirs, we finally got Bob some quota for cabbage, but by then it was too late to plant. And a little further on in the season I met with Bob again and we talked with him potentially growing potatoes.

- Q Okay. And -- and what -- what was your thinking at that time about the potential for -- for potatoes being grown by Prokam?
- A Well, it seemed like that there was opportunities. Like, I know -- you know, really with Hothi if the quality would have been consistent, we would have sold more potatoes to a number of different wholesalers and retailers. And so, you know, I saw that there was an opportunity there if we could get consistent quality. And Galey Farms had fairly good quality, but they just -- they were reducing the amount of acres they -- you know, they were -- they were growing each year.
- Q Okay. And -- and what made you think that there was a market for additional B.C. potatoes if you could get them for IVCA?
- A Well, just even, you know, in the conversations with our different clients, you know, they they wanted to buy potatoes from us, but they they needed a certain level of quality. I mean, we sold some to Thomas Fresh, but of Hothi's potatoes, but quite often there was quality issues. Same thing with Sysco, Victoria. So, it just appeared that if if I could have a good quality grower, then, you know, there were obviously sales there.
- Q Okay. And -- and tell us about that relationship with Thomas Fresh you mentioned. What was that relationship like when you started in 2013 and -- and how did it evolve over time?
- A Really it was initially through the general manager in the Surrey branch for Thomas Fresh, Heron, I don't remember his last name now, but, again, it was -- you know, we tried different times to, you know, supply potatoes to him, but, again, it was just the consistency of the quality. I guess it was later on in 2014, in the spring of 2014, I think it was May I went out to Calgary to meet with some different groups out there, Thomas Fresh being one of them. And it was through that initial meeting with Roy Hinchey

Tom Pollock (for Appellants) In chief by Ms. Hunter

- at Thomas Fresh that, you know, he expressed interest in having the ability to buy B.C.-grown potatoes.

  Q Okay. And -- and focusing on that first meeting
  - Q Okay. And -- and focusing on that first meeting that you had with Mr. Hinchey, how did that meeting come about?
  - A I had called out there and set up some meetings not only with -- with Thomas Fresh but with IGA and with the Federated Co-op to meet with, you know, their -- their buying groups, not just on potatoes but on other things. And in meeting with Roy, you know, the focus -- you know, the interest was in potatoes primarily.
  - Q Okay. And -- and what do you recall about what -- what Mr. Hinchey said about the interest in potatoes?
  - A Well, I mean, it was -- when I was sharing that I had a -- a new grower with IVCA that was in the Fraser Valley, he was interested in growing potatoes, I mean, that was really the starting point because Roy was somewhat familiar with the area and knew that that area could produce pretty good quality potatoes and, you know, they could be grown -- you know, begin to harvest fairly early in the season.
  - Q Okay. And -- and did you understand what -- how Thomas Fresh was meeting its needs at that time that -- that could be met by B.C. potatoes if you were able to supply them?
  - A Well, yeah, Roy had shared that they imported a lot of potatoes from the U.S. and that, you know, the desire was to have, you know, B.C.-grown product for Surrey, but also to begin to replace U.S. imported potatoes. I mean, the dollar was changing and, you know, I think everybody would rather have, you know, a B.C. or Canadian grown potato than an imported U.S.
  - Q Okay. And -- and did you have -- at that initial meeting, in, I guess, 2014, did you have discussions about the terms on which IVCA and -- and Thomas Fresh might do business?
  - A The terms as being how?
  - Q Yeah. How much you might be able to sell, at what price, what -- what time in the season, that sort of thing?
- 46 A It was pretty early on in the discussions for that. I mean, it -- it really was just that --

1		right?
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	I think, at this point, it's been over 25 years
4		that you've been director of IVCA?
5	A	That could very well be, yes.
6	Q	Okay. Currently, how many growers does IVCA
7		have?
8 9	A	Approximately seven seven, and one or two of those are, you know, don't really ship much at
10	^	all, so a good four of us that represent IVCA.
11	Q	Okay. How long have you been a grower for IVCA?
12 13	А	Seems like 100 years. Let's see. The family's been there since IVCA was I think in the 50s,
14	0	so we've been a member since the 50s.
15	Q	And your family has actually been growing on your
16		land since the 1860s or thereabouts. Is that
17	70	right?
18	A	1868, yes.
19 20	Q	I heard it said before that when they think of
21		IVCA, they think of Terry Michell. Have you heard that before?
22	7\	Not directly, no.
23	A	Am I right that you are, by far, the largest
24	Q	grower for IVCA by tonnage shipped?
25	А	Yes.
26	Q	And with the possible exception of Prokam when it
27	Z	was growing for IVCA, you have been the largest
28		grower in terms of tonnage shipped by a wide
29		margin for IVCA for several years; is that right?
30	A	For several years? I would say, yes.
31	Q	And because you have been a director for IVCA for
32	~	so long and because you're the president, am I
33		right that you have considerable influence when
34		it comes to decisions affecting IVCA?
35	A	I would think so.
36	Q	Is it fair to say that you exercise a greater
37		degree of control over the operations and
38		management of IVCA than your fellow directors?
39	А	Possibly. I'm not sure whether I do, but
40		possibly.
41	Q	For example, you're the only director with
42		signing authority in IVCA's bank accounts; is
43		that right?
44	A	Well, because I'm the president, yes, and the
45		director.
46	Q	And that's true, currently, as well as in 2017;
47		correct?

- 1 A Yes. We usually have a -- the president and the 2 manager, yes. It's normal that's how -- yes, 3 that's how we operate.
- Q Okay. So, for example, Mr. Dhillon never had signing authority in IVCA's accounts, did he?
- 6 A No.

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

- 7 Q And while we're talking about it, neither did 8 Mr. Gill; correct?
- 9 A Correct.
- 10 Q And when Mr. Meyer or his predecessor, 11 Mr. Pollock, needed direction with respect to 12 operational decisions, they typically went 13 directly to you; correct?
- 14 A I would say, probably -- probably. I would think 15 so, or I would say, would have had a general 16 consensus for the directors.
  - Q And I'm talking about more so the day-to-day operations of the agency for decisions that don't require a vote from the board.
- 20 A I would say, correct.
  - Now, I want to ask you some questions about the circumstances in which Prokam joined IVCA as a grower, and I'm going to enlist your help, Mr. Michell, because I think it's going to be useful for Mr. Chair to have some of this background leading up to 2017. And you're the perfect witness for that because of your longevity with IVCA and because your memory seems quite good. So I'll suggest to you that Prokam joined IVCA as a grower in 2014; is that right?
  - A 2014. That's entirely possible, yes. That sounds about the correct year, yes.
- 33 Q And at that time, IVCA's general manager was 34 Tom Pollock?
- 35 A Yes.
- And I believe it was Mr. Pollock's evidence in 2018 that he was GM from July of 2013 to December 2016. Does that accord with your recollection?
- 39 A Three years. I would say, that's right.
- Q Okay. And Mr. Pollock had a background and had experience in business; correct?
- 42 A Yes.
- 43 Q And in business growth specifically. Is that your recollection?
- The way I understood it, yes. I would think so. Advertising and such, yes.
- 47 Q And at the time, as Mr. Pollock started as GM,

- and Mr. Dhillon wasn't a close family member, was 2 off side this amending order number 43; is that 3 your recollection? 4 Α It's either sell all or none. 5 Q Okay. 6 That's what I recall, which we kind of objected Α 7 8 Okay. And do you recall that IVCA appealed the Q 9 amending order 43? 10 Α Yes. 11 And Mr. Pollock led the charge, so to speak, in 12 prosecuting the appeal for IVCA; is that right? 13 Α Yes. 14 And I take it that Mr. Pollock consulted with you 0 15 regarding the strategy and positions to take in 16 that appeal; is that your recollection? 17 Α Yes, I would think so, yes. And you actually testified in that appeal in May 18 Q 19 of 2015; is that right? 20 Α Yes, I did. 21 And, ultimately, IVCA's appeal was successful; Q 22 correct? 2.3 Α Correct. 24 You may or may not recall the date, but I'll 25 suggest to you that it was December 18th, 2015. 26 Does that sound about right? 27 Α If there's evidence of that, then that's the 28 date. 29 Do you have a recollection that the decision came Q 30 out around the end of 2015? 31 Α Yes. And so the transfer of delivery allocation for 32 Q 33  $\mbox{Mr.}$  Hothi,  $\mbox{Sr.,}$  to Three Star Farms and Prokam 34 was approved in 2016. Is that your recollection 35 as well? 36 Α I know it was approved, so, yes. 37 So for the 2016/2017 growing season, Prokam had 38 delivery allocation for potatoes? 39 Α Yes. 40 Okay. And Prokam did, in fact, plant and grow Q 41 potatoes for IVCA in 2016; is that right? 42 Α 2016, yes.
- 46 A Yes. 47 Q And the acreage of potatoes that Prokam would be

potatoes that were grown by Prokam?

to Thomas Fresh and other customers those

43

44

45

Q

And do you recall that Mr. Pollock then marketed

planting in 2016 was something that you, 2 Mr. Pollock, and Mr. Dhillon would have 3 discussed; correct? 4 I think the early planting was a limited amount, 5 and, yeah. There would have been discussion, 6 yes. 7 And I'm not going to ask you for specific amounts 8 or numbers or anything like that. All I'm asking 9 is that when it came time to plan for the 10 2016/2017 growing season and determine what 11 Prokam would be planting, there was a discussion 12 that took place between the three of you? 13 Yes. Α 14 So Thomas Fresh was an IVCA customer that 15 Mr. Pollock was selling to you before Prokam was 16 growing potatoes for IVCA; is that correct? 17 Α Yes, yes. Not just potatoes, but leeks and other 18 products, yes. 19 Q And it was Mr. Pollock who had the relationship 20 with Thomas Fresh, not Mr. Dhillon; correct? 21 When it came to sales, yes. Α 22 And it would have been Mr. Pollock and you, based 0 23 on information that you received from Mr. 24 Pollock, who knew the extent of the market 25 opportunities for Prokam's potatoes; correct? 26 Yes. We would have discussed the -- that he had Α 27 potatoes, but I'm not sure entirely whether we 28 knew how many acres or tons we were going to be 29 producing, it would be just some potatoes for 30 sale. 31 In 2016, you and Mr. Pollock asked Mr. Dhillon to 32 plant potatoes in excess of Prokam's delivery 33 allocation; correct? 34 Α I would think that there was some discussion on 35 my behalf on a very low percentage of over and 36 above his DA. 37 Okay. Am I right that you and Mr. Pollock --38 well, certainly you anyway. I know you can't 39 speak for the operation of Mr. Pollock's mind, 40 but am I right that you understood that the sales 41 of potatoes to Thomas Fresh in Calgary and 42 Saskatoon would be a new market? I would understand that, yes. 43 Α 44 And do you have a recollection of understanding 45 at the time that the potatoes that IVCA would be

selling to Thomas Fresh on the prairies would

replace potatoes that Thomas Fresh would

46

planting in 2016 was something that you, 2 Mr. Pollock, and Mr. Dhillon would have 3 discussed; correct? 4 I think the early planting was a limited amount, 5 and, yeah. There would have been discussion, 6 yes. 7 And I'm not going to ask you for specific amounts 8 or numbers or anything like that. All I'm asking 9 is that when it came time to plan for the 10 2016/2017 growing season and determine what 11 Prokam would be planting, there was a discussion 12 that took place between the three of you? 13 Yes. Α 14 So Thomas Fresh was an IVCA customer that 15 Mr. Pollock was selling to you before Prokam was 16 growing potatoes for IVCA; is that correct? 17 Α Yes, yes. Not just potatoes, but leeks and other 18 products, yes. 19 Q And it was Mr. Pollock who had the relationship 20 with Thomas Fresh, not Mr. Dhillon; correct? 21 When it came to sales, yes. Α 22 And it would have been Mr. Pollock and you, based 0 23 on information that you received from Mr. 24 Pollock, who knew the extent of the market 25 opportunities for Prokam's potatoes; correct? 26 Yes. We would have discussed the -- that he had Α 27 potatoes, but I'm not sure entirely whether we 28 knew how many acres or tons we were going to be 29 producing, it would be just some potatoes for 30 sale. 31 In 2016, you and Mr. Pollock asked Mr. Dhillon to 32 plant potatoes in excess of Prokam's delivery 33 allocation; correct? 34 Α I would think that there was some discussion on 35 my behalf on a very low percentage of over and 36 above his DA. 37 Okay. Am I right that you and Mr. Pollock --38 well, certainly you anyway. I know you can't 39 speak for the operation of Mr. Pollock's mind, 40 but am I right that you understood that the sales

And do you have a recollection of understanding at the time that the potatoes that IVCA would be selling to Thomas Fresh on the prairies would replace potatoes that Thomas Fresh would

Saskatoon would be a new market? I would understand that, yes.

of potatoes to Thomas Fresh in Calgary and

41

42

43

Α

- otherwise have bought from the United States?

  A That's what I -- that's the impression I had, yes. That's what was conveyed to me, yes.
- Q And it was also conveyed to you that
  Thomas Fresh -- the reason Thomas Fresh would
  otherwise be buying potatoes from the
  United States is because Thomas Fresh refused to
  buy potatoes from BCfresh; is that right?
- A I'm not sure. I think there was a mutual agreement there -- it was not interested in selling to them, and the other was not interested in purchasing from them, so it was -- I'm not sure what was going on there, but they didn't purchase their potatoes.
- CNSL R. ANDROSOFF: Mr. Chair, on my end, Mr. Michell cut out a bit during that last answer. I wonder if we might just check with Madam Reporter whether she got all of Mr. Michell's answer.
- THE CHAIR: Do we have a full record of Mr. Michell's answer?
- THE REPORTER: I have what he said if you wanted me to read back. If there was a gap in there, then I can -- maybe he can fill it in. I can read back what I have.

## (REPORTER READS BACK)

- CNSL R. ANDROSOFF: Thank you. It might have just been cutting out on my end over here.
- Q Now, as the president of IVCA, you had access to shipment information; is that right, Mr. Michell?
- A I would have, yes. It was -- it was probably more brought to my attention than me looking for tonnage shipped, yes.
- Q And do you have a recollection in 2016 of reviewing shipment reports?
- A Yes, yes. That was brought -- that was at a meeting, yes.
- Q And in terms of approving and signing off on the pool payments, that was something that you did as president of IVCA; is that right?
- A Correct.
- Q Okay. And so in 2016, you knew that Mr. Pollock was selling Prokam potatoes to Thomas Fresh on the prairies in excess of Prokam's delivery allocation; correct?
- A Yes.

otherwise have bought from the United States? 2 That's what I -- that's the impression I had, Α 3 That's what was conveyed to me, yes. 4 Q And it was also conveyed to you that 5 Thomas Fresh -- the reason Thomas Fresh would 6 otherwise be buying potatoes from the 7 United States is because Thomas Fresh refused to 8 buy potatoes from BCfresh; is that right? 9 I'm not sure. I think there was a mutual 10 agreement there -- it was not interested in 11 selling to them, and the other was not interested 12 in purchasing from them, so it was -- I'm not 13 sure what was going on there, but they didn't 14 purchase their potatoes. 15 CNSL R. ANDROSOFF: Mr. Chair, on my end, Mr. Michell cut out a bit during that last answer. I wonder 16 17 if we might just check with Madam Reporter 18 whether she got all of Mr. Michell's answer. 19 Do we have a full record of Mr. Michell's THE CHAIR: 20 answer? 21 THE REPORTER: I have what he said if you wanted me to 22 read back. If there was a gap in there, then I can -- maybe he can fill it in. I can read back 23 24 what I have. 25

## (REPORTER READS BACK)

CNSL R. ANDROSOFF: Thank you. It might have just been cutting out on my end over here.

- Now, as the president of IVCA, you had access to shipment information; is that right, Mr. Michell?
- A I would have, yes. It was -- it was probably more brought to my attention than me looking for tonnage shipped, yes.
- Q And do you have a recollection in 2016 of reviewing shipment reports?
- A Yes, yes. That was brought -- that was at a meeting, yes.
- Q And in terms of approving and signing off on the pool payments, that was something that you did as president of IVCA; is that right?
- A Correct.
- Q Okay. And so in 2016, you knew that Mr. Pollock was selling Prokam potatoes to Thomas Fresh on the prairies in excess of Prokam's delivery allocation; correct?
- A Yes.

26

27 28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

- Like I say, I don't think I've even seen that 1 Α 2 before, but I recall that's what I'm asking 3 whether they would be in our files at our agency, 4 so --5
  - I didn't ask you whether you'd seen them. asked you when did you first become aware that these contracts had been entered into?
  - I'm not even sure I'm aware of it because we Α never had contracts before. Our agency doesn't -- we don't do contracts.
  - Your evidence is that you may be finding out for the first time today that these contracts were entered into?
  - It could be. I'd have to go back, and, like I say, that doesn't really tell too much. It's got, you know, Mr. Gill's signature on there, and a representative of Thomas Fresh, but I would have to say that I'd be surprised if I've seen those before.
  - And, again, I'm not talking about whether or when you may have seen them. But I'm going to suggest to you that you were aware, no later than July of 2017, that IVCA had entered into agreements or contracts with Thomas Fresh for the sale of Prokam grown potatoes for the 2017/2018 growing season. Do you agree with me?
  - Α Can you ask that question one more time, please.
  - Sure. I'm going to suggest to you that you were aware, no later than July of 2017, that IVCA and Thomas Fresh had entered into agreements or contracts with respect to the sale of Prokam grown potatoes to Thomas Fresh for the 2017/2018 growing season. Do you agree?
  - I would agree that I was aware that they were Α going to be interested in purchasing, but I'm not sure of the -- I'm aware of the contract.
  - Okay.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29 30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

- Α That's my recollection. Because, you know, every year we discuss on who our potential new customers are and that, but, like I say, when it comes to a contract, I'm somewhat skeptical of that.
- 43 Okay. You gave evidence earlier that when it 44 came to IVCA sales of Prokam grown potatoes to 45 Thomas Fresh on the prairies in 2016, you were 46 aware that those sales were in excess of Prokam's 47 delivery allocation; correct?

