File: 44200-50/MuMB BRADNER2 44200-50/MuMB ALL SEASONS2

October 15, 1998

## **DELIVERED BY FAX**

Linley Duignan
Barristers & Solicitors
P.O. Box 2040
200 - 2548 Clearbrook Road
Abbotsford, BC V2T 3T8
Attention: Mr. R.A. Wattie

McAlpine Gudmundseth Mickelson Barristers and Solicitors The Landing Suite 250, 375 Water Street Vancouver, BC V6B 5C6 Attention: Mr. Stein K. Gudmundseth

Mr. Martin Chia GM Mushroom Farms 1388 - 855 West Georgia Street Vancouver, BC V6C 3E8

Dear Sirs/Mesdames:

Blake, Cassels & Graydon
Barristers & Solicitors
Suite 2600, Three Bentall Centre
595 Burrard Street
P.O. Box 49314
Vancouver, BC V7X 1L3
Attention: Ms. Maria Morellato

Cherrington Minten Easingwood Kearl Critchley Barristers & Solicitors 9067 Church Street Fort Langley, BC V1M 2R9 Attention: Mr. David A. Critchley

RE: APPEALS BY BRADNER MUSHROOM FARM LTD. AND ALL SEASONS MUSHROOM FARMS INC. FROM A SEPTEMBER 30, 1998 DECISION OF THE BRITISH COLUMBIA MUSHROOM MARKETING BOARD CONCERNING THE TRANSFER OF PRODUCT BETWEEN AGENCIES

The members of the British Columbia Marketing Board (BCMB) hearing panel have reviewed the following documents relating to the request by Mr. Martin Chia, on behalf of GM Mushroom Farms, a shareholder in All Seasons Mushroom Farms Inc. (All Seasons), for intervenor status:

Mr. Tony Wattie Ms. Maria Morellato Mr. Stein Gudmundseth Mr. David Critchley Mr. Martin Chia October 15, 1998 Page 2

- October 9, 1998 letter from Mr. Chia;
- 2<sup>nd</sup> October 9, 1998 letter from Mr. Chia (re: level of intervention requested);
- October 13, 1998 letter from Ms. Maria Morellato;
- October 13, 1998 letter from Mr. David Critchley; and
- October 13, letter, with attachment, from Mr. Chia.

Based on the foregoing review, the panel is not prepared to grant intervenor status to Mr. Chia. The test for whether it is appropriate to grant intervenor status to a particular party is two-fold:

- 1. Does the party seeking intervenor status have a valid interest in the outcome of the appeals?
- 2. Does the party seeking intervenor status provide any specific assistance in the proceeding with the issues he proposes to raise? For example, does the party have specific knowledge or information or a unique perspective that would be of assistance to the panel that is not available by any other means?

With respect to the first branch of the test, the panel is prepared to find at best that Mr. Chia, as a minority shareholder of All Seasons, may have an interest in whether Bradner Mushroom Farms Ltd. is entitled to transfer its production to All Seasons.

However, the panel finds that Mr. Chia's application fails on the second branch of the test. The panel does not believe that Mr. Chia's grounds for intervention will assist in the hearing of the appeals. The issue that he raises regarding a dispute between shareholders of all Seasons is more properly dealt with by the Supreme Court. Mr. Chia wishes to give evidence on the viability of All Seasons. The panel notes that this issue is currently before the British Columbia Mushroom Marketing Board (Mushroom Board).

The issue before this panel on appeal is the narrow issue of the ability of a grower to transfer production from one agency to another. Any decision the BCMB makes on these appeals will not prejudice the ability of the Mushroom Board or the BCMB to consider the issue of the viability of All Seasons. These are separate issues and should be dealt with as such.

Mr. Tony Wattie Ms. Maria Morellato Mr. Stein Gudmundseth Mr. David Critchley Mr. Martin Chia October 15, 1998 Page 3

Finally, the Respondent and Money's Mushrooms Ltd., an intervenor in this appeal with the right to present evidence and cross examine, are experienced in hearings before the BCMB. Should either of them feel that Mr. Chia has evidence particular to him that would assist this panel, it is open to them to call him as a witness. Thus, it is possible for the panel to receive any relevant evidence through means other than granting intervenor status to Mr. Chia. In making this decision the panel is mindful of the desire to avoid unduly complicating what is a narrow issue on appeal. There are other forums in which it may be more appropriate for Mr. Chia to seek intervenor status.

Based on the materials filed, the panel finds that Mr. Chia has not shown sufficient grounds to support his request for intervenor status.

If a person, marketing board or commission is aggrieved or dissatisfied by an order or referral of the BCMB under section 9(1) of the *Natural Products Marketing (BC) Act*, the person, marketing board or commission may appeal the order or referral on a question of law to the Supreme Court if the appeal is commenced within 30 days of being served with a copy of the order or referral.

Yours truly,

(Original signed by):

Jim Collins Manager Dispute Resolution Services