Yes. Α 2 And am I right, then, that would have been your 3 understanding and expectation that IVCA would be 4 selling Prokam potatoes to Thomas Fresh in 2017 5 at similar volumes to 2016? 6 I was aware that they were going to be -- they 7 were wanting to purchase potatoes. 8 At similar volumes as what they bought in 2016 9 from IVCA? 10 I wasn't sure of the volumes, but I would think that probably would be similar. 11 12 Okay. Now, you had a discussion with Mr. Dhillon Q 13 and Mr. Meyer at some point prior to May 31st, 14 2017, about what Prokam's acreage for the 15 2017/2018 growing season should be; correct? 16 Possibly. Α 17 You gave evidence that that conversation Q Okay. 18 occurred in 2016 between you, Mr. Pollock, and 19 Mr. Dhillon. Do you remember giving that 20 evidence? 21 Α Yes. 22 And I'm suggesting to you that a similar 23 conversation took place in 2017 at this time between you, Mr. Meyer, and Mr. Dhillon with 24 25 respect to the acreage that Prokam should plant. 26 Do you agree? 27 I would think so, and usually at -- each grower 28 would send in their planting intentions to the 29 agency. 30 Okay. Certainly, you were aware no later than Q May of 2017 of what Prokam's acreage was, planted 31 32 acreage? 33 I don't know the numbers that they had, but I Α 34 know they were planting potatoes, and we never, 35 you know, we never went there visually to see 36 what was being planted. We just took their word 37 what was being planted. 38 You had a discussion, and together, the three of 39 you decided what Prokam should plant and what 40 varieties, and how many acres for each variety? 41 I wouldn't -- I wouldn't say how many acres --Α 42 probably varieties we would discuss, but I wouldn't be privy of how many acres. 43

Okay. I'm going to take you to a document that

evidence given in this proceeding that these are

may assist in refreshing your recollection.

page 3389 of Exhibit 1. And there's been

44

45

46

1 Yes. Α 2 And am I right, then, that would have been your 3 understanding and expectation that IVCA would be 4 selling Prokam potatoes to Thomas Fresh in 2017 5 at similar volumes to 2016? 6 I was aware that they were going to be -- they 7 were wanting to purchase potatoes. 8 At similar volumes as what they bought in 2016 9 from IVCA? 10 I wasn't sure of the volumes, but I would think that probably would be similar. 11 12 Okay. Now, you had a discussion with Mr. Dhillon Q 13 and Mr. Meyer at some point prior to May 31st, 14 2017, about what Prokam's acreage for the 15 2017/2018 growing season should be; correct? 16 Possibly. Α You gave evidence that that conversation 17 Q Okay. 18 occurred in 2016 between you, Mr. Pollock, and 19 Mr. Dhillon. Do you remember giving that 20 evidence? 21 Α Yes. 22 And I'm suggesting to you that a similar 23 conversation took place in 2017 at this time between you, Mr. Meyer, and Mr. Dhillon with 24 25 respect to the acreage that Prokam should plant. 26 Do you agree? 27 I would think so, and usually at -- each grower 28 would send in their planting intentions to the 29 agency. 30 Okay. Certainly, you were aware no later than Q May of 2017 of what Prokam's acreage was, planted 31 32 acreage? 33 I don't know the numbers that they had, but I Α 34 know they were planting potatoes, and we never, 35 you know, we never went there visually to see 36 what was being planted. We just took their word 37 what was being planted. 38 You had a discussion, and together, the three of 39 you decided what Prokam should plant and what 40 varieties, and how many acres for each variety? 41 I wouldn't -- I wouldn't say how many acres --Α 42 probably varieties we would discuss, but I wouldn't be privy of how many acres. 43

Okay. I'm going to take you to a document that

evidence given in this proceeding that these are

may assist in refreshing your recollection.

page 3389 of Exhibit 1. And there's been

44

45

46

text messages between Brian Meyer and Bob Dhillon. Bob Dhillon is in the green, and 3 Brian Meyer is in the grey. Do you see this up 4 on your screen? 5 I can see that, but I'm not sure what it's 6 referring to. 7 That's okay. And I'll just help you by letting 8 you know that the evidence that's been given in 9 this proceeding is that the green is Bob Dhillon, 10 and he's messaged Mr. Meyer saying -- and this is 11 May 31st, 2017. You can see above my cursor 12 there? 13 I see that, yes. Α 14 15 Keep on the down-low acres potato I got. 16 17 And then Mr. Meyer responds: 18 19 The only people that know are me and Terry. 20 21 Do you see that? 22 I see that. Α 23 Okay. So I'm suggesting to you that you did, in 24 fact, know not just the varieties that Prokam was 25 planting, but also the acreage for each variety 26 for the 2017 and 2018 growing season. 27 Is he referring to acres? Or is he referring to 28 varieties there? I don't know. He says, "acres," here. "Acres potato I got." 29 Q 30 Acres. Okay. Yeah. I see that there, yeah. Α 31 Okay. And the reason that you did know was 32 because you and Mr. Meyer and Mr. Dhillon had a 33 conversation planning what acreage Prokam should 34 plant for that growing season; correct? 35 Yeah. But I was not sure what was followed Α 36 through on there. In acres, that is. Right. Now, as part of that discussion, do you 37 Q 38 recall that you asked Mr. Dhillon to plant 39 Kennebec potatoes? 40 Did I ask him to? I don't think I asked him to Α 41 do much of -- he would -- he would basically tell 42 us what he was intending on planting. I'm going to take you to your interview 43 Q 44 summary. The summary of the interview that you 45 had with Mr. Wittal on January 27th, 2022. 46 just put that up on the screen. It's page 5658 47 of Exhibit 1. Is that large enough for you to be

I wouldn't know whether it was the first time or not. I wouldn't know that. 3 You may not have known that, but you certainly 4 would have known sometime around this time that 5 there was now a price set for the export of 6 potatoes to the prairies? 7 I probably would have been told about it. Α 8 And you can see there's an email in Q Okay. 9 response where Bob Gill copies Brian Meyer and Bob Dhillon saying: 10 11 12 Hi, Brian, how are you going to handle this 13 new change in policy by the commission? 14 15 And then Brian Meyer responds: 16 17 We'll let you know when I know. 18 19 Do you see that? 20 Yeah. I see that, yes. Α 21 So certainly, IVCA would have known about this Q 22 change that occurred in August 2017; right? 23 Α I would think so, yes. 24 Q All right. 25 Α Obviously, the manager knew, yes. 26 Q All right. Now, sir, I want to just move 27 slightly differently, ask you slightly different 28 questions. 29 You were aware in early 2017 that Prokam did 30 not have delivery allocation for Kennebec 31 potatoes; right. I don't -- I think that I was -- I was aware. 32 33 think I was aware that they did not have delivery 34 allocation. 35 But you had a discussion with Mr. Dhillon or 36 others at Prokam to grow Kennebec potatoes on speculation that IVCA may need them to fill a 37 38 gap. Do you recall that or discussions around 39 that? 40 I think there was discussions around that. Α 41 Can you tell us, was it a discussion you had with 42 Mr. Dhillon, then? 43 I think it was a general conversation on filling Α 44 gaps on, you know, I mean, growers, you know, we 45 take risks on everything we grow, and we have, 46 you know, we produce a bit extra above our quota 47 possibly to -- if there's shortages, then we have

- it. It's entirely up to the grower. If there's not a sale for it, then they have to destroy it, so -- it would have been a general -- I think I heard later at some point that he had planted some.

  And did he discuss with you that he was going to
  - Q And did he discuss with you that he was going to plant some and seek your approval or your agreement to do so?
  - A I don't think it would be up to me to approve it or disapprove it.
  - Q Okay. But did he seek your -- if not approval, at least agreement or tacit understanding that he was growing that?
    - A Probably be an understanding that -- I would say it would be an understanding that, you know, you have to test the market, obviously, but I didn't know whether he had quota or not. I didn't really -- I didn't really look into that at all.
    - Q So do you recall any specific conversation with him, sir, as you sit here today? Do you recall any specific conversation about growing Kennebec potatoes?
    - A I don't think I heard it from him. I think Brian may have told me. I'm just -- just trying to recall.
    - Q Sure. It's a long time ago, and if you don't recall --
    - A I think the fact that -- I think the fact -- I recall that, you know, there was discussion on growing a very limited amount to test the waters, and I'm not sure whether there were -- he had purchased quota from another grower as he did with his reds and yellow and so forth.
  - Q Right.

- A I would say, there was a general discussion about it. And I, at that time, probably I wouldn't even know what time of the year that would have been, whether it was the spring, the fall before. I don't really know. Because it takes, you know, it gets seed and one thing or another, but I don't recall a planting intention of it as, you know, being sent to the office.
- Q All right. You recall being interviewed by me earlier, sir?
- 45 A Yes.
- 46 Q And I provided you with an interview report. You had a chance to review that?

A Yes.

4

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- Q And have you had a chance to look at that interview report recently, sir?
- A Not in the last week or so, no.
- 5 Q All right. But at the time, it accurately summarized our discussion?
  - A Yes.
  - Q I'm going to take you to that interview report, sir, and I'm going to take you to the first page where -- I'm going to take you to the third paragraph, it says:

Mr. Michell was asked about Prokam planting Kennebec potatoes when it did not have delivery allocation for Kennebec potatoes. His response was, he doesn't recall Prokam planted Kennebec potatoes — sorry — he does recall Prokam planted Kennebec potatoes with the risk they may not sell; however, the potatoes were planted with the view that our different producer, Three Star Farms, may not have been able to fill its DA, and thus potatoes planted by Prokam could fill the gap that Three Star may have. He acknowledged that Prokam took the risk in growing these potatoes.

2728

Sir, is that accurate? Yes, that's accurate.

29 30 Α

Q And then, sir, the next thing you say is:

31 32 33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46 47 Mr. Michell was asked about the relationship between IVCA and Prokam. His response was that IVCA had a huge difficulty dealing with Prokam, and the principal of Prokam, Mr. Dhillon. Mr. Michell's view was that through the summer of 2017, Prokam was acting inappropriately and was not providing the information required for the selling of its potatoes. IVCA tried to get Prokam to comply with the rules of the commission but felt that Prokam, in his words, ran roughshod over IVCA. Mr. Dhillon made threats of litigation and continually failed to comply with the request he made by IVCA. IVCA regularly requested information from Prokam, which Prokam refused or failed to

able to read the text on the screen? Could you make it larger? Α 3 0 I can. Let's see. This is going to be good. 4 Α That's better. 5 It is better? Okay. Just above my cursor here 6 where it says, Mr. Michell. Do you see that? 7 Α Yes. 8 It says: 0 9 10 Mr. Michell is asked about Prokam planting 11 Kennebec potatoes when it did not have a 12 delivery allocation DA for Kennebec 13 potatoes. His response is that he does 14 recall that Prokam planted Kennebec potatoes 15 with the risk that they may not be able to 16 sell. 17 18 And that's because they didn't have DA; right? 19 Yes. Α 20 IVCA had to honour delivery allocation first. 21 Producers within the agency with delivery 22 allocation, first, but if there was a customer 23 who wanted Kennebecs and there wasn't any 24 Kennebecs within the agency ready to sell, then 25 if Mr. Dhillon planted Kennebecs, then that gap 26 could be filled; right? 27 Α It could possibly be filled, yes. 28 Okay. And, yeah. It says right here: 29 30 However, the potatoes were planted with the 31 view that a different producer, Three Star 32 Farms, may not have been able to fill its 33 DA, and thus the potatoes planted by Prokam 34 could fill the gap that Three Star may have. 35 36 Do you see that? 37 Α Yes. 38 Q And where it says here, with a view, that was 39 your view; correct? 40 With the view, yeah. That would have been --41 actually, it's the view. Yeah. I'm included in 42 that. It's the view of our agency, basically. 43 Right. It would have been either your view or Q 44 Mr. Meyer's view; right? It would have been

somebody with the knowledge of what Three Star

Farms quota with respect to Kennebecs and the

quality of those Kennebecs was?

45

46

- 1 Q Right. So in order to have a discussion about what the grower is going to be planting, somebody at the agency has to be knowledgeable about what the grower's DA is?
- I suspect, yeah. I would think it's good to know that. Yeah, for sure.

  So out of the three of you, that would have been
  - Q So out of the three of you, that would have been either you or Mr. Meyer?
    - A I probably wasn't privy to all the conversations, but it would be probably a good idea to know if there's some DA that the grower has or not.
    - Q Okay. And I'm suggesting to you that you did know that at that time. You had those numbers in front of you. Do you have a recollection of that?
- 16 A No.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

21

22

23

- 17 Q Okay.
- 18 A I don't have a recollection of that. And I don't 19 have a recollection of the other variety tonnage 20 he has either, so it's -- yeah.
  - Q Regardless, in your mind at the time, Prokam was growing Kennebecs as a potential gap filler; is that right?
  - A I would think so, yes.
- 25 Q I'm going to switch to a different topic now. 26 Do you recall in early 2017, Mr. Michell, 27 attending meetings run by Mr. Solymosi.
- 28 A The meetings -- what's the year, sorry?
- 29 Q Early 2017, so I'm thinking February 2017, April 30 2017. Do you recall attending a meeting on April 5th, 2017?
- 32 A No.
- I'll suggest to you that you did attend an agency
  manager's meeting on April 5th, 2017, and that
  the reason you did so is because Mr. Meyer was
  only less than a week into his job and he didn't
  have any knowledge or experience at that point.

  Do you have a recollection of that?
- 39 A That would have been -- is that -- would have 40 been at the commission office? Or do we know 41 where that was?
- 42 Q I don't have that up right now.
- 43 A I recall going to the commission and introducing 44 Mr. Meyer, yeah, yeah. That was probably in a 45 meeting.
- 46 Q Okay. It may have been at a hotel as well?
- 47 A I don't ...

46

47

Α

discussion with Mr. Pollock about this section "Marketing Strategy"? 3 I didn't do any of that but I knew that was his 4 strategy. 5 6 And then at the appendices there's a Thomas Fresh letter of recommendation. Just scroll down to 7 that. So this -- do you recognize this letter? 8 I might have went through it, yeah. That's from Α 9 Thomas Fresh, yes. 10 Do you recall whether you had any part in 11 obtaining it from Thomas Fresh? 12 Α I don't think so. 13 All right. I want to ask you now about -- so Q 14 that was -- that licence application that I just 15 showed you was dated November 2016 and you said 16 that was created by Mr. Pollock? 17 Α Yes. 18 I want to ask you now about discussions about 19 planting intentions for 2017 and as I understood 20 your evidence from earlier those would have 21 happened sometime likely in the late part of 22 2016, but tell me what you recall about 23 discussion of planting intentions for 2017? 24 Α 2017 planting discussions were that they wanted 25 to increase volumes because the prior year we 26 didn't get to put any into storage. We ran out 27 beforehand. So discussed, you know, what they 28 would be looking for and how much of an increase. 29 Who did you have those discussions with? 30 Α That was earlier so that would have been Tom 31 Pollock. 32 Q Around what time of year? 33 Towards ends of 2016. Α 34 Okay. And we've seen some documents that 35 ultimately some Kennebecs were planted in 2017. 36 What do you recall about the planting discussions 37 about planting Kennebecs? 38 Α I was approached by the agency. They had issues 39 with the existing Kennebec grower being able to 40 get his quality into the door of a few customers 41 and they didn't want to lose the business so they 42 had asked me if I could fill that gap for him and 43 I agreed to. And who did you have those discussions with? 44

That was Terry Michell and Brian Meyers [sic].

So what about the -- we've heard about some

contracts with Thomas Fresh. Do you know

1		is late July, talking about Gaily doesn't do 20
2		pound boxes, got any extra potato boxes for
3		Gaily, that exchange.
4	А	Gaily was another grower there that did potatoes
5		and I guess he was out of boxes and they wanted a
6		couple of pallets from me.
7	Q	And then on August 1st, this is Mr. Meyer to you,
8	Z	"so how long before Kennebec so," and what was
9		that about?
10	А	He was asking me when the Kennebecs would be
11	21	ready.
12	Q	And had you spoken with Mr. Meyer before about
13	×	the Kennebecs you had on the ground?
14	А	Yeah. When we had made a plan with Brian Meyers
15	7.7	[sic] and Terry Michell.
16	Q	Okay. Now, you were asked some questions
17	¥	yesterday about receiving the cease and desist
18		order in October of 2017. What was your reaction
19		when you received the cease and desist order?
20	А	I was very surprised.
21	Q	Did you have any advance notice it was coming?
22	Ā	No.
23	Q	Had anyone from the commission spoken to you
24	×	prior to issuing the cease and desist order?
25	А	No.
26	Q	Did you have any opportunity to meet with the
27	Z	commission after it was issued?
28	А	No.
29	Q	When the cease and desist order was issued or
30	Z	when you received it, did you still have potatoes
31		left to harvest?
32	А	Yes, I did.
33	Q	And around how many?
34	Ã	Between 30 and 40 acres.
35	Q	What type of potatoes?
36	Ã	We have some yellows left and Kennebecs.
37	0	And there were some comments that were put to you
38	×	by Mr. Mitha about quality issues with potatoes
39		after October 2017. Were there quality issues
40		with potatoes that were left after October 10,
41		2017?
42	А	I think he was referring to our last shipment.
43		That was our last shipment and I think it took
44		IVCA about ten days to give us that PO and the
45		quality just deteriorated and after that we
46		didn't do anything more. We had no communication
47		with IVCA.

147 Bob Dhillon (for Appellants) In chief by Ms. Hunter

```
Α
            Yeah.
                   Sorry.
 2
            You're talking about the episode you discussed
 3
            earlier in your evidence --
 4
       Α
            Yeah.
 5
            -- about the cabbage?
       Q
 6
       Α
            Yeah.
 7
            All right. In terms of in 2017, after the cease
 8
            and desist order was delivered, did you have any
 9
            indication from the Commission that they were
10
            considering directing you to BCfresh?
11
       Α
            No.
12
       0
                   Did you have an opportunity to make
13
            submissions on that issue prior to the receipt of
14
            this decision?
15
       Α
16
            And -- and what was your reaction to that order?
       Q
17
            I thought it was ridiculous. I -- I just
18
            couldn't -- I couldn't wrap my head around it,
19
            why they would do that.
                        The next one, 48.2, "Prokam's 2017-18
20
       Q
            All right.
21
            crop year potato shipments on Kennebec potatoes
22
            and all potato exports are not to be included in
23
            the calculation of delivery allocation for the
            2018-2019 crop year." Starting with the first
24
25
            part about the Kennebec potatoes, do you know
26
            what that's all about?
27
                 We -- I had a discussion with the
28
            president, Terry Mitchell, at IVCA, and they
29
            wanted to make sure that if there was a -- a gap,
30
            which there usually was, which there always was,
31
            is because of the inconsistency of Hothi
32
            potatoes. They had already lost Sysco Victoria
33
            and Vancouver, and -- and Thomas wasn't happy
34
            either, so they wanted an alternative potato.
35
            So, they asked me to grow Kennebec potatoes for
36
            that void.
                        And -- and do you know whether any of
37
            All right.
38
            those were shipped?
            After I got the cease and desist order, I think I
39
       Α
40
            shipped one bag.
41
       Q
            All right.
42
            That's it.
       Α
43
            And, sorry, when -- when do you think you shipped
44
            that bag?
45
            Probably August. Probably in August, I would
       Α
46
            think.
47
       Q
            All right. So, sometime in the summer?
```

warning is issued to Prokam and IVCA and the warning contains five bullets: no marketing plan has been submitted by IVCA or the excess approved delivery allocation it manages; right? 5 Intentionally planting in excess of Prokam's delivery allocation will be monitored because no 7 marketing plan was submitted. Any volume 8 marketed in excess of delivery allocation for any 9 given period will require commission 10 authorization. Shipments in excess of your 11 agency's approved DA will be monitored. Et 12 cetera; right? 13 Α Yes. 14

And:

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29 30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

I told you what's going to happen if you fail to abide by general orders will result in enforcement, put both the producer licence and the marketing agency licence in jeopardy.

And carried on to talk about the consequences of noncompliance; right?

Α Yes.

- All right. So we saw earlier that in April there was a meeting -- a letter in May which set out concerns about enforcement and compliance and then you get this June letter which is addressed directly to Prokam and IVCA again raising concerns about Prokam's conduct vis-a-vis its delivery allocation and IVCA's conduct in the same regard; right?
- Α Yes.
- Q And warning of the consequences of noncompliance; right?
- Α Yes.
- Now, you'll agree with me that Prokam and IVCA didn't agree with this letter and didn't agree with the position that the commission was taking; right?
- Well, there's a string of emails that you showed Α me now and I think I was in on two emails. the other meetings I wasn't even mentioned on them. So at this point I thought my agency was doing their job and I thought everything was okay. No one put any red flags that something was going wrong.

Well, sir, let's --2 I see the concerns, right, but my agency was not 3 concerned. They didn't mention anything to me. 4 It was business as usual. 5 Go ahead and finish. I'll wait until you Sorry. 6 finish. Sorry, I shouldn't interrupt. 7 I'm done. Α 8 Okay. I guess what I'm saying is there's a 0 9 letter written where you were a recipient in May 10 where there's an overall message from the 11 commission that you better comply with the 12 commission's requirements and orders or else 13 there could be serious consequences, and you got 14 that; right? 15 I got that, and at the same time I have an agency Α looking out for my best interest and looking out 16 17 for farmers, so I thought I was in good hands. 18 Right. But you were a grower and you were also a 19 director and vice president of an agency; right? 20 Like I said earlier, right, like, there was four 21 of us in that office. They needed another 22 director so I was a director. And then in 2017 I 23 was planting potatoes and I got a phone call that 24 they wanted a meeting and I said sure. As I'm 25 planting they have this meeting and they say 26 whoever wants to put Bob as vice president. 27 said vice president? And they said yeah, we just 28 need a vice president and thinking that would be 29 a better title for you. I didn't think anything 30 of it at the time. I said it's not that I make 31 any business decisions there. You could probably 32 tell through the string of emails, and I went 33 with it. 34 But, sir, you understood that even as a producer, 35 as a grower, you are growing in a regulated 36 market system; right? 100 percent, I acknowledge that. 37 Α But, you know, the agency is taking agency fees, I'm paying my 38 39 dues, you know, apparently they have a plan so I 40 thought hey, we're in the parameters where we 41 should be and I'll let the agency take care of

Q But, sir, you were concerned about this June letter, this June 14th letter. In fact you were very concerned about it, weren't you?

A Well, I was concerned and the agency took certain

42

43 44

45

46

47

it.

A Well, I was concerned and the agency took certain steps to do that. At this time of year, like,

- you know, I'm working 4 o'clock to 11 o'clock nights, this is why I have an agency. 3
  - But you wrote a letter in response to this? Q
- 4 Well, you know, like you said, you know, I had a 5 title there and like I told you, you know, that 6 title didn't mean very much and I knew there was 7 an issue and I left it in the hands of the 8 capable agency.
- 9 Okay. And so -- but you recall writing a letter 10 in response; right?
- 11 Α The agency did. I was much too busy at that 12 time. And the agency had written a letter and 13 whoever's in the office, they looked at it, they 14 were good with it, and they needed my signature. And I said that's fine, you know. I have faith 15 16 in my agency and here you go.
- 17 Q Sir, would it be fair to say that you believed 18 the commission's allegations were not 19 substantiated?
  - Well, again, you know, IVCA had a plan. Α grower. Like, that's as far as I go, you know. Anybody wants to know how to grow potatoes, when and how to pick them, yeah, but that was it, you know. I left the plans and everything else to my agency.
    - Sir, it wasn't just that. In fact, you Q personally felt that the allegations were unsubstantiated for various reasons, right, or did you not care or did you not know?
- 30 Yeah, the allegations, yeah. You know, the Α 31 agency was exporting, you know, and they believed 32 that they could. That was --
- 33 Q I'm not talking about the agency. I want to talk 34 about what you believed about this letter. This 35 letter was written to you and you had a chance to 36 look at it?
- 37 Yeah. Α

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- Did you believe that the allegations made in this 38 Q 39 letter by the commission were unsubstantiated?
- 40 I did -- yeah. Α
- 41 Hang on. Or did you pay no attention at all and 42 let your agency deal with it?
- 43 I thought we were in our parameters and I let the Α 44 agency deal with it.
- 45 Q So you didn't have a view on it. You just let -you just left it in the hands of the agency? 46
- 47 Α Yeah because I didn't think we were doing

46

47

Α

Yes.

anything wrong. If there was a concern I'm sure 2 the agency would have brought it up with me. 3 Well, why did you think you were -- I mean, you 4 had planted significantly in excess of your 5 delivery allocation; right? 6 Α Yes. 7 And so that was certainly something that the 8 agency -- the commission had pointed out that it 9 was substantially in excess of your delivery 10 allocation; right? 11 Yeah, call it gap filling, call it what you want. Α 12 When an agency has a certain amount of growers 13 and they need product they can ask growers to 14 grow what their needs are and that's what they 15 got me to do. Well, sorry, I guess I just want to understand 16 Q what your personal perspective was or whether you 17 18 paid any attention to this letter at all at the 19 time. 20 I paid attention to it. And like I said I put it Α 21 towards capable hands of my agency. 22 In fact, sir, you took some pains to make sure 23 that the letter written in response set out your 24 position; right? 25 Α Sorry? 26 You wrote a letter in response, we know that; 27 right? 28 CNSL C. HUNTER: Mr. Mitha, I'm going to object to 29 that question because it's misleading to the 30 witness. There's materials -- there's emails in 31 the material who wrote, who drafted the letter, 32 and it was not Mr. Dhillon. 33 CNSL N. MITHA: 34 Mr. Gill drafted the letter; right? Q 35 I believe so. Somebody there did. 36 Q And you put your signature to it; right? 37 Α Yes. 38 Q And you read it before you put your signature to 39 it? 40 I went through -- I might have skimmed through Α 41 it. 42 It accurately reflected Prokam's position? Q 43 Α Yes. 44 Going to take you to page 891 which is the letter

that was written. And you will see it was sent

to the chair of BCFIRB?

- pricing so I got nothing to do with any of that. Like I said, you know -- and I'm not trying to be 3 smart or anything like that, Mr. Mitha, like, I'm 4 a grower and, you know, like I said, I'm out 5 there 4 o'clock in the morning and we're not --6 some days we're not done until ten to midnight. 7 So August was a busy time for you in the farm? Q 8 Α Oh, for sure. 9 Right. Q So even though you were getting these 10 emails what you're saying is you may not have 11 been paying a lot of attention to them? 12 No, I slowly dropped off of these emails after Α 13 This is all office. that. 14 But hang on a second here, you did -- it was Q 15 copied to you so it went to your email; right? It did, and a lot of emails get copied to me and, 16 Α 17 you know, most of the time I'm in a tractor or 18 wherever I am. If something is brought to my 19 attention I might look at it. Other than that I 20 rely on my agency. 21 Right. I understand what you're saying. You got Q 22 the email; you just may not have paid a lot of 23 attention to it; is that fair? 24 Α I might not have even looked at it. 25 Q All right. 26 Α You know, right when I look at this, it says
  - Q In any event, you will see that Mr. Meyer responds by saying "we'll let you know when I know." You see that?

"minimum pricing week." Got nothing to do with

- A Yes, and I'm not even copied in on that.
- No, you're not. I understand that. I'm going to take you to the next email exchange, which I don't think you were copied on either, I'll have a look, but you'll see that again we have an email from Mr. Solymosi talking about conference call bulk price red, yellow potatoes, the start and the end of the conference, and the meeting organizer, and includes a number of attendees; right?
- A Yes.

27

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

- 43 Q And there's a dialling number and "agenda: set bulk price in red and yellow potatoes."
- 45 A Okay.
- And then you'll see there's a response on August 8th from Mr. Gill to Mr. Solymosi saying:

1 puts the agency in non-compliance and your 2 Class 1 agency licence at risk of being 3 revoked. 4 5 Right? 6 Α Absolutely. 7 All right. And then you talk about the mandate 8 of the agencies and what you've said earlier, the 9 agencies are an extension of the Commission. You 10 then say: 11 12 I'm requesting the letter and the documents 13 to protect IVCA from the actions being taken 14 by a roque producer under IVCA control. 15 16 Now, in terms of referencing the -- requesting 17 the letter and documents, that's referencing the 18 documents you requested in your other email? 19 Α Correct. 20 Right. And then why do you refer to -- I take it Q 21 the roque producer is Prokam, that you're 22 referring to? 23 Α Correct. 24 Q And why do you refer to them as a rogue producer? 25 Because they were acting as per my general 26 manager Brian relaying to me, which I have 27 absolute trust in all my general managers to be 28 upfront and honest, that it as Prokam that was 29 putting them into non-compliance, and that he 30 wanted to regain control of the agency. 31 Would it be fair to say that at this point, you Q 32 hadn't yet had a discussion with Prokam or put 33 any of these allegations to Prokam, right? 34 Α Not yet, no. 35 Q And you then say -- you continue to say: 36 37 I believe and trust that your efforts, and 38 those of IVCA, to take corrective action on 39 the matter are genuine and in the interests

Do you see that?

marketing system.

- A Correct.
- Q You then go on to talk about -- saying, for example:

of preserving the integrity of the orderly

42 43 44

40

41

45 46

45 46

47

0

Okay.

1 and desist to Prokam, you should discuss the 2 concerns that had been raised with them? 3 Α 4 And again, I suppose -- in light of the fact that 5 you were -- you would agree the issuing of a 6 cease and desist order is a fairly drastic step? 7 Α Correct. 8 Before you took that step, why not speak to 9 Prokam to get their perspective? 10 Because the agencies -- I rely on my agency 11 manager and my agencies to perform their duties 12 as agents of the Commission. And if an agency is 13 telling me that they're not able to perform their 14 duties, and because they -- and that the cause of 15 that is because they're not able to maintain 16 control of their agency due to a producer, then I 17 didn't feel like there was an obligation to 18 inform that producer of the cease and desist 19 letters, because this was a first step in an 20 enforcement process that would lead to a show 21 cause hearing, where all the evidence and 22 information can be brought forward or reviewed. 23 Okay. I see. All right. So in other words, if 24 your manager is wrong, that can be dealt with at 25 a review. Was that your thinking? 26 Α Correct. 27 It'd be fair to say that Prokam had no idea that 28 this investigation was ongoing before the 29 issuance of the cease and desist? 30 Well, it was pretty quick when we issued this Α 31 letter, so I would not think they would be aware. 32 I want to take you to the cease and desist order. Q 33 So this is the cease and desist order that you issued. I'm just going to go to the beginning. 34 35 This is the one you issued on October the 10th 36 against Prokam, and you addressed it to Bob 37 Dhillon as the principal, do you see that? 38 Α correct. 39 Q And that's page 1165, for the record? 40 Α Correct. 41 I want to go through each one of the -- you 42 listed various compliance infractions, right? 43 Α Yes.

The first one is:

No producers shall produce or ship regulated product without delivery or production

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35 36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

Marcel Andre Solymosi Cross-exam by Cnsl C. Hunter

- 1 And that was how Mr. Meyer had described the Q 2 relationship with Prokam that morning, correct? 3 He -- he could describe as, you know, the agency Α 4 was not able to comply with his minimum pricing 5 and these were the reasons. 6 You believed when you sent this email that Prokam 7 was a rogue producer, correct? Well, I believe what Brian said, that he had a 8 Α 9
  - producer that was not complying with -- with the rules and the authority of IVCA.
  - Q My question was when you wrote this email you believed that Prokam was a rogue producer, correct?
  - A Correct.
    - Q Now, the last sentence of this email says:

I can honestly attest that the commission wants IVCA to succeed as an agency. As long as we are honest and up-front, work together in support of the orderly marketing system and request assistance when needed your agency licence is protected.

Do you see that?

- A Correct.
- Q You felt sorry for Mr. Meyer, who was in over his head?
- A No. An agency licence is protected if the agency acts as it should to comply with the general orders.
- Q Mr. Meyer had admitted that IVCA was not compliant with the general orders, correct?
- A Correct.
  - Q But you wanted to ensure that Mr. Meyer would provide you with the information you asked for so you could continue to investigate Prokam and Thomas Fresh, correct?
  - A Can you repeat that, please?
  - Q You wanted to ensure that Mr. Meyer provided you with the information you'd asked for so that you could continue to investigate Prokam and Thomas Fresh?
  - A Well, we need the facts, so we --
- 44 O Yes, but --
- 45 CNSL R. HIRA: Just a moment.
- A So we need the facts and this was -- the request is to present us with the facts. And -- and

1 desist order to Prokam? 2 Α And the cease and desist order directed authority 3 back to IVCA. 4 Prokam was your primary target in this 5 investigation, correct? 6 Α The issue was agency, why they were not -- you 7 know, agency was in non-compliance and they were pricing and why was that happening? 8 9 You relied entirely on IVCA to provide the 10 information in your investigation, correct? 11 Α Correct. 12 You did no independent investigation of your own 13 prior to issuing the cease and desist orders? 14 Α Correct. 15 And the information you received from IVCA was a Q 16 highly-curated version of events and set of 17 documents; do you agree with that? 18 Α Can you repeat, please? 19 The information you received from IVCA was a 20 highly-curated version of events and set of 21 documents; do you agree with that? 22 We had a meeting and we reviewed documents there Α 23 and the investigation was launched and was 24 ongoing and then subject to a show cause hearing. 25 So it was -- this was the start of an 26 investigation and it was by no means the end of 27 an investigation. Throughout the investigation you received 28 Q 29 documents from IVCA, correct? 30 Throughout the investigation, correct. Α 31 Q And no one else, correct? 32 Α All parties had an opportunity to submit evidence 33 for that written process that was initiated, the 34 show cause process. Evidence submitted, we did gather evidence for IVCA and all parties had an 35 36 opportunity to submit evidence as part of the 37 process. 38 There was a written show cause process where lawyers were permitted to make submissions on 39 40 behalf of their clients, correct? 41 Α That's correct. 42 But there was never any direct investigation on 43 your part of what had occurred beyond being 44 provided the information by IVCA, correct? 45 Α Correct. 46 And in the course of the 2018 appeal hearing, you

were shown that some of the documents, some of

1 minutes may be 15. 2 CNSL C. HUNTER: That's fine. 3 THE CHAIRPERSON: Ms. Hunter, let's finish up by 4 12:15. 5 CNSL C. HUNTER: All right. 6 CNSL R. HIRA: Are you okay with that? 7 I'm good, yes. 8 CNSL C. HUNTER: All right. 9 Now, when hearing counsel asked you on Wednesday 10 why you didn't approach Prokam or Thomas Fresh 11 for information prior to issuing the cease and 12 desist orders, you said you had absolute trust in 13 all of your agencies and your agency general 14 managers, correct? 15 Correct. Α 16 Do you recall that Bob Dhillon gave basically the 17 same evidence during his testimony, that he 18 trusted his agency and his agency manager to 19 comply with the general order? Correct. 20 Α 21 Do you agree with me now that Prokam -- that had 0 22 you seen some of the documents that Prokam could 23 have shown you had you asked for them, that the 24 trust you both put in Brian Meyer and Terry 25 Michell may have been misplaced? 26 Α Can you repeat that? 27 Do you agree with me now that the trust that both 28 you and Prokam put in Brian Meyer and Terry 29 Michell may have been misplaced? 30 CNSL R. HIRA: You can ask him the question with 31 respect to the trust he put, not the trust that 32 Mr. Dhillon put. 33 CNSL C. HUNTER: 34 Well, let's start with the trust you put. Do you 35 agree with me that the trust you put in Brian 36 Meyer and Terry Michell may have been misplaced? 37 At -- as of October I believe that there was a Α change in IVCA wanting to comply and bring 38 They -- there was a 39 stability to the industry. 40 change, shift in their position at that time. 41 Q The information that you had that caused you to

A That is correct.

Michell, correct?

42

43

44

45

46

47

Q And when you describe Prokam as a rogue producer,

form the view expressed in your September 27

that information came from Mr. Meyer and Mr.

email that Prokam was a rogue producer, all of

1 It was -- yeah, the cease and desist orders would Α 2 have started the investigation process. 3 But throughout the investigation process, 4 you only received information from IVCA and what 5 I'm asking is if you agree with me that you have 6 since seen documentation that shows the 7 information you received from IVCA was 8 incomplete? 9 Α Well, at least in the instance that you had shown 10 me just now, that's correct. 11 All right. I'm going to show you another 12 document and it is Exhibit 1 page 862. 13 THE CHAIRPERSON: Ms. Hunter? 14 CNSL C. HUNTER: Yes? 15 THE CHAIRPERSON: Making note of the time... 16 CNSL C. HUNTER: Oh, I'm sorry, Mr. Chair. I'm 17 actually very close to being done. I wonder if 18 -- I was hoping not to have to go into these 19 documents but I think I could be less than ten 20 I wonder if I should just finish up. minutes. 21 THE CHAIRPERSON: Then let's finish up, please. 22 CNSL C. HUNTER: Okay. 23 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. 24 CNSL C. HUNTER: All right. Thank you. 25 Mr. Solymosi, you should see on your screen 26 Exhibit 1 page 862. 27 I see that. Α 28 Q This is an email exchange between Terry Michell 29 and Bob Gill from July of 2017; you see that? 30 Α That's correct. 31 And this is not an email that was provided to you Q 32 by IVCA, correct? 33 This is related to greenhouse production. 34 don't see why it would be provided 35 [indiscernible] investigation process. 36 All right. You could see from the mark on the 37 document Prokam Thomas Fresh 01401, it was 38 produced in the 2018 appeal hearing. Yeah, correct. 39 Α 40 And the chain, moving up the chain, there's an 41 email from Ron Wittal to Bob Gill forwarding 42 something about greenhouse production allocation. 43 Mr. Gill says: 44

45 46

47

Sounds like BCVMC is setting a new precedent that we did nothing about. Why are we not on this?

And Mr. Michell:

5

4

7 8

9 10

21 22 23

25

26 27

30 31 32

35 36 37

40 41 42

43

44

45

46

47

38

39

It was only sent to the agencies approved for hothouse which [indiscernible] granted us when we applied for a licence. We have no objection if the applicant had unused DA.

And then Mr. Michell wrote this to Mr. Gill:

It's not new precedent. It's been a general order for years, I understand. There was not one done for Bob's spuds. If you read it, there is an objection to the business The commission will make the decision plan. and you know what their answer would have been, so better to do sales and prove our point before asking. We're doing a business plan. Maybe is wrong way to do it, but that's what happened. We know whatever plan was presented to commission, I sure would have been turned down. Now we have evidence Bob's potatoes do not interfere with the price or other growers' DA.

Do you see that?

- Α I see that, correct.
- And that's an email that was not provided to you 0 by IVCA as part of your investigation, correct?
- It had nothing to do with the investigation. Α
- Q Well, it makes reference to Bob's spuds, which I take it is a reference to Prokam potatoes. you agree with me?
- Α Correct.
- And Mr. Michell is indicating that he was responsible for the decision not to submit a business plan because we know that the commission would have turned it down. Do you see that?
- That's an assumption. Now, this greenhouse production allocation is a totally different Α industry. The industry is regulated. production allocation is based on a metre-square planted area versus [indiscernible] allocation is totally different. IVCA has never had a greenhouse producer under its umbrella, even though they have the authority. And so this implying that making -- using this email to imply that a different plan would never be approved is

a misconception. 2 Q Well, I'm not asking you about the greenhouse 3 aspect of this. There's a reference to -- to: 4 5 ... not submitting a marketing plan because we are sure it would have been turned down. 7 Now we have evidence Bob's potatoes do not 8 interfere with the price for other growers' 9 DA. 10 11 Do you see that? 12 Α What is the evidence? 13 Q I'm asking you if you see what Mr. Michell has 14 said to Mr. Gill. That's what it says there on the email. 15 Α 16 Mr. Michell is the president of IVCA? Q 17 Α That is correct. 18 This email chain suggests Mr. Gill is asking 19 questions about ensuring compliance and Mr. 20 Michell is saying we didn't comply on purpose, 21 correct? 22 CNSL R. HIRA: Just a moment. The email speaks for 23 itself. He hasn't seen the email. It appears 24 that he's seeing it for the first time. And how 25 can he comment beyond the words in the email? 26 I'm objecting to that question. If what you're trying to ask is you didn't receive this email as 27 28 part of your investigation, ask it, get your 29 answer and move on. 30 CNSL C. HUNTER: I think Mr. Solymosi has already 31 confirmed he didn't see this as part of his 32 investigation. 33 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: [Indiscernible]. 34 CNSL C. HUNTER: Mr. Solymosi --35 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: [Indiscernible]. 36 CNSL C. HUNTER: 37 Mr. Solymosi, do you agree with me that this 38 email was relevant to your investigation? 39 Α It does express Terry's opinion, so it should 40 have been brought forward. 41 And it casts the dynamic between Mr. Michell and 42 Mr. Gill quite differently than what you were 43 being told by IVCA, who pointed the finger at 44 Prokam, Mr. Dhillon and Mr. Gill. 45 Can you repeat that? Is that it, or...? Α It casts the dynamic between Mr. Michell and Mr. 46 47 Gill -- is there an objection?

1 CNSL R. HIRA: I'm waiting for you to finish. 2 CNSL C. HUNTER: 3 Well, I've already tried this once. 4 Reporter, perhaps you could read back the last 5 question I asked. 6 CNSL R. HIRA: The last question you asked was it 7 casts a dynamic between Mr. Michell and Mr. Gill 8 which are different --9 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Hira, I believe Ms. Hunter has 10 asked the reporter to read back the last question 11 she has on record. 12 THE RECORDING SECRETARY: And I'm sorry, Counsel, it's 13 quite an ordeal with this machine that I'm using, 14 but I do have that you would agree that it casts 15 the dynamic differently and that's my note. 16 apologize. 17 CNSL C. HUNTER: That's okay. Let me try again. 18 You received information from IVCA that pointed 19 the finger at Prokam at Mr. Dhillon and at Mr. 20 Gill and blamed them for the problems with IVCA's 21 compliance, correct? 22 What was the date of that? That was later? 23 was not in July. 24 Q I'm asking about the information you got in your 25 investigation. 26 Α Correct. 27 0 Yes. On -- in the meeting on October 3rd you 28 were told Mr. Gill is at the heart of these 29 problems, these compliance problems, correct? 30 Correct. Α And what I'm asking is whether -- if you'd seen 31 this email between Mr. Michell and Mr. Gill, the 32 33 dynamic between them might have been cast in a 34 different light than it was at the October 3rd 35 meeting? 36 It appears at this time in July that there was --Α 37 it was cast in a different light as of July 4th, 38 2017, I would agree. I would agree with -- with 39 that. 40 CNSL C. HUNTER: Yes. Mr. Chair, I see I'm at the ten

CNSL R. HIRA: Can we finish --

finish up after the break.

CNSL C. HUNTER: That's fine.

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

CNSL R. HIRA: -- because my concern is the two

minutes that I said I would be and I have

probably two minutes more, but I'm -- I am happy

if you wish to take the lunch break now, to just

concern was the need for IVCA to produce a marketing plan. Do you recall those three issues 3 being raised, sir? 4 Yes. Α 5 Okay. And I'm going to take you to -- I'm not 6 going to take you through this letter in detail, 7 but I will take you to one aspect of it. 8 could look at page 11, which is 849 of Exhibit 1. 9 It says: 10 11 Over the 2016/17 crop year, Prokam's 12 potatoes shipments were significantly 13 greater than its assigned delivery 14 allocation. 15 16 It talks about in the paragraph that's underlined 17 and highlighted: 18 19 No marketing plan was submitted by IVCA 20 requesting approval for growth and a shift 21 in potato production into A and B periods. 22 23 You see all of that; right? 24 Α Yes. 25 Just give me one moment. Just going to shut that 26 off. Okay. All right. And then it carries on 27 to say: 28 29 This behaviour is a noncompliance of the 30 general order ... 31 32 Et cetera. 33 34 You'll recall receiving this letter, sir, and 35 then you'll notice that there was a warning 36 issued in this letter to Prokam Enterprises Ltd. 37 and IVCA; right? 38 Α So it appears, yes. 39 Q All right. You may not remember now, but you 40 would have seen this at the time, of course? 41 I would have seen this, yes. All right. And one key issue certainly that's Α 42 43 been raised in this letter is the failure of IVCA 44 to produce a marketing plan; right? 45 Α That's -- yes. There was a marketing plan sent, and I don't know the dates. There was a full 46

marking plan sent, I think the year previous.

- Q Right. And that was one of the issues that was in dispute, which is that IVCA took the view that a marketing plan had been sent the previous year, and therefore, it had complied. And the commission was saying, well, no, that wasn't sufficient. That was the dispute between the parties at the time; right?
  - A Correct.

- Q Now, this letter was sent June 14th, 2017. I want to take you to the next document, and it is number 862 of Exhibit 1, and there's an email on July 4th, so this would have been, you know, just under a month later, three weeks later. You sent an email to Mr. Bob Gill. Now, Mr. Bob Gill, of course, worked for IVCA, but he was also associated with Prokam; right?
- A Yes. He was -- yeah. He was associated with Prokam, yes, sir.
- Q And you say to Mr. Gill:

It's not new precedent. It's been in general orders for years. I understand.

And in the middle there, where my cursor is, you say:

So better to do sales, improve our point before asking or doing a business plan. Maybe is wrong way to do it, but that's what happened. We know whatever plan was presented to the commission, I sure would have been turned down.

So fair enough to say that you're -- you're skeptical about what the commission would approve a plan even if you provided them a plan; is that fair?

- A Let's see. Let me just review that.
- Q Sure.
- A I'm sure that in the plan, it didn't, you know, the original plan, it didn't identify growers, it was just one that was put together by our -- one of our previous managers Tom. And in their -- just trying to think. Yeah. It had -- it had growth expectations generally in there, as far as I can recall. But I haven't read it for probably five or six years, five years or something.

- 1 Q For some reason, I have it in my head that it was 2 the last meeting at a particular hotel before it 3 was demolished, but I may be inventing that. 4 A regulated commission meeting?
- 5 Q Right. 6 A An ann

17

18

19

24

25

26

28

29

30

31

37

38

- A An annual general meeting, possibly.
- 7 Q Okay. You have a recollection of attending that meeting?
- 9 A We would go to the annual general meeting, 10 possibly, yeah.
- Okay. So you have a recollection of going to such a meeting in early 2017 to the first quarter?
- 14 A I'd have to view the details whether we attended or not.
  - Q Okay. Do you recall that one of the things that you became aware that the commission was requiring in early 2017 was that storage crop agency submit marketing plans?
- 20 A I'm not sure. I know we put the marketing plan 21 in together with Mr. Pollock, but after that, I'm 22 not clear on that. No, sorry. 23 O Okav. So the marketing plan that you're
  - Q Okay. So the marketing plan that you're referring to is the November 2016 agency reapplication document that we had gone through earlier; is that right?
- 27 A Yes. That's what I recall, yeah.
  - Q What I'm suggesting to you is that in early 2017, the commission was saying to all the storage crop agencies, we need new marketing plans. Do you recall that Mr. Solymosi was saying that?
- 32 A I think I recall something along those lines.
- Okay. But you were of the view at the time that IVCA had just submitted a marketing plan and nothing had changed; right?
- 36 A Okay. Yes, I recall that.
  - Q And so there was no need to submit a new marketing plan. It was the same as the one that you had submitted in November 2016?
- 40 A I'm not sure whether the same marketing plan was 41 sent or what was sent.
- 42 Q But you do recall being of the view that there 43 shouldn't be a requirement to send another 44 marketing plan so soon after the last one had 45 been sent?
- 46 A I think I recall that, yeah.
- 47 Q Now, on June 14th, 2017, the commission delivered

Yeah. That's November 2016 if that helps. Α Okay. 3 So that would be -- that would be C period, 4 wouldn't it? 5 That would have been -- sorry, can you say that Α 6 again. 7 November isn't A or B period, is it? Q 8 Α No. 9 0 Okay. And the decision not to submit a marketing 10 plan in 2016, that was a decision that you had 11 made; correct? 12 That I had made? Α 13 Q Yes. You or -- you and Mr. Pollock together for 14 the 2016/2017 crop year. 15 I'm not sure. Α That's okay. 16 Q 17 Α I can't decide who made that decision. 18 can't remember who made that decision. 19 see. I'm just trying to recall. I don't know 20 whether one was sent or not. I wouldn't know. 21 can't recall. 22 That's okay. What I'm going to do to assist with 23 your recollection is take you to an email that Mr. Mitha took you to, an email chain. And the was at page 862 of Exhibit 1. And this is an 24 And that 25 26 email chain, at least the top email is, between 27 only Bob Gill and you; correct? 28 Α Okay. 29 And you're saying to Mr. Gill: 0 30 31 It's not new precedent. It's been in 32 general orders for years. I understand 33 there was not one done for Bob Spuds if you 34 read it. If there is objection to the 35 business plan, the commission will make the 36 decision, and you know what their answer 37 would have been. So better to do sales and 38 prove our point before asking or doing a 39 business plan. Maybe is wrong way to do it, 40 but that's what happened. We know whatever 41 plan was presented to commission, I sure

would have been turned down. Now we have

evidence Bob's potatoes do not interfere

with the price or others growers' DA.

Do you see that? A I see that, yeah.

42

43

44

45 46

Terrence Michell (a witness)
Cross-exam by Cnsl R. Androsoff

And so when you say -- this is July 2017; 2 correct? 3 Α Yes, okay. 4 Q You gave evidence that potatoes are harvested in 5 August and September, generally; right? 6 Let's see. Early, say, start in late June -- no, Α 7 sometimes they're earlier than that. 8 Okay. But as at July 4th, 2017, IVCA would not Q 9 have had sales to prove its point of Prokam grown 10 potatoes planted in 2017 yet; right? Even the 11 earliest would have only been out of the ground 12 maybe a week or two prior? 13 Depends on the year because they start digging Α early, early reds in mid June. 14 Right. What I'm going to suggest to you is that 15 Q 16 when you said: 17 18 Better to do sales and prove our point 19 before asking or doing a business plan, 20 21 And then later: 22 23 Now we have evidence Bob's potatoes do not 24 interfere with the price or other growers' 25 DA. 26 27 You're actually referring to the 2016/2017 crop 28 year there; right? 29 Yeah. Because we don't -- like, you know, the 30 record there for the percentage of sales and things, we don't see that until, you know, months 31 32 after they're sold probably. On our weekly or 33 our monthly statements, we would have seen it 34 paying to the grower, but ... 35 Right. 36 Α Yeah. 37 And so -- and Mr. Gill, two emails down in the 38 chain, is saying: 39 40 Sounds like BCVMC is setting a new precedent 41 that we did nothing about. Why are we not 42 on this? 43 44 Do you see that? 45 Α I see that, but I'm reading the paragraph before 46 that. Just one moment, please. 47 Q Sure.

42

43

44

45

46

47

I'm not sure how that -- because that has to be with hothouses. 3 Okay. What you're communicating with Mr. Gill in 4 the top email here is that not only was there no 5 marketing plan submitted to the commission in respect of Prokam grown potatoes for the 7 2016/2017 crop year, but that it was your decision; right? You were the one who decided 8 9 not to submit a marketing plan. 10 Α I don't think that was in my decision. There 11 just was not one done. 12 Q Okay. Certainly you were aware of it. 13 It looks like I was. Α 14 And as president of IVCA, you had the ability to cause the agency to submit a marketing plan; 15 16 17 Α If we had been asked for one, I'm sure there 18 would have been done, yes. 19 You say here: 20 21 There was not one done for Bob Spuds. 22 23 And then later you say: 24 25 You know what their answer would have been, 26 so better to do sales and prove our point 27 before asking or doing a business plan. Maybe is wrong way to do it, but that's what 28 29 happened. We know --30 31 That's what -- exactly, okay. So that was over 32 and above his DA, and this is after the fact as 33 you can read in that paragraph. It may not be 34 the right way to do it, but it's what's happened 35 after the fact, so ... 36 Right. 37 Α At that paragraph, it looks like I'm referring to 38 he planted a lot more than his DA. 39 Q Right. And you can appreciate, Mr. Michell, I'm 40 asking you this. It was alleged in a cease and

desist orders that Mr. Gill put IVCA into

noncompliance, and what I'm suggesting to you is,

it wasn't Mr. Gill who put IVCA in noncompliance,

submitting a marketing plan when you knew that it

it was you. And it was in 2016 before Mr. Gill

was the wrong way to do it. Do you agree with

was even hired, and it was in respect of not

me? 2 Well, in this paragraph, it's after the fact, so 3 I would say that that was ... 4 Q When it says here: 5 6 Better to do sales than prove our point 7 before asking or doing a business plan. 8 Maybe is wrong way to do it, but that's what 9 happened. We know whatever plan was 10 presented to commission, I sure would have 11 been turned down. 12 13 That's not after the fact reasoning. That's the 14 rationale that you had at the time; right, in 15 2016? 16 Well, I think that -- this is after the fact Α 17 because they had records of -- he shipped a lot 18 more potatoes than he had DA for, which I don't 19 track DA. That was the manager, would have been 20 Tom Pollock at the time. 21 And now, in 2017, we have the commission again 22 asking for a marketing plan; correct? 23 Α 24 And in the face of this letter saying IVCA was in 25 noncompliance of 2016 for failing to issue a 26 marketing plan to the commission, and the 27 commission now asking one for 2017, the view that 28 you're taking is, no, they already have our 29 marketing plan. We're not going to submit 30 another one; right? Well, I'm not sure whether the original that I 31 32 thought -- I'm in the thinking that the same one 33 was just sent again because we had no new 34 marketing plan. It was just the existing plan. 35 Right. And so you were disagreeing with 36 Mr. Solymosi that a new marketing plan was required; right? Your regulator is telling you 37 38 you need to submit a marketing plan, and you're 39 saying, no, we don't. We already submitted one; 40 correct? 41 That could have been between the manager and Α 42 myself -- or not the manager and myself, the 43 manager and Mr. Solymosi at the time. I don't 44 think I had any discussion with Mr. Solymosi

myself on that unless you can -- you got

something to recollect my mind, but -- because

we'd spent a lot of time and money on our --

45

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35 36

37

38

39 40

41

42

43

Terrence Michell (a witness) Cross-exam by Cnsl R. Androsoff

> recall that the original one that was submitted. We'd spent a lot of time and money on it and, basically, you know, that was our existing plan. We didn't have no changes, and I'm not sure what -- whether it was resent, or an email was sent that we have a plan, and nothing's changed. I wouldn't know whether that was done. But we had a very expensive plan, and a lot of time put into it, and that's what we were kind of going with.

- Understood. And what you've just explained now, that was your view and your position at the time; right?
- That was the view that we wouldn't submit a new Α plan that had anything different than the one previous to that was, and I'm not sure whether they just accepted the previous one or we re-sent the exact same one over again. I don't know.
- Now, I'm going to take you to another email Q chain, and this is at pages 3192 to 3194. And as email chains do, the first email begins -- the first in time begins at the bottom of the chain. Do you see that up on your screen there?
- Α
- Right where your -- yeah, yeah. So at the bottom of page 3193 and continuing over to 3194, it's an email from Brian Meyer to Andre Solymosi, and you're copied; correct?
- Okay. Yeah. Α Mr. Will [sic] is copied, and the date is 0 June 26th, 2017, which is after the June 14th, 2017 letter that we've just been looking at; right?
- Correct. Α
  - Okay. And Mr. Meyer says:

We have been trying to put a business plan together but are unsure of what you were looking for.

Now, let's pause. That wasn't true; right?

- Well, that was in his words. Α That's contrary to the evidence that you just gave; correct?
- 44 I'm sure that's just what Brian was asking Α 45 whether we just resend what we already sent them, or I'm not sure what he's asking him there. 46 47 it a response to that email?

20 Bob Gill (a witness) In chief by Cnsl R. Androsoff

47

CNSL R. ANDROSOFF:

happening in this email chain. 2 So this is, as I was saying, me initiating the Α 3 conversation, reaching out to Mr. Meyer, being 4 proactive here regarding the certificate of 5 authority to ensure that I have it in place, That's it, basically. right. 7 And did you have a sense or an opinion at the 8 time of how Mr. Meyer was approaching this issue 9 and whether that approach was good or bad? 10 Α Well, I didn't have that much communication back from him regarding this issue, so it was just me 11 12 that kept following up to get a status of where 13 we were at. 14 All right. On page 860, you say: 15 16 I realize we sent him questions and are 17 awaiting a response. 18 19 And I think your evidence was that the "him" is a 20 reference to Mr. Solymosi; is that right? 21 I believe that was in relation to the Yes. Α 22 marketing plan, that particular email. 23 Q I'm going to take you, Mr. Gill, to page 3192 -pages 3192 through 3194 of Exhibit 1, and I'll 24 25 just scroll down again to the last page, 3194, 26 and the bottom of 3193, and I'll give you a 27 moment to review. 28 Okay. Okay. Okay. Α Okay. 29 Can you describe this document for the review Q panel? 30 31 So this is, I quess, the response to my question 32 about the marketing plan. Again, this was -- I 33 believe this was around the time where the 34 commission letter came out and I came to the 35 situation -- came to the conclusion of why are we 36 not submitting it. So this is me bringing it to 37 the attention of the agency here in terms of what 38 is the plan here. And then that's Mr. Meyer 39 confirming to me that he's going to involve Ron 40 and myself and himself to tackle this situation. 41 THE REGISTRAR: Sorry, Mr. Gill. You're dropping your 42 voice again. Sorry to keep interrupting. 43 THE WITNESS: So at the top here, it's Mr. Meyer 44 confirming that Ron Wittal, myself, and himself 45 were going to be tasked to put this delivery --46 sorry, this marketing plan together.

- Now, we heard evidence yesterday, Mr. Gill, that IVCA took the position in response to the commission's request in 2017 for a marketing plan that it had already submitted a marketing plan in November 2016. Was that something that you knew at the time you wrote this email to Brian Meyer on June 29th, 2017?
  - A Yes, I did. I had heard that from Mr. Michell prior to this email and then, you know, again, I was new there and I had seen the emails from the commission regarding a marketing plan. And this is just me starting discussion in terms of how we deal with this. It seems like here that Mr. Meyer is relying on Mr. Wittal here, and nothing ever happened as a result of this. And the consensus is -- well, to my understanding, is that the reliance was still placed on the marketing plan from 2016.
- 19 Q And you mentioned that you had heard that from 20 Mr. Michell. Did you hear that from anyone else 21 at IVCA as well?
  - A No, I heard it from Mr. Michell originally. That's all I recall.
    - Q I'm going to go back to Exhibit 14, which was marked this morning, and I'm going to scroll ahead to page 3 of that exhibit. Do you see that up on your screen, Mr. Gill?
- 28 A I do.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

22

23

24

25

26

27

- Q Okay. And the top email in the chain, again, it's from you to Ms. Solotski dated November 7th. I take it that you didn't send that email at that time.
  - A No, that's not me.
- Q Okay. Second email in the chain is dated July 3rd, 2017. I'll give you a moment to review it, and then I'll scroll down to what appears to be the attachment.
- 38 A Okay.
- 39 Q I'm on page 4 and I'll -- it's a little small, so 40 I'm going to try and blow it up here. Now it's a 41 little large. Can you read that, Mr. Gill?
- 42 A I can, yes.
- 43 Q Let me know when I can scroll down.
- 44 A Okay. Okay. Okay. Okay. Okay. Okay.
- 45 Q All right. So I've just taken you through 46 pages 3 through 7 of Exhibit 14. Now, the 47 attachment is a letter dated May 18th, 2017, from

recall that the original one that was submitted. We'd spent a lot of time and money on it and, basically, you know, that was our existing plan. We didn't have no changes, and I'm not sure what -- whether it was resent, or an email was sent that we have a plan, and nothing's changed. I wouldn't know whether that was done. But we had a very expensive plan, and a lot of time put into it, and that's what we were kind of going with.

- Understood. And what you've just explained now, that was your view and your position at the time; right?
- That was the view that we wouldn't submit a new Α plan that had anything different than the one previous to that was, and I'm not sure whether they just accepted the previous one or we re-sent the exact same one over again. I don't know.
- Now, I'm going to take you to another email Q chain, and this is at pages 3192 to 3194. And as email chains do, the first email begins -- the first in time begins at the bottom of the chain. Do you see that up on your screen there?
- Right where your -- yeah, yeah. Α
- So at the bottom of page 3193 and continuing over to 3194, it's an email from Brian Meyer to Andre Solymosi, and you're copied; correct?
- Yeah. Α Okay. 0
- Mr. Will [sic] is copied, and the date is June 26th, 2017, which is after the June 14th, 2017 letter that we've just been looking at; right?
- Correct. Α

5

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

29

30 31

32

33

34

35 36

37

38

39 40

41

Okay. And Mr. Meyer says:

We have been trying to put a business plan together but are unsure of what you were looking for.

Now, let's pause. That wasn't true; right?

- Well, that was in his words. Α
- 42 That's contrary to the evidence that you just gave; correct? 43
- 44 Α I'm sure that's just what Brian was asking 45 whether we just resend what we already sent them, or I'm not sure what he's asking him there. 46 47 it a response to that email?

Q He says: 2 3 We have been trying to put a business plan 4 together. 5 6 But your evidence, just now, was that your 7 position, as the president of IVCA, was that IVCA 8 didn't need to submit another business plan or a 9 marketing plan. It had already submitted one. 10 Α Yes, we did. But I'm not sure whether they're asking us for a -- let's see here. What they're 11 12 looking for, there's a good question. What are 13 you looking for when we already put one in the 14 year previous. I think that's his question. That's only -- I'm thinking. I'm not saying 15 that's what was in his mind, but --16 17 Q Right. And I'm not asking you, just to be clear, 18 to speculate what was in Mr. Meyer's mind. 19 just looking at the words on the page here and 20 the email that he wrote. It says: 21 22 We have been trying to put a business plan 23 together. 24 25 And what I'm saying to you is, you knew; you know 26 now, that at the time, that wasn't true. 27 Α Well, we had a business plan. 28 Right. Exactly. And it had already been 29 submitted. That was your view; right? 30 Α Yeah. 31 You weren't trying to put a business plan 32 together. It had already been submitted? 33 Α Correct. 34 And so there's some -- there appears to be some 35 sort of disconnect between you and Mr. Meyer 36 here. 37 It appears that way. So I'm not sure what he's Α 38 asking there. 39 Q Right. Either the right hand doesn't know what 40 the left hand is doing, as between you and 41 Mr. Meyer, or Mr. Meyer is not being truthful 42 with Mr. Solymosi. Do you agree with me? 43 Well, left hand/right hand, that's only -- like I Α 44 say, I'm not -- I wasn't the manager, so what 45 goes on between the manager and Mr. Solymosi

is -- you know, I don't see everything, but I was

CC'ed on this, and I'm not sure what the end

46

commission, which letter? 2 The one dated June 14th, 2017, from Mr. Solymosi 3 alleging that IVCA and Prokam had been in 4 violation of the requirements to submit a 5 marketing plan in respect of the 2016 and 2017 6 growing season. 7 Yeah, that's fine. Α 8 Okay. And this is the first draft of the letter 0 9 that Mr. Gill produced as you instructed him. 10 you agree? 11 Α If I instructed him or -- or ... 12 Can you read that? Q 13 Α Can you scroll back up, please? 14 Do you want to see the first page again? 15 Α That would be great, yeah. Okay, yeah. Yeah. 16 Go ahead, yeah. 17 Q Okay. So you agree that you instructed Mr. Gill 18 to draft a letter and you agree that this is the 19 letter that he drafted; right? 20 This is the letter he drafted and it would be Α 21 between probably not -- just myself. It was sent 22 to me obviously but, yeah. 23 Right. Now, you can take a couple of times to --24 the final version of the letter which was dated 25 July 10th, 2017; right? 26 Α Okay. 27 Q That one that bears your signature? 28 Okay. Α 29 And it's been suggested to you that it was -- by 30 Mr. Hira that the tone of it was incendiary. 31 vou recall that? 32 Α Well, one sentence I think it was. 33 Right. And that letter was the product of a 34 meeting that was held at IVCA office between the 35 directors of IVCA, Mr. Wittal, Mr. Meyer, and 36 The final version of the Mr. Gill; correct? 37 letter, the quote/unquote incendiary version? Okay. 38 Α 39 Q Now, this first draft, I'm going to suggest to 40 you is the product only of Mr. Gill's imagination 41 and endeavour. Do you agree or disagree? 42 It was from him. I'm not sure it was only his. Α 43 I can't answer that for sure. I'm not sure. It 44 came from him but I'm not sure whether it was 45 only his -- his writing, I don't know. 46 Q And you have no information to suggest that 47 anyone else other than Mr. Gill had been put into

this first draft? 2 I wouldn't have information on that, no. Α 3 Okay. And having read through the entire letter, 4 do you agree with me that the tone of it is much 5 more conciliatory than the final version of the 6 letter that was sent out and signed by you, dated 7 July 10, 2017; correct? 8 It was obviously changed. Can we go back to the Α 9 original one that was sent, please? 10 Yes. I would like to but just before we do, I 11 would like to get your evidence as to whether you 12 agree with me that the tone of this is very 13 non-confrontational. This first draft? 14 I would say that it's non-confrontational, I 15 would say. 16 Okay. Now, I'll take you to Exhibit 1, and I Q 17 believe I want to be on page 882. I do. Now, do 18 you see an email exchange consisting of two 19 emails dated July 7, 2017? 20 Terry Michell, Brian Meyer, Barb Dhillon, Ron Α 21 Wittal. Is that what you want me to read? 22 Yes. And I'll just suggest to you that although 23 it says, Barb Dhillon, that this is the Prokam email address, for what it's worth, but this 24 email here from Bob Gill to yourself, Mr. Meyer, 25 26 I'll suggest to you Mr. Dhillon, and Mr. Wittal 27 says: 28 29 Good afternoon, gentleman, please find 30 attached the letter as requested. 31 32 Do you see that? 33 Α Okay. 34 And what follows is a revised draft of the letter 35 that's responsive to the June 14, 2017 commission 36 letter; right? 37 Α Okay. 38 Q And I'm going to suggest to you that this revised 39 draft and I'm just scrolling through it here, is 40 the product of the discussion that took place at the meeting at IVCA office. You agree? 41 42 If that's minutes of a meeting of the discussion, Α 43 if that's what it says, I would have to read it 44 from one end to the other. But it looks as 45 though possibly it would have been. 46 Q No. These aren't minutes of a meeting, this is a

draft letter. And I'll suggest to you it's

THE CHAIR: Ms. Anderson, do you have that. Thank you. Yes, I do. THE REGISTRAR: Thanks. 3 4 EXHIBIT 14: February 7, 2022 letter from 5 Ravi Hira enclosing two documents from May and June 2017 7 8 CNSL R. ANDROSOFF: 9 Now, Mr. Gill, do you see on your screen there a 10 document with page number 1 in the top right 11 corner? 12 Yes. Α 13 You do see that? Q 14 Α Okay. Now, the top email in this chain appears 15 16 to be from you to Ms. Solotski, and the date is 17 November 7th, 2017. Do you see that? 18 Α I do, yes. 19 Did you send that email? 20 My access would have been removed on Α 21 October 10th. That's when the cease and desist 22 orders were issued. 23 The next email down in the chain appears to be 24 one dated June 22nd, 2017, from you to 25 Mr. Michell. Do you see that? 26 Α I do, yes. 27 And this is eight days after the commission's 28 letter to IVCA and Prokam dated June 14th; 29 correct? 30 Correct. Α 31 And before I come back to this email here, I'll 32 just take you to what appears to be the attachment on page 2 of Exhibit 14. And I'll 33 34 give you a moment to review this letter. Let me 35 know when I can scroll down so you can see the 36 rest of it. 37 Okay. Okay. Α 38 Q Do you have a recollection, Mr. Gill, of drafting 39 this letter? 40 I do have a recollection. This was a first 41 attempt at responding to the commission letter in 42 question here. All right. And just going back a page to page 1, 43 Q 44 in your email to Mr. Michell, you say: 45 46 Hi Terry, I have attached a rough draft with

some points. Let me know what you think.

19 Bob Gill (a witness) In chief by Cnsl R. Androsoff

46

47

Α

Q

Okay. Okay.

Thank you. Bob. 2 3 Do you see that? 4 I do. Α 5 Why is it that you're forwarding this draft 6 letter to Mr. Michell? 7 Α So this was a task that was put on me to create a 8 letter, a response, and this is just me giving 9 communications of where I was at just to generate 10 some discussions on -- get some assistance on how 11 to put the letter together. Just looking for 12 some feedback. 13 All right. I'm going to stop sharing that Q 14 document and go back to Exhibit 1. Mr. Gill, do 15 you see a page with 856 on the top right corner 16 on your screen? 17 Α Yes. 18 And so this is a two-page email chain. 19 I'll do is I'll go to the last page and give you 20 a moment to review, and let me know when I can 21 scroll up. 22 Α Okay. Okay. Okay. 23 Q So you can see, Mr. Gill, this is an email chain 24 originally between Mr. Solymosi, Mr. Meyer, 25 Mr. Michell and Nadia Peterson, who I understand 26 is at the commission. And then, you're copied on 27 the top email from Mr. Meyer to Mr. Solymosi. 28 you see that? 29 Α I do. 30 What can you tell the review panel about Q Okay. 31 this document? 32 Α This was the issue that arose where I was asking 33 Mr. Meyer about my certificate of authority to 34 issue transport orders. This was a situation 35 where I was -- I reached out to Mr. Meyer 36 originally asking him how this would have 37 happened, like, him and I were hired on the same 38 day. And he basically said to me that it was his 39 oversight and this looks like an email where he's 40 reaching out to the commission to correct that. 41 Okay. I'm going to move ahead, now, to page 858 Q of Exhibit 1, and this is a three-page email 42 43 chain. And I'll do the same thing, Mr. Gill. 44 I'll start on page 860 and then work my way up 45 and give you a moment to review it. Okay.

Can you describe for the review panel what's

of BCfresh; correct? Not entirely just BCfresh, it would be other 2 3 agencies also because at the time we were working 4 with -- trying to amalgamate with the island 5 fellows. It may not be just BCfresh. 6 Sure. So I'm going to take you to your interview 7 summary at page 5658. You see this is the 8 interview report we were looking at earlier? 9 Α Okay. 10 And on page 5659: 11 12 Mr. Michell is asked about his observations 13 and knowledge about the degree of 14 cooperation between BCfresh and the 15 commission and whether the commission 16 attempted to maintain BCfresh's dominant 17 position. 18 19 And over the page to 5660: 20 21 Mr. Michell stated that he did feel at times 22 BCfresh acted like a bully and that he felt 23 that the commission was biased towards 24 BCfresh. 25 Α Okay. 26 And that's what you said to Mr. Mitha during your 0 27 interview; correct? 28 And then, however. Α 29 Right. You later say that: 0 30 31 In more recent years --32 33 Yeah. Α 34 Q 35 -- you feel that BCfresh's conduct and the 36 commission's conduct towards BCfresh in the 37 last few years has been a significant change 38 from what it was previously. 39 40 Α Yes. 41 Correct? Yeah. 42 There's a little bit that may not be there and we Α 43 contributed that to our -- our management. 44 Q I'm going to go back to the letter. 45 Α Yes. The bottom of page 892 of Exhibit 1. 46 Q 47 Α Okay.

Q You see it says: 2 3 The following is a situation that occurred 4 during the month of June. Please note this 5 is not an isolated incident. 6 7 And over the page to page 893: 8 9 At present, we have a grower with a 10 significant amount of cabbage available. 11 12 Do you see that? 13 Yes. Α 14 15 16 In the past, IVCA had no cabbage. Purchased 17 from a larger agency, BCfresh. We paid the 18 price. Later, BCfresh had no cabbage and 19 when asked what price they would pay, were 20 told, the same price you sold us the cabbage 21 last week. 22 23 Do you see that? 24 Α Yeah. I see that. 25 To which the agency BCfresh replied: 26 27 We won't buy it at that price because we can 28 always buy it cheaper from California. 29 30 Right? 31 Α Yes. 32 And the reference to that cabbage grower, that's you; right? Michell Farms? 33 34 That was referring directly to my situation, Α 35 yeah. Right. And so I'm suggesting to you that the 36 37 source of this information in the letter is you; 38 correct? 39 Α That was me speaking on one incident that we had, 40 yes. 41 Okay. And then --42 I think it builds on to say that it was corrected Α 43 which we read earlier, expeditedly [sic], once 44 the management got in -- was aware of it. 45 that's in my own -- my own experience with that. Which is fine. That's all well and good. All I 46 47 asked you is that you were the source of the

information and your answer was that you were. 2 Α Okay. 3 Further down the page here, 893. Okay. 4 above my cursor, it says, you see: 5 6 IVCA has a major Canadian client who wants 7 IVCA to supply to them with regulated 8 product. The client wants to reduce how 9 much it spends in the US and does not want 10 to import from the US, especially when it 11 will benefit IVCA directly. We represent 12 Canadian BC growers. 13 14 Do you see that? Yes, I see that. 15 Α And I'm going to suggest the source of that 16 17 information was, again, you; right? 18 I would think -- let's see. Yeah, that 19 probably -- sounds like a statement that I would 20 make. 21 Q Okay. And on to page 894. 22 Α Okay. 23 And here Thomas Fresh is mentioned by name on Q 24 page 3 of your letter: 25 26 Members on the commission accused the IVCA 27 only selling Prokam's product and that in 28 the case of Thomas Fresh, large Alberta 29 produce buyer. No other potatoes were sold 30 This is an honest sale to them. 31 relationship as in the past. Thomas Fresh 32 tried several shipments of potatoes from 33 Three Star Farms which are repeatedly 34 rejected for poor quality. Daily farms has 35 steadily reduced its acres of planted 36 potatoes over the past years --37 38 Et cetera, et cetera. And I'm going to suggest, 39 again, that the source of that information was 40 you; right? 41 That would have been, yeah, that probably would Α 42 be not just me but others of our agency also. 43 Right. Well, you gave evidence when we were Q 44 discussing Prokam's attempt to join IVCA about

the history of Thomas Fresh purchasing from IVCA

Three Star Farms product and it not being of

satisfactory quality; right?

45

46

46

47

Terrence Michell (a witness)
Cross-exam by Cnsl R. Androsoff

That's correct, yeah. Α 2 And your evidence was that that was something you 3 and Mr. Pollock discussed at the time? 4 Α Yes. We would have discussed that, yes. 5 Mr. Pollock wasn't at this meeting in 2017? 6 Α Okay. 7 And so the source of that information must have 8 been you? 9 Α That was -- that was -- I can't say if it's mine 10 entirely, but I would be part of that, yes. Okay. And then at the bottom of the page, 11 12 IVCA's -- and this is page 894, right above my 13 cursor: 14 15 IVCA's understanding is that the new 16 relicensing policy implemented by the 17 commission requires part of it a marketing 18 plan. Ours was approved on January 16, 19 2017. It clearly stated our plans to grow 20 the interest of the agency and source 21 business from neighbouring provinces. 22 is in compliance with BCFIRB and the 2.3 commission's mission statement. 24 25 Do you see that? 26 Yes. Α 27 And we went over that at length before lunch and 28 the source of that information and belief was 29 you; right? 30 Well, that is a mission statement, so I'm sure Α 31 you can find it in their statement. Right. Well, the only people who presented that November 2016 marketing plan to the commission 32 33 34 were you and Mr. Pollock; right? 35 Yes. Α 36 And since he wasn't at this meeting, the source 37 of this information and belief must have been 38 you? 39 Α Well, I'm not sure if this is actually from the 40 meeting or is this from our planting intentions. 41 This statement is -- who's this -- who's this 42 surmised -- or -- who did this -- did this 43 surmising of the meeting. 44 Yes, so you've already given evidence that this

final version of the letter was the product of

discussion that took place at a meeting at IVCA

head office between you, the other directors of

Terrence Michell (a witness)
Cross-exam by Cnsl R. Androsoff

IVCA, Mr. Wittal, Mr. Meyer, and Mr. Gill; right? 2 Α Okay, yeah. 3 And what happened is that after that discussion, 4 Mr. Gill went away and revised the letter and 5 what he came up with was the July 7th draft that 6 I took you to earlier; right? 7 Α 8 Q The one that Mr. Meyer apparently read and said, 9 "outstanding, well done," five exclamation marks; right? We saw that in the email? 10 11 I didn't read that part, but if it's in there, Α 12 it's in there. 13 That's okay. So what I'm suggesting to you is 14 that all of the information in this letter must 15 have been sourced from the discussion at the 16 meeting? 17 Α I would -- I would guess if it's signed off on. 18 And because Mr. Pollock wasn't at this meeting 19 the source of that particular information about IVCA's belief that they already submitted a 20 21 marketing plan was you? 22 That was our argument that we already submitted Α one the year before, yes. 23 24 Is the answer to my question yes or no? 25 Α Repeat the question? 26 Q The source of the information in these two 27 paragraphs here about IVCA's understanding that: 28 29 The new relicensing policy implemented by 30 the commission required as part of it a 31 marketing plan. Ours was approved on 32 January 16, 2017. It clearly stated our plans throughout the interest of the agency 33 34 and source business from neighbouring 35 provinces. This is in compliance with 36 BCFIRB and the commission's mission 37 statement. 38 39 The source of that information was you; right? 40 Would have been from the meeting, yes. Α 41 And the source of it was information you provided 42 at the meeting; right? 43 I don't think it's -- I don't have a lot of that information but it's general discussion. 44 45 would have come out. 46 Okay. Go to page 895. It says:

Terrence Michell (a witness)
Cross-exam by Cnsl R. Androsoff

The following were the requirements of the 2 relicensing criteria. 3 4 And then I'll suggest to you that this is an 5 excerpt of the criteria that led to the drafting 6 of the November 2016 relicensing application 7 document; do you agree? 8 Α Okay. 9 And then it says: 10 11 Here's what our application included and as 12 you remember, Mr. Krause, at the time this 13 proposal was submitted, even you 14 congratulated IVCA on providing such a 15 well-written proposal. 16 17 Do you see that? 18 Α Okay. That's what I've been saying, yes. Right. And the only people who attended that meeting on behalf of IVCA were you and 19 20 Mr. Pollock? 21 22 Yes. Α 23 And since Mr. Pollock wasn't at this 2017 Q 24 meeting, the source of this information must have 25 been you? 26 Α Well, that was -- yeah, probably would have been, 27 yeah, I would have said that that -- it's a 28 repeat of what we did the year before. 29 It couldn't have been anyone else, right, because 30 it had to be someone who actually presented the 31 proposal to the commission in 2017? 32 Α Well --33 2016? Q 34 Α There's more than just me and Mr. Tom Pollock 35 that saw the application, so. 36 Q Right. 37 The directors saw it, the growers just saw it, so Α yeah, I don't know if it was just Mr. Pollock and 38 39 myself but everybody was aware of it. 40 It would only have been Mr. Pollock and yourself Q 41 who would have been present to hear Mr. Krause's 42 remarks at the presentation before the 43 commission? From our agency, well, there were other members 44 45 there at the meeting, I'm sure. 46 Well, your evidence was that it was only you and 47 Mr. Pollock who attended that meeting. Are you

changing your evidence now? 2 No. From our agency, we were the only two there Α 3 were. 4 Q Right. And in terms of the people who were both, 5 number one, at the presentation to the commission 6 in 2016 and, number two, at this meeting that 7 IVCA held in 2017, there was only one person who 8 was at both and that was you; right? 9 Α Correct. 10 On to page 896. You asked the commission and 11 BCFIRB: 12 13 Consider the following existing scenario and 14 why the commission wishes to stop our 15 efforts to take advantage of what is a 16 long-term agreement that brings stability to 17 many families and adheres to the omission of 18 accessing new markets outside of BC. 19 large client agrees to buy all of the 20 product we can produce from all three of our growers. The quality must be within the 21 22 client's standards. Our product is to 23 replace US imports. What is the issue? 24 25 Do you see that there? 26 I see it. Α 27 And the client that's being referenced here is 28 Thomas Fresh; correct? 29 Α Correct. 30 And I'll suggest to you that the reference to 0 "long-term agreement" and a "client agrees" is a 31 32 reference to those 60-day forward contracts to 33 which I took you earlier today; do you agree? 34 I'm not sure whether there was even -- that was Α 35 even brought, um, in this context. 36 Q Okay. 37 Α But it was just the fact they agreed to purchase 38 the product when they saw the quality, so. 39 Q Right. They agreed pursuant to a long-term 40 agreement; right? 41 I guess whatever that means, long-term agreement Α 42 is yes, whether it's verbal, written, or 43 whatever. 44 Q Right. 45 Α But it's, you know, if they liked the quality 46 then -- then it's an agreement.

Okay. And I'm going to suggest to you,

47

Q

Mr. Michell, that the fact that IVCA and
Thomas Fresh had entered into those 60-day
forward contracts was discussed openly at the
meeting that precipitated the drafting of this
letter; do you agree?

A I don't think those contracts were even brough

- A I don't think those contracts were even brought forward at the meeting.
- 8 Q Okay. When you read -- because you've signed
  9 this letter; right?
- 10 A Yes.

7

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

- 11 Q And I'm guessing that before you signed it, you read it over it very carefully?
  - A Well, I read over it, yes, and -- but I didn't refer to the contracts that I've seen here today but it's, you know, we have agreements because our agency goes back many years. We don't have any written agreements with customers we've even had for 35 years. There's no written agreements. It's just we agreed to purchase your product. So previously, I said that we don't go into written contracts, any long-term contracts, it's just what's purchased that day and we deliver that day. That's the contract we normally used in our co-op agency is that would be the contract that we use.
    - Q All right. I'm going to move on to a different topic. I'm going to ask some questions about Ms. Solotski. You've given evidence that she was the assistant office manager and bookkeeper; right?
- 31 A Yes.
- 32 Q And she was hired perhaps in 2015 or 2016, if I recall your evidence correctly?
- 34 A Yes. I think that was -- I had a date, yes.
- 35 Q Yes. I understand that she's now deceased?
- 36 A Yes.
- 37 Q And when did her employment end at IVCA for the final time?
- 39 A Oh, like, and I don't know the exact date. It 40 was only about a week or ten days before she 41 passed away.
- 42 Q And when was that?
- A Date. I would have to find the exact date for you.
- Q Okay. I hesitate to speak ill of the dearly departed so I'm going to phrase my question diplomatically. If I were to suggest to you,

- Mr. Michell, that Ms. Solotski had a big 2 personality, would you agree with that 3 description?
- 4 Α Yeah. Yeah. She let you know what she thought, 5 sure, yeah. I would say, yeah.
- 6 And if Ms. Solotski liked you, she could be very 7 kind and helpful and bubbly and friendly; 8 correct?
- 9 Α Yeah. Yeah. I think she was -- yeah, that kind 10 of person, yes.
- 11 And if she did not like you, she could be very 12 difficult to deal with. Is that a fair 13 description?
  - Well, I never really had that experience myself Α but I would -- maybe possibly people might say that, but I wouldn't say that.
- 17 Q You certainly -- you certainly had occasion to 18 witness that sort of dynamic between Ms. Solotski 19 and Mr. Gill; correct?
  - Well, I would say that she might respond in the Α way that she's presented with, you know, it depends on the atmosphere around her, I would say, at the time.
  - Q Okay.

15

16

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

- I don't think that she never spoke ill of -- when Mr. Gill, you're talking about Mr. Gill or someone there, never spoke ill when he wasn't there so I don't know what their, you know, demeanour was like on the, your communication but, you know, it was not good as we can tell by emails and things like that, so.
- 32 Q Right. And I'll suggest to you that upon 33 Mr. Gill starting his employment, Ms. Solotski 34 made it very clear through -- for her words and 35 her behaviour that she took an instant dislike to 36 him. Do you agree with that? 37
  - I don't know. I don't know if it was instant. Α
- 38 Q I'll put it to you that Ms. Solotski expressed 39 this sentiment to you on multiple occasions in 40 April, May, June, and July of 2017?
- 41 Well, she questioned his experience. Α
- 42 Yeah. She gave evidence in the 2018 hearing that 43 she openly called him an idiot. Now, you were 44 present for that evidence in the gallery; weren't 45 you?
- 46 Α I don't know. I only attended one day for a 47 couple of hours, that's all. I wasn't called to

No. Α 2 Okay. And there are some notes of that meeting 3 and they're at page 1100 of Exhibit 1. 4 Α Okay. 5 Now, these are Mr. Solymosi's notes. Mr. Solymosi has given that evidence in a prior 7 proceeding so I'm not suggesting that you're --8 they're your notes, but what I'm going to --9 Α It's hard to read, sorry. 10 It is hard to read and I'll help you. I want to 11 take you, in particular, to these two lines here and perhaps I know what they say, but maybe I can 12 13 make it bigger, maybe I can't, we'll see. I'm 14 going to suggest to you this says: 15 16 Undercutting to gain competitive 17 advantage --18 19 And then the bullet point below that says: 20 21 Unwillingly brought into non-compliance 22 through the actions of Bob Gill. 23 24 Do you see that? 25 Α Okay, yeah. I can read that, yeah. 26 And I'm going to suggest to you that those notes Q 27 of Mr. Solymosi reflect comments that 28 representatives of IVCA made to Mr. Solymosi, 29 Mr. Krause at that meeting; correct? 30 I would possibly say that would be correct. Α 31 And I'm going to put to you, Mr. Michell, 32 that the statement "unwillingly brought into 33 non-compliance through the actions of Bob Gill" 34 is entirely untrue. Do you agree with me? 35 Can you repeat that one more time? Α 36 I will suggest to you that the statement that 37 "IVCA was unwillingly brought into non-compliance 38 through the actions of Bob Gill" is entirely untrue? 39 Α No, that's not true. 40 I'm going to suggest to you that it was Q Okay. 41 your decision not to submit a marketing plan that 42 brought IVCA into non-compliance? 43 Not entirely mine, no, no. Α 44 And in terms of the tension between Ms. Solotski 45 on the one hand and representatives of Prokam on 46 the other hand, it wasn't Mr. Gill who was 47 responsible for that tension, it was Ms. Solotski?

I would say, there's a percentage on both sides. Α 2 Okay. But in your mind, certainly at the time, 3 you decided to put an ad for Ms. Solotski's 4 replacement, it was Ms. Solotski who was 5 primarily responsible for that tension? 6 At the time of storming out that particular time, Α 7 8 Yes, and you knew that at the time this meeting Q 9 occurred on October 3rd? 10 Α Well, I don't think that has -- that this meeting 11 was not a result of some personal disagreements. 12 It was a result of the shipping of product 13 underpriced and that came about by shipping 14 certain weights and then having claims laid 15 against those loads which we figured out later. 16 Right. And the reason why IVCA couldn't get its Q 17 act together is because there had been a complete 18 breakdown of trust and communication between 19 Ms. Solotski and Mr. Gill and Mr. Dhillon; right? 20 Well, it was very frustrating with -- bear with Α 21 me -- very frustrating when we had claims -- some 22 of the bills were not being paid and it was well 23 under what the bill would have been and the 24 customer's saying they had put claims into Prokam 25 which were never followed through into the 26 agency. And the fact that the -- at month-end, 27 we didn't find out that there was claims against 28 the loads and the customer's not paying the full 29 bills. 30 Mr. Michell, I'm sorry. I don't wish to Q 31 interrupt you but my time is ticking away. 32 only have five more minutes. 33 Α Okay, sure. 34 Let me ask you this: Did you or Ms. Solotski or 35 Mr. Meyer, at this meeting that took place on 36 October 3rd, did you tell Mr. Krause and Mr. Solymosi that in July of 2017, you had put an 37 38 ad for replacement of Ms. Solotski? 39 Α I wouldn't know. I wouldn't know. 40 You don't have any recollection of anybody Q 41 informing Mr. Krause and Mr. Solymosi of that; 42 correct? I don't recall that, no. 43 Α 44 I'm going to put to you that none of the three of 45 you informed Mr. Solymosi or Mr. Krause of that

fact; do you agree?

I don't know.

46

47

Α

You don't have any reason to disagree with me; 2 right? 3 Α Well, I don't have -- I don't know what even that 4 was brought up at the meeting. I'd have to see 5 minutes of the meeting from someone who took 6 notes, but I don't recall that, no. 7 Well, I can tell you right now this doesn't --8 these notes are two pages and that fact does not 9 appear on either page, but aside from that, do 10 you agree with me that that would have been 11 relevant information for Mr. Krause and 12 Mr. Solymosi to have? I notice Mr. Michell -- he 13 must be frozen? 14 Sorry. You were off for about 20 seconds or so. Α 15 Did you hear my question, Mr. Michell? No, no. It had froze, sorry. 16 Α 17 0 Okay my question was: Do you agree with me that 18 the fact that you had decided in July of 2017, 19 that the best way to resolve the interpersonal 20 conflict was to put an ad for replacement of 21 Ms. Solotski, would have been relevant 22 information for Mr. Krause and Mr. Solymosi to 23 have been given at this meeting? 24 Α No. I don't think so, no. 25 No, okay. All right. As a result of this 26 meeting, in fact, at the meeting, IVCA 27 representatives provided Mr. Krause and 28 Mr. Solymosi with a package of documents; correct? 29 At the meeting itself? I can't remember if it Α 30 was at the meeting or forwarded to them -- yeah, 31 they probably would have taken some documents with them, I would think, yeah.
Okay. And, subsequently, further documents were 32 33 Q 34 provided to the commission by IVCA; correct? 35 Yes. I wasn't sure of what the documents were. Α 36 It's just that whatever was requested was suggested that they give them to the commission. 37 38 Q Right. And that July 4th, 2017 email from you to 39 Mr. Gill where you explained to him that no 40 marketing plan had been submitted for Bob Spuds 41 in 2016, that email was never provided to the 42 commission; correct? 43 That email? I don't know whether it would have Α 44 been or not. Not from me anyways, no. 45 I'll suggest to you that what the commission

received from IVCA was not an accurate and fully

comprehensive collection of documents but rather

46

And how would anyone know that from reading Mr. Dhillon's email? 4 Α Because Mr. Dhillon doesn't speak like this. 5 What do you mean by that? Can you give me an 6 example of something that's --7 Okay, do we produce monthly income statements or Α 8 do we expense as you receive a bill? That's not 9 a terminology Mr. Gill -- Mr. Dhillon would use. 10 Q All right. 11 Α Right? 12 But those are certainly appropriate questions for Q 13 a director of IVCA to be asking. 14 They are, but if you're not the author of the email and you're presenting yourself as being the 15 16 author or director of IVCA, there was many emails 17 like this that were signed Bob Dhillon and they 18 were -- the language in it, we all got to know 19 that they were from Mr. Gill. Just say it's you 20 and you don't understand how the financial system 21 -- statements are working; right? 22 Right. But let's say that you are right about 23 that, why is that concerning? 24 Α Well, it just shows that they never wanted to 25 cooperate with the office, you know. There is a 26 thing called a phone too; right? Like, if you're 27 not sure about something on the statement --28 like, I understand emails a lot quicker, but if 29 you want to try to repair a relationship, I made 30 many phone calls to try to get it straightened 31 out, right, like, listen, what is it that you're 32 looking for? And never got an answer. 33 And we've seen that you and Mr. Dhillon also 34 exchanged text messages fairly regularly? 35 Α Correct. 36 And those were very friendly, particularly in 37 this period? 38 Α Yes. 39 Q So there really wasn't any issue between you and 40 Mr. Dhillon about the kinds of questions that 41 were being asked? 42 Α No. 43 But in this email you sent here May 16th to 44 Mr. Michell, Mr. Meyer and Mr. Wittal, you say in 45 the second line:

As usual he just doesn't get it!!!! I'm not

going to give this idiot an accounting 2 lesson. Yes, the bank is balance every 3 week. 4 5 Now, who's the idiot in this? 6 Mr. Gill, sorry. Α 7 All right. And what is it that justifies the 8 characterization Mr. Gill is an idiot? 9 It's just the questions that he asks and they 10 make no sense. The \$60,000 he's talking about 11 was in the 2016 financials and he keeps bringing 12 it up, why is it showing up in the 2017? Like my 13 grandma used to say you can't fix stupid, and I 14 was just frustrated. 15 All right. But you have an email here from a Q director of IVCA, Mr. Dhillon, and I appreciate 16 17 you don't believe Mr. Dhillon wrote it but you 18 have an email from Mr. Dhillon asking certain 19 questions about the financial situation of IVCA. 20 Α Correct. 21 Q And instead of providing the answers --22 Α I did provide them twice but it wasn't to his 23 liking. 24 All right. Well, my question was going to be 25 instead of providing the answers to Mr. Dhillon, 26 you forward it to others at the co-op and 27 characterize the sender as an idiot. 28 Yes. Α 29 Now, can I ask you to turn back one tab to tab 5. 0 This is a letter to Mr. Meyer from Mr. Dhillon 30 31 dated the day before that email exchange we just 32 looked at. 33 Yes. Α 34 Do you see that? And it's got some handwriting 35 on it. Do you recognize any of the handwriting? 36 The one that says "Bob can't read f/s..." Α 37 financial statement, "A negative is a loss," and 38 I wrote "idiot," that is my comment. 39 Q All right. 40 And I believe the rest of it is all Brian's. Α 41 All right. And so this is an email -- or this is 42 a letter, and Mr. Dhillon says: 43 44 I am writing in response to your letter 45 received on May 12 regarding a cash call. 46

There had been a cash call made to Mr. Dhillon as

```
a member of the co-op?
2
      Α
            Correct, which he agreed to.
 3
            All right. I'm just confirming that this letter
 4
            is in respect -- he's writing to you in respect
5
            of a cash call or he's writing to Mr. Meyer in
 6
            respect of a cash call?
 7
            Correct.
 8
            And then he's asking some questions about the
9
            financial situation at the co-op?
10
      Α
            Correct.
11
            And that's in respect of being asked to
12
            contribute money; yes?
13
                 I'm sorry, I keep nodding.
      Α
            And not only is Mr. Dhillon a director of IVCA at
14
15
            this point, but he's also a member and he's quite
16
            legitimately asking questions about the financial
17
            situation?
18
            Correct.
19
            In the context of being asked for cash?
20
      Α
            Yeah.
21
            And instead of responding to the questions asked,
22
            your reaction is to indicate Bob can't read
23
            financial statements, a negative is a loss,
24
            idiot.
25
      Α
            I meant Bob Gill, not Bob Dhillon.
26
            I appreciate that.
27
            But Mr. Gill represented to Mr. Dhillon that we
            made money and we didn't. So, you know, I can't fix stupid. Like, if you don't know how to read
28
29
30
            a financial statement, that's not my problem.
31
            Well, if a director and a member of the co-op to
            which you were the accountant --
32
33
            But I didn't make these comments to Mr. Dhillon.
      Α
34
            I made them to Brian; right? Like, give me some
35
            direction as a general manager, how do you want
36
            me to handle this?
37
            All right. And this is -- so you make the
38
            comment that you make here in the letter with the
39
            "idiot". And then it's repeated in the email at
40
            tab 6 the next day.
41
            Yeah.
      Α
42
43
                 I'm not going to give this idiot an
44
                 accounting lesson.
45
46
            That was just between Brian, Terry, and myself.
47
            That was never -- that email was never sent to
```

- 1 Mr. Dhillon or to Mr. Gill.
- Q No, but you were refusing to provide the information Mr. Dhillon was asking for because you considered it be written by Bob Gill who you thought was an idiot?

  A He got the information every time he asked for
  - A He got the information every time he asked for it. He didn't ask for it in the right way. He got what he asked for. We tried many times, please tell us exactly what you want.
- 10 Q Bob Gill at this point had been at IVCA for about six weeks; correct?
- 12 A Yeah, he was not to start until the first Warba 13 shipment but he started -- I understand he 14 started as of April 1st. That's when he went on 15 the payroll.
- 16 Q Yeah. So he'd been at IVCA for a short time by 17 May 16th?
- 18 A Yeah.

7

8

9

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

- 19 Q But you'd already come to the view that he was an idiot?
  - A We were introduced in February during the cash call meeting. Mr. Dhillon brought Mr. Gill. And he had asked the directors if Mr. Gill could have a copy of all the financial statements for the last couple of years so he could do some analysis, which I provided to Mr. Gill.
  - Q And you wanted to make clear at this point, just a few weeks after Mr. Gill had started work, you wanted to make clear to Mr. Gill's bosses, to Mr. Michell, Mr. Meyer, and Mr. Wittal your view, your negative view of Mr. Gill's abilities?
  - A They had the same view.
- I'm not asking about their view. I'm asking about what you wanted to do by this email. The purpose in sending this email was to make clear to Mr. Gill's bosses only a few weeks after he started work that you considered him to be an idiot?
- 39 A Correct.
- 40 Q I'd ask you to turn to tab 7. And these are 41 minutes of an annual general meeting on May 25th, 42 2017?
- 43 A Correct.
- 44 Q And you attended that meeting?
- 45 A I did, yes. I'm sorry, yes.
- 46 Q Mr. Dhillon attended by Facetime it indicates 47 here?

- witness or anything. I was there for a couple of hours, but I don't recall that -- that at all. 3 Okay. If I told you that Ms. Solotski testified 4 on multiple occasions in 2018 with reference to 5 Mr. Gill, "you can't fix stupid." Do you have 6 any recollection of her giving that evidence 7 while you sat in the gallery? Not, no, no. If it's evidence then it's 8 Α 9 evidence, but it was the not when I was there. 10 didn't hear that. 11 Okay. And I'll suggest to you that on multiple Q 12 occasions between April and June 2017, there were 13 relatively testy exchanges between Ms. Solotski 14 and Mr. Gill and you were copied on some of them; 15 correct?
- 16 A Correct. 17 Q Okay. Now, at one point i
- Okay. Now, at one point in 2017, you instructed Mr. Gill to run an ad for Ms. Solotski's position; correct?
  - A Yes, because she was going to -- she wanted to quit or something along those lines, so there was an ad ran.
- 23 Q You didn't tell Ms. Solotski that you were running the ad though, did you?
  - A No, no. We didn't run the -- no, we didn't say anything, no.
- 27 Q In fact, she found out when somebody called into 28 the office about the position and she took the 29 call?
- 30 A I don't recall. Possibly; that's a possibility.
- And upon finding out about the ad, she tendered her resignation; correct?
- 33 A I'm not sure whether it was at that time or another time. That is a possibility.
- Okay. I'm going to suggest to you that the reason you instructed Mr. Gill to run the ad was not because Ms. Solotski wanted to quit but rather that there was a meeting at which she stormed out between you and her and Mr. Meyer about a mistake she had made and accounts receivable reports. Do you recall that?
- 42 A I don't remember her making any mistakes.
- Q Okay.

21

22

25

26

I don't recall that. She was upset with us allowing Mr. Gill to be on the computer as it is -- it was kind of a specialized piece of equipment. Um --

- I didn't ask you about that, Mr. Michell. 2 asking you, do you recall a meeting at which 3 Mr. Gill asserted that Ms. Solotski's AR summary 4 was off the tune of some \$400,000 and in 5 response, Ms. Solotski angrily stormed out of the 6 room? 7 Α No, no.
- 8 That didn't happen? Q
- 9 Α I don't recall that. Not Ms. Solotski making a 10 \$400,000 -- no, no, no, no.
- 11 Q Do you recall her storming out of the room during 12 a meeting in or around June or July 2017? 13
  - Α Let's see. I recall that, yeah. I'm just trying to remember what that might have been.
- 15 Q Okay.

18

19

20

21

22

23

30

- 16 I don't think it was \$400,000 or anything like Α 17 that.
  - Regardless what it was about, Mr. Michell, you recall that it happened and I'm going to put to you that after that happened, you instructed Mr. Gill to run an ad for her position?
  - I think it was -- it would not have just been Α myself, but we probably did, yeah.
- 24 And the reason that you instructed Mr. Gill to 25 run an ad for her position is because you were 26 determined that she was responsible for the 27 interpersonal conflict that IVCA has been 28 experiencing over the previous four months. Do 29 you agree?
  - Not entirely, no. Α
- 31 And furthermore, that you concluded that 32 replacing Ms. Solotski with somebody else would 33 solve that issue. Do you agree or disagree with 34 that?
  - I would disagree with that. Α
- 36 Okay. And I'll put it to you that the reason 37 that you didn't tell Ms. Solotski that you were 38 running the ad is because you did not want her to 39 quit until you had found a suitable replacement 40 for her; correct?
- 41 That would be correct as the system that we have Α 42 in our office is quite complex.
- 43 Okay. And also this was the end of July; wasn't Q 44 it?
- 45 Α Peek of the season.
- 46 Right. August, September, those are the busy Q 47 months?

Terrence Michell (a witness)
Cross-exam by Cnsl R. Androsoff

Yeah. Α 2 If you lost Ms. Solotski without a suitable 3 replacement, then IVCA would be up a certain 4 creek without a certain paddle; correct? 5 That's correct. Did not have someone to replace 6 her -- her expertise. 7 Right. But what happened is she did find out 8 about the ad and then she tendered her 9 resignation before IVCA could find a suitable 10 replacement? 11 Α Yeah. 12 And what ensued from that was panic; correct? Q 13 Α I would think so under a certain amount of pressure, yes. 14 15 Panic on your part, panic on Mr. Meyer's part? Q 16 Α Just all around. 17 Q All around? 18 I would say, pressure all around because she --19 she knew our system and we were doing a lot of 20 invoices every day so, yeah. 21 Q Right, right. 22 Α And Mr. Gill, that didn't have experience on our 23 equipment. 24 And certainly Mr. Meyer was not very skilled with 25 a computer at all? 26 That's correct, and nor am I. Α 27 So you didn't know, without Ms. Solotski, how 28 IVCA was even going to do business in August and 29 September? 30 It would have been the old way, pen and paper. Α 31 Q Okay. And so what you did is you asked 32 Ms. Solotski for a meeting; right? 33 Α Yes. 34 Q And you asked her to reconsider, to stay on? 35 Α 36 You managed to convince her to stay on? Q 37 Α Exactly. 38 Q And she agreed to stay on long enough -- as long 39 as you needed her; right? 40 Well, until we -- there was a lot going on at Α this time with the commission, ourselves, shipping potatoes, and product from the Prokam 41 42 43 farm, yeah, she agreed to stay on. 44 Okay, but now you're in a really difficult Q 45 position, right, because you had decided to terminate her employment and she knew that? 46 47 Α Well, we hadn't decided to terminate it.

Okav. 2 We had decided to see if there was anyone else 3 there that could replace her. 4 Q Right. 5 Α And that became very prevalent that that was 6 going to be very difficult to do. 7 And the reason you wanted to replace her was to 8 resolve the interpersonal tension in the office 9 right, removing Ms. Solotski would have resolved 10 that tension? 11 It probably would have helped a bit, yeah, I Α 12 would guess, but --13 Q Right, right. 14 Α -- you know, it didn't all come from our office. 15 Right, but now you know that's not an option 16 because Ms. Solotski is indispensable to IVCA; 17 right? 18 At the time, yeah, and we have to do at that time 19 we got to look at not just, you know, our 20 situation but all the growers situation. 21 had to take care of all our members, not just a 22 couple. 23 So Ms. Solotski agrees to stay on and you're Q 24 stuck with her regardless of whether she's a good 25 employee or not, you know that you can't get rid 26 of her, right, you need her? 27 Α Well, you know, I never really had a problem with 28 her. Many people, a lot of our customers did not 29 have a problem with her. 30 That wasn't my question, though. My question was Q 31 you know that you need her. You can't get rid of 32 her? 33 Stuck with her, so. Α 34 Okay. And so you need to find another solution 35 to the interpersonal conflict other than removing 36 Ms. Solotski; correct? 37 I'm going to say that we were not stuck with her, Α 38 we did not want to lose her. 39 Q Right. You couldn't afford to lose her? 40 Makes sense. Α 41 It would have been a disaster to lose her? Q 42 Α Makes sense. 43 So instead, the only solution remaining was that

Mr. Gill and Mr. Dhillon became the target;

was a -- it was a source of a lot of our

Well, I don't know if they were a target but it

44

45

46

47

Α

correct?

l problems.

2

3

4

5

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33 34

35

- Q Right. And removing somehow, someway, Prokam, Mr. Gill, who was, by the way, not a Prokam employer; right? He was a Sam Enterprises employee; do you recall that?
- 6 A Yeah. That was some smoke and mirrors there too, so.
- Q Okay. You don't disagree with me that he was not a Prokam employee?
- 10 A I don't know who he was working for but 11 someone -- it was some identity at the farm.
  - Q Okay. So in August and September, I'll suggest to you Ms. Solotski was not only back but she was back with a vengeance and she knew that Mr. Gill had run an ad on your instructions to try to remove her and this only intensified the conflict; correct?
  - A I'm not sure. Brian just took over more of that communication and at the time, so did Barb, Bob's wife, she took over a lot of the communication also, and it was with Janice that those two seemed to get along fine. So that's the reason -- I'm not pointing the fingers only at Janice which is not fair, but Barb and Janice seemed to get along.
  - Q Okay. But you've seen an email that Mr. Hira took you to earlier where Ms. Solotski and Ms. Barb Dhillon are on the email chain and Ms. Solotski is still complaining about Mr. Dhillon. Do you recall that email?
  - A Yeah.
    - Q In any event, your evidence earlier was that you and Mr. Meyer had a conversation and decided to reach out to the commission for assistance in resolving this conflict between Prokam and IVCA; right?
- 37 A Yeah. There was several issues that was the reason we did the reach out, yeah, yes.
- 39 Q Okay. And if I suggest to you that Mr. Meyer 40 reported to Mr. Solymosi that IVCA and Prokam 41 were having difficulties on either September 26 42 or 27, 2017, does that accord with your 43 recollection?
- 44 A I would say, that sounds -- that sounds accurate, yeah.
- Q Okay. I'm going to show you an email chain here.
  It's pages 1096 to 1099 of Exhibit 1. And you

1 desist order to Prokam? 2 Α And the cease and desist order directed authority 3 back to IVCA. 4 Prokam was your primary target in this 5 investigation, correct? 6 Α The issue was agency, why they were not -- you 7 know, agency was in non-compliance and they were 8 pricing and why was that happening? 9 You relied entirely on IVCA to provide the 10 information in your investigation, correct? 11 Α Correct. 12 You did no independent investigation of your own 13 prior to issuing the cease and desist orders? 14 Α Correct. 15 And the information you received from IVCA was a 16 highly-curated version of events and set of 17 documents; do you agree with that? 18 Α Can you repeat, please? 19 The information you received from IVCA was a 20 highly-curated version of events and set of 21 documents; do you agree with that? 22 We had a meeting and we reviewed documents there Α 23 and the investigation was launched and was 24 ongoing and then subject to a show cause hearing. 25 So it was -- this was the start of an 26 investigation and it was by no means the end of 27 an investigation. 28 Throughout the investigation you received Q 29 documents from IVCA, correct? 30 Throughout the investigation, correct. Α 31 Q And no one else, correct? 32 Α All parties had an opportunity to submit evidence 33 for that written process that was initiated, the 34 show cause process. Evidence submitted, we did gather evidence for IVCA and all parties had an 35 36 opportunity to submit evidence as part of the 37 process. 38 There was a written show cause process where lawyers were permitted to make submissions on 39 40 behalf of their clients, correct? 41 Α That's correct. 42 But there was never any direct investigation on 43 your part of what had occurred beyond being 44 provided the information by IVCA, correct? 45 Α Correct. 46 And in the course of the 2018 appeal hearing, you

were shown that some of the documents, some of

```
the information that IVCA had provided to you was
 2
            incorrect; you agree with me?
 3
            You would have to put that in front of me.
       Α
 4
            All right. Well, why don't we take one example.
 5
            Why don't we do the screen, the double
 6
            screenshot? We're going to put two documents up
 7
            on the screen together hopefully.
 8
       CNSL R. HIRA: Could you identify the documents so
 9
            that I can get there [indiscernible].
10
       CNSL C. HUNTER: We will do that, yes. The first is
            Exhibit 1 page 972.
11
12
       CNSL R. HIRA: Thank you.
13
       CNSL C. HUNTER: And the second is Exhibit 1 page 976,
14
            starting there.
15
            Do you see both of those documents, Mr. Solymosi?
       CNSL R. HIRA: Why don't I put the documents in front
16
17
            of him?
18
       CNSL C. HUNTER: Mr. Chair, do you see both documents?
19
            I just want to make sure that the Chair...
       THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes, I do.
20
                                     Thanks.
21
       CNSL C. HUNTER: Okay. Thank you.
22
            So Mr. Solymosi, the 972 is a copy of a document
23
            that you received from IVCA as part of your
24
            investigation, correct?
25
            I -- correct.
       Α
26
            And it has a date handwritten on the top, August
27
            28th, and a handwritten note "no response" and
28
            that's handwritten by Ms. Solotski, correct? I
29
            think the audio may have cut out for a moment.
30
            Did you answer the question?
31
       Α
            Correct.
32
       Q
            Okay. And you see on the email itself, it's an
33
            August 28th, 2017, 11:29 a.m. email from Ms.
34
            Solotski to Bob Gill and Bob Dhillon?
35
       Α
            On the right?
            At both of them, although you can't see the
36
       Q
37
            recipients on the other -- I'm looking at the
38
            recipient list on the printed copy, the one that
39
            says "no response", but just look at the time,
40
            August 28th, 2017 at 11:29 a.m. from Ms. Solotski
41
            and then it says August 28th:
42
                 Here's last week's sales. Please explain
43
                 price variance.
44
45
            And then the handwritten note, "no response".
            And you see at 976 the document 976, that's the
46
```

same email but a part of a chain?

Marcel Andre Solymosi Cross-exam by Cnsl C. Hunter

Α Okay. 2 And I'm going to move up the chain on 976 to show 3 975. We see this is an email from Mr. Gill to Ms. Solotski August 28th just about half an hour 5 later, 11:58 a.m. and responding to the email: 7 Can we cross-reference the yellow nugget 8 highlighted cells against the price list 9 sent out? I'm showing yellow nuggets at 10 \$29. Do you agree? 11 12 Mr. Meyer: 13 14 Yes, I agree. So to stop any more mistakes 15 from being made, I will just forward the BCVMC price list when it comes out, do you 16 17 agree? 18 19 And Mr. Gill: 20 21 Should I -- would I send the price list out 22 to the customer? 23 24 Do you see that? 25 Yes. Α 26 And so you agree with me there was a response to Ms. Solotski's email of August 28th at 11:29 27 28 a.m.? 29 It appears so. 30 But the version she gave you was indicated with 31 the handwritten note "no response"; do you see 32 that? 33 Α Correct. 34 And so I'm asking whether you agree with me that 35 at least some of the information IVCA provided to 36 you was inaccurate? 37 It appears so. Α 38 And you've heard other evidence over the course 39 of this proceeding and the 2018 proceeding that 40 you were not aware of at the time you issued the 41 cease and desist orders and during the show cause 42 process, correct? 43 Can you repeat that? Α 44 You've heard other evidence during this 45 proceeding and the 2018 appeals about what was 46 going on at IVCA that you were not aware of at 47 the time you issued the cease and desist orders?

46

47

It was -- yeah, the cease and desist orders would Α 2 have started the investigation process. 3 Yes. But throughout the investigation process, 4 you only received information from IVCA and what 5 I'm asking is if you agree with me that you have since seen documentation that shows the 7 information you received from IVCA was 8 incomplete? 9 Α Well, at least in the instance that you had shown 10 me just now, that's correct. 11 All right. I'm going to show you another 12 document and it is Exhibit 1 page 862. 13 THE CHAIRPERSON: Ms. Hunter? 14 CNSL C. HUNTER: Yes? 15 THE CHAIRPERSON: Making note of the time... 16 CNSL C. HUNTER: Oh, I'm sorry, Mr. Chair. I'm 17 actually very close to being done. I wonder if 18 -- I was hoping not to have to go into these 19 documents but I think I could be less than ten 20 I wonder if I should just finish up. minutes. 21 THE CHAIRPERSON: Then let's finish up, please. 22 CNSL C. HUNTER: Okay. 23 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. 24 CNSL C. HUNTER: All right. Thank you. 25 Mr. Solymosi, you should see on your screen 26 Exhibit 1 page 862. 27 I see that. Α 28 Q This is an email exchange between Terry Michell 29 and Bob Gill from July of 2017; you see that? 30 That's correct. Α 31 And this is not an email that was provided to you Q 32 by IVCA, correct? 33 This is related to greenhouse production. 34 don't see why it would be provided 35 [indiscernible] investigation process. 36 All right. You could see from the mark on the 37 document Prokam Thomas Fresh 01401, it was 38 produced in the 2018 appeal hearing. Yeah, correct. 39 Α 40 And the chain, moving up the chain, there's an 41 email from Ron Wittal to Bob Gill forwarding 42 something about greenhouse production allocation. 43 Mr. Gill says: 44

Sounds like BCVMC is setting a new precedent

that we did nothing about. Why are we not

on this?

And Mr. Michell:

 It was only sent to the agencies approved for hothouse which [indiscernible] granted us when we applied for a licence. We have no objection if the applicant had unused DA.

And then Mr. Michell wrote this to Mr. Gill:

It's not new precedent. It's been a general order for years, I understand. There was not one done for Bob's spuds. If you read it, there is an objection to the business plan. The commission will make the decision and you know what their answer would have been, so better to do sales and prove our point before asking. We're doing a business plan. Maybe is wrong way to do it, but that's what happened. We know whatever plan was presented to commission, I sure would have been turned down. Now we have evidence Bob's potatoes do not interfere with the price or other growers' DA.

Do you see that?

- A I see that, correct.
- Q And that's an email that was not provided to you by IVCA as part of your investigation, correct?
- A It had nothing to do with the investigation.
- Q Well, it makes reference to Bob's spuds, which I take it is a reference to Prokam potatoes. Do you agree with me?
- A Correct.
- Q And Mr. Michell is indicating that he was responsible for the decision not to submit a business plan because we know that the commission would have turned it down. Do you see that?
- A That's an assumption. Now, this greenhouse production allocation is a totally different industry. The industry is regulated. If production allocation is based on a metre-square planted area versus [indiscernible] allocation is totally different. IVCA has never had a greenhouse producer under its umbrella, even though they have the authority. And so this implying that making -- using this email to imply that a different plan would never be approved is

a misconception. 2 Q Well, I'm not asking you about the greenhouse 3 aspect of this. There's a reference to -- to: 4 5 ... not submitting a marketing plan because we are sure it would have been turned down. 7 Now we have evidence Bob's potatoes do not 8 interfere with the price for other growers' 9 DA. 10 11 Do you see that? 12 Α What is the evidence? 13 Q I'm asking you if you see what Mr. Michell has 14 said to Mr. Gill. That's what it says there on the email. 15 Α 16 Mr. Michell is the president of IVCA? Q 17 Α That is correct. 18 This email chain suggests Mr. Gill is asking 19 questions about ensuring compliance and Mr. 20 Michell is saying we didn't comply on purpose, 21 correct? 22 CNSL R. HIRA: Just a moment. The email speaks for 23 itself. He hasn't seen the email. It appears 24 that he's seeing it for the first time. And how 25 can he comment beyond the words in the email? 26 I'm objecting to that question. If what you're trying to ask is you didn't receive this email as 27 28 part of your investigation, ask it, get your 29 answer and move on. 30 CNSL C. HUNTER: I think Mr. Solymosi has already 31 confirmed he didn't see this as part of his 32 investigation. 33 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: [Indiscernible]. 34 CNSL C. HUNTER: Mr. Solymosi --35 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: [Indiscernible]. 36 CNSL C. HUNTER: 37 Mr. Solymosi, do you agree with me that this 38 email was relevant to your investigation? 39 Α It does express Terry's opinion, so it should 40 have been brought forward. 41 And it casts the dynamic between Mr. Michell and 42 Mr. Gill quite differently than what you were 43 being told by IVCA, who pointed the finger at 44 Prokam, Mr. Dhillon and Mr. Gill. 45 Can you repeat that? Is that it, or...? Α It casts the dynamic between Mr. Michell and Mr. 46 47 Gill -- is there an objection?

1 CNSL R. HIRA: I'm waiting for you to finish. 2 CNSL C. HUNTER: 3 Well, I've already tried this once. 4 Reporter, perhaps you could read back the last 5 question I asked. 6 CNSL R. HIRA: The last question you asked was it 7 casts a dynamic between Mr. Michell and Mr. Gill 8 which are different --9 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Hira, I believe Ms. Hunter has 10 asked the reporter to read back the last question 11 she has on record. 12 THE RECORDING SECRETARY: And I'm sorry, Counsel, it's 13 quite an ordeal with this machine that I'm using, 14 but I do have that you would agree that it casts 15 the dynamic differently and that's my note. 16 apologize. 17 CNSL C. HUNTER: That's okay. Let me try again. 18 You received information from IVCA that pointed 19 the finger at Prokam at Mr. Dhillon and at Mr. 20 Gill and blamed them for the problems with IVCA's 21 compliance, correct? 22 What was the date of that? That was later? 23 was not in July. 24 Q I'm asking about the information you got in your 25 investigation. 26 Α Correct. 27 0 Yes. On -- in the meeting on October 3rd you 28 were told Mr. Gill is at the heart of these 29 problems, these compliance problems, correct? 30 Correct. Α 31 And what I'm asking is whether -- if you'd seen this email between Mr. Michell and Mr. Gill, the 32 33 dynamic between them might have been cast in a 34 different light than it was at the October 3rd 35 meeting? 36 It appears at this time in July that there was --Α 37 it was cast in a different light as of July 4th, 38 2017, I would agree. I would agree with -- with

CNSL C. HUNTER: Yes. Mr. Chair, I see I'm at the ten minutes that I said I would be and I have probably two minutes more, but I'm -- I am happy if you wish to take the lunch break now, to just finish up after the break.

CNSL R. HIRA: Can we finish --

CNSL C. HUNTER: That's fine.

that.

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46 47

CNSL R. HIRA: -- because my concern is the two

That's --I can't answer that question. I'm sorry. don't have it in front of me. 4 When you forwarded the emails from Mr. Gill's 5 account in November of 2017 did you delete 6 anything from Mr. Gill's account? 7 Α No, I did not. 8 So there shouldn't be any emails that existed in Q 9 November, 2017 from Mr. Gill's account that are 10 missing now? 11 Α Correct. 12 We should have received production of all of Q 13 those? 14 Α Correct. 15 All right. When you did the searches in November 16 and forwarded the emails from Mr. Gill's account 17 in November, that was to provide documents to the 18 Commission in response to a document request; 19 correct? 20 I don't remember doing a search for the 21 Commission in November. 22 Why were you in Mr. Gill's email account in 23 November of 2017? 24 Α I was doing that because Brian wanted to know 25 about the forward contracts. 26 In November, 2017? Q 27 Correct, because Mr. Dhillon represented he 28 didn't sign a contract and he was telling the 29 truth. It was Mr. Gill that signed the contract. 30 We needed proof. 31 Tell me about your conversation with Mr. 32 Meyer in which he asked you to look for the 33 contracts in Mr. Gill's account. 34 Α Well, there was an issue about forward contracts, 35 were they signed or were they not signed. 36 Yes. I'm asking you about your conversation with Q 37 Mr. Meyer. You said Mr. Meyer asked you to go 38 into the account. What did Mr. Meyer say? 39 Α To see if we could find signed forward contracts. 40 All right. And you knew that was because the Q 41 Commission had asked for them; correct? 42 I'm not sure that I knew that that's what it was Α 43 for. It was Brian was asking if we had copies of 44 the contracts. 45 Q All right. And so in order to -- you knew that 46 unsigned copies of contracts had been sent to

47

you; correct?

Correct. Α 2 You had those from March? 3 Α Correct. 4 Q And those were never forwarded to the Commission; 5 correct? 6 Α No, they were not. 7 And you never told the Commission, in fact, that 8 the unsigned contracts had been sent to you? 9 Α No, because I was under the impression that they 10 weren't signed because Ron said -- I heard the 11 phone call. 12 But you didn't provide that information to the Q 13 Commission, did you? 14 No, did not, no. Α 15 You didn't tell the Commission that you had Q 16 forwarded the unsigned contracts to Mr. Wittal; 17 correct? 18 Α I can't remember. 19 Is it possible you did tell the Commission that? 20 I can't remember. Α 21 I've seen no record of a copy of the email in 22 which the contracts are forwarded to you and you 23 forward them to Mr. Wittal going over to the Commission. Do you say it's possible you did send that email to the Commission? 24 25 26 I can't say. Sorry, I can't. Α 27 But you deny that you went into the system and 28 deleted any emails showing the contracts being 29 forwarded within IVCA? 30 Correct. I deleted none of Bob Gill's emails. Α 31 They stand the way they are. 32 Q What about your own? 33 Oh, I've deleted emails in my own sent and Α 34 folders, emails that I don't need. 35 Did you go through at a certain point and delete 36 emails that showed the contracts being forwarded 37 to you? 38 Α No, not a forward contract. That's too important 39 of a document to delete. 40 And so why was that document not produced from 41 your email account in response to the request? 42 The first one was and it was to do with Azzule, Α 43 and the second was from Paula saying here's a 44 copy of the unsigned forward contract. 45 yes, I will send that off. I know that that 46 third email, the one that says I will send it to 47 Ron doesn't appear in my email chain but I don't

```
Saskatoon and Calgary, your understanding was
           that there was no minimum price that was
3
           applicable to export sales; is that right?
4
           Correct.
      Α
5
           Did your understanding change as a result of this
 6
           email exchange with Mr. Solymosi?
7
           It did not. I was still -- I had been reaching
      Α
8
           out taking the initiative here and nobody told me
9
           here to stop selling. Mr. Michell's position was
10
           the same and so was Mr. Meyer's. If there was a
           problem to not carry on, like, I would have
11
12
           expected to be told that. And, you know, I think
13
           at some point here, I believe, the insertion
14
           orders -- well, the POs were going to the office
           via email from me and nobody said nothing to me.
15
16
           I would have expected that.
           I'm going to show you an email chain that we've
17
      Q
18
           all seen before, I think. It's at pages 941 and
19
           942 of Exhibit 1. Do you recognize this email?
20
      Α
           I do, yes.
21
           And on page 942 at the bottom of the chain,
22
           Mr. Meyer's advising that your access to the
23
           order entry system is going to be removed;
24
           correct?
25
      Α
           Yes.
26
      Q
           Okay. As of August 17th at 9:00 A.M.?
27
      Α
           Correct.
28
           And is that what occurred?
      Q
29
      Α
           Yes.
30
                  I'm going, now, to page 948 of Exhibit 1,
31
           and it's a little faint, so I'm going to try and
32
           make it bigger so it might be easier to read.
33
           Are you able to read the text on that screen?
34
      Α
           I can, yes.
35
           Okay. And I'll just give you a moment to review
36
           it. Let me know when you're finished.
37
      Α
           Okay.
38
      Q
           Do you recognize this document?
39
      Α
           Yes.
40
           What can you tell the review panel about it?
      Q
41
           I think this was a follow-up to the previous
           email in terms of how the order's to be processed
42
43
           going forward. And I think these are -- let me
44
           see. Yeah, these are just instructions, I think,
45
           understanding just back up in terms of how one's
46
           selling. I'm getting text messages, I got phone
47
           calls, I get emails with purchase orders.
```

45
Bob Gill (a witness)
In chief by Cnsl R. Androsoff

just a summary. 2 And it's from IVCA orders email address, but it's 3 an email from Brian Meyer; is that right? 4 Α Is it --5 Appears to be. Although this order is at 6 IVCA.ca, that's an email that Janice Solotski was 7 in control of; is that right? 8 Α Right. 9 Okay. And it's to you, Bob Dhillon, 10 Barb Dhillon, and Mr. Michell is copied as well? 11 Α Yes. 12 Okay. Now, you see here it says: Q 13 14 We understand it may take some customers a 15 while to start sending to orders@ivca.ca, 16 but with your help the transition should be 17 smoother. We would request if you get email 18 orders from customers that you forward that 19 email to orders@ivca.ca and also inform the 20 customer that they should copy it to 21 orders@ivca.ca. 22 23 Do you see that? 24 Α Yes. 25 Okay. And two paragraphs down, it says: 26 27 We understand that some customers text their 28 orders to you. Please generate an email and 29 send it to orders@ivca.ca. The order, it 30 will be processed. Do not write up a phone 31 order form for these orders. 32 33 Do you see that? 34 Α I do, yeah. 35 And there's a couple of email chains that I'd 36 like to take you to, Mr. Gill. This one begins 37 at page 3253, and it may well be only one page. 38 You see that up on your screen? 39 Α Yes. 40 And it's an email from orders@ivca.ca on Q 41 August 18th, 2017. So that's the same day that 42 Mr. Meyer sent the email that we were just looking at; right? 43 44 Α Yes. 45 And a day after your access to the order entry 46 system was removed? 47 Α Yes.

email was pulled out of my inbox, so I know that my email was being accessed at this point. 3 think that's what I meant by the top there is, 4 you know, we just had a process, right. 5 This handwritten annotation that you mentioned 6 "no PO. Why won't he share?" 7 Yeah. Α 8 Do you recognize that writing? Q 9 Α I'm going to say, that's Janice. 10 Okay. Do you have an understanding as to why 11 she's put that on this email given the reference 12 here to attached POs from the Thomas Fresh 13 Calgary rep? 14 The thing is that, like, I don't see where this 15 email was sent to in the chain. 16 Q Going ahead to page 950 and pages 951, do you 17 recognize this email chain? 18 Α Okay. Okay. 19 And so this is also August 18th, 2017; correct? 20 Α Yeah. 21 Q And Ms. -- it looks like a Ms. Stacko [phonetic] 22 from Thomas Fresh has sent a purchase order in to 23 the order desk. Ms. Solotski is saying: 24 25 Amy, your order has been received and 26 processed. Thank you for your order. 27 28 And then Mr. Dhillon is saying: 29 30 Confirm order with us before you commit to 31 customer. Very important that you get a 32 confirmation back from us. 33 34 Do you see that? 35 I do, yes. Α 36 So what comments do you have for the review panel 37 about this email chain? 38 Α I think the issue here, again, is the same in 39 terms of planning. Like, is the product there 40 rather than, like, assuming that the product is 41 there and it's going to be shipping out. I think 42 it's the same issue in terms of confirming to 43 make sure the product is there first before 44 committing to the customer. It's an extra step, 45 right. 46 I'm going to skip to page 962 and so this is an

email chain between you and orders@ivca.ca;

correct? 2 Α Correct. 3 And the first email in the chain has some 4 information from a purchase order; is that right? 5 M'mm-hmm. 6 Do you recall how this purchase order came in? 7 It would have been a text. Α 8 0 Okay. And so it appears that you've generated an 9 email from that text and then you sent it to 10 orders@ivca.ca; correct? 11 Α Correct. 12 And you recall from the email that we were Q 13 looking at from August 18th there was a request 14 from Mr. Meyer that for orders that come in by text, please generate on email and send to 15 16 orders@ivca.ca; correct? 17 Α Correct. I think even prior to that I believe 18 Mr. Michell had contacted me as well, and he 19 requested I send him a copy of how I send the 20 orders in, which I believe I remember seeing an 21 email here where I did send it in and he 22 confirmed, "thank you," meaning -- I'm guessing 23 that means he had no issue, right. 24 I'll take you, Mr. Gill, to page 982 of 25 Exhibit 1. You see that up on your screen? 26 I do, yes. Sorry [indiscernible]. Α 27 Okay. And so we've got another email here from 28 you to orders@ivca.ca, and it's dated 29 September 5th, 2017, subject "shipping tomorrow." 30 And then there's information with respect to 31 three orders and purchase order numbers; correct? 32 Α Correct. 33 And how have these orders have come in to you? Q 34 Α By text message. 35 Q Sorry, I didn't quite catch that. 36 Α By text message. 37 Okay. And did you have an understanding as to 38 whether you were complying with what Mr. Meyer 39 had directed you to do in sending an email like 40 this when an order came in by text? 41 Yes, my understanding was, yes. You know, I Α 42 didn't have no problems with the removal of the 43 order entry system. 44 And just going back to page 963, we have an email 45 with another order; correct? 46 Α Correct.

And am I right that this is another order that

47

Q

```
came in by text?
2
           Yes, correct.
      Α
 3
      0
           Okay. Page 968.
                              You see that up on your screen
4
           there, Mr. Gill?
5
      Α
           I do, yes.
 6
           And how did the order to which this email relates
 7
           come in to you?
8
           If I'm putting an email like that, it would have
      Α
9
           been via text.
10
           Okay. Now, I'm taking you, Mr. Gill, to an email
11
           that's at page 972 of Exhibit 1. Do you see that
12
           up on your screen?
13
      Α
           I do, yes.
14
           And you see the handwritten notation "no
      Q
15
           response"?
16
           I do, yeah.
      Α
17
      Q
           I'm going to try the multiple window screen
18
           sharing that I did earlier today. Do you also
19
           see, now, at page 975 from Exhibit 1 on your
20
           screen?
21
           I do, yes.
      Α
22
      0
                 I'm going to scroll down to page 976.
           Okay.
           Now, are these two emails the same email that
23
24
           you're looking at right now?
25
      Α
           It's got the same date.
26
      Q
           Same date, same time?
27
           Same date, same time, but I don't see who this
28
           email on the left is sent to.
29
           Right. There appears to have been -- be a
30
           reference to an attachment on the bottom of
31
           page 976, and then on page 972 you can see the
32
           attachment has the same name. Do you see that
33
           there?
34
      Α
           I do, yes.
35
           So I'm going to scroll up in the chain on
36
           page 975. What can you tell the review panel
37
           about this email chain?
38
      Α
           Just give me a sec. Okay. Yes, I remember this.
39
           What this is referring to is -- so this
40
           spreadsheet -- well, the details that
41
           Ms. Solotski is requesting that says, "no
42
           response" here on the right-hand side, I think --
43
           well, looks like I responded in half an hour,
44
           right.
45
      Q
           Okay.
46
      Α
           But what the issue with this -- so there were
47
           orders that were below minimum price there. And
